

The Rise, Fall, and Transfiguration of Tome AI: A definitive Analysis of Generative AI in the Application Layer

1. Introduction: The Stagnation of Business Communication and the Generative Promise

The history of digital business communication is, in many respects, a history of inertia. For nearly four decades, the paradigm of the "presentation" has been dominated by a singular metaphor: the slide deck. Established by Microsoft PowerPoint in 1987, this format—discrete, static canvases arranged in a linear sequence—has survived the transition from desktop to cloud (Google Slides) and the rise of mobile computing, largely resisting fundamental disruption. The introduction of Tome AI in 2020 marked one of the most significant attempts to dismantle this paradigm, leveraging the emergent capabilities of Generative AI to propose a new unit of communication: the "tome."

This report provides an exhaustive analysis of Tome AI's trajectory, from its meteoric rise as the fastest-growing productivity tool in history to its strategic bifurcation in 2025. This evolution serves as a microcosm for the broader Generative AI industry, illustrating the perilous journey from viral consumer application to sustainable enterprise platform. We examine the technical architecture that enabled its initial success, the economic realities that forced a pivot, and the emergence of its successor entity, Lightfield, which seeks to redefine the Customer Relationship Management (CRM) landscape. Furthermore, we analyze the acquisition of the Tome brand by AngelList, a move that signals the consolidation of AI utilities into established vertical infrastructures.

Through a synthesis of technical documentation, market analysis, and user sentiment, this report argues that Tome's lifecycle demonstrates the inevitable shift of Generative AI from "episodic creation" tools to "system-of-record" intelligence platforms.

2. The Genesis of Algorithmic Storytelling (2020–2022)

2.1 The Founding Thesis: Beyond the Slide

Tome was founded in 2020 by Keith Peiris and Henri Liriani, two product leaders whose pedigrees at Meta (formerly Facebook) profoundly influenced the platform's DNA.¹ Peiris, who

had managed products across Instagram and Facebook, and Liriani, a former head of product design for Messenger, approached the problem of business presentation not from the perspective of enterprise software, but from the vantage point of consumer social media.

Their central thesis was that the friction of creating presentations—formatting text boxes, aligning images, selecting fonts—was an artifact of outdated software design that stifled storytelling. In the era of TikTok and Instagram Stories, where content creation is fluid and mobile-first, the rigid canvas of PowerPoint felt anachronistic.³ The founders envisioned a "magic document" that would function as an AI-native medium for shaping and sharing ideas—a format they termed a "tome".⁴

Unlike a traditional slide, a "tome" was designed as a responsive, tile-based web container. It was not paginated in the traditional sense but allowed for dynamic scrolling and resizing, ensuring that a presentation looked as polished on a smartphone screen as it did on a conference room projector.⁵ This focus on "mobile-responsive design" was a direct attack on the desktop-centric nature of incumbent tools, positioning Tome as the medium for a more agile, distributed workforce.⁵

2.2 The Integration of Generative Intelligence

While the responsive format was innovative, the true catalyst for Tome's explosive growth was its integration of Large Language Models (LLMs) and generative image models. Launching its beta in March 2022, Tome was among the first productivity suites to natively embed OpenAI's GPT-3 (and later GPT-4) and DALL-E models directly into the authoring workflow.²

This integration solved the "Cold Start Problem"—the universal anxiety of the blank page. By allowing users to type a single natural language prompt (e.g., "Create a pitch deck for a sustainable coffee subscription service"), Tome's command bar would effectively hallucinate a complete first draft. This included not just text, but a structured narrative arc (Introduction, Problem, Solution, Business Model) and synthetic imagery generated by DALL-E to match the context of each section.⁴

The psychological impact of this capability cannot be overstated. For millions of users, Tome was their first interaction with "functional" generative AI—technology that could perform complex, multi-step cognitive labor rather than just answering trivia. The promise was seductive: the commoditization of storytelling. Users no longer needed design skills or even a fully formed idea; they simply needed a prompt.⁴

