

Problem Set 10

Advanced Logic

15th November 2022

Due date: Friday, 18 November.

1. (50%) Show that the following are definable in the standard string structure.

(a) (10%) the set of all strings whose length is an even number.

1. convert the question to the weaker version the set of all strings whose length is a natural number and it is definable.
2. This step is trivial because there is only countable many formulas in the language, thus it can be mapped to natural number with a bijection
3. Now, we need to show that the even number case is definable.
4. Since to make a predicate definable in a signature we need to show that for all variables the function takes in can be mapped to a certain formula in the signature.
5. P is $y = x + x$
6. Base case, $y = 0 + 0$
7. IH : $y = x+1 + x+1$
8. $y = x+x + 2 = 2x+2$
9. x can be treated as a domain of the function that maps any string to the length of it.
10. $P = \forall x(Even(len(A))) \leftrightarrow \exists y(x = y + y)$

(b) (10%) the function that maps every ordered pair of strings $\langle s, t \rangle$ to its first element.

1. $F(v_1, v_2) = v_1$
2. $F \rightarrow P$
3. $P = (\forall y \exists x \text{ if } y = 1, \text{ then } x = 0, \text{ if } y = 0, \text{ then } x = 0)$

(c) (10%) the set of all ordered pairs of strings $\langle s, t \rangle$ such that s is a length-one substring of t

1. $t = a \oplus s$
2. $F: F(\langle s, t \rangle) = \langle s, a \oplus s \rangle$
3. $F \rightarrow P$
4. $P: \forall t \exists a \exists x (string(t) \wedge t = a \oplus s \wedge len(s) = 1 \wedge len(a) = len(t) - len(a))$

(d) (10%) the function that maps each string to an equally long string comprised entirely of spaces.

1. $F: F(len(s)) = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ v is the space that contains all the sentences, $len(v) = len(s)$
2. $F \rightarrow P$
3. $P: \forall x, \exists v_n (len(v) = len(s) \wedge n = extension(s))$

(e) (10%) the relation that holds between two strings s and t when s is a line of t —i.e., s would appear as a line if we pasted t into a text editor. That is: s doesn't contain any newlines, and either s is t , or s is an initial substring of t that's followed by a newline, or s is a final substring of t that's preceded by a newline, or s is a substring of t that's both preceded and followed by a newline.

1. 4 cases:
2. define: `newline()` as a function that string for the new line symbol

Reminder: The way to show that a set/relation is definable in a structure is to find a formula P such that when the structure is expanded with a definition

$$\forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\text{YourNewPredicate} (v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftrightarrow P)$$

the extension of `YourNewPredicate` will be the desired set/relation. For functions, you can instead consider definitions of the form

$$\forall v_1 \dots \forall v_{n+1} (v_{n+1} = \text{YourNewFunctionSymbol} (v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftrightarrow P)$$

You can built up your definitions in stages.

For this exercise, it is enough if you just write down definitions that work-o-I won't expect you to give the proof that they work (which will always just be a routine exercise in unpacking the definition of truth in a structure).

2. (25%) Suppose set X and binary relations R and R' are definable in a certain structure S with domain D_S . Show that the following are also definable:
 - (a) (5%) $D_S \setminus X$

- (a) S is domain, interpretation of strings and terms. Thus, X is the combination of terms and strings after the assignment function processing ie. capture free variables.
- (b) $D_S \setminus X$ means that F
- (c) $F : F(d) = d - X$
- (d) $F \rightarrow P$
- (e) $P = \forall S \exists D, \exists X (X \vee D_S \setminus X)$
- (b) $(5\%)R^{-1}$

- (a) converse of the relation
- (b) assume we have $r = (a, b)$
- (c) R^{-1} is just (b, a)
- (d) $F: F((a, b))$
- (e) $P: \forall a, \forall b, (a, b) \vee F(a, b) = (b, a)$
- (c) $(5\%)R \cup R'$

- (a) R' is the relation after a transformation ie. mapping
- (b) assume that we have a F , $F(R) = R'$
- (c) $P: \forall R, \exists R', R \cup R'$
- (d) literal meaning, extension of R
- (d) $(5\%)R \circ R'$

- (a) R' is the domain of R after a transformation
- (b) $F : R (R')$, R takes in the result of R' and get some result
- (c) $P : \forall R, \exists R', \text{exists } D. D = R'(X), D \cup R(D)$
- (e) $(5\%) \{d \mid Rdd\}$ 3. (25%) Here is a list of expressions in the language of strings, specified using various shorthands that have been introduced. For each one, say

- (i) what string it is (write out in full, with no shorthands like omitting parentheses or infix notation)
- (ii) whether it is a term or a formula
- (iii) what its free variables are (if any)
- (iv) if it's a term, what it denotes in the standard string structure on an assignment function that maps the variable x to the string cat.
- (v) if it's a formula, whether it is true in the standard string structure on an assignment function that maps the variable x to the string cat.

(vi) if it's a formula: whether it is valid, inconsistent, or neither.

- (a) ""
- (b) $x = "x"$
- (c) $x \oplus "" \oplus x$
- (d) $"x" \leq "" \oplus x$
- (e) $\exists x (x = "x")$
- (f) $\forall x (x \leq "" \rightarrow x = "")$
- (g) $(x = "x") ["x"/x]$
- (h) $\forall x (x = "x") ["x"/x]$
- (i) $\langle x \rangle$
- (j) $\langle x \rangle = "x"$
- (k) $\langle x = "x" \rangle$
- (l) $\langle \langle x \rangle \rangle$

EXTRA CREDIT: up to 10% for any of the following.

1. Suppose that structure S is explicit: that is, for every element of the domain, there is a term with no free variables that denotes it in S . Show that every finite subset of S 's is definable in S .

Reminder: given the definition of "finite subset", you can show that all finite subsets of a set have a certain property by showing that the empty set has the property and that if a set has it, so does any set derived from that set by adding one extra element.

2. Using the compactness theorem, prove that if a set of sentences (in any signature) is true in arbitrarily large finite structures, it is also true in some infinite structure. Hint: You can help yourself to the fact that for each n , there is a sentence I_n that is true exactly in those structures whose domain has size at least n —e.g., I_3 is $\exists x \exists y \exists z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(x = z) \wedge \neg(y = z))$. Any structure in which all these sentences are true must have an infinite domain.
3. When S is a nonstandard model of true arithmetic, and a and b are two elements of the domain of S , nonstandard model of arithmetic, say that $a \Gamma_s b$ iff $x \Gamma y$ is true in S on the assignment $[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b]$. Say that a and b are "in the same block" iff for some number n , either $x = y + \langle n \rangle$ or $x = y + \langle n \rangle$ is true in S on this assignment.

Prove that if a is a nonstandard element of the domain, then (i) there is a nonstandard element b such that $a \Gamma_s b$ and b is not in the same block as a , and (ii) a nonstandard element c such that $c \Gamma_s a$ and c is not in the same block as a .

Hint: you could start by showing that when two numbers are both nonstandard, their sum is not in the same block as either of them; then consider $a + a$. For part (ii), note that while true arithmetic doesn't imply that every number can be divided evenly by two, it does imply that whenever a number can't be divided evenly by two, its successor can.