## Problem Set 9

Advanced Logic 9th November 2022 (apologies for late release!) Due date: Friday, 11 November.

- 1. Suppose that h is a homomorphism from S to S', where S and S' are structures for some signature  $\Sigma$ .
  - (a) (30%) Show that  $[\![t]\!]_{S'}^{h \circ g} = h([\![t]\!]_S^g)$  for every term t of  $\Sigma$  and assignment g for S.
  - (b) (30%) Use the previous result to show that if h is an *embedding*, then for every quantifier-free formula P of  $\Sigma$  and assignment g for S, S',  $h \circ g \Vdash P$  iff S,  $g \Vdash P$ .
  - (c) (20%) Show that if h is an *isomorphism*, the claim in part (b) is true for all formulae (including those with quantifiers).
  - (d) (5%) Explain why (c) implies that isomorphic structures are elementarily equivalent.

Definitions: If S has domain D and interpretation function I and S' has domain D' and interpretation function I', a homomorphism from S to S' is a function  $h: D \to D'$  such that for every n-ary function symbol f of  $\Sigma$ ,  $h(I_f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = I'_f(hx_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and for every n-ary predicate F of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\langle hx_1, \ldots, hx_n \rangle \in I'_F$  whenever  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \in I_F$ .

An *embedding* is a homomorphism h with the further properties that (i) h is injective and (ii)  $\langle hx_1, \ldots, hx_n \rangle \notin I'_F$  whenever  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \notin I_F$ .

An isomorphism is an embedding that is surjective.

Two structures are elementarily equivalent iff the same sentences are true in them.

2. (15%) Prove the following (thus filling in one of the steps in the proof of the Completeness Theorem that was left as an exercise): if a set of formulae  $\Gamma$  is consistent and negation-complete, then for any formulae P and Q,  $P \vee Q \in \Gamma$  iff either  $P \in \Gamma$  or  $Q \in \Gamma$ .

## EXTRA CREDIT

- 1. (5%) Prove the following (thus filling in another missing step in the proof of the Completeness Theorem): if a set of formulae  $\Gamma$  is consistent and negation-complete, then for any formulae P and Q,  $P \to Q \in \Gamma$  iff either  $P \notin \Gamma$  or  $Q \in \Gamma$ .
- 2. (5%) Prove the following (thus filling in the last missing step in the proof of the Completeness Theorem): if a set of formulae  $\Gamma$  is consistent, negation-complete, and witness-complete then for any formula P and variable v,  $\exists vP \in \Gamma$  iff there is some term t such that  $P[t/v] \in \Gamma$ .
- 3. (10% --- more of a challenge) Show that if a structure S has a finite domain, then there is a sentence P true in S such that any other structure for the same signature in which P is true is isomorphic to S.

*Hint:* Try a sentence that begins with  $\exists x_1 \ldots \exists x_n$ , where n is the size of S's domain. What goes inside the existential quantifiers will be a big conjunction that, intuitively, says that  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are the only things there are and that they are all distinct, and fully characterises how they are related by the function symbols and predicates of the signature.