

CSSE3100 Crib Sheet

Collection Types

Arrays

Arrays are a mutable collection of elements stored on the heap.

For an array, **a**, to be modified by a method, it must include **modifies a** in the specification.

```
Type array<T>
Creation var a := new T[length];
Accessing var value := a[i];
Assigning a[i] := value;
Alias var b := a;
Length var l := a.Length;
Slicing var s: seq<T> := a[start..end];
```

Multi-dimensional Arrays

```
Type array<array<...<array<T>>...>>>
Creation var a := new T[l1, l2, ..., lN];
Accessing var value := a[i1, i2, ..., iN];
Assigning a[i1, i2, ..., iN] := value;
Alias var b := a;
Length (l1, l2, ..., lN) := (a.Length1, a.Length2, ..., a.LengthN);
```

Sequences

Sequences are used to represent an ordered list. They are immutable.

```
Type seq<T>
Creation var s := [x1, x2, ..., xN];
Accessing var value := s[i];
Length var l := |s|;
Slicing var t := a[start..end];
Appending var u := s + t;
Contains value in s;
Excludes value !in s;
```

Sets

Sets are used to represent an orderless collection of elements, without repetition. Sets are immutable.

```
Type set<T>
Creation var s := {x1, x2, ..., xN};
Equality {x1, x2} == {x2, x1}
Subset s <= t
Proper Subset s < t
Union var u := s + t;
Intersection var u := s * t;
Difference var u := s - t;
Contains elem in s;
Excludes elem !in s;
```

Multisets

Multisets are used to represent an orderless collection of elements, with repetition. Multisets are immutable.

```
Type multiset<T>
Creation var s := multiset{x1, x1, x2, ..., xN};
From seq var s := multiset([x1, x2, ..., xN]);
From set var s := multiset({x1, x2, ..., xN});
Equality multiset{x1, x2} == multiset{x2, x1} != multiset{x1, x1, x2, x2};
Union var u := s + t;
Difference var u := s - t;
Contains elem in s;
Excludes elem !in s;
```

Specification Keywords

Requires clause stipulates a condition **P** which must be true upon entry to the method.

Ensures

Requires clause stipulates a condition **R** which must be true when exiting the method.

Modifies

Modifies clause is required if a method changes a value on the heap (i.e. a value in an array is changed).

Reads

Reads clause is required if a method reads a value on the heap (i.e. a value in an array is read).

Invariant
An invariant clause stipulates a condition **I** which must be true at the beginning and end of a loop.

Decreases

Decreases clause indicates a value **D** which decreases after every iteration of a loop.

Forall

A forall clause is used to stipulate that a condition **Q** must hold forall values of a given variable. For example, **forall i :: P[i] ==> Q[i]** requires **Q[i]** to hold for all values of **i** where **P[i]** holds.

Exists

An exists clause is used to stipulate that a condition **Q** must hold for at least one value of a given variable. For example, **exists i :: P[i] ==> Q[i]** requires **Q[i]** to hold for at least one value of **i** where **P[i]** holds.

Fresh

Fresh is used to indicate that a value stored on the heap must be brand new with no modifications. For example, **fresh(x)** requires **x** to be a brand new value on the heap.

Old

Old is used to reference the value on the heap before the method began. For example, **old(a[i])** refers to the element at index **i** at the beginning of the method.

