## **MATH 325 HW 3**

## JALEN CHRYSOS

**Problem 1**: Prove that  $P^{\operatorname{sign}_{\lambda}}$  is a rank 1 free  $P^{S_{\lambda}}$ -module with generator  $\Delta_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.*  $\Delta_{\lambda} \in P^{\operatorname{sign}_{\lambda}}$  because each  $\sigma \in S_{\lambda}$  is the product of permutations  $\sigma_m$  on each index set  $I_m$ , each of which acts on  $\Delta(I_m)$  as multiplication by  $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma_m)$  (because the determinant is alternating), so their product also acts on  $\Delta_{\lambda}$  as multiplication by  $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma_1) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(\sigma_2) \cdot \cdot \cdot \operatorname{sign}(\sigma_k) = \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)$ .

Moreover, I claim that every polynomial in  $P^{\operatorname{sign}_{\lambda}}$  is a multiple of  $\Delta_{\lambda}$ . For any  $p \in P^{\operatorname{sign}_{\lambda}}$ ,  $(x_j - x_i)|p$  for all pairs  $i < j \in I_m$ , as swapping  $x_i, x_j$  inverts p. To show this, express p as a polynomial in  $x_i, x_j$  with coefficients in  $k[x_1, \ldots, \hat{x_i}, \ldots, \hat{x_j}, \ldots, x_n]$ , and note that the coefficients of terms  $x_i^{e_1} x_j^{e_2}$  and  $x_i^{e_2} x_j^{e_1}$  must add to 0 (since swapping  $x_i, x_j$  inverts p). Thus, p is a linear combination of terms  $(x_i^{e_1} x_j^{e_2} - x_i^{e_2} x_j^{e_1})$ , each of which is a multiple of  $(x_i - x_j)$ . Now, since p must be a multiple of  $(x_i - x_j)$  for all such i, j, and these polynomials are all irreducible, their product  $\Delta_{\lambda}$  must also divide p, which was the desired result.

## ${\bf Problem~2:}$

- (a) Prove that all polynomials  $f \in V(\lambda)$  are  $S_n$ -harmonic.
- (b) (Optional) Show that there is no nonzero homogeneous  $S_n$ -harmonic polynomial f of degree greater than  $\deg(\Delta_n)$  (which is  $\binom{n}{2}$ ).
- Proof. (a): To be  $S_n$ -harmonic means that for any symmetric polynomial  $p, \langle p(\partial), f \rangle = 0$ . For  $p \in P_d^{S_n}$ , p induces a linear map  $p(\partial): V(\lambda) \to P_{d_{\lambda}-d}$  which is an  $S_n$ -intertwining map because p is symmetric. Thus, by Lemma 4.2.7, this map must be the constant zero map.

**Problem 3**: Using Lemmas 4.2.7 and 4.2.9, deduce Corollary 4.2.11:

- (a) The representation  $V(\lambda)$  is irreducible.
- (b) If  $d_{\lambda} = d_{\mu}$  and  $V(\lambda) \cong V(\mu)$  then  $V(\lambda) = V(\mu)$  as subspaces of  $P_{d_{\lambda}} = P_{d\mu}$ .
- (c) If  $d_{\lambda} \neq d_{\mu}$  then  $V(\lambda) \ncong V(\mu)$ .
- Proof. (a): Every permutation is a unitary operator, so every  $S_n$ -representation is unitary and hence completely reducible. Thus  $V(\lambda)$  is completely reducible. So we can use Lemma 4.2.9 to say that  $V(\lambda)$  is irreducible iff  $\dim_k(\operatorname{End}_{S_n}V(\lambda))=1$ . And Lemma 4.2.7 showed that the only  $S_n$ -intertwining maps  $V(\lambda)\to P_{d_\lambda}$  are scaling by some constant in  $\mathbb C$ , which implies in particular that maps in  $\operatorname{End}_{S_n}V(\lambda)$  are also scaling by constants, and hence it is dimension 1. Thus,  $V(\lambda)$  is irreducible.
- (b): By Lemma 4.2.7, if  $d_{\mu} = d_{\lambda}$ , any  $S_n$ -intertwiner  $V(\lambda) \to V(\mu)$  must be scaling by a constant. Thus, if  $V(\mu) \cong V(\lambda)$  then the intertwiner between them is a constant, so the subspaces  $V(\mu)$  and  $V(\lambda)$  are actually the same.
- (c): Likewise, if  $d_{\mu} \neq d_{\lambda}$  then any  $S_n$ -intertwiner  $V(\lambda) \to P_{d_{\mu}}$  must be trivial, so in particular there can be nonzero map  $V(\lambda) \to V(\mu)$ .

