Search for Flavor Changing Neutral Currents in Top Quark Decays

B-Tagging Working Point and $e \rightarrow \gamma$ Fakes

Jason Barkeloo

September 12, 2019





Overview


Brief Background
The Top Quark
FCNC at the LHC

B-tagging Working Point Selection B-tagging Background Neural Network on B-tagging WPs


 $e
ightarrow \gamma$ Fake Rate: Initial Studies
Initial Studies
Basic 1D Fake Rate Scale Factor
2D Fake Rate Scale Factor

Outlook and Conclusions

Top Quark Decays in the SM



- ► $t \rightarrow bW \approx 99.83\%$
- ► $t \rightarrow sW \approx 0.16\%$
- $ightharpoonup t
 ightarrow dW \approx 0.01\%$




- $ightharpoonup t o q_{u,c} X pprox 10^{-17} 10^{-12}$
- Limits on $t \rightarrow \gamma q$ processes: [JHEP 04 (2016) 035]
 - ► $t \to \gamma u < 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$
 - ► $t \to \gamma c < 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$

FCNC: What are we looking for? $t\bar{t} \to W(\to l\nu)b + q\gamma$


Will further investigate BJets here.

- Final state topology
 - One Neutrino, from W
 - ► One Lepton, from W
 - ► One B-jet, SM Top
 - One Photon, FCNC Top
 - ► One Jet, FCNC Top



B-tagging


- ▶ B Hadrons travel a measureable distance before decay
- Tracks originate from outside of interaction point (Seconday Vertex)
- Backtracking tracks in displaced vertex gives an impact parameter
- Decay chain MVA attempts to reconstruct decay of the jet
- Outputs of these algorithms used in a BDT to determine if a Jet is from a b-quark



Mv2c10


MV2c10 is used to tag b-jets. The c10 implies a 10% c-jet fraction in the background training sample. Can use various fixed-cut working points for b-jet identification.

Using a different working point can change which jets are identified as originating from b-quarks in the analysis.




JHEP 08 (2018) 89

Neural Network Reminder




Branching ratio with Significance = 2: 1.18e-5

Neural Network Results



Fake Rate Studies




Want to be able to correct the number of fake photons predicted in MC to those present in Data

Fake Rate Object Selection

- Want to calculate fake rate in events which could enter the signal region.
- ► Create 2 control regions: $Z \rightarrow ee$ and $Z \rightarrow e\gamma$
- ► Require:
 - Common Object Selection (MET, Jets, Triggers, etc.)
 - Exactly 1Bjet
 - ightharpoonup Z
 ightarrow ee : 2 Opposite Sign Electrons, 86.1 GeV $< m_{e^+e^-} <$ 96.1 GeV
 - ▶ $Z \rightarrow e\gamma$:1 Electron, ≥1 Photon, 86.1 GeV $< m_{e\gamma} <$ 96.1 GeV
- ► Tag and Probe Method used

Truth Study / Scale Factor





Catagories: Simple mis-match, mis-match to truth photon (Reco pt $\geq 10\%$ higher than truth), non prompt photon, prompt photons

$m_{ee}, m_{e\gamma}$


Data and MC


▶ Data





► Monte Carlo





Scale Factor


$$\mathsf{FR}^{\mathsf{e} ext{-}\mathsf{fake}} = rac{N_{e,\gamma}}{N_{e,e} + N_{e,\gamma}}$$
 $\mathsf{SF}^{\mathsf{e} ext{-}\mathsf{fake}}_{\mathsf{FR}} = rac{\mathsf{FR}^{\mathsf{e} ext{-}\mathsf{fake}}_{\mathsf{data}}}{\mathsf{FR}^{\mathsf{e} ext{-}\mathsf{fake}}_{\mathsf{MC}}}$

Basic Scale Factor can be calculated for the entire spectrum:


$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{FR}_{\mathsf{data}}^{\mathsf{e-fake}} = 0.201 \\ &\mathsf{FR}_{\mathsf{MC}}^{\mathsf{e-fake}} = 0.212 \\ &\mathsf{SF}_{\mathsf{FR}}^{\mathsf{e-fake}} = 0.953 \end{aligned}$$

Scale Factors As Functions of Probe pt and eta

Probe p_T




Probe η



Good to check but in practice these are done using 2D Scale Factors


Data and MC Distributions



Monte Carlo 120 140 Leading electron p_

120 140 Leading electron p

Next Steps - 2D Fake Rate




Outlook


- ► As always, still lots to be done
- Fake Rate: $e \rightarrow \gamma$ has been investigated, further systematic investigations will continue
- ▶ Fake Rate: $j \rightarrow \gamma$ to be investigated soon
- ► Was able to squeak an extra factor of 2 out of Neural Network since I had to redo it for working points anyway
- ► Questions?

Backup

FCNC Diagrams



NN Input Variable Correlations



Neural Network Model Inputs

Separation = $\sum_{i}^{bins} \frac{n_{si} - n_{bi}}{n_{si} + n_{bi}}$

mu+jets channel

Variable	Separation
photon0iso	41.18
mqgam	28.27
photon0pt	24.07
mtSM	11.60
mlgam	7.56
deltaRjgam	5.64
deltaRbl	4.42
MWT	3.34
ST	3.30
nuchi2	3.12
jet0pt	2.81
njets	2.07
smchi2	1.89
wchi2	1.87
jet0e	1.52
deltaRlgam	1.17
leptone	0.87
deltaRjb	0.86
met	0.68
bjet0pt	0.52
leptoniso	0.27


e+iets channel

e+jets	channel
Variable	Separation
photon0pt	23.14
mqgam	22.73
photon0iso	18.70
mtSM	11.02
mlgam	9.53
deltaRbl	5.00
deltaRjgam	4.60
ST	3.83
MWT	3.16
jet0pt	2.47
njets	1.70
nuchi2	1.59
deltaRlgam	1.40
wchi2	1.33
smchi2	1.09
deltaRjb	0.88
leptone	0.85
leptoniso	0.56
bjet0pt	0.50
met	0.47

Input Variables


```
['photon0iso', 'photon0pt', 'mqgam', 'mlgam', 'mtSM', 'deltaRjgam', 'deltaRbl', 'MWT', 'ST', 'njets', 'wchi2', 'jet0pt', 'deltaRlgam', 'leptone', 'met', 'bjet0pt']
```


Integrated Luminosity



A Couple BSM Diagrams

- R-parity-violating supersymmetric models
 [arXiv:hep-ph/9705341]
- Top-color-assisted technicolor models
 [arXiv:hep-ph/0303122]





Jets/AntiKT

$$d_{ij} = min(rac{1}{
ho_{ti}^2}, rac{1}{
ho_{tj}^2})rac{\Delta_{ij}^2}{R^2}$$
 $d_{iB} = rac{1}{
ho_{ti}^2}$ $\Delta_{ij}^2 = (\eta_i - \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2$

- ▶ Find minimum of entire set of $\{d_{ii}, d_{iB}\}$
- ▶ If d_{ij} is the minimum particles i,j are combined into one particle and removed from the list of particles
- ► If *d_{iB}* is the minimum i is labelled as a final jet and removed from the list of particles
- ▶ Repeat until all particles are part of a jet with distance between jet axes Δ_{ij} is greater than R

$$\mathcal{L}_{tq\gamma}^{eff} = -e\bar{c}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{m_{t}}(\lambda_{ct}^{L}P_{L} + \lambda_{ct}^{R}P_{R})tA_{\mu} + H.c.$$