Question 1

Predicate Logic

$A \wedge (A \vee B) \equiv A \equiv A \vee (A \wedge B)$
 $A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$
 $A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$
 $\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$
 $\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$
 $A \vee (\neg A \wedge B) \equiv A \vee B$
 $A \wedge (\neg A \vee B) \equiv A \wedge B$
 $A \Rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$
 $A \Rightarrow B \equiv \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$
 $\neg(A \Rightarrow B) \equiv A \wedge \neg B$
 $A \Rightarrow B \equiv \neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$
 $C \Rightarrow (A \wedge B) \equiv (C \Rightarrow A) \wedge (C \Rightarrow B)$
 $(A \vee B) \Rightarrow C \equiv (A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C)$
 $C \Rightarrow (A \vee B) \equiv (C \Rightarrow A) \vee (C \Rightarrow B)$
 $(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C \equiv (A \Rightarrow C) \vee (B \Rightarrow C)$
 $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C \equiv B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)$
 $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$
 $(\forall x \text{ s.t. } x = E \Rightarrow A) \equiv A[x \backslash E]$
 $(\exists x \text{ s.t. } x = E \wedge A)$
 $\forall x :: A \wedge B = (\forall x :: A) \wedge (\forall x :: B)$
 $\forall x :: A = A$
 provided x not free in A

Weakest Precondition

Assignment

wp($x := E$, Q) = $Q[x \backslash E]$

Simultaneous Assignment

wp($x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N := E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N$, Q) = $Q[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \backslash E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N]$

Variable Introduction

wp($\text{var } x$, Q) = **forall** $x :: Q$

Can be ignored when we have

var $x := E$; or
var x ; $x := E$;

Condition

wp(**if** B { S } **else** { T }, Q) = $(B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(S, Q)) \wedge (\neg B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(T, Q))$

Loops

```
{J}
while B
    invariant J
{
    {B && J}
    ...
    {J}
}
{J && !B}
```

E.g.

```
{y >= 4 && z >= x}
while (z < 0)
    invariant y >= 4 &&
    {A.7}
    {A.8}
    {A.18}
    {A.19}
    {A.20}
    {A.21}
    {y >= 4 && z >= x}
}
{z >= 0 && y >= 4 && z >= x}
Loop Invariant Decreases
{J}
while B
    invariant J
    decreases D
{B && J}
ghost var d := D;
...
{J && d > D}
```

Methods

For a generic method **M**,

```
method M(x: int, a: array<int>) returns (y: int)
    requires P
    modifies a
    ensures R
    the WP rule is:
    wp(M(x: int, a: array<int>), Q) =
    P[x, a \ E, b] &&
    forall y', b' :: b'.Length = b.Length &&
    R[x, y, a, old(a[i]) \ E, y', b', b[i]]
    ==> Q[t, b \ y', b']
    For example.
    Given:
    method Triple(x: int) returns (y: int)
    requires x >= 0
    ensures y == 3*x
    {
        { u == 15 }
        { u + 3 >= 0 && 3*(u + 3) == 54 } (A.56)
        { u + 3 >= 0 && forall y' :: y' == 3*(u + 3) ==> y' == 54 }
        t := Triple(u + 3);
        { t == 54 }
    }
```

function SeqSum(s: seq<int>, lo:

```
requires 0 <= lo <= hi <= |s|
decreases hi - lo
{
    if lo == hi then 0 else
        SeqSum(s, lo + 1, hi)
}
```

Lemmas

For a call to lemma **M**, the WP rule is:

wp(**M**(**E**), **Q**) = **P**[**x** \ **E**] && (**R**[**x** \ **E**] where **P** is the requires class of the lemma and **R** is the ensures clause.

Old

In a WP proof, **old**(**E**) can be replaced with **E** if there is no modifications to **E** above the current position in the method.

Question 2

Loop Design Techniques

Look in the postcondition.

For a postcondition **A** && **B**, choose the invariant to be **A** and the guard to be **!B**.

```
method SquareRoot(N: nat) return
    ensures r*r <= N && N < (r + 1)*
    { 0 <= N }
    { 0*r <= N }
    r := 0;
    while (r + 1)*(r + 1) <= N
    invariant r*r <= N
    {
        (r + 1)*(r + 1) <= N
        && r*r <= N } (s
        r := r + 1;
        { r*r <= N }
```

