COMP4418, 2020–Assignment 1

Due: 23:59:59pm Sunday 18 October (Week 5) Late penalty: 10 marks per day.

Worth: 15%.

This assignment consists of four questions. The first two questions and the fourth question require written answers only. The third question requires some programming and a written report.

1. [20 Marks] (Propositional Inferences)

For each of the following inferences:

- (i) prove whether or not the following inferences hold in propositional logic using the truth table method; and,
- (ii) prove whether or not the following inferences hold in propositional logic using resolution.

Note that the following inferences will need to be proved or disproved both (i) semantically (\models) using the truth table method; and, (ii) syntactically (\vdash) using resolution:

- (a) $p \wedge (q \vee r) \models / \vdash (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (b) $[\models / \vdash] p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$
- (c) $p \to q \models / \vdash] \neg p \to \neg q$
- (d) $q \to p, \neg q \to \neg p[\models / \vdash] p \leftrightarrow q$
- (e) $p \to q$, $q \to r \models / \vdash r \to q$
- 2. [30 Marks] (First-Order Logic Puzzle)

The following puzzle is taken from Professor Raymond M. Smullyan's book "Alice in Puzzle Land: A Carrollian Tale for Children Under Eighty", Dover, 1987. Professor Smullyan was a renowned logician and magician!

Consider the following scenario:

"How about making us some nice tarts?" the King of Hearts asked the Queen of Hearts one cool summer day.

"What's the sense of making tarts without jam?" said the Queen furiously. "The jam is the best part!"

"Then use jam," said the King.

"I can't!" shouted the Queen. "My jam has been stolen!"

"Really!" said the King. "This is quite serious! Who stole it?"

"How do you expect me to know who stole it? If I knew, I would have had it back long ago and the miscreant's head in the bargain!"

Well, the King had his soldiers scout around for the missing jam, and it was found in the house of the March Hare, the Mad Hatter, and the Doormouse. All three were promptly arrested and tried.

"Now, now!" exclaimed the King at the trial. "I want to get to the bottom of this! I don't like people coming into my kitchen and stealing my jam!"

"Why not?" asked one of the guinea pigs.

"Supress that guinea pig!" shouted the Queen. The guinea pig was promptly suppressed. (Those that have read *Alices' Adventures in Wonderland* will recall the meaning of the word *suppress*: The officers of the court put the guinea pig into a canvas bag, which tied up at the mouth with strings, and sat upon it.)

"Now then," said the King, after the commotion of suppressing the guinea pig had died down, "I want to get to the bottom of this!"

"You've already said that," remarked a second guinea pig. (This guinea pig was also promptly suppressed.)

"Did you by chance steal the jam?" the King asked the March Hare.

"I never stole the jam!" pleaded the March Hare. (At this point all the remaining guinea pigs cheered, and were all promptly supressed.)

"What about you?" the King roared to the Hatter, who was trembling like a leaf. "Are you by any chance the culprit?"

The Hatter was unable to utter a word; he just stood there gasping and sipping his tea.

"If he has nothing to say, that only proves his guilt, " said the Queen, "so off with his head immediately!"

"No, no!" pleaded the Hatter. "One of us stole it, but it wasn't me!"

"Make a note of that!" said the King to the jury. "This evidence might turn out to be quite important!"

"And what about you?" continued the King to the Doormouse.

"What do you have to say about all this? Did the March Hare and the Hatter both tell the truth?"

"At least one of them did," replied the Doormouse, who then fell asleep for the rest of the trial.

As subsequent investigation revealed, the March Hare and the Doormouse were not both speaking the truth.

Who stole the jam?

- (a) Represent the facts in this paragraph in first-order logic using the following constant symbols:
 - marchHare, madHatter and doormouse; the names of the protagonists
 - jam; the name of the object that was stolen

and the following predicates:

- Lying(x) and Stole(x,y).
- (b) Using your formalisation in part (2a), is it possible to conclude who stole the jam? Show **semantically** how you determined your answer.
- (c) If your answer to part (2b) was 'no', indicate what further sentences you would need to add to your formalisation so that you could conclude who stole the jam.
- (d) Using all the sentences you have added, determine who stole the jam syntactially.

