Biologically-Inspired Computation Coursework

Group 5 Anthony Gabini; Jiancheng Zhang

Criteria	Mark	Out of	Comments
Implementation (i. ANN code, comments and documentation)	36	45%	This is a very good implementation. The code is well structured with OOP and it is easy to read with good documentation. The output information could make clearer. If I increased to be 3 layers, and the hidden layers have 8 and 10 nodes, I got overflow error. But the program is still runnable.
Experimental study (i.e. choice and validity of experiments performed, presentation of results)	14	20%	The experiments are done nicely with good use of figures to illustrate the results. A systematic approach was used to conduct all experiments. More details could be given for the table presented. What are the last three columns of data in that table? The main text could have cited the figures.
Wider discussion (i.e. intro,interpretation of results, conclusions, use of the wider literature or internet resources	15	25%	There is a good discussion and conclusions section, covering some literatures. The introduction and interpretation is well done. The discussion could be done in a more in-depth manner.
Report (i.e. structure, language, referencing etc.)	5	10%	The report is wells structured and within page limit. The writing could have been improved as I found it is a bit hard to read some paragraphs due to typos and unclear description.
Overall Mark	**70**		Overall, this is a very good project. The report could have been improved. It deserves a first class mark due to nice implementation and experiments.