Programming by wishing

A problem can be made simpler by having a precomputed quantity **Q**, then introduce a new variable **q** with the intention of

establishing and maintaining the invariant $q == Q$

```
method SquareRoot(N: nat) returns (r: nat)
ensures r*r <= N < (r + 1)*(r + 1)
{
  r := 0;
  var s := 1;
  while s <= N
  invariant r*r <= N
  invariant s == (r + 1)*(r + 1)
  {
    s := s + 2*r + 3;
    r := r + 1;
  }
}
```

Replace a constant by a variable

For a loop to establish a condition $P(C)$, where C is an expression that is held constant throughout the loop, use a variable k that the loop changes until it equals C , and make $P(k)$ a loop invariant. For example, Min method (Week 4) had postcondition

```
ensures forall i :: 0 <= i < a.Length
==> m <= a[i]
and invariant
invariant forall i :: 0 <= i < a.Length
==> m <= a[i]
```

What's yet to be done

. If you're trying to solve a problem of the form $p == F(n)$, replacement of a constant by a variable results in a what-has-been-done invariant

```
invariant p == F(i)
Alternatively, you may use a what's-yet-to-be-done invariant
```

```
invariant p @ F(n - i)
where @ is some kind of combination operation.
```

Use the postcondition

To establish a postcondition Q , make Q a loop invariant. For the Min example, to ensure the postcondition

ensures exists $i :: 0 \leq i < a.Length \ \&\& \ a_1 == b_1 \ \&\& \dots \ \&\& \ a_n == b_n$
we used the invariant $\exists i :: 0 \leq i < a.Length \ \&\& \ a_i == b_i$
A lexicographic ordering allows tuples to be used as termination metrics.

Question 3
Termination Metrics

Any set of values which have a *wellfounded* order can be used as a termination metric. An order \succ is well-founded when

- \succ is irreflexive: $a \succ a$ never holds
- \succ is transitive: $a \succ b \ \&\& \ b \succ c \implies a \succ c$
- there is no infinite descending chain $a_1 \succ a_2 \succ a_3 \succ \dots$

We write X decreases to x as $X \succ x$.
For integers, $X \succ x$ when $X < x$.
For booleans, $X \succ x$ when $X \ \&\& \ !x$.

A termination metric for a recursive function is a metric that can be proven to decrease every iteration. E.g. for the function;

```
function F(x: int): int
{
  if x < 10 then x else F(x - 1)
}
```

the termination metric would be x since $x \succ x - 1$.

Lexicographic tuples

A lexicographic order is a component-wise comparison where earlier components are more significant.

$\{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \succ \{b_0, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ if and only if
 $a_0 \succ b_0 \ || \ (a_0 == b_0 \ \&\& \ a_1 \succ b_1) \ || \ (a_0 == b_0 \ \&\& \ a_1 == b_1 \ \&\& \ a_2 \succ b_2) \ || \dots \ ||$

Mutually Recursive Functions

Tuples can be used to provide termination metrics for mutually recursive functions since you can provide multiple values that the functions may reduce on.

E.g. for the following methods;

```
method F(i: nat) returns (r: nat)
{
  if i <= 2 { r := 1; }
  else {
    var h := H(i - 2);
    r := 1 + h;
  }
}
```

```
method H(i: nat) returns (r: nat)
{
  if i == 0 { r := 0; }
  else {
    var f := F(i);
    var h := H(i - 1);
    r := f + h;
  }
}
```

the termination matrix would be $\{i, 1\}$ for H and $\{i, 0\}$ for F since the call $F(i)$ in H will reduce on $1 \succ 0$.

Question 4

Classes

Ghost variables can be used for specification and reasoning only.

```
ghost var d: T
```

Simple Classes

A simple class consists of only simple object, (i.e. objects that are not stored on the heap).

The specification for a simple class consists of:

- ghost variables for abstract state

- have class invariant, **ghost predicate Valid()**

- Valid()** and functions have **reads this**

- constructor has **ensures Valid()**

- methods have **requires Valid()**, **modifies this**, **ensures Valid()**

Concrete states that consist of only simple objects are created and are related to the abstract state in **Valid()**. The constructor, methods, and functions must satisfy the class specification and will require both concrete and abstract state to be updated.