2.3 Viral Velocity and Capital Allocation

The market response was immediate and overwhelming. Tome became the fastest productivity tool to reach 1 million users, achieving this milestone within months of its public launch—a trajectory that outpaced iconic SaaS companies like Slack and Dropbox.² By early

2024, the user base had swelled to over 20 million.⁴

This viral growth was fueled by the inherent shareability of the product. Because a "tome" was a web link rather than a file attachment, every shared presentation acted as a viral vector for user acquisition. Recipients of a tome were exposed to the sleek, distinct UI and the "Made with Tome" branding, driving a relentless cycle of organic signup.⁴

Venture capital flooded into the company to fuel this rocket ship. Tome raised a total of \$81 million over three rounds, culminating in a \$43 million Series B in February 2023 led by Lightspeed Venture Partners, with participation from Coatue, Greylock, and high-profile angels like Eric Schmidt and Emad Mostaque (CEO of Stability AI).¹¹ At its peak, the company commanded a valuation of \$300 million, buoyed by the belief that it was building the "Microsoft Office of the AI Era".⁴

3. Product Anatomy of the "Classic" Tome Platform

To understand why Tome succeeded—and ultimately why it had to pivot—it is necessary to dissect the "Classic" version of the platform (active 2022–2024). This product was a distinct blend of canvas-based creativity and algorithmic automation.

3.1 The Tile System and Responsive Layouts

At the core of Tome's user experience was the "Tile System." Unlike PowerPoint, which uses an absolute positioning canvas (where an object stays exactly x pixels from the left), Tome used a fluid grid system. Elements (text, images, charts, tweets) were treated as "tiles" that snapped into alignment relative to one another.⁵

This architecture provided two key benefits:

1. **Automatic Formatting:** Users could not "break" the design. If a user added a new image, the text tile next to it would automatically resize to maintain a harmonious layout. This lowered the floor for design quality, ensuring that even non-designers produced aesthetically pleasing decks.³
2. **Cross-Platform Fidelity:** The tile system allowed the presentation to reflow content based on the viewport. On a desktop, a slide might show three columns; on a mobile device, those columns would stack vertically. This addressed the reality of modern executive workflows, where pitch decks are often reviewed on iPhones during transit.⁵

3.2 The AI Command Bar

The primary interface for interaction was not a toolbar of buttons, but a text input field—the Command Bar. This interface pattern, popularized by developer tools like VS Code and Spotlight Search, was adapted for creative generation.

- **Text-to-Presentation:** Users could input a prompt of up to several sentences. The underlying orchestration layer would parse this prompt, decompose it into semantic sections, and call the LLM to generate content for each section.
- **Text-to-Image:** The integration with DALL-E 2 (and later DALL-E 3) allowed users to generate custom visuals on the fly. This was a critical differentiator from competitors like Canva, which relied on static stock libraries. Tome users could conjure specific, metaphorical imagery (e.g., "a cyberpunk city representing data encryption") that did not exist in any database.¹⁵

3.3 Interactive Embeds and the "Living Document"

Tome pushed the definition of a presentation by supporting "live" content. Through the tile system, users could embed interactive prototypes from Figma, live data dashboards from Looker, or 3D models from Spline directly into the deck.⁴ This transformed the presentation from a static artifact into a dynamic dashboard, aligning with the founders' vision of a "living document" that remained connected to the underlying work.⁴

3.4 The "Tome Look" and Aesthetic Homogeneity

Despite these innovations, a critical weakness emerged in the product's design philosophy: the "Tome Look." Because the generative models (both text and image) were fine-tuned on a specific aesthetic—often characterized by dark modes, neon accents, and surrealist digital art—decks created in Tome began to suffer from extreme homogeneity.¹⁷

Critics and users noted that while the decks were "beautiful," they lacked brand identity. For a corporate marketing team, a deck that looks like a "cool tech startup" is useless if it doesn't align with the company's strict brand guidelines (fonts, hex codes, logo placement).¹⁷ The AI's tendency to produce "generic brilliance"—content that looks impressive but lacks specific, nuanced insight—led to a perception of the tool as a novelty toy rather than a professional instrument.¹⁷ The "Tome Look" became a signal of low-effort content, much like the "Clip Art" of the 1990s.

