

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1 Conclusion and Future Work</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Summary of the results . . . . .	1
1.2 Future work . . . . .	2



# 01

## CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

---

WebAssembly has become a new technology for web browsers and standalone engines such as the ones used in Edge-Cloud platforms. WebAssembly is designed with security and sandboxing premises, yet, is still vulnerable. Besides, since it is a relatively new technology, new vulnerabilities appear in the wild faster than the adoption of patches and defenses. As a widely studied field, software diversification could be a solution for known and yet-unknown vulnerabilities. Yet, there is no research on this field for WebAssembly.

We propose an automatic approach to generate software diversification for WebAssembly in this work. In addition, we provide complementary implementation for our approaches, including a generic LLVM superdiversifier that potentially extends our ideas to other programming languages. We empirically demonstrate the impact of our approach by providing Randomization and Multivariant Execution (MVE) for WebAssembly. For this, we provide two tools, CROW and MEWE. CROW completely automatizes the process by using a superdiversifier. Besides, MEWE provides execution path randomization for an MVE. This chapter is organized into two sections. In Section 1.1, we summarize the main results we found by answering our research questions enunciated in ???. Finally, Section 1.2 describes potential future work that could extend this dissertation.

### ■ 1.1 Summary of the results

We enunciate the three research questions in ???. With the first research question, we investigate the static properties of the software diversification for WebAssembly generated by our approaches. We answer our first research question by creating programs variants for 3021 original programs. With CROW, we create program variants for the 11.78% of the programs in our corpora. We study the properties of the generated variants at the level of generated programs' population. Thus, we identify the challenges that attempt against the generation of unique program variants. Besides, we highlight the code properties that offer numerous program variants.

Complementary with our first research question, we evaluate the dynamic properties of the program variants generated to answer our first research question. We execute each of the 303 original programs and its generated variants for the Rosetta. For each execution, we collect their execution trace and their execution times. We demonstrate that the WebAssembly variants generated by CROW offer

remarkably different execution traces. Similarly, the execution times are different between each program and its variants. For the 71% of the diversified programs, at least one variant has an execution time distribution that is different from the original program's execution time distribution. Moreover, CROW generates both faster and slower variants. Nevertheless, we highlighted the importance of dynamic analysis for software diversification.

Our last and third research question evaluates the impact of providing a worldwide MVE for WebAssembly. We use MEWE to build multivariant binaries for the program variants generated for Libsodium and QRCode corpora. We deploy the generated multivariant binaries in an Edge-Cloud platform, collecting their execution times. The addition of runtime path randomization to multivariant binaries provides significant differences between the execution of the original binary and the multivariant binary. The observed differences lead us to conclude that no specific variant can be inferred from studying the execution time of the multivariant binaries. Therefore, attacks that rely on measuring precise execution times are more challenging to conduct.

Overall, these results show that our approaches can provide an automated end-to-end solution for the diversification of WebAssembly programs. Our approaches harden observable properties commonly used to conduct attacks, such as static code analysis, execution traces, and execution time. Therefore, our approaches harden unknown and yet-unknown vulnerabilities.

## ■ 1.2 Future work

Along with this dissertation, we highlighted challenges and limitations. In all cases, we proposed solutions, yet, some of them could be explored more in-depth as a call for optimization. For example, as we mentioned in ?? our solution provides program variants but remarkably lower unique variants as a consequence of the replacement combining process of CROW (??). Techniques relying on intelligent heuristics could help increase the generation of unique variants by early discarding unsound combinations. On the other hand, constant inferring does not always finish in a successful replacement due to the CROW's obliviousness to some computation models, such as memory operations. A solution could also be to use heuristics to select which part of the code is more probable to become a constant assignment.

As we mentioned in ??, another approach to providing software diversification for Wasm could be binary to binary transformations. This approach could be used to increase resilience in malware classifiers through the study of diversification as an obfuscation technique [? ]. Obfuscation of Wasm code could be used to measure the accuracy of malware classifiