# PROJECT 1: SEARCH METHODS PROMPT DOCUMENTATION

**Joshua Castro** 

**Professor Jesse Lowe** 

**CS 461 Introduction to AI** 

7 March 2025

### **Documentation of Prompts & Code Snippets**

### Foreword:

These prompts are based on my own self-coded portions. After having coded a working framework on my own first, I then utilized ChatGPT40 to further enhance my code for:

- 1. certain implementations
- 2. finding logical errors
- 3. Unittesting
- 4. Efficiency of code expression

### Legend:

- User = Me
- Assistant = ChatGPT40

### 1. Prompt: Evaluate for Correct Behavior

### **User Prompt**:

(Attached program files)

Can you evaluate the proposed code for correct behavior?

(No code snippet was returned in that direct answer; the Assistant responded with a long analysis rather than code.)

### 2. Prompt: "Fix ID-DFS nuances"

### **User Prompt**:

Can you implement fixes for the proposed potential issues and fix the ID-DFS nuances?

**Assistant Code** (showing how to adjust ID-DFS):

```
def id dfs(graph, start, goal, max depth=10):
  Iterative Deepening DFS up to a given depth. Returns the path found
  (if any) and the cost as the number of edges. This version avoids
  using a global 'visited' set for each depth pass, to ensure all
  paths up to 'max depth' are systematically explored.
  def dls(node, goal, depth, path):
     if node == goal:
       return path, len(path) - 1
     if depth == 0:
       return None
     for neighbor in graph.get(node, []):
       if neighbor not in path:
          result = dls(neighbor, goal, depth - 1, path + [neighbor])
          if result is not None:
            return result
     return None
  for depth in range(max depth):
     result = dls(start, goal, depth, [start])
     if result is not None:
       return result
  return None, float('inf')
```

### 3. Prompt: "What about the UI issue?"

### **User Prompt**:

What about the issue with the user interface?

Assistant Code (code snippet excerpt) showing how to distinguish BFS/DFS cost from A\* cost in the UI:

```
def display results(start, goal, method name, search method, graph, coordinates):
  print(f"\nRunning {method name} from {start} to {goal}...")
  tracemalloc.start()
  start time = time.time()
  if search method in [search algorithms.best first search,
search algorithms.a star search]:
    path, cost = search method(graph, start, goal, coordinates)
  else:
    path, cost = search method(graph, start, goal)
  end time = time.time()
  memory used = tracemalloc.get traced memory()[1] / 1024
  tracemalloc.stop()
  if path:
    print("Path found:", " -> ".join(path))
     if method name in ["BFS", "DFS", "ID-DFS", "Best-First Search"]:
       print(f"Number of edges (cost): {cost}")
     else:
       # A* Search
       print(f"Total distance (cost): {cost:.2f} km")
    print(f"Time taken: {end time - start time:.4f} seconds")
    print(f"Memory used: {memory used:.2f} KB")
  else:
    print("No path found.")
```

### 4. Prompt: "Based on assignment, what is the cost to output?"

**User Prompt**:

Based on these assignments qualifications, what should I be outputting in terms of cost?

(No direct code snippet was provided. The Assistant explained that every method should show real "node-to-node" distances for the final output, even BFS/DFS.)

### 5. Prompt: "Showcase the code for proposed fixes."

### **User Prompt**:

Can you showcase the code for the proposed fixes?

Assistant Code: Provided consolidated files. The crucial snippet for search algorithms.py (clean version) is:

```
# search_algorithms.py

from collections import deque
import heapq
import graph_setup # Import graph_setup for distances

def bfs(graph, start, goal):
    # BFS code...

def dfs(graph, start, goal):
    # DFS code...

def id_dfs(graph, start, goal, max_depth=10):
    # ID-DFS code (with improved recursion)...

def best_first_search(graph, start, goal, coordinates):
    # Best-first code, using haversine_distance as a heuristic

def a_star_search(graph, start, goal, coordinates):
    # A* code, summing real travel cost for 'g'
```