Complex Classes

Complex classes consist of any combination of simple and complex objects, (i.e. objects that are stored on the heap). Complex classes require a representation set,

```
ghost var Repr: set<object>
```

Invariant

The invariant **valid** will consist of the following, where a, a_0, a_1 are non-composite objects or arrays and b, b_0, b_1 are composite objects.

```
ghost predicate Valid()
reads this, Repr
ensures Valid() ==> {
  this in Repr && ...
}
```

For an array a , include;

```
a in Repr
```

For two identically typed arrays a_0, a_1 , include;

```
a0 != a1
```

For a non-composite object b , include;

```
b in Repr && b.Valid()
```

For two identically typed non-composite objects b_0, b_1 , include;

```
b0 != b1
```

For a composite object c , include;

```
c in Repr && c.Repr <= Repr && {
  this !in c.Repr && c.Valid()
}
```

For a composite objects c_0, c_1 and non-composite objects and arrays a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 , include;

```
{a0, a1, b0, b1} !! c0.Repr && c1.Repr
```

Constructor

For a non-composite array or object a and a composite object b .

```
constructor()
ensures Valid() && fresh(Repr)
ensures ... (initial abstract state)
{
  ... (initialise concrete and abstract state)
  new;
  Repr := {this, a, b} + b.Repr;
}
```

Functions

```
function F(x:X): Y()
requires Valid() && {..
reads Repr
ensures F(x) == ... (abstract state)
}
else {
  // inductive case
  SumLemma(a, i+1, ...
}
method M(x:X) returns Y()
requires Valid() && ...
modifies Repr
ensures Valid() && fresh(Repr - old(Repr))
ensures ... (resultant abstract state)
```

Question 5

Lemmas

```
lemma L(x1 : T, x2 : T, . . .
requires P
ensures R
{ }
```

Lemmas can be called in a method to **prove** the lemmas property from that point onwards.

Calc

To prove a lemma by hand, you can add a **calc** section into the lemmas body, where γ is the default transitive operator between lines.

```
5 * (x + 3);
== 5 * x + 5 * 3;
== 5x + 15;
```

You can use use any transitive operator between lines (e.g. $==>$). If no default operator is specified, the default is $==$. The **calc** statements can also be added inline within a method instead of creating and calling a lemma.

Lemmas can also be used to prove using induction by recursively calling the lemma in the body. E.g.

```
lemma SumLemma(a: array<int>, i: int)
requires P
ensures R
{
  if i == j {
    // base case: Da
  }
  else {
    // inductive case
    SumLemma(a, i+1, ...
  }
}
```

2023 Final Exam

Question 1

Provide the weakest precondition proofs to determine whether or not the following methods satisfy their specifications.


```

var top: Node?<T>          && this !in top.Repr && s, Repr := [], {this};    {
ghost predicate Valid()    top.Valid() && top.s == s)}
reads this, Repr          }
ensures Valid() ==> this in Repr
{
  constructor ()          method Push(v: T)
                             requires Valid()
                             ensures Valid()
  this in Repr &&          ensures Valid() && fresh(Repr) modifies Repr
  (top == null ==> s == []) && ensures s == []
  (top != null ==> top in Repr
    && top.Repr <= Repr    top := null;
                           ensures s == [v] + old(s) }

  var newNode := new Node(v)
  if top != null {
    newNode.SetNext(top);
  }
  top := newNode;
  s, Repr := [v] + s, {this}
  && fresh(Repr - old(Repr)) + newNode.Repr;
  ensures v == old(s[0])
  && s == old(s[1..])
}
method Pop() returns (v: T)
  requires s != []
  requires Valid()
  modifies Repr
  ensures Valid()
  v := top.GetValue();
  top := top.GetNext();
  s := s[1..];
  // note that the removal of
  // old(top) from Repr is not r

```