RESTful Services

Building real "Web" services



Overview

- Web programming models
 - □ SOAP vs. POX vs. REST
- WCF 3.5 support
 - WebGet/WebInvoke
 - WebServiceHost
- Web data formats
 - RSS/Atom (syndication)
 - JSON (Ajax)



SOAP overkill?

- Some believe SOAP is overkill at times
 - When the Basic Profile is enough
 - When HTTPS is enough for security (no need for WS-Security)
- Hence, many use SOAP without WS-*
 - Often referred to as simple SOAP
 - Increases interoperability potential
 - Frameworks/tools hide XML/HTTP



Understanding POX

- Others just exchange XML messages over HTTP (without SOAP)
 - Referred to as plain-old XML (POX)
 - Interoperability is virtually guaranteed
 - Requires direct XML/HTTP coding
- POX applications can also be defined in a REST-ful way
 - When actions defined by HTTP verbs



Understanding REST

- In 2000, R. Fielding introduced REpresentational State Transfer
 - Referred to as REST for short
 - An architecture for building services that build on HTTP
- Fundamentally different from SOAP
 - SOAP defines a transport-neutral model focused on custom operations
 - REST defines a transport-specific (HTTP) model focused on resources
- Builds on a uniform interface and common data formats
 - HTTP methods: GET, POST, PUT, DELETE, etc.
 - Data formats: HTML, XML, JSON, etc.



REST vs. SOAP

SOAP emphasizes verbs while REST emphasizes nouns or "resources"

SOAP

```
getUser()
addUser()
removeUser()
...
getLocation()
addLocation()
...
```

With SOAP, you define custom operations (new verbs), tunnels through POST

```
REST
                           With REST, you define resources,
                            and use a uniform interface to
                            operate on them (HTTP verbs)
User { }
Location { }
                               XML representation
<user>
  <name>Jane User</name>
  <gender>female
  <location href="http://example.org/locations/us/ny/nyc"</pre>
  >New York City, NY, US</location>
</user>
```



Resource orientated architecture

- With REST, you build resource-oriented architectures (ROA)
 - You focus on identifying & naming resources (URIs)
 - How to represent them (XML format)
 - How to navigate between them (HREFs)
 - And you use a uniform interface to interact with them
- HTTP defines the uniform interface (the HTTP verbs)
 - Constraining operation set simplifies model
- Primary benefits
 - Widespread interoperability
 - Scalability!



The importance of GET

- The Web is primarily built on GET
 - GET simply means "retrieve" the representation of a resource
 - Should not cause any unsafe side-effects
 - Idempotency expected
 - POST, PUT, DELETE...provide additional semantics, but no guarantees
- REST naturally embraces the importance of GET
 - While SOAP largely ignored its importance



The importance of Web formats

- Reach is also constrained by support for message format
 - A few Web formats have become ubiquitous
- Some of the most common formats are RSS and Atom
 - RSS 0.9x, 1.0 and 2.0 are all common today
 - Atom is emerging as the syndication standard
 - Currently being standardized by IETF (RFC 4287)
 - Atom Publishing API is REST-based
- Another common format in the Ajax space is JSON
 - JSON = JavaScript Object Notation



Web programming model comparison

REST

- An architectural model that describes how to identify, represent, and operate on resources
- Embraces HTTP verbs, URIs, and Web data formats
- Action defined by HTTP verbs

POX/HTTP

- A simple ad-hoc model for exchanging XML over HTTP
- Typically GET/POST, but action conveyed in XML
- In some ways, a hybrid between REST & SOAP

SOAP

- A model for defining higher-level application protocols
- Can be used with any transport (HTTP, TCP, MSMQ, etc)
- Only POST when used w/HTTP, action conveyed in SOAP



Tradeoffs and guidance

- Each architecture, style, and format has it's pros & cons
 - No clear-cut winner all around
- SOAP + WS-* is largely seen within enterprise scenarios today
 - In complex situations where you need COM+-like capabilities
 - Can be overkill in many distributed scenarios today
 - Good tool support provided by the big SOAP vendors
- REST is largely seen in highly-scalable Web-facing scenarios
 - When you need interoperable, scalable, transfer of information
 - Large Web vendors adopting (Amazon, Yahoo, Flickr, etc)
 - Limited tool support beyond HTTP & XML stacks



Windows Communication Foundation

- WCF doesn't take sides it provides a unified programming model
 - And allows you to use SOAP, POX, REST, whatever data format, etc...

Architecture: SOAP, REST, distributed objects, etc

WCF

Transport Protocol: HTTP, TCP, MSMQ, etc

Message format: XML, RSS, JSON, binary, etc

Message protocols: WS-*, none, etc



WCF programming styles

- Most of the built-in WCF bindings use SOAP & WS-* by default
 - You have to configure them to disable SOAP
- WCF 3.5 comes with a new Web (REST) programming model
 - Found in System.ServiceModel.Web.dll
 - Allows you to map HTTP requests to methods via URI templates.
- You enable the Web model with a new binding/behavior
 - Apply to messaging layer using WebHttpBinding
 - Apply to dispatcher using WebHttpBehavior



Configuring Web-based services

- WebHttpBinding produces an appropriate HTTP-based channel
 - Produces an HTTP transport channel
 - You can customize certain settings (cookies, proxy, security, etc)
 - Security modeled by WebHttpSecurity (HTTP vs HTTPS)
 - Produces a WebMessageEncoder (supports XML & JSON)
- WebHttpBehavior customizes the HTTP-based dispatching logic
 - Overrides operation selection, serialization, and invocation
- Both are required to use the new Web features that follow...