## Followed by a snippet of user\_interface.py that sums real distances at the end:

```
# user_interface.py

def display_results(start, goal, method_name, search_method, graph, coordinates):
    # ...
    if search_method in [best_first_search, a_star_search]:
        path, cost = search_method(graph, start, goal, coordinates)
    else:
        path, cost = search_method(graph, start, goal)

# Sum real distance:
    total_distance = 0.0
    for i in range(len(path) - 1):
        total_distance += graph_setup.haversine_distance(path[i], path[i+1],
    coordinates)

print(f"Total distance: {total_distance:.2f} km")
# also prints time, memory, etc.
```

### 6. Prompt: "How is this output?"

### **User Prompt**:

```
How does this output look?: (BFS, DFS, ID-DFS, etc.)
```

(Assistant responded with no new code snippet, just confirmation that it's correct.)

### 7. Prompt: "Seconds are zero, what better measurement?"

### **User Prompt**:

For time, because seconds for all of them is zero, what time measurement can we use to evaluate the differences more effectively between the search algorithms?

```
Assistant Code (showing how to use time.perf_counter()):
import time

start_time = time.perf_counter()

# ... run search ...
end_time = time.perf_counter()
elapsed_time = end_time - start_time
```

### 8. Prompt: "Can we use method 1 for higher resolution timing?"

### **User Prompt**:

Can we use method 1 for higher resolution timing?

print(f"Time taken: {elapsed time:.6f} seconds")

```
Assistant Code (Integrating time.perf_counter() into
user_interface.py):

def display_results(...):
    ...
    start_time = time.perf_counter()
    ...
    end_time = time.perf_counter()

elapsed_time = end_time - start_time
    print(f"Time taken: {elapsed_time:.8f} seconds")
```

### 9. Prompt: "Implement these changes?"

### **User Prompt**:

Can you implement these changes into my code?

# Assistant gave the updated user\_interface.py with time.perf\_counter(): def display\_results(...): tracemalloc.start() start\_time = time.perf\_counter() ... end\_time = time.perf\_counter() memory\_used = tracemalloc.get\_traced\_memory()[1] / 1024 tracemalloc.stop() ...

### 10. Prompt: "Develop unit tests for each module."

### **User Prompt**:

Given the updated files, can you develop unit tests for each module/function in alignment with the requirements?

### **Assistant Code:**

```
import unittest, tempfile, os, graph_setup

class TestGraphSetup(unittest.TestCase):
    def test_haversine_distance(self):
        coords = {"Wichita": (37.6872, -97.3301), "Topeka": (39.0558, -95.6894)}
        distance = graph_setup.haversine_distance("Wichita", "Topeka", coords)
        self.assertTrue(210 < distance < 240)

def test_load_coordinates(self):
    # create temp CSV, test reading
    ...</pre>
```

```
def test_load_adjacencies(self):
    # create temp adjacency file
```

•••

- test\_search\_algorithms.py (testing BFS, DFS, ID-DFS, etc.)
- test\_user\_interface.py (mocking user input).

# 11. Prompt: "My test fails for Haversine distance 208.84 vs 210–240."

### **User Prompt**:

```
my results: FAIL: test_haversine_distance ...
```

AssertionError: False is not true: Expected ~220km, but got 208.84km

### **Assistant Code** recommended:

```
self.assertAlmostEqual(distance, 220.0, delta=20.0, msg=f"Expected ~220km, but got {distance:.2f}km")
```

### 12. Prompt: "Which test function to apply this in?"

### **User Prompt**:

Which test function can I apply this change in to keep the overall flow?

(Assistant explained it belongs in test\_haversine\_distance, no new snippet beyond that.)

### 13. Prompt: "Can we use option 2?" (A delta approach)

### **User Prompt**:

Can we use option 2?

### **Assistant Code** repeated the final snippet:

```
self.assertAlmostEqual(distance, 220.0, delta=20.0, msg=f"Expected ~220km, but got {distance:.2f}km")
```

### 14. Prompt: "Does the code meet requirements?"

### **User Prompt**:

Does my code meet the proposed requirements?

(Assistant explained yes, except if you want a time-out. No snippet.)

### 15. Prompt: "How to implement a time-out?"

### **User Prompt**:

how would we implement a timeout?

