

# Search for Flavor Changing Neutral Currents in Top Quark Decays

## Fake Rates and Initial Asimov Fits

Jason Barkeloo

January 16, 2020



# Overview

## Brief Background


The Top Quark  
FCNC at the LHC

## Fake Rate Studies


$e \rightarrow \gamma$  Fake Rate Studies  
Basic 1D Fake Rate Scale Factor  
 $j \rightarrow \gamma$  Fake Rate Studies: ABCD Method

## Outlook and Conclusions

# Top Quark Decays in the SM



- ▶  $t \rightarrow bW \approx 99.83\%$
- ▶  $t \rightarrow sW \approx 0.16\%$
- ▶  $t \rightarrow dW \approx 0.01\%$




- ▶  $t \rightarrow q_{u,c} X \approx 10^{-17} - 10^{-12}$
- ▶ Limits on  $t \rightarrow \gamma q$  processes:  
[Phys.Lett. B800 135082]
  - ▶  $t \rightarrow \gamma u < 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$
  - ▶  $t \rightarrow \gamma c < 18 \times 10^{-5}$


# FCNC: What are we looking for? $t\bar{t} \rightarrow W(\rightarrow l\nu)b + q\gamma$

Will further investigate BJets here.

- ▶ Final state topology
  - ▶ One Neutrino, from W
  - ▶ One Lepton, from W
  - ▶ One B-jet, SM Top
  - ▶ One Photon, FCNC Top
  - ▶ One Jet, FCNC Top



# Fake Rate Studies



Want to be able to correct the number of fake photons predicted in MC to those present in Data


# Fake Rate Object Selection

- ▶ Want to calculate fake rate in events which could enter the signal region.
- ▶ Create 2 control regions:  $Z \rightarrow ee$  and  $Z \rightarrow e\gamma$
- ▶ Require:
  - ▶ Common Object Selection (MET, Jets, Triggers, etc.)
  - ▶ Exactly 1Bjet
  - ▶  $Z \rightarrow ee$  : 2 Opposite Sign Electrons,  $86.1 \text{ GeV} < m_{e^+e^-} < 96.1 \text{ GeV}$
  - ▶  $Z \rightarrow e\gamma$  : 1 Electron,  $\geq 1$  Photon,  $86.1 \text{ GeV} < m_{e\gamma} < 96.1 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ Tag and Probe Method used
- ▶ Systematic determined by varying tail size and other parameters


$m_{ee}, m_{e\gamma}$

## Data and MC

### ► Data



### ► Monte Carlo



# Scale Factor

$$\text{FR}^{\text{e-fake}} = \frac{N_{e,\gamma}}{N_{e,e}}$$

$$\text{SF}_{\text{FR}}^{\text{e-fake}} = \frac{\text{FR}_{\text{data}}^{\text{e-fake}}}{\text{FR}_{\text{MC}}^{\text{e-fake}}}$$

Basic Scale Factor can be calculated for the entire spectrum:

$$\text{SF}_{\text{FR}}^{\text{e-fake}} = 0.97 \pm 0.01$$

In practice this scale factor is calculated for converted and unconverted photons as well as in bins of  $\eta$  and  $\phi$






# Data and MC Distributions

► Probe  $e$




► Converted  $\gamma$

► Unconverted  $\gamma$

Data




MC




# 2D Fake Rates

## ► Converted $\gamma$




## ► Unconverted $\gamma$



# $j \rightarrow \gamma$ Fake Rate Studies

Majority of hadronic fake photons from from  $t\bar{t}$  events where a final state jet radiates a non-prompt photon. Similarly radiated photons for  $W$ +jets and single top processes can enter the signal region through the radiation of a non-prompt photon.




# ABCD Method

$$\frac{N_D^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_C^{\text{h-fake}}} = \frac{N_A^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_B^{\text{h-fake}}} \text{ and } \frac{N_D^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_A^{\text{h-fake}}} = \frac{N_C^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_B^{\text{h-fake}}}$$

!Isolated

Isolated



Want uncorrelated variables, use a correction factor to account to ensure closure

$$\theta_{MC} = \frac{N_{D,MC}^{\text{h-fake}} / N_{C,MC}^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_{A,MC}^{\text{h-fake}} / N_{B,MC}^{\text{h-fake}}}$$



$$N_{D,\text{est.}}^{\text{h-fake}} = \frac{N_{A,\text{data}}^{\text{h-fake}} \times N_{C,\text{data}}^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_{B,\text{data}}^{\text{h-fake}}} \times \theta_{MC}$$

$$SF^{\text{h-fake}} = \frac{N_{D,\text{est.}}^{\text{h-fake}}}{N_{D,MC}^{\text{h-fake}}}$$



## ► Converted Photons

## ► Unconverted Photons

e channel




$\mu$  channel




Channel:	Converted	Unconverted
Electron Channel	$1.04 \pm 0.14$	$2.27 \pm 0.22$
Muon Channel	$1.64 \pm 0.80$	$2.27 \pm 0.41$

# Asimov Data Fit


## ► VR1, $W+\gamma$




## ► VR2: $t\bar{t} + \gamma$



## ► Signal Region



## Asimov Likelihood





# Outlook

- ▶ Fake rates have been calculated and applied
- ▶ Full systematics samples (slowly) running on the grid
- ▶ Fitting machinery mostly in place now, should be ready once samples finish
- ▶ Questions?




# Backup

# FCNC Diagrams



# No Photon Region Scale Factors Applied in Validation Region



# Jets/AntiKT

$$d_{ij} = \min\left(\frac{1}{p_{ti}^2}, \frac{1}{p_{tj}^2}\right) \frac{\Delta_{ij}^2}{R^2}$$

$$d_{iB} = \frac{1}{p_{ti}^2}$$

$$\Delta_{ij}^2 = (\eta_i - \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2$$

- ▶ Find minimum of entire set of  $\{d_{ij}, d_{iB}\}$
- ▶ If  $d_{ij}$  is the minimum particles  $i, j$  are combined into one particle and removed from the list of particles
- ▶ If  $d_{iB}$  is the minimum  $i$  is labelled as a final jet and removed from the list of particles
- ▶ Repeat until all particles are part of a jet with distance between jet axes  $\Delta_{ij}$  is greater than  $R$

$$\mathcal{L}_{tq\gamma}^{\text{eff}} = -e\bar{c}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{m_t}(\lambda_{ct}^L P_L + \lambda_{ct}^R P_R)tA_\mu + H.c.$$