Problem 4: Prove the generating function identity

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \dim(P_d^{S_n}) t^d = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^n)}.$$

*Proof.*  $P_d^{S_n}$  has a basis consisting of the  $S_n$ -orbits of monomials in  $P_d$ , and these correspond to partitions of d with at most n parts (for the degrees of the n variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ ). To express the number of such partitions as a generating function, it is easier to count the transposed partitions, i.e. those which have parts of size no larger than n (the count will be the same, naturally). To do this, take the infinite power series

$$Q(t) := (1 + t + t^2 + \dots)(1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots) \dots (1 + t^n + t^{2n} + \dots).$$

One can see by expanding the products that the  $t^d$  term of Q(t) is equal to the number of ways to partition d into parts of size no larger than n. And Q can be expressed using the geometric series identity as

 $Q(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-t^2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{1-t^n}\right)$ 

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 5**: (Optional) Show that P is a free  $P^{S_n}$  module with basis  $\{x_2^{m_2}x_3^{m_3}\cdots x_n^{m_n}|m_j\in[0,j-1]\forall j\}.$ 

**Problem 6**: Let  $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ , and  $\sigma \in S_d$  a permutation. Consider the linear operator  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d} \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}$  given by composing the action of  $\sigma$  with that of g, i.e.

$$v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d \mapsto g(v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(v_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}).$$

Show that its trace is

$$\prod_{j\geq 1} ((z_1)^j + \dots + (z_n)^j)^{m_j}$$

where  $m_j$  is the number of cycles of length j in the cycle type of  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* For any basis element  $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d}$ , this operator  $\Phi$  acts as

$$e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} \mapsto g(e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}}) = (z_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \cdots z_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}})(e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}}).$$

To get the trace, we only need to consider these products for basis elements which are scaled by  $\Phi$ , i.e. those for which  $i_t = i_{\sigma^{-1}(t)}$  for all  $1 \le t \le d$ . That is, for each cycle  $(t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3 \ \dots \ t_j)$  in  $\sigma$ ,

$$i_{t_1} = i_{t_2} = \dots = i_{t_i} \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

In choosing such a basis element, then, there is one choice to be made for each cycle in  $\sigma$ . If i is chosen as the index of a cycle, that contributes a multiplication by  $z_i^j$  to the corresponding diagonal element (where j is the length of the cycle). Let  $\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)$  denote the set of disjoint cycles whose product is  $\sigma$ . The sum of all possible diagonal elements, i.e. the trace, is the sum over all choice functions  $f: \operatorname{cyc}(\sigma) \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of

$$\prod_{c \in \operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} z_{f(c)}^{|c|}$$

which is the expanded form of the product

$$\prod_{c \in \text{cyc}(\sigma)} (z_1^{|c|} + z_2^{|c|} + \dots + z_n^{|c|}) = \prod_{j \ge 1} ((z_1)^j + \dots + (z_n)^j)^{m_j}$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 8:** Let A be an algebra over an ACF k. Let  $V_1, \ldots, V_r$  be pairwise non-isomorphic simple finite-dimensional A-modules, and

$$N := (V_1)^{\ell_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_r)^{\ell_r}$$

for some positive integers  $\ell_i$ . Use Schur's Lemma to prove:

- (a) Any simple A-submodule  $V \subseteq N$  is isomorphic to  $V_i$  for some i, and in this case V is contained in  $(V_i)^{\ell_i}$ .
- (b) The algebra  $\operatorname{End}_A(N)$  is isomorphic to  $M_{\ell_1}(k) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{\ell_r}$  (where  $M_n$  is the matrix algebra).
- *Proof.* (a): Let  $V \subseteq N$  be a simple A-module. Then V has a projection map onto each copy of  $V_j$  for  $1 \le j \le r$ , and by Schur's Lemma each of these maps must be either trivial or an isomorphism. They cannot all be trivial because they span the entire space of N, and each  $V_j$  is pairwise non-isomorphic to the others, so V is isomorphic with exactly one of them.
- (b): Every A-algebra homomorphism  $(V_j)^{\ell_j} \to (V_i)^{\ell_i}$  where  $i \neq j$  is trivial by Schur's Lemma, so any such endomorphism on N preserves  $(V_j)^{\ell_j}$ , i.e. is a direct sum of matrices in  $M_{\ell_j}(k)$  for each j.

And conversely, any linear map  $V_j^{\ell_j} \to V_j^{\ell_j}$  is an A-algebra endomorphism, since the action of A is scaling in  $V_j$  (again by Schur's Lemma). Thus, these direct sums of matrices are *exactly* the endomorphisms on N.