4. The Economics of the Application Layer: Why the Model Failed

By late 2023, the euphoria of user growth began to clash with the hard physics of unit economics. Tome's journey exposes the structural fragility of the "Prosumer Generative AI" business model.

4.1 The Inference Cost Problem

In traditional SaaS (e.g., Dropbox, Evernote), the marginal cost of adding a free user is

negligible—storage is cheap, and compute is minimal. In Generative AI, the equation is inverted. Every interaction—every slide generated, every image synthesized—triggers a computationally expensive inference call to a model provider (OpenAI).⁴

With 20 million users, the vast majority of whom were on the free plan, Tome's operational costs were astronomical. The company was effectively subsidizing the curiosity of millions of non-paying users who were using the tool for "episodic" tasks—a best man speech, a 3rd-grade history project, a meme.¹⁸ These users had zero intent to upgrade to a \$20/month Pro plan.⁵

4.2 The "Episodic" Retention Trap

The nature of presentation software is inherently episodic. Unlike email (checked daily) or a CRM (used hourly), a presentation tool is used perhaps once a month by the average professional.¹⁸

- **Low Frequency:** This low frequency of use makes it difficult to justify a recurring monthly subscription. Users would subscribe for one month to finish a project and then churn immediately—a behavior known as "subscribe-to-churn."
- **High CAC, Low LTV:** The cost to acquire a customer (CAC) in a crowded market was high, but the Lifetime Value (LTV) was capped by the episodic nature of the use case. The math simply didn't work for a mass-market consumer product.⁴

4.3 The PowerPoint Moat

Tome's initial strategy was to build a "walled garden." By making the "tome" format proprietary and web-only, they hoped to force a platform shift away from PowerPoint. This proved to be a fatal miscalculation in the enterprise market.

- **The.pptx Requirement:** The global business ecosystem runs on .pptx. Investors want decks attached to emails; conferences require files on USB drives; procurement teams demand offline archives. Tome's lack of high-fidelity export to PowerPoint (exports were often PDF-only or broke formatting) locked it out of serious enterprise workflows.¹⁹
- **Shadow IT:** While individual employees loved Tome, IT departments blocked it. Without enterprise-grade compliance, data sovereignty, and integration with Microsoft 365, Tome remained "Shadow IT"—unauthorized software used by employees but never purchased by the CIO.⁵

4.4 The "Wrapper" Accusation

As the novelty of GPT-4 wore off, the market began to view tools like Tome as merely "wrappers"—thin user interfaces layered on top of foundational models that anyone could access directly via ChatGPT. If a user could ask ChatGPT to "write an outline for a deck" and then paste it into PowerPoint Copilot, what value did Tome add?¹⁷ The lack of a proprietary data moat meant Tome was competing directly with the R&D departments of OpenAI and

Microsoft, a battle it could not win on model capability alone.

5. The Pivot to Enterprise (April 2024)

In April 2024, the tension between viral metrics and revenue reality snapped. CEO Keith Peiris announced a radical restructuring of the company, marking the end of Tome as a mass-market consumer tool.

5.1 The Layoffs and Strategic Contraction

Tome laid off approximately 20% of its workforce, primarily targeting the product and marketing teams responsible for the consumer/freemium growth engine.¹⁰ This was an explicit rejection of the "growth at all costs" mantra. Peiris stated candidly, "It becomes really hard trying to build a consumer product for millions of people... when most of them don't pay".⁴

5.2 The Discovery of the Sales Use Case

Amidst the churn data, one signal stood out: Sales Teams.

The data showed that while the average user made one deck a month, sales representatives were creating "eight Tomes a day".⁴ Sales is a high-volume, high-value use case. Every deck is a potential revenue event (a closed deal).

- **The Pain Point:** Salespeople hate administrative work. They despise researching a prospect, customizing a generic deck with the prospect's logo, and tweaking the value proposition to match the specific industry.
- **The Opportunity:** Sales teams have budget. Unlike students or casual users, enterprise sales leaders are willing to pay significant premiums for software that increases deal velocity.¹⁸

5.3 The Birth of "Automated Intelligence"

The pivot was not just about changing the target audience; it was about changing the product's fundamental utility. The team realized that the value was not in generating the slide (which was commoditized), but in gathering the context to put on the slide.

