TOPOLOGY NOTES

JALEN CHRYSOS

ABSTRACT. These are my notes for Topology I-II-III (Math 317-319) at UChicago, 2025-2026.

Contents

Topo	ology I with Danny Calegari	2
0.1.	Homotopy	2
0.2.	CW Complexes	2
0.3.	The Fundamental Group	4

TOPOLOGY I WITH DANNY CALEGARI

This is an Algebraic Topology course.

Housekeeping:

- HW due Thursday midnight.
- Take-home midterm and final will replace HW.
- Textbook: Hatcher.
- Collaboration is encouraged on homework (but give credit where it is due).
- Grades will be roughly 50% homework 50% exams, with some generous weighting.
- Office Hours: Thursday 5-6 p.m. in Eckhart E7 (basement).
- 0.1. **Homotopy.** Rather than equivalence by homeomorphism, which is "too fine to be useful," we'll use the coarser equivalence of homotopy.

We'll also be looking at a lot of computable information about topological spaces.

Suppose $f_0, f_1 : X \to Y$ are two (continuous) maps between topological spaces X and Y. We say f_0, f_1 are homotopic if one can be continuously turned into the other, i.e. if there is a continuous map

$$F:[0,1]\to \operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)$$

for which $F(0) = f_0$, $F(1) = f_1$. Such an F is a homotopy. We write $f_0 \simeq f_1$.

Two spaces X and Y are homotopy-equivalent if there is a map $f: X \to Y$ that is an isomorphism "up to homotopy," i.e. there is a map $g: Y \to X$ for which $f \circ g \simeq 1_Y$ and $g \circ f \simeq 1_X$.

Homotopy equivalence is indeed an equivalence relation (not too hard to show). Equivalence of maps is also stable under composition, which makes homotopy classes of spaces and maps a category.

If $f_0, f_1: X \to Y$ and $A \subseteq X$ is a subset on which f_0 and f_1 agree, and additionally there is a homotopy F which transforms f_0 into f_1 while remaining constant on A, then we say $f_0 \simeq f_1$ relative to A.

We say that a space X is *contractible* if it is homotopy-equivalent to a single point. For example, \mathbb{R}^n is contractible, as constant maps on \mathbb{R}^n are homotopic with the identity map by straight-line contraction.

Another example: given $f: X \to Y$, there is a mapping cylinder M_f which is $X \times [0,1] \coprod Y$ under the gluing equivalence $(x,1) \sim f(x)$. Then $M_f \simeq Y$ via the maps

$$h_0:(x,t)\mapsto f(x),\quad h_1:y\mapsto y$$

The thing that must be checked is that $h_1 \circ h_0 : M_f \to M_f$ is homotopy-equivalent to the identity on M_f . This is an example of deformation retraction, which means that it is a homotopy relative to Y.

0.2. **CW Complexes.** General topology is difficult to say much about because of all the pathological cases. So we'll focus mainly on *nice* topological spaces, and in particular *CW-complexes*.

A CW-complex is built from cells of different dimensions and attaching maps. Each cell is a pair (D^n, S^{n-1}) consisting of a ball and its surface. We build up the complex by a "skeleton" $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \ldots$ where X_n consists of all the cells of dimension at most n and their gluing instructions. The attaching map φ for a cell maps its boundary S^{n-1} into X^{n-1} .

The topology on a CW-complex is the *weak topology* (no relation to functional analysis) which says that A is open iff $A \cap X^n$ is open for all n.

Examples:

- A 0-dimensional CW-complex is just a collection of discrete points.
- A 1-dimensional CW-complex is essentially a graph (with possibly loops and multiple edges).
- Klein bottle, torus, two-holed torus etc. all have presentations as 2-dim CW complexes.

• One can write \mathbb{CP}^n as the union of a 0-cell, a 2-cell, a 4-cell, ..., and a 2n-cell, where gluing takes the boundary of each to the infinite line of the previous.

Some operations on CW complexes:

- Product: $X \times Y$ is given by the union of all products of a cell in X and a cell in Y. Its topology as a CW-complex (i.e. the weak topology) is the same as the product topology in cases where there are only a countable number of cells in each or if one is locally compact, but in general the topology is actually finer.
- Quotient: X/A, where A is a subcomplex of X (i.e. a closed union of cells in X) that is also contractible, is given by the union of cells in X A plus an additional 0-cell representing the image of all cells in A. Such a pair (X, A) is called a CW pair.
- Suspension: SX is $X \times [0,1]$ where (X,0) is identified and (X,1) is identified.
- Cone: CX is $X \times [0,1]$ where (X,1) is identified.
- Join: X * Y is the space $X \times I \times Y$ quotiented such that all (x,0,Y) are identified and all (X,1,y) are identified. In the case X=Y=[0,1], the resulting X * Y looks like a tetrahedron. One can think of the points of X * Y as pairs $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ along with a weight $t \in [0,1]$, such that (x,y,0) = x and (x,y,1) = y.
- Wedge: $X \vee Y$ is $X \coprod Y$ with two specific points x and y identified.
- Smash: $X \wedge Y$ is $X \times Y$ with $X \vee Y$ all identified.

