

# Midterm Review Sheet

Advanced Logic  
19th October 2022

Here is a list of topics from the class so far that may come up on the midterm, and some sample problems related to these topics.

## 1 Basics of set theory

Axioms of Extensionality and Separation. Definitions:  $\subseteq$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$ ,  $\setminus$ ; relation; converse; serial, functional, surjective, injective; function, injection, surjection, bijection; reflexive, symmetric, transitive, antisymmetric relation on a set. Power set. Union and intersection of a set of sets.

*Sample problem:* Show that for any sets  $A, B, C$ ,  $C \setminus (A \cap B) = (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B)$ .

*Sample problem:* Show that if  $R$  and  $S$  are reflexive and symmetric,  $R \circ S$  is reflexive and symmetric. Give an example that shows that even if  $R$  and  $S$  are transitive (as well as being reflexive and symmetric),  $R \circ S$  need not be transitive.

## 2 Sizes of sets

Equinumerous ( $\sim$ ); ‘at least as big as’ ( $\lesssim$ ); Dedekind-infinite set; finite set. Understand the statement of Cantor's theorem and its proof. Statement of Schröder-Bernstein and Cardinal Comparability theorems. Basic facts about finitude and countability (see list in lecture 8).

*Sample problem:* Show that when  $A \sim B$  and  $C \sim D$ ,  $A \times C \sim B \times D$ .

*Sample problem:* Suppose that  $R$  is a relation on  $A$ . Show that there exists a subset  $B$  of  $A$  such that there is no  $x \in A$  such that for all  $y$ ,  $Rxy$  iff  $y \in B$ .

*Sample problem:* Show, using the definitions of ‘finite’ and ‘countably infinite’, that the union of a finite set and a countably infinite set is countably infinite.

## 3 Closed sets

Set closed under a relation (or family of relations); closure of a set under a relation (or family of relations); proof by induction that every member of such a set has a certain property.

*Sample problem:* Suppose  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $R$  is a transitive, reflexive relation on  $A$ , and  $C$  is the closure of  $B$  under  $R$ . Show that for every  $y \in C$ , there is some  $x \in B$  such that  $Rxy$ .

## 4 Numbers and lists

The Axiom of Numbers and Axiom of Lists. Proving by induction that every member of  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $A^*$  has some property. Format of a definition by recursion of a function whose argument is

a number or list. Using such a definition to calculate the value of a function on a particular argument. Using such a definition in a proof by induction.

*Sample problem:* Give a recursive definition of a function that maps every string to the corresponding palindrome, e.g. mapping **cat** to **cattac**. (Your definition may use the string concatenation operation  $\oplus$ .) Prove that your function does in fact map **cat** to **cattac**.

*Sample problem:* Prove by induction that  $1 + n = \text{succ } n$  for every number  $n$ , using just the recursion clauses  $n + 0 = n$  and  $n + \text{succ } m = \text{succ}(n + m)$  and the definition  $1 = \text{succ } 0$ .

*Sample problem:* Consider the functions  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{N}$  defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{succs } 0 &:= \mathbb{N} \\ \text{succs}(\text{succ } n) &:= (\text{succs } n) \setminus \{n\}\end{aligned}$$

Prove by induction that  $n \in \text{succs } n$  for all  $n$ .

## 5 First-order syntax

Relational signature; first-order signature. Terms versus formulae. Proving by induction that a certain property applies to all terms, or all formulae, of a certain signature  $\Sigma$ . Technique of recursive definition for terms and formulae. Intuitive understanding of the *occurs free in* relation between variables and terms/formulae, and of the operation of substituting a term for all free occurrences of a variable in a term or formula (I won't expect you to have memorized the official definitions of these concepts).

*Sample problem:* Give a recursive definition of a function 'complexity' that maps every formula of a certain language  $\mathcal{L}$  to the number of occurrences of the logical connectives **¬**, **∧**, **∨**, **→**, **∀**, **∃** in that formula. So, e.g., it should come out that  $\text{complexity}(x = y) = 0$ , and  $\text{complexity}(\forall x(x = y \vee \neg(x = z))) = 3$ . Prove that the function you defined actually does map  $x = y$  to 0 and  $\forall x(x = y \vee \neg(x = z))$  to 3.

*Sample problem:* Let  $\Sigma$  be a signature containing just one predicate  $F$ , of arity 1. Prove by induction that the last character of every formula in  $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$  is **)**.

## 6 Provability

General concept of and nomenclature for provability (in classical logic). (I'll provide a list of all the 18 rules in the definition of  $\vdash$  on the exam, so I don't need you to memorize these; I may also include a few other handy results like Cut and Contraposition that you'll be allowed to use.)

*Sample problem:* Show (using only the official rules, or the  $w$ -subscripted generalizations) that that for any formulas  $P$  and  $Q$ , the following conditions are equivalent: (i)  $P \vdash \neg Q$ ; (ii)  $Q \vdash \neg P$ ; (iii)  $\vdash \neg(P \wedge Q)$ .

*Sample problem:* Recall that  $\vdash_M$  is the relation defined like  $\vdash$  but without the DNE rule. Show that for any formula  $P$ ,  $\neg\neg\neg P \vdash_M \neg P$ .