MATH 325 HW 1

JALEN CHRYSOS

Problem 1: Let $V = k^n$ and $P_d \subseteq k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the space of degree-d polynomials in n variables over k. Let $a: V \to V$ be some linear map with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Show the following generating-function identities:

(a)

$$\sum_{d>0} \operatorname{tr}_{V^{\otimes d}}(a^{\otimes d}) \cdot t^d = \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{tr}_V(a) \cdot t}.$$

(b)

$$\sum_{d\geq 0}\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Sym}^d V}(\operatorname{Sym}^d(a))\cdot t^d = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-\lambda_i t}.$$

(c)

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \operatorname{tr}_{\wedge^d V}(\wedge^d a) \cdot t^d = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \lambda_i t).$$

Proof. (a): The trace of $a^{\otimes d}$ is $tr(a)^d$. It suffices to take some basis where a is in Jordan normal form, as trace is invariant under conjugation. In this case, $a^{\otimes d}$ acts by

$$a^{\otimes d}(e_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{k_d}) = \left(\prod_j \lambda_{k_j}\right)(e_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{k_d}) + \text{off-diagonal terms}$$

and the trace is thus

$$\sum_{(k_j)\in[n]^d} \prod_j \lambda_{k_j} = \left(\sum_k \lambda_k\right)^d = \operatorname{tr}(a)^d$$

as desired. The generating function immediately follows from the geometric sum formula.

(b): The trace of $\operatorname{Sym}^d(a)$ is the sum of all degree-d monomials in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Again we can assume that a is in Jordan normal form wrt some basis. Then $\operatorname{Sym}^d(a)$ acts by

$$e_1^{d_1} \cdot e_2^{d_2} \cdots e_n^{d_n} \mapsto \lambda_1^{d_1} \lambda_2^{d_2} \cdots \lambda_n^{d_n} (e_1^{d_1} \cdot e_2^{d_2} \cdots e_n^{d_n}) + \text{off-diagonal terms}$$

giving the trace as desired. From there, the generating function is

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Sym}^d(a)) \cdot t^d = \prod_i \sum_{d_i \geq 0} \lambda_i^{d_i} t^{d_i} = \prod_i \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i t}.$$

(c): The trace of $\wedge^d a$ is the sum of all degree d monomials in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ without repeating terms. Again we assume that a is in Jordan normal form wrt some basis. $\wedge^d a$ acts by

$$e_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k_d} \mapsto \lambda_{k_1} \cdots \lambda_{k_d} (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_d)$$

giving the desired trace. The generating function follows. It is like in (b) except that terms cannot repeat, thus we have $1 + \lambda_i t$ instead of the full series $1 + \lambda_i t + \lambda_i^2 t^2 + \dots$

Problem 2: Prove the following claims made in class:

- (a) The algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{\mathrm{SO}_n}$ is the free algebra generated by $R:=x_1^2+\cdots+x_n^2$. (b) The algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}}$ is the free algebra generated by R and x_n .

Proof. (a): Let p be a polynomial fixed by SO_n . Let q(t) be the polynomial

$$q(t) := p(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Because p is fixed by SO_n , we have $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = q(\sqrt{R})$ for all x_1, \ldots, x_n . Moreover, q has no odd-degree terms, since q(t) is invariant under switching the sign of t (as this corresponds to a π -rotation about the x_2 axis, which is in SO_n). Thus, $q(\sqrt{R})$ is a polynomial in R, and hence pis as well.

(b) If p is fixed by SO_{n-1} , then we can think of p as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_n][x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}]$, from which the same method from part (a) shows that p is generated by $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2$ over $\mathbb{C}[x_n]$, and thus that p is generated by $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2$ and x_n over \mathbb{C} , or equivalently R and x_n . \square **Problem 3**: The case n=2: Let $H_d \subseteq P_d$ be the space of degree-d harmonic homogeneous polynomials in x, y over \mathbb{C} .

- (a) Find an explicit \mathbb{C} -basis for H_d .
- (b) Show that the representation of SO_2 in H_d is not irreducible.

Proof. (a): For all d, $\dim(H_d) = 2$. Let A be the homogeneous polynomial

$$A(x,y) := \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k x^k y^{d-k}.$$

If $A \in H_d$, then

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \Delta(A) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d a_k \cdot (k)(k-1)x^{k-2}y^{d-k} + a_k \cdot (d-k)(d-k-1)x^ky^{d-k-2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \Big(a_k(d-k)(d-k-1) + a_{k+2}(k+2)(k+1) \Big) x^k x^{d-k-2} \end{split}$$

and thus

$$a_k(d-k)(d-k-1) + a_{k+2}(k+2)(k+1) = 0$$

for all $0 \le k \le d-2$. Thus, given that A is harmonic, the ratios between all even coefficients are fixed, and the ratios between all odd coefficients are fixed, i.e. A is a linear combination of the two harmonic polynomials

$$y^{d} - \Big(\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\Big)x^{2}y^{d-2} + \Big(\frac{d(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)}{(4)(3)(2)}\Big)x^{4}y^{d-4} - \cdots$$

and

$$xy^{d-1} - \left(\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{(3)(2)}\right)x^3y^{d-3} + \left(\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)}{(5)(4)(3)(2)}\right)x^5y^{d-5} - \cdots$$

which are also proportional to the real and imaginary parts of $(ix + y)^d$.

