ALGEBRA I NOTES

JALEN CHRYSOS

Abstract. These are my notes from Victor Ginzburg's Representation Theory (Math 325) class at UChicago, Autumn 2025.

Contents

1. Introduction 2

1. Introduction

In this class we'll be interested in the representations of matrix groups. Something like GL(V) or SO(V) clearly acts on V, but it can also act on other interesting spaces. One relevant case of this for us will be when G acts on polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_n . Let

$$P_d \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$$

be the subspace of homogeneous degree-d polynomials in n variables. This space has a basis given by the monomials

$$\left\{ x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_n^{d_n} \mid \sum_i d_i = d \right\}$$

and hence is finite-dimensional. P_d is stable under action by GL_n . This is because linear transformation does not affect the degree of monomials (every x_j is sent to a linear combination of x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).

Consider the case of $G = O_n$, the orthogonal group. This group fixes the polynomial

$$R := x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

so as a result, multiplication by R is an intertwining map $P_d \to P_{d+2}$, meaning $R \circ g^* f = g^* (R \circ f)$. Likewise, let

$$\Delta := \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

be the Laplacian. This Δ is an O_n -intertwining operator.

We call a function f harmonic if it has $\Delta(f) = 0$. The space of harmonic polynomials in n variables of degree d is denoted $H_d \subseteq P_d$. For $d \in \{0,1\}$, $H_d = P_d$, but for $d \geq 2$ H_d is strictly smaller. Note that H_d is stable under orthogonal transformations.

We will now work toward showing that H_d is an irreducible SO_n -representation for $n \geq 3$.

A representation $\rho: G \mapsto \operatorname{GL}(V)$ is unitary if G always acts as a unitary operator (i.e. preserves Hermitian inner product) on V. We can give an inner product between polynomials (or any functions) by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} e^{-|x|^2} dx$$

where dx is the Lebesgue measure. Action of SO_n on P_d preserves this inner product.

Alternatively, we could put an inner product on P_d (or on all functions) from integration over S^{n-1} (the sphere). And polynomials in P_d are determined by their behavior on S^{n-1} .

Proposition: If V is a finite-dimensional vector space with an inner product, then any *unitary* action of G on V is completely reducible. Specifically, if $W \subseteq V$ is a G-stable subspace, then one can decompose the action into $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$.

Proof. The thing that we need to prove is that if W is G-stable then W^{\perp} is as well. Let $x \in W^{\perp}$ and $w \in W$. Because g acts as a unitary operator, we have

$$\langle g \cdot x, w \rangle = \langle x, g^{-1} \cdot w \rangle = 0$$

since $q^{-1} \cdot w \in W$ by G-stability of W.

Key Lemma: If $F \subseteq C(S^{n-1})$ is any subspace stable under SO_n , then it has an element fixed by SO_{n-1} .

Proof. Let $N := (0,0,\ldots,0,1) \in S^{n-1}$. We have the evaluation map $\alpha : C(S^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by evaluating functions at N. We have an inner product on F given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{S^{n-1}} f \overline{g}$$

which is clearly fixed by SO_n , thus F is a unitary representation of SO_n . By Riesz representation theorem, $\alpha(f) \equiv \langle f, \varphi \rangle$ for some $\varphi \in F$. For any $g \in SO_{n-1}$, g fixes N, thus

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = f(N) = f(gN) = (g^{-1}f)(N) = \langle g^{-1}(f), \varphi \rangle = \langle f, g\varphi \rangle$$

and because this is true for arbitrary $f \in F$ and $g \in SO_{n-1}$, φ is fixed by SO_n . Now it remains to show that $\varphi \neq 0$. We can get this by assuming that some function in F takes a nonzero value on N (we can move N to some point where this is true, since F contains a nonzero function). \square

We can apply this key lemma to P_d or H_d as F.

Consider $P_d^{SO_{n-1}}$, the homogeneous polynomials fixed by SO_{n-1} . On homework we showed that this is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_n, R \rangle$ (where $R := x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$). One basis of this space is

$$P_d^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} := \mathbb{C}\langle x_n^d, x_n^{d-2}R, x_n^{d-4}R^2, \ldots \rangle$$

thus dim $(P_d^{SO_{n-1}}) = \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

A very important fact about P_d is that it decomposes into the subspaces

$$P_d = H_d \oplus R \cdot P_{d-2}$$

= $H_d \oplus RH_{d-2} \oplus R^2H_{d-4} \oplus R^3H_{d-6} \oplus \cdots$

(why? \spadesuit). This allows us to deduce the dimension of H_d from P_d :

$$\dim(H_d) = \dim(P_d) - \dim(P_{d-2}) = \binom{d+2}{2} - \binom{d}{2} = 2d+1.$$

Likewise, we can decompose $P_d^{SO_{n-1}}$ the same way:

$$\begin{split} P_d^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} &= H_d^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \oplus RP_{d-2}^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \\ &= H_d^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \oplus RH_{d-2}^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \oplus R^2H_{d-4}^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \oplus R^3H_{d-6}^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}} \oplus \cdots \end{split}$$

which gives us the dimension of $H_d^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}}$ as

$$\dim(H_d^{SO_{n-1}}) = \dim(P_d^{SO_{n-1}}) - \dim(P_{d-2}^{SO_{n-1}}) = (\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1) - (\lfloor (d-2)/2 \rfloor + 1) = 1.$$

As a consequence, we see that each H_d is an *irreducible* representation of SO_n ; every stable subspace has a fixed point by the Key Lemma, and the fixed-points are one-dimensional, so there can only be one stable subspace. Thus, as an SO_n -representation, P_d decomposes exactly into the sequence H_{d-2j} for $2j \leq d$.

Theorem: If $n \geq 3$, then for each $d \geq 0$, the representation of SO_n in H_d is irreducible, and moreover the representations are all distinct for different d.¹

¹In the case n=3 this gives all the irreps. In general you miss $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, but when n=3 this is just \mathbb{C}^3 , which you get from H_1 .