Decision Records / Use Plain JUnit5 for advanced test assertions

# Use Plain JUnit5 for advanced test assertions

## Context and Problem Statement

How to write readable test assertions? How to write readable test assertions for advanced tests?

# **Considered Options**

- Plain JUnit5
- Hamcrest
- AssertJ

## **Decision Outcome**

Chosen option: "Plain JUnit5", because comes out best (see below).

# Positive Consequences

- Tests are more readable
- More easy to write tests
- More readable assertions

# **Negative Consequences**

More complicated testing leads to more complicated assertions

# Pros and Cons of the Options

# Plain JUnit5

Homepage: <a href="https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/">https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/</a> JabRef testing guidelines: <a href="https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/">https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/</a> JabRef testing guidelines: <a href="https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/">https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/</a> JabRef testing guidelines: <a href="https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/">https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/</a> JabRef testing guidelines:

#### Example:

```
String actual = markdownFormatter.format(source);
assertTrue(actual.contains("Markup<br />"));
```

```
assertTrue(actual.contains("list item one"));
assertTrue(actual.contains("list item 2"));
assertTrue(actual.contains("> rest"));
assertFalse(actual.contains("\n"));
```

- Good, because Junit5 is "common Java knowledge"
- Bad, because complex assertions tend to get hard to read
- Bad, because no fluent API

#### Hamcrest

Homepage: https://github.com/hamcrest/JavaHamcrest

- Good, because offers advanced matchers (such as contains)
- Bad, because not full fluent API
- Bad, because entry barrier is increased

### AssertJ

Homepage: <a href="https://joel-costigliola.github.io/assertj/">https://joel-costigliola.github.io/assertj/</a>

Example:

```
assertThat(markdownFormatter.format(source))
    .contains("Markup<br />")
    .contains("list item one")
    .contains("list item 2")
    .contains("> rest")
    .doesNotContain("\n");
```

- Good, because offers fluent assertions
- Good, because allows partial string testing to focus on important parts
- · Good, because assertions are more readable
- Bad, because not commonly used
- Bad, because newcomers have to learn an additional language to express test cases
- Bad, because entry barrier is increased
- Bad, because expressions of test cases vary from unit test to unit test

## Links

German comparison between Hamcrest and AssertJ: <a href="https://www.sigs-datacom.de/uploads/tx">https://www.sigs-datacom.de/uploads/tx</a> dmjournals/philipp JS 06 15 gRfN.pdf