The new vision was "Intelligence, not just Generation." Instead of asking the user for a prompt, the system would ingest external data (SEC filings, 10-Ks, news reports) and internal data (CRM notes) to automatically generate a hyper-personalized pitch deck without the user typing a single word.²¹ This insight—that the AI should do the "thinking" (research), not just the "doing" (design)—laid the groundwork for the company's next evolution.

6. The Great Bifurcation (2025)

By 2025, the transformation of Tome was complete, resulting in a rare corporate "mitosis." The original entity effectively split into two: the **brand and legacy assets** were acquired by **AngelList**, while the **team and core technology** spun out to form a new company, **Lightfield**.

6.1 Lightfield: The AI-Native System of Record

The original founders, Peiris and Liriani, alongside the core engineering team, launched **Lightfield**. This new entity abandoned the presentation market entirely to attack a much larger and more entrenched enemy: the CRM (Customer Relationship Management) database.²

6.1.1 The "No Data Entry" Philosophy

Lightfield is built on the premise that the traditional CRM model (Salesforce, HubSpot) is broken because it relies on manual human data entry. Salespeople view the CRM as a "compliance task" rather than a productivity tool, leading to empty fields, outdated contacts, and "context rot".²²

Lightfield proposes an **AI-Native CRM** that functions as a "lossless customer memory".²

- **Passive Ingestion:** Instead of asking a rep to "log a call," Lightfield passively connects to the company's digital nervous system: Gmail/Outlook streams, Google Calendar/Zoom APIs, Slack channels, and support tickets.²
- **Unstructured to Structured:** It utilizes advanced LLMs to ingest this unstructured firehose of conversation and "reason" about it. It extracts entities (Who is the buyer? What is the budget?), sentiment (Are they angry?), and state changes (Did the deal move to negotiation?) without human intervention.²

6.1.2 Technical Architecture: The Flexible Schema

A key technical innovation of Lightfield is its Flexible Schema with Retroactive Backfilling.² In a rigid SQL-based CRM (like Salesforce), if an admin adds a new field called "Competitor Mentioned" today, that field is null for the millions of records from the past ten years.

- **Retroactive Intelligence:** Lightfield's architecture allows users to define a new schema element (e.g., "Extract every competitor mentioned in emails"). The AI then traverses the *entire history* of unstructured data (backfilling up to two years) to populate that field for every past interaction.²
- **Human-in-the-Loop:** To solve the "Hallucination" problem—where an AI might falsely invent a deal size—Lightfield employs a "suggestion" UI. The AI proposes updates ("I think this deal closed based on this email"), but the human agent confirms them. This builds trust while removing the manual labor of typing.²

6.2 The AngelList Acquisition: Infrastructure Consolidation

In April 2025, AngelList acquired the Tome brand and its specific document summarization technology.⁴

It is critical to distinguish this: AngelList did not acquire the Lightfield CRM business. They bought the assets related to the presentation and document analysis tool.

6.2.1 Strategic Rationale: The Investor Portal

AngelList sits at the center of the venture capital economy, managing billions in funds. This involves a crushing weight of dense legal and financial documentation: Limited Partner (LP) agreements, term sheets, quarterly reports, and K-1s.²⁴

- **The Fit:** Tome's technology—specifically its ability to ingest dense text and synthesize it into visual summaries—was a perfect fit for AngelList's **Investor Portal**.
- **The Integration:** AngelList integrated Tome's engine to automatically generate "one-pagers" and visual summaries of complex financing documents for fund managers. This reduces the cognitive load on investors and speeds up capital deployment.²³

6.2.2 The Fate of tome.app

Following the acquisition, the consumer-facing tome.app entered a "legacy" state. While the website remains active for existing users, active feature development has ceased as the team focuses on Lightfield. The "Tome" that millions of students and creators used is effectively frozen in time, its DNA absorbed into the backend of venture capital infrastructure.⁴

7. Comparative Analysis: The Post-Tome Landscape

With Tome's exit from the pure-play presentation market, the landscape has reshuffled. The following analysis compares the remaining contenders filling the void.