Wiring-up a Web-based service

```
ServiceHost host = new ServiceHost(typeof(EvalService),
              new Uri("http://localhost:8080/evals"));
add the Web
              host.AddServiceEndpoint(typeof(IEvals),
               → new WebHttpBinding(), "");
  binding
              host.Description.Endpoints[0].Behaviors.Add(
add the Web
               → new WebHttpBehavior());
 behavior
              host.Open(); // service is up and running
              Console.ReadLine(); // hold process open
```



WebServiceHost

- WebServiceHost simplifes hosting Web-based services
 - Derives from ServiceHost and overrides OnOpening
 - Automatically adds endpoint for the base address using WebHttpBinding
 - Automatically adds WebHttpBehavior to the endpoint



WebGetAttribute

- WebGetAttribute maps HTTP GET requests to a WCF method
 - Supply a UriTemplate to defining URI mapping
 - The UriTemplate variables map to method parameters by name
 - BodyStyle property controls bare vs. wrapped
 - Request/ResponseFormat control body format

```
[ServiceContract]
public interface IEvalService
{
    [WebGet(UriTemplate="evals?name={name}&score={score}")]
    [OperationContract]
    List<Eval> GetEvals(string name, int score);
...
```



WebInvokeAttribute

- WebInvokeAttribute maps all other HTTP verbs to WCF methods
 - Adds a Method property for specifying the verb (default is POST)
 - Allows mapping UriTemplate variables
 - Body is deserialized into remaining parameter

Specify which HTTP verb this responds to

```
[ServiceContract]
public interface IEvals
{
    [WebInvoke(UriTemplate ="/evals?name={name}",Method="PUT")]
    [OperationContract]
    void SubmitEval(string name, Eval eval /* body */);
...
```



UriTemplate

- System.UriTemplate implements a URI template syntax
 - Template syntax allows you to specify variables in URI space
 - UriTemplate.Bind fills variables with actual values
 - UriTemplate.Match verifies match and extracts actual values
 - Can use wildcard character "*" to match anything

```
/services/evals?name={name}&detailed={detailed}

variables
```



WebOperationContext

- Use WebOperationContext to access HTTP specifics within methods
 - To retreived the current context use WebOperationContext.Current
 - Provides properties for incoming/outgoing request/response context
- Each context object surfaces most common HTTP details
 - URI, ContentLength, ContentType, Headers, ETag, etc.



WebMessageBodyStyle

- WCF provides support for two message styles: wrapped and bare
 - Controlled via WebMessageBodyStyle
- Wrapped includes a wrapper element named after the method
 - [MethodName]Response containing [MethodName]Result
- Bare does not include a wrapper element
 - The root element is named after the type



Syndication programming model

- WCF comes with a simplified syndication programming model
 - The logical data model for a feed is SyndicationFeed
 - You can use it to produce/consume feeds in a variety of formats
- You use a SyndicationFeedFormatter to work with a specific format
 - Use Atom10FeedFormatter or RSS20FeedFormatter today



Returning a formatted feed

```
public class EvalService : IEvalService {
    public SyndicationFeedFormatter GetEvalsFeed() {
         List<Eval> evals = this.GetEvals();
         SyndicationFeed feed = CreateSyndicationFeed(evals);
         // figure out what format the client wants
         WebOperationContext ctx = WebOperationContext.Current;
         string format =
             ctx.IncomingRequest.UriTemplateMatch.QueryParameters["format"];
         // return the right type of formatted feed
         if (format != null && format.Equals("atom"))
            return new Atom10FeedFormatter(feed);
         else
            return new Rss20FeedFormatter(feed);
```



WebMessageFormat

- WCF provides support for two primary Web formats: XML & JSON
 - You control the format via RequestFormat and ResponseFormat

Specify the message format



Enabling Ajax integration

- Use the WebScriptEnablingBehavior to Ajax-enable a WCF service
 - Makes JSON the default message format
 - Enables Ajax-style invocations for each [OperationContract]
 - You don't need to use [WebGet] or [WebInvoke]
 - Produces a Javascript proxy for Ajax clients (via base address + "/js")
- Use WebScriptServiceHostFactory to simplify Ajax hosting
 - Automatically adds the WebScriptEnablingBehavior

evals.svc (Ajax-compatible)



System.Web limitations today

- UriTemplate isn't capable of handling more complex URI's
 - E.g., matrix Uris with data separated by commas/semicolons
- You cannot map UriTemplate variables to [DataContract] fields
 - UriTemplate only currently supports strings
- You can't mix the WebScriptEnablingBehavior with UriTemplate
 - They did not anticipate you using these two features together
- Server faults always return a "400 Bad Request" to clients
 - There is no way to change this short of a custom behavior



Summary

- There are several architectural styles for building services today
 - SOAP, POX, and REST
- There are several data formats used by services today
 - □ XML, RSS, JSON, MTOM, etc
- Each architectural style and format has its pros & cons
 - SOAP is usually better in the enterprise
 - REST is usually better in Web-centric scenarios
- WCF provides a programming model that accommodates each style
 - One way to write the code, different ways to wire things up



References

- HTTP Programming with WCF and the .NET Framework 3.5
 - http://msdn.microsoft.com/msdnmag/issues/08/01/WCFinOrcas/
- Creating RESTful Web Services with WCF 3.5
 - http://www.developer.com/net/article.php/3695436
- Publishing RSS and Atom Feeds using WCF 3.5 Syndication Libraries
 - http://www.codeproject.com/KB/WCF/WCF35RSSATOM.aspx