An important example of a CW complex obtained this way is the n-simplex, which is the join of n discrete points.

One thing to note about the quotient is that $X/A \simeq X$.

A CW-complex X is connected (and path-connected) iff X^1 is a connected graph. Thus, if X is connected then we can give a spanning tree T of its 1-skeleton X^1 . Every tree is contractible, thus one can take the quotient $X/T \simeq X$.

Moreover, the quotient has a very simple structure in its low-dimension cells: Y := X/T has Y^0 a single point and Y^1 a wedge of some circles. So we've shown that one can always put a connected CW-complex into this nice form while preserving its homotopy class.

If (X, A) is a CW pair and $f: A \to Y$ is some map into another CW complex (or any topological space), then one can form the space

$$X \cup_f Y := X \times Y/(a \sim f(a)).$$

And if $f, g: A \to Y$ are two homotopy-equivalent maps, then $X \cup_f Y \simeq X \cup_g Y$. This shows in particular that in the construction of CW complexes, the homotopy-type of the complex only depends on the homotopy-classes of the attaching maps.

Both of these facts can be deduced from the *Homotopy Extension Property* for CW-pairs (try this!). (X, A) has the HEP if for all spaces Y, every map $f: X \times 0 \cup A \times I \to Y$ factors through the inclusion into $X \times I$:

$$X \times I$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

That is, a partial homotopy $f: A \to Y$ can always be extended to a homotopy $g: X \to Y$, hence the name. The HEP is equivalent to the specific case for f the identity map on $X \times 0 \cup A \times I$. Thus, to prove the HEP, it suffices to show the following:

Proposition: If (X, A) is a CW pair then there is a retraction from $X \times I$ to $X \times 0 \cup A \times I$.

Proof. If X has dimension n, then $X = X^n$. We will produce by a series of retractions:

$$X\times I=X^n\times I\cup A\times I\to (X\cup 0)\times (X^{n-1}\times I\cup A\times I)\to (X\cup 0)\times (X^{n-2}\times I\cup A\times I)\to \dots$$

In each step we only need to retract every j-cell onto its boundary. We can do this because it has an *open side*. (check Hatcher to get the details straight later).

0.3. The Fundamental Group. Let X be a space. A path f in X is a map $I \to X$. A homotopy between paths f, g is a homotopy (in the sense defined before) which fixes the endpoints of the paths (so it must be that f(0) = g(0) and f(1) = g(1) for this to be possible). We say that f, g are homotopy-equivalent if one exists.

Two paths can be composed (concatenated) if the end point of one is the start point of the other. This is denoted f * g, and corresponds to a path which does f from $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and then does g from $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. If f and g are both loops with $f \simeq f'$ and $g \simeq g'$, then

$$f * g \simeq f' * g'$$
.

This can be proven by drawing a picture. Basically the homotopies $f \to f'$ and $g \to g'$ can be concatenated.

The fundamental group of X, denoted $\pi(X, x)$, is made up of homotopy-classes of loops beginning and ending at $x \in X$. The operation is concatenation. The identity is given by the constant map and the inverse is given by $f^{-1}(t) := f(1-t)$. We can check that this is a genuine inverse by drawing a picture.

We also have to check that * is associative, i.e. $f*(g*h) \simeq (f*g)*h$. This can also be shown by a simple picture (we're essentially just changing the rate of movement along the image of the path in different segments).

If $\pi(X,x)$ is trivial, we say X is *simply connected* (note that this does not depend on x). In general, the fundamental group only depends on the path-connected component of X in which x lies. If there is a path $\beta: x \to y$ in X then $\pi(X,x)$ is just $\beta^{-1}\pi(X,y)\beta$. This gives a group isomorphism between $\pi(X,x)$ and $\pi(X,y)$.

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces a group homomorphism between the fundamental groups:

$$f_*: \pi(X, x) \mapsto \pi(Y, f(x))$$

given by $f_*: \alpha \mapsto f \circ \alpha$. If $f \simeq g: X \to Y$, then f_* and g_* differ by an inner automorphism. Suppose f, g are homotopic via $F: X \times I \to Y$, and let $\beta(t) = F(x, t)$. Then $f_* = \beta^{-1}g_*\beta$. And in particular, if f(x) = g(x), β is the constant path at x, so $f_* = g_*$.

If X,Y are homotopy-equivalent and path-connected, then their fundamental groups are isomorphic: the composition

$$(X,x) \xrightarrow{f} (Y,f(x)) \xrightarrow{g} (X,g \circ f(x))$$

is an isomorphism up to homotopy equivalence, therefore (X,x) and (Y,f(x)) have isomorphic fundamental group.

An example: let $T^n := (S^1)^n$. Or equivalently, $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. \mathbb{R}^n is a covering space of T^n . The fundamental group of T^n is \mathbb{Z}^n . $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on T^n in a natural way (these are outer automorphisms).