Table 1: Competitive Landscape of AI Presentation Tools (2025)

Feature	Tome (Legacy)	Gamma	Beautiful.a i	Canva Magic Design	Microsoft Copilot
Core Philosophy	Generative Storytelling	Web-first "Docs to Decks"	Automated Design Constraints	Design-first, Template Heavy	Productivity & Integration
Primary Input	Text Prompt (Zero-shot)	Text Prompt or Upload Doc	Text Prompt	Text Prompt	Word Docs, Excel, Email

Output Format	Responsive "Tiles"	Responsive "Cards"	Smart Slides (Rigid)	Standard Canvas	Standard.ptx
Export Quality	PDF (Weak PPT)	PDF, PPT (Editable)	PPT (High Fidelity)	PDF, PPT, Video	Native PPT
Best For	Legacy Users	Consultants, Startups (Async)	Corporate Brand Teams	Marketers, Social Media	Enterprise Employees
Pricing Model	Freemium (limited)	Freemium + Pro (\$8-15/mo)	Paid Only (\$12-40/mo)	Freemium + Pro (\$13/mo)	Add-on (\$30/user/mo)

7.1 Gamma: The Heir Apparent

Gamma has emerged as the most direct successor to Tome's "web-native" vision. Like Tome, it breaks the slide metaphor in favor of scrolling "cards." However, Gamma learned from Tome's mistakes:

- **Better Exports:** Gamma prioritized editable PowerPoint exports early, acknowledging the reality of business workflows.⁶
- **Document-to-Deck:** Gamma focused heavily on converting existing documents (Notion pages, Google Docs) into presentations, a more common use case than "prompt-to-deck".⁶

7.2 Beautiful.ai: The Brand Guardian

Beautiful.ai took the opposite approach, focusing on **constraints**. Its "Smart Slide" technology forces elements to stay aligned, preventing users from "breaking" the design. This appeals to corporate brand managers who feared the "hallucinated" layouts of Tome. It is the tool of choice for teams that need strict brand compliance.²⁶

7.3 Microsoft Copilot: The Extinction Event

The release of Microsoft Copilot (integrated into PowerPoint) was the existential threat that likely accelerated Tome's pivot. Copilot allows a user to "Convert this Word proposal into a 10-slide deck" directly within the enterprise security perimeter. For the Fortune 500, this rendered external tools like Tome obsolete for internal workflows.¹⁶

8. Technical Deep Dive: The Architecture of Intelligence

The shift from Tome to Lightfield represents a fundamental architectural change from **Stateless Generation** to **Stateful Intelligence**.

8.1 The Generative Stack (Tome 2022-2023)

The "Classic" Tome architecture was a pipeline designed for one-way creation:

1. **Orchestrator:** Received a user prompt and decomposed it into a JSON structure representing the "story arc."
 2. **LLM Layer (Stateless):** Called GPT-4 API to generate text for each JSON node. The model had no memory of previous decks or the user's external data.
 3. **Visual Layer:** Called DALL-E 3 to synthesize images based on keyword extraction from the generated text.
 4. **Renderer:** Converted the JSON into React-based "tiles" for the frontend.
- **Weakness:** It was "amnesiac." It didn't know the user's customers, history, or truth. It could only invent, not inform.⁴

8.2 The Intelligence Stack (Lightfield 2025)

Lightfield's architecture is designed for **stateful context retention**:

1. **Ingestion Layer (The "Firehose"):** A massively parallel ingestion engine that connects to IMAP (Email), CalDAV (Calendar), and APIs (Slack, Zoom). It handles real-time data normalization.
2. **Extraction & Vectorization:** Unstructured text is chunked and processed by smaller, efficient models (likely 7B-8B parameters) to extract entities (Names, Dates, Amounts). These snippets are vectorized and stored in a vector database for semantic retrieval.²
3. **The Knowledge Graph:** Extracted entities are mapped into a graph database, linking "Person A" to "Company B" to "Deal C." This allows the system to understand relationships, not just keywords.
4. **The "Time-Travel" Engine:** The backfilling capability requires the system to re-index the entire historical dataset when a schema changes. This requires significant compute resources but provides the "retroactive intelligence" that is Lightfield's moat.²
5. **Reasoning Layer:** When a user asks a question ("Why is the Acme deal stalled?"), the system retrieves relevant context from the Vector Store and Knowledge Graph, then uses a frontier model (GPT-4 class) to synthesize an answer based on facts, not creative fiction.²

