Module 4: Critical Thinking Option 1

Jocelyn Strmec

Colorado State University Global

CSC580 Applying Machine Learning and Neural Networks - Capstone

Pubali Banerjee

09/07/2025

Toxicology testing is a binary classification problem, something is either toxic or non-toxic. This means that when evaluating performance of a toxicology model metrics that properly capture the nuance of an imbalanced dataset as Tox21 is skewed and has many more non-toxic than toxic samples. F1 score will balance precision of how many predicted toxic molecules are truly toxic and recall of how many of the actual toxic molecules are correctly identified so that false negatives or false positives are penalized. ROC-AUC (Area Under Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve) will be an additionally beneficial metric of measurement because it can help distinguish between toxic and non-toxic across thresholds and will be less sensitive to class imbalance. Additionally ROC-AUC is widely used in cheminformatics and should be a reasonable indicator of model performance (Cesar et al., 2017).

Metric	Score
Accuracy	0.9616
F1-score	0.1176
ROC-AUC	0.7861

Simply looking at accuracy the model performance would seem excellent, however given that most of the data is non-toxic it would be quite easy to always guess non-toxic and get a high accuracy. The F1-score is very low because the model isn't balancing precision and recall, meaning it is failing to detect toxic compounds and is predicting non-toxic too much. The final metric, ROC-AUC, proves the model can separate toxic from non-toxic better than chance (0.5), but it isn't perfect. The gap between high ROC-AUC and low F1 means the threshold 0.5 is not optimal. If I shift the threshold to 0.2, F1 will likely improve.

Considering the training and validation losses there is a steady drop across epochs, reaching ~0.08 by epoch 9, but validation loss decreases during the first few epochs, then flattens

around epoch 4–5 at ~0.14. This suggests that the model is overfitting. The model keeps memorizing training examples but struggles to generalize. Because overfitting starts after ~4–5 epochs, adding early stopping would help in addition to a bit of regularization by increasing dropout rate and adding L2 weight regularization and using oversampling to get more positives (toxic) with SMOTE or weighted sampling. All these combined should increase the F1 score and ROC-AUC.

When applied the changes make a positive impact on the F1-score, although lower the other metrics showing an increase in labeling items as toxic.

Metric	Score
Accuracy	0.9399
F1-score	0.3562
ROC-AUC	0.7661

Through a few iterations of adjustment we could likely continue to balance the scores but for toxicology testing exercise sufficient improvement has been made to showcase how knowing data, interpreting loss functions and metrics can help make a more robust model.

References

Cesar, J., Santos, Andrelly Martins-José, Koen Augustyns, & Winter, H. D. (2017). The power metric: a new statistically robust enrichment-type metric for virtual screening applications with early recovery capability. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-016-0189-4

