3. [30 Marks] (Satisfiability)

Determining whether a set of clauses is satisfiable or not is a fundamental problem in knowledge representation and reasoning (and in artificial intelligence and computer science where it was the problem considered in describing the notion of NP-complete problems). In order to better understand the computational nature of the satisfiability problem, researchers have investigated various instances of the problem. One well studied instance is 3-SAT which focusses on the satisfiability of sets of clauses (i.e., disjunctions of literals) which have exactly three literals. For example, $\{p \lor q \lor r, p \lor \neg s \lor t\}$. 3-SAT is known to be NP-complete.

It is also known that 3-SAT exhibits an easy-hard-easy computational pattern. Determining the satisifiability of sets of clauses that are small in relation to the total number of distinct propositional variables in the set is usually easy because there are fewer constraints in assigning truth values to the propositional variables. Determining the satisifiability of sets of clauses that are large in relation to the total number of distinct propositional variables in the set is usually easy because there are too many constraints to assign truth values to the propositional variables and the set is unsatisfiable. Somewhere in between these two extremes the satisfiability problem becomes hard.

Your task in this question is to determine empirically at what point the satisfiability problem becomes difficult for 3-SAT. More specifically, you are to determine, approximately, a constant value C for number of propositional variables n at which C.n clauses constitutes a hard satisfiability problem. To help you in this task, the satisfiability solver minisat is available on the CSE machines from:

~morri/bin/minisat

You can run this program as follows:

~morri/bin/minisat file.cnf

where file.cnf is a file containing clauses in CNF in DIMACS format. DIMACS format consists of three types of lines:

- lines beginning with the letter c are comments;
- one line with the format p cnf variables clauses where *variables* is the number of propositional variables and *clauses* is the number of clauses;
- lines specifying clauses where a positive literal is specified by a number (identifying the literal) and a negative literal is specified by the corresponding negative number; each line is terminated by the number 0.

For example, the set of clauses $\{p \lor q \lor r, p \lor \neg s \lor t\}$ would be represented DIMACS format as:

```
c example CNF file with 5 propositional variables and 2 clauses p cnf 5 2 1 2 3 0 1 -4 5 0
```

While you can write your own satisfiability solver and are welcome to do so, your task is to write a program to randomly generate test files containing clauses and to use these test files to empirically determine the value C explained above.

You are then to write a report explaining your empirical results and how you determined the value C. The use of tables and graphs to support your results is desirable.

For this question you must submit your report as part of the PDF file containing your answers to this assignment along with any source code files used in answering this question.

4. [20 Marks] (Knowledge Representation and Reasoning)

Download the article: Adnan Darwiche, *Human-level intelligence or animal-like abilities?*, Communications of the ACM, **61**(10):56–67, October, 2018.

You can access it from: https://doi.org/10.1145/3271625. You can also access it via the UNSW Library searching on the title "Human-level intelligence or animal-like abilities?" If you have problems accessing the article, please post on the COMP4418 Forum so that we can help you locate and download this article.

In 1-page at most you should:

- Provide a brief summary (at most half a page) of the article and summarise the main points made in this paper;
- Provde comments on:
 - 1 point made in the paper with which you agree and explain why?
 - 1 point made in the paper with which you disagree and explain why?

Assignment Submission

You will need to submit answers to Questions 1, 2, 4 and the report for Question 3 in a PDF file named assn1.pdf along with any source code files for Questions 3. Your report for Question 3 in assn1.pdf should describe the additional files you submit for this question and how they can used to replicate/generate your results.

give cs4418 assn1 assn1.pdf assn1-q3-files

The deadline for this submission is 23:59:59am Sunday 18 October.

Late Submissions

In case of late submissions, 10% will be deducted from the maximum mark for each day late. No extensions will be given for any of the assignments (except in case of illness or misadventure). Read the course outline carefully for the rules regarding plagiarism.