9. Conclusion: The Legacy of Tome and the Future of

Work

The story of Tome AI is a definitive case study for the first wave of the Generative AI revolution. It proved that AI could fundamentally disrupt the *interface* of creation, reducing the friction of expression to near zero. However, it also proved that "interface" alone is not a defensible business model in the face of inference costs, episodic usage, and incumbent distribution.

Tome's pivot to Lightfield signals the industry's maturity. The era of "AI Wrappers"—tools that simply put a UI on top of a model—is over. The new era belongs to "Vertical AI"—platforms that own the **data layer**, deeply integrate into specific workflows (like Sales), and use AI not just to create content, but to manage the complex, unstructured reality of business.

AngelList's Tome survives as a powerful utility for investors, automating the drudgery of legal review.

Lightfield strives to become the "central nervous system" of the modern startup, automating the drudgery of data entry.

In both cases, the "magic" has moved from the surface (making things look pretty) to the substrate (making things work). The "Tome" that dazzled the world in 2023 may be gone, but its DNA has evolved into the infrastructure of the future.

10. Appendix

10.1 Key Personnel

- **Keith Peiris (Co-Founder/CEO):** Former Product Lead at Instagram. Architect of the pivot to Lightfield.
- **Henri Liriani (Co-Founder/CPO):** Former Product Design Lead at Messenger. Creator of the "Tile" system.
- **Reid Hoffman (Board Member):** Partner at Greylock. Early backer and strategic advisor for the enterprise pivot.¹

10.2 Glossary of Terms

- **Episodic Usage:** Software usage patterns characterized by infrequent, irregular sessions (e.g., presentations) vs. daily habits (e.g., email).
- **Inference Cost:** The computational cost incurred every time an AI model generates an output.
- **Backfilling:** The process of populating new database fields with historical data derived from past unstructured interactions.
- **Shadow IT:** Software used by employees without explicit approval or procurement by the IT department.

10.3 References and Data Sources

All claims in this report are supported by the provided research materials, specifically snippets⁵ through.²⁹ Specific citations are embedded in the text.

Works cited

1. Tome - 2025 Company Profile, Team, Funding & Competitors - Tracxn, accessed December 20, 2025,
https://tracxn.com/d/companies/tome/_KS6DBBhcJJG3NxPCJlOiehq3V2orzcOprc0JDh_91lw
2. Lightfield Business Breakdown & Founding Story - Contrary Research, accessed December 20, 2025, <https://research.contrary.com/company/lightfield>
3. Tome AI Review 2025: Revolutionizing the Art of Presentations - Digital Software Labs, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://digitalsoftwarelabs.com/ai-reviews/tome-ai/>
4. Tome AI: A 2025 Deep Dive into the AI Storyteller's Dramatic Pivot - Skywork.ai, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://skywork.ai/skypage/en/Tome-AI:-A-2025-Deep-Dive-into-the-AI-Storyteller's-Dramatic-Pivot/1972903305876140032>
5. Tome AI Reviews: Use Cases, Pricing & Alternatives - Futurepedia, accessed December 20, 2025, <https://www.futurepedia.io/tool/tome>
6. Tome vs Gamma: Which Presentation Tool Wins in 2025? - Fahim AI, accessed December 20, 2025, <https://www.fahimai.com/tome-vs-gamma>
7. DALL·E: Creating images from text | OpenAI, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://openai.com/index/dall-e/>
8. What is DALL-E? - GeeksforGeeks, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/artificial-intelligence/what-is-dall-e/>
9. Tome, AI Storytelling Tool Backed by Lightspeed, Coatue, Greylock Co-Founder & CEO Keith Peiris - YouTube, accessed December 20, 2025,
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7Zyo_tKgbh0
10. Tome Layoffs: What Happened & Why? - Sunset, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.sunsethq.com/layoff-tracker/tome>
11. Tome - 2025 Company Profile, Team, Funding & Competitors - Tracxn, accessed December 20, 2025,
https://tracxn.com/d/companies/tome/_y13mOW2aqNdM3o0YAHh9cYOURI3eFjRPQSChjFcdsil
12. 2025 Funding Rounds & List of Investors - Tome - Tracxn, accessed December 20, 2025,
https://tracxn.com/d/companies/tome/_y13mOW2aqNdM3o0YAHh9cYOURI3eFjRPQSChjFcdsil/funding-and-investors
13. Tome AI PPT Maker : Features, Benefits, Price & Alternatives - Mtoag, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.mtoag.com/blog-detail/tome-ai-reviews-features-and-alternatives/>
14. Tome - Features, Pricing, Pros & Cons (December 2025) - Siteefy, accessed

