Table 1
Longevity in Wisconsin, North Dakota, and Oregon

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	State and	All controls no		DOB on death
	cohort FE	county FE	County FE	certificate
Panel A Wisconsin				
Accepted	-0.0103	0.1173	0.1173	0.1166
	[0.034]	[0.025]	[0.025]	[0.023]
Observations	55	55	55	55
Mean of rejected	72.08	72.08	72.08	72.08
Panel B North Dak	ota			
Accepted	0.0363*	0.0427**	0.0427**	0.0420**
	[0.017]	[0.011]	[0.011]	[0.012]
Observations	305	305	305	305
Mean of rejected	71.77	71.77	71.77	71.77
Effect in years	2.605	3.065	3.065	3.014
Panel C Oregon				
Accepted	0.0377***	0.0448***	0.0448***	0.0474***
	[0.010]	[0.010]	[0.010]	[0.009]
Observations	665	665	665	665
Mean of rejected	72.46	72.46	72.46	72.46
Effect in years	2.732	3.246	3.246	3.435

Robust standard errors in brackets

Table 2
Replicated Cash Transfer Effect Using Regression Adjustment and IPW

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	State and cohort FE	All controls no county FE	County FE	DOB on death certificate
Penal A Regression adjustment				
ATE				
Accepted	0.0105*	0.0100	0.0140	0.0130
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.010]	[0.010]
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44
Effect in years	0.761	0.724	1.014	0.942
Observations	7860	7859	7859	7857
Penal B IPW				
Accepted	0.0107*	0.0064	0.00755	0.00687
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[800.0]	[800.0]
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44
Effect in years	0.775	0.464	0.547	0.498
Observations	7860	7859	7859	7857

Robust standard errors in bracket

^{***} p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

^{***} p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 3
Cash Transfer ATT Regression Adjustment and IPW

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	State and cohort FE	All controls no county FE	County FE	DOB on death certificate
Penal A Regression				
Adjustment				
Accepted	0.00961	0.00919	0.0133	0.0124
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.011]	[0.011]
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44
Effect in years	0.696	0.666	0.963	0.898
Observations	7860	7859	7859	7857
Penal B IPW				
Accepted	0.00991	0.00471	0.00517	0.00481
	[0.006]	[800.0]	[0.009]	[0.009]
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44
Effect in years	0.718	0.341	0.375	0.348
Observations	7860	7859	7859	7857

Robust standard errors in bracket *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 4
Sensitivity Analysis Column 1

Sensitivity Analysis Column 1						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		mom	kid	number	window	family
VARIABLES	original model	characteristics	characteristics	of kids	dummy	earning
accepted	0.0157**	0.0158**	0.0159**	0.0158**	0.0158**	0.0159**
	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]
Observations	7,860	7,860	7,859	7,859	7,859	7,859
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44
Effect in years	1.137	1.145	1.152	1.145	1.145	1.152

Robust standard errors in brackets
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 5

Sensitivity Analysis Column 2-4							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
				All controls no		DOB on death	
	All controls			county FE with	County FE with	certificate with	
	no county FE	County FE	SSA DOB	Covariates dropped	Covariates dropped	Covariates dropped	
accepted	0.0158**	0.0182**	0.0167**	0.0160**	0.0184***	0.0169**	
	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.007]	
Observations	7,859	7,859	7,857	7,859	7,859	7,857	
Mean of rejected	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44	72.44	

Robust standard errors in brackets *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1