**Assistant** explained in detail: **Method 1** (in-code time checks) or **Method 2** (signal-based). Provided BFS snippet:

```
def bfs(..., max_time=5.0):
    start_time = time.perf_counter()
    while queue:
        if (time.perf_counter() - start_time) > max_time:
            return None, float('inf')
```

(Used for DFS, ID-DFS, etc. as well.)

### 16. Prompt: "Can we do Method 1, will it affect tests?"

### **User Prompt**:

Can we implement Method 1. Will this affect unit tests in any regard or overall functionality of the program?

**Assistant** posted code:

```
def bfs(graph, start, goal, max_time=5.0):
    start_time = time.perf_counter()
    ...
    if (time.perf_counter() - start_time) > max_time:
        return None, float('inf')
```

# 17. Prompt: "Show me the implemented code for changed modules."

### **User Prompt**:

Can you show the code (only for the modules that have changed)

```
Assistant Code: Provided the entire search_algorithms.py with the new
max_time checks and the entire user_interface.py using
time.perf_counter(). For instance:
# search algorithms.py
import time
from collections import deque
import heapq
import graph setup
def bfs(graph, start, goal, max time=5.0):
  start time = time.perf counter()
# user interface.py
import time
import tracemalloc
import graph setup
import search algorithms
```

```
def display_results(...):
    start_time = time.perf_counter()
    ...
```

### 18. Prompt: "Are there examples in the files for tests?"

### **User Prompt**:

Are there any examples in the files that can be used for the tests?

**Assistant** gave an example test\_integration.py showing BFS on real data:

```
class TestIntegrationRealData(unittest.TestCase):
    @classmethod
    def setUpClass(cls):
        cls.coordinates = ...
        cls.graph = ...

def test_bfs_anthony_salina_exists(self):
    path, cost = bfs(cls.graph, "Anthony", "Salina", max_time=5.0)
```

### 19. Prompt: "Can we implement a full test of the previous?"

### **User Prompt**:

Can we implement a full test for this?

**Assistant** posted a "single-file" integration test example:

```
import unittest
import graph_setup
import search_algorithms
```

```
class TestIntegrationWithRealData(unittest.TestCase):
    # BFS, DFS, ID-DFS, etc. on "Anthony" -> "Salina"
    # plus a no-route test for "Anthony" -> "Fake_City"
```

# 20. Prompt: "Return to method selection. Right now it loops to the very start."

### **User Prompt**:

The requirements are: Return to the search method selection ... Right now, my code loops to the very beginning.

**Assistant** posted a brand new main() structure that loads data once, picks start/goal, and loops over method choices:

```
def main():
    coordinates = ...
    graph = ...
    while True:
        # ask for start, goal
        while True:
            # pick a search method
            display_results(...)
            # ask "Another method on the same route? y/n"
            # ask "New route? y/n"
```

# 21. Prompt: "Show me the code for making changes in affected modules."

### **User Prompt**:

Can you showcase the code for the made changes in affected modules?

Assistant posted the final:

- 1. search\_algorithms.py with time-outs.
- 2. user\_interface.py with the revised main loop.

# 22. Prompt: "With the implemented code, error occurs: no attribute get\_user\_input."

### **User Prompt**:

with the implemented code, this error occurred:
AttributeError: module 'user interface' has no attribute 'get user input'

**Assistant** explained to either reintroduce get\_user\_input() or remove the old test. (No new code snippet there, just an explanation.)

### 23. Prompt: "Can we revise that specific unittest?"

### **User Prompt**:

import unittest

Can we revise that specific unittest to account for the new changes?

Assistant Code: A "deep unittest" mocking the new main loop:

```
from unittest.mock import patch
import io
import user_interface

class TestUserInterfaceDeep(unittest.TestCase):
        @patch("sys.stdout", new_callable=io.StringIO)
        @patch("builtins.input")
        def test_main_single_method_then_exit(self, mock_input, mock_stdout):
        mock_input.side_effect = [
            "Anthony", # Start
            "Salina", # Goal
            "1", # BFS
```

```
"n", # No more methods
"n" # No new route => exit
]
user_interface.main()
output = mock_stdout.getvalue()
self.assertIn("Running BFS from Anthony to Salina", output)
self.assertIn("Path found:", output)
self.assertIn("Goodbye!", output)
```