

Reviewdog findings are code reviews

Context and Problem Statement

JabRef offers [guidelines to setup the local workspace](#). There is also a section on [JabRef's code style](#). There are pull requests by newcomers, which do not follow that style guide.

How to quickly provide feedback to contributors that checkstyle was not matched?

Decision Drivers

- Be friendly to newcomers
- Provide fast feedback to contributors
- Lower the workload of maintainers
- Keep maintainers focused on the “real” challenges of the code changes

Considered Options

- Use [Reviewdog's PullRequest review reporter](#)
- Use [Reviewdog's check reporter](#)
- Use [comment-failure-action](#)

Decision Outcome

Chosen option: “Use Reviewdog's PullRequest review reporter”, because resolves force to provide fast feedback. We do not want to use `comment-failure-action`, because [it might produce too many comments](#). We accept that newcomers might be annoyed if quick automatic feedback by a bot is given: We value the time of our maintainers and want to keep them focused on the real challenges of the code changes.