(b): The action of SO₂ on H_d preserves the 1-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C}\langle (ix+y)^d \rangle \subset H_d$. All elements of SO₂ are rotations by some θ , corresponding to matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

which maps $(ix + y)^d$ to

$$(i(\cos\theta x + \sin\theta y) + \cos\theta y - \sin\theta x)^d = (e^{i\theta}(ix+y))^d = e^{i\theta d}(ix+y)^d$$

and thus preserves the subspace. Thus the action of SO_2 is reducible.

Problem 4: In the case n = 3:

- (a) Check that $\dim(H_d) = 2d + 1$ and find a nonzero element of H_2 which is fixed by SO₂.
- (b) For each $d \ge 0$, find the trace of the operator g_{θ} , which is the rotation by θ about the z axis.

Proof. (a): To show that $\dim(H_d) = 2d + 1$, we use the decomposition of P_d as

$$P_d = H_d \oplus R \cdot P_{d-2}$$

which gives

$$\dim(P_d) = \dim(H_d) + \dim(P_{d-2})$$

$$\binom{d+2}{2} = \dim(H_2) + \binom{d}{2}$$

$$\dim(H_2) = \frac{(d+2)(d+1) - d(d-1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{4d+2}{2} = 2d+1$$

as expected.

To give a nonzero element of H_2 fixed by SO_2 , take $x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2$. Clearly $x^2 + y^2$ and z^2 are each fixed by SO_2 , so $x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2$ is also fixed, and it is harmonic.

(b): g_{θ} can be represented by the matrix

$$g_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its action on the basis elements of P_d is

$$g_{\theta}: x^a y^b z^c \mapsto (e^{i\theta} x)^a (e^{-i\theta} y)^b z^c = e^{(a-b)i\theta} \cdot x^a y^b z^c.$$

This gives the trace

$$\operatorname{tr}_{P_d}(g_{\theta}) = \sum_{a+b \le d} e^{(a-b)i\theta}.$$

Now to determine $\operatorname{tr}_{H_d}(g_\theta)$ from this. We can decompose P_d into subspaces $H_d \oplus R \cdot P_{d-2}$. Thus, the trace of g_θ is the sum of its trace on the subspaces H_d and $R \cdot P_{d-2}$. In the latter subspace, the trace is not affected by R, since g_θ preserves R. Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{H_d}(g_{\theta}) = \operatorname{tr}_{P_d}(g_{\theta}) - \operatorname{tr}_{P_{d-2}}(g_{\theta})$$

$$= \sum_{a+b \in \{d-1,d\}} e^{i\theta(a-b)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-d}^d e^{ki\theta}$$

$$= 1 + 2\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \cos(k\theta)\right).$$

In the case $\theta = 0$, this yields $\operatorname{tr}_{H_d}(\operatorname{id}) = \dim(H_d) = 2d + 1$ as expected.

MATH 325 HW 1

Problem 5: For $n \geq 3$, prove the following generating function identity:

$$\sum_{d>0} \dim(H_d) \cdot t^d = \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^{n-1}}.$$

Proof. For general n, $\dim(H_d)$ is

$$\dim(H_d) = \dim(P_d) - \dim(P_{d-2}) = \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-1}$$

which yields the generating function

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \dim(H_d) t^d = \sum_{d\geq 0} \left(\binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-1} \right) t^d$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} - \frac{t^2}{(1-t)^n}$$
$$= \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^{n-1}}$$

as desired. Here we used the generating function identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^m} = \sum_{d \geq 0} \binom{d+m}{m} t^d$$

which can be proven by the generalized binomial theorem:

$$(1-t)^{-m} = \sum_{d \ge 0} \binom{-m}{d} (-t)^d = \sum_{d \ge 0} \binom{m+d}{d} t^d = \sum_{d \ge 0} \binom{m+d}{m} t^d.$$

Problem 6: Let res: $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\to C(S^{n-1})$ be the restriction map to S^{n-1} . If $\overline{P_d}:=\operatorname{res}(P_d)$, let M_d be the orthogonal complement of $\overline{P_{d-1}}$ in $\overline{P_d}$. Show that res induces an isomorphism $H_d\to M_d$ of SO_n -representations.

Proof. For any $p \in P_d$ and $q \in P_{d-1}$, $pq \in P_{2d-1}$, and thus

$$\langle \operatorname{res}(p), \operatorname{res}(q) \rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} p\overline{q} = 0$$

by symmetry (the degree is odd). So $res(P_d) \subseteq M_d$.

Now to show that this induces an *isomorphism* of representations, by Schur's Lemma it suffices to show that both H_d and M_d are irreducible representations of SO_n (since res is clearly nontrivial). We showed H_d to be irreducible in class. The induced representation of M_d is therefore also irreducible, and so res must be an isomorphism of representations.

Problem 7: Prove that for $d \geq 0$, there is a constant $c_d > 0$ such that for all $p, q \in H_d$,

$$p(\partial)(\overline{q})(0) = c_d \cdot \langle \operatorname{res}(p), \operatorname{res}(q) \rangle_{L^2}$$

where the inner product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle_{L^2}$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) \overline{g}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. $p(\partial)(q)$ and $\langle p,q\rangle_{L^2}$ are both inner products on H_d . To show that they differ by a constant factor, it suffices to show that