Functional Programming Interpreters and Monads

Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

SS 2019

A simple expression language

A simple interpreter

Evaluation

```
eval :: Term -> Integer
eval (Con n) = n
eval (Bin t op u) = sys op (eval t) (eval u)

sys Add = (+)
sys Sub = (-)
sys Mul = (*)
sys Div = div
```

Extending the interpreter

Possible extensions

- Error handling
- Counting evaluation steps
- Variables, state
- Output

... but without changing the structure of the interpreter!

Feature: Error handling

- A computation either returns a value or it fails on the way
- Could be expressed with the Maybe type
 - Returning the value v is Just v
 - Signalling an error is Nothing
- But it would be better to have an error message

```
data Exception a = Raise String | Return a
```

• Equivalently we might use the predefined type Either a b

```
type Exception' a = Either String a
```

Interpreter with error handling

```
Exception
|eval(Con n)| = Return n
\beta eval (Bin t op u) = case eval t of
                     Raise s -> Raise s
                     Return v -> case eval u of
                      Raise s -> Raise s
                      Return w ->
                       if (op == Div && w == 0)
                        then
                          Raise "div by zero"
10
                        else
11
                          Return (sys op v w)
12
```

Feature: Tracing

- We would like to produce a trace of the computation
- Each step of the computation should be documented
- Such a computation returns the computed value and the trace
- Could be expressed with the following type

```
newtype Trace a = Trace (a, String)
```

Interpreter with tracing

Trace

```
eval :: Term -> Trace Integer
eval e@(Con n) = Trace (n, trace e n)
eval e@(Bin t op u) =

let Trace (v, x) = eval t in
let Trace (w, y) = eval u in
let r = sys op v w in
Trace (r, x ++ y ++ trace e r)

trace t n = "eval (" ++ show t ++ ") = "
++ show n ++ "\n"
```

Feature: Reduction count

- We want to keep track of the number of reductions during evaluation of an expressions
- To this end, a counter value must be passed as a parameter to the eval function, which must return the updated counter
- A type like the following would do

```
newtype Count a = Count { exCount :: Int -> (a, Int) }
```

Interpreter with reduction count

Count eval :: Term \rightarrow Count Integer eval (Con n) = Count $i \rightarrow 0$ (n, i) eval (Bin t op u) = Count $i \rightarrow 0$ (v, j) = exCount (eval t) i in let (w, k) = exCount (eval u) j in (sys op v w, k + 1)

Monads

- There is an abstract concept of computation behind these examples:
 Monads
- Originates in category theory
- Mathematicians did not even bother to give it a proper name, they called it "standard construction"
- Discovered for computations by Eugenio Moggi
- Popularized for programming by Phil Wadler
- In Haskell: the Monad type class

Monads to the rescue!

The type class Monad

```
class Applicative m => Monad m where
(>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
return :: a -> m a
fail :: String -> m a
```

Here, $m: * \to *$ is a variable that can stand for IO, Gen, and other type constructors.

Monads to the rescue!

The type class Monad

```
class Applicative m => Monad m where
(>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
return :: a -> m a
fail :: String -> m a
```

Here, m:: * -> * is a variable that can stand for IO, Gen, and other type constructors.

Purpose of the operations

Bind (>>=) is for **composing** computations In **IO** composing means sequencing

return is for injecting values into computations
A trivial computation that does nothing but return the value

Monads live for their other features!

Monadic evaluator

Monadic interpreter eval :: Monad m => Term -> m Integer eval (Con n) = return n eval (Bin t op u) = eval t >>= \v -> eval u >>= \w -> return (sys op v w)

Monadic evaluator

```
Monadic interpreter

eval :: Monad m => Term -> m Integer
eval (Con n) = return n
eval (Bin t op u) = eval t >>= \v ->
eval u >>= \w ->
return (sys op v w)
```

The identity monad

```
newtype ld a = Id a
instance Monad ld where
return x = Id x
x >>= f = Iet Id y = x in f y
```

Monadic interpreter with error handling

Exeception

```
instance Monad Exception where
return a = Return a

m >>= f = case m of
Raise s -> Raise s
Return v -> f v

fail s = Raise s -- the extra operation
```

Interpreter

```
eval :: Term -> Exception Integer
eval (Con n) = return n
eval (Bin t op u) = eval t >>= \v ->
eval u >>= \w ->
if (op == Div && w == 0)
then fail "div by zero"
else return (sys op v w)
```

A monad for tracing

Trace

```
instance Monad Trace where

return a = (a, "")

m >>= f = let Trace (a, x) = m in

let Trace (b, y) = f a in

Trace (b, x ++ y)

output :: String -> Trace ()
output s = Trace ((), s)
```

Monadic interpreter with tracing

Evaluation eval :: Term -> Trace Integer eval e@(Con n) = output (trace e n) >> return n eval e@(Bin t op u) = eval t >>= \v -> eval u >>= \w -> let r = sys op v w in output (trace e r) >> return r

A monad for counting

The state monad

```
State
 a \mid data \ ST \ s \ a = ST \ (s -> (a, s))
 _{2} exST (ST sas) = sas
 4 instance Monad (ST s) where
     return a = ST (\slash s -> (a, s))
     m >>= f = ST (\s -> let (a, s') = exST m s in
                            exST (f a) s')
9 type Count a = ST Int a
incr :: Count ()
| \text{incr} = ST ( i -> ((), i + 1) )
```

Monadic interpreter with reduction count

Implementation

```
Evaluation

eval :: Term -> Count Integer
eval (Con n) = return n
eval (Bin t op u) = eval t >>= \v ->
eval u >>= \w ->
incr >>
return (sys op v w)
```

Typical monads

Already used

- Identity monad
- Exception monad
- State monad
- Writer monad

Not every type constructor can be a monad

Monad laws

return is a left unit

return
$$x >>= f == f x$$

return is a right unit

$$_{1}$$
 m $>>=$ return $==$ m

bind is associative

$$|m1>>= \langle x -> (m2>>= f) == (m1>>= \langle x -> m2 \rangle >= f$$

The Maybe monad

More useful than you think

- Computation that may or may not return a result
- Database queries, dictionary operations, ...

The Maybe monad

More useful than you think

- Computation that may or may not return a result
- Database queries, dictionary operations, ...

Definition (predefined)

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

instance Monad Maybe where return x = Just x

Nothing >>= f = Nothing (Just x) >>= f = f x
```

The List monad

Useful for

- Handling multiple results
- Backtracking

The List monad

Useful for

- Handling multiple results
- Backtracking

Definition (predefined)

where

```
concatMap :: (a -> [b]) -> [a] -> [b]
concatMap = undefined
```

The IO Monad

Required for

- any kind of I/O
- side effecting operation
- implementation is machine dependent

• what if there are multiple effects?

- what if there are multiple effects?
- need to combine monads

- what if there are multiple effects?
- need to combine monads
- sequence matters (e.g., exception and state)

- what if there are multiple effects?
- need to combine monads
- sequence matters (e.g., exception and state)
- some monads do not combine at all