December 20, 2025, <https://siteefy.com/ai-tools/tome/>

15. DALL-E: Text-to-Image Generation with Transformer Architectures - Michael Brenndoerfer, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://mbrenndoerfer.com/writing/dalle-text-to-image-generation-transformer>
16. DALL-E - Wikipedia, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DALL-E>
17. Lessons Learned from the Tome AI Pivot | by Dr. Alia Omar Bahanshal | Medium, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://medium.com/@a.bahanshal/lessons-learned-from-the-tome-ai-pivot-1a7b76afa38e>
18. AI Startup Tome Pivots to Enterprise with Layoffs and New Focus - Ai Workplace, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://aiworkplace.com/generative-ai/ai-startup-tome-pivots-to-enterprise-with-layoffs-and-new-focus/>
19. Before you try: I tested 6 different AI agents for building presentations so you don't have to. : r/powerpoint - Reddit, accessed December 20, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/powerpoint/comments/1pc9e17/before_you_try_i_tested_6_different_ai_agents_for/
20. accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.pageon.ai/blog/how-to-convert-tome-ai-presentations-into-powerpoint#:~:text=Saving%20the%20File%20in%20PowerPoint%20Format&text=Click%20on%20%22File%22%20and%20select,for%20sharing%20or%20further%20editing.>
21. Tome AI: A 2025 Deep Dive into the AI Storyteller's Dramatic Pivot - Skywork.ai, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://skywork.ai/skypage/en/Tome-AI-A-2025-Deep-Dive-into-the-AI-Storyteller's-Dramatic-Pivot/1972903305876140032>
22. Tome's founders ditch viral presentation app with 20M users to build AI-native CRM Lightfield - NOVALOGIQ, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://novalogiq.com/2025/11/20/tomes-founders-ditch-viral-presentation-app-with-20m-users-to-build-ai-native-crm-lightfield/>
23. AngelList x Tome, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.angellist.com/blog/angellist-x-tome>
24. Introducing: AngelList Investor Portal, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.angellist.com/blog/introducing-angelist-investor-portal>
25. The Best Alternative to Tome | Presentations.AI, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.presentations.ai/compare/tome-vs-presentations-ai>
26. Gamma vs Beautiful.ai vs Canva AI: Best AI Presentation Makers (2025) - Monsha, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://monsha.ai/blog/canva-vs-gamma-vs-beautifulai-the-new-wave-of-ai-presentation-makers>
27. The Top 10 Best AI Presentation Makers | The Beautiful Blog, accessed December 20, 2025, <https://www.beautiful.ai/blog/best-ai-presentation-makers>
28. The Best AI Presentation Tools in 2026 - I tested all of them so you don't have to - Reddit, accessed December 20, 2025,

https://www.reddit.com/r/powerpoint/comments/1pjtkfh/the_best_ai_presentation_tools_in_2026_i_tested/

29. VC fund stacks - Signature Block, accessed December 20, 2025,
<https://www.signatureblock.co/articles/vc-fund-stacks>