

Assignment 1 - Optimization Method

薛劭杰 1930026143

1. If $(2,2)^T$ is a convex combination of $(0,0)^T, (1,4)^T, (3,1)^T$, then we can get a system with three equations.

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$0 * \lambda_1 + 1 * \lambda_2 + 3 * \lambda_3 = 2 \quad (2)$$

$$0 * \lambda_1 + 4 * \lambda_2 + 1 * \lambda_3 = 2 \quad (3)$$

Solve this system, we can get $\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{11} \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{4}{11} \\ \lambda_3 = \frac{6}{11} \end{cases}$. Therefore, $(2,2)^T = \frac{1}{11}(0,0)^T +$

$$\frac{4}{11}(1,4)^T + \frac{6}{11}(3,1)^T.$$

2. Function $f(x)$ is convex, it satisfies $f(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x}) \leq \lambda f(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)f(\hat{x})$. When it comes to $g(x)$, the left-hand side of this inequality can be rewritten as: *left:* $k * f(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x})$. And the right-hand side of this inequality can be rewritten as: $\lambda k * f(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)k * f(\hat{x}) = k * (\lambda f(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)f(\hat{x}))$. If $k > 0$, the left-hand side of the inequality must be less than or equal to right.

$$k * f(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x}) \leq k * (\lambda f(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)f(\hat{x}))$$

\Downarrow

$$g(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x}) \leq \lambda g(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)g(\hat{x})$$

\Downarrow

Function $g(x)$ is convex

If $k < 0$, the left-hand side of the inequality must be larger than or equal to right.

$$k * f(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x}) \geq k * (\lambda f(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)f(\hat{x}))$$

\Downarrow

$$g(\lambda\bar{x} + (1-\lambda)\hat{x}) \geq \lambda g(\bar{x}) + (1-\lambda)g(\hat{x})$$

\Downarrow

Function $g(x)$ is concave

3. We know that $g(x)$ is a concave function, $f(x)$ is a convex function. Both of them depend on $R^{m \times n}$. $\beta(x) = f(x) - \mu \log(g(x))$ where μ is a positive-valued constant, and condition is on the set $S = \{x: g(x) > 0\}$.

$$\text{For } f(x): f(\lambda \bar{x} + (1 - \lambda)\hat{x}) \leq \lambda f(\bar{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\hat{x})$$

$$\text{For } g(x): g(\lambda \bar{x} + (1 - \lambda)\hat{x}) \geq \lambda g(\bar{x}) + (1 - \lambda)g(\hat{x})$$

$$\text{Set } h(t) = -\mu \log(t), h(g(x)) = -\mu \log(g(x)). \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = -\frac{\mu g'(x)}{g(x)}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 x} = -\frac{\mu g'(x)}{g(x)} = -\frac{\mu(g''(x)g(x) - (g'(x))^2)}{(g(x))^2}. \text{ Then we can analyze the result: since } g(x) \text{ is}$$

$$\text{concave, } g''(x) \leq 0 \rightarrow g''(x)g(x) \leq 0 \rightarrow \mu(g''(x)g(x) - (g'(x))^2) \leq 0 \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\mu(g''(x)g(x) - (g'(x))^2)}{(g(x))^2}. \quad \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial^2 x} = f''(x) + g''(x), \text{ since } f(x) \text{ is a convex function,}$$

$$f''(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow f''(x) + g''(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial^2 x} \geq 0. \text{ Thus, } \beta(x) \text{ is convex function in this case.}$$

4. We know that $\|x\|_2^2 = x^T x$. Then proved by:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i x_j = x_k^2 + \sum_{j \neq 1}^n x_k x_j + \sum_{i \neq 1}^n x_i x_k$$

Hence, for

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial k} = 2x_k + \sum_{j \neq 1}^n x_j + \sum_{i \neq 1}^n x_i$$

$$= 2x_k + 2 \sum_{j \neq 1}^n x_j \quad (a_{ik} = a_{kj}, \text{ here } a = I)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_j = 2x$$

5. (a) We know that $f(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_1x_3, x \in R^3$. And we should transform to the form $f(x) = x^T A x$, For matrix A , the diagnose of A is the quadratic coefficient, and other is the half of the coefficient of the first degree.

$$\text{So } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix}, f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(b) \nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) \nabla f(x)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 x_3} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 x_3} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_3 x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_3 x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 x_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(d) \text{ Calculate the determinant of } A - \lambda I. A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 - \lambda & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 4 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Thus,}$$

$\det(A - \lambda I) = (2 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) - 2 - (2 - \lambda) - (4 - \lambda) - (2 - \lambda) = 0$.
Solve this equality and we can get $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 0.4384, \lambda_3 = 4.5616$. All of the eigenvalue are larger than zero, so $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive semi-definite matrix which means that $f(x)$ is convex function.

6. We know that $A \in R^{m \times n}, x, b \in R, Q(x) = \|Ax - b\|_2^2$

$$(a) Q(x) = (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) = ((Ax)^T b^T)(Ax - b) = (x^T A^T - b^T)(Ax - b) \\ = x^T A^T Ax - x^T A^T b - b^T Ax + b^T b = x^T A^T Ax - 2Ab^T x + b^T b$$

$$\text{Since } (x^T Ax)' = 2Ax, \frac{\partial (x^T A^T Ax)}{\partial x} = 2A^T Ax.$$

$$\text{Since } (Ax)' = A, \frac{\partial (2Ab^T x)}{\partial x} = 2Ab^T$$

$$\text{Thus, } \nabla Q = 2A^T Ax - 2Ab^T.$$

(b) When $\nabla f = 0, x = (A^T A)^{-1} Ab^T$. Since $A \in R^{m \times n}, A^T A$ is not a singular matrix, which means that it must exist solution in this case.

7. We know that $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, we should calculate the determinant of $A - \lambda I$.

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & \alpha \\ \alpha & 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } \det(A - \lambda I) = (4 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - \alpha^2 = 0$$

Simplify the equality, $\lambda^2 - 6\lambda + (8 - \alpha^2) = 0$. According to Square root formula

$$\text{of quadratic equation with one unknown, } \lambda = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = \frac{6 \pm \sqrt{36 - (32 - 4\alpha^2)}}{2}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_1 = \frac{6 + \sqrt{4 + 4\lambda}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{6 - \sqrt{4 + 4\lambda}}{2}$. If both of them are larger than 0, is the

positive definite matrix and the positive semi-definite matrix. Since $\sqrt{4 + 4\lambda} \geq 0$, λ_1 must be larger than 0. For λ_2 , if $\frac{6 - \sqrt{4 + 4\lambda}}{2} = 0$, $\alpha = \pm 2\sqrt{2}$. If $\frac{6 - \sqrt{4 + 4\lambda}}{2} > 0$, $\alpha \in (-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2})$. To conclude, when $\alpha \in (-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2})$, A is the positive definite matrix and the positive semi-definite matrix. When $\alpha = \pm 2\sqrt{2}$, A is positive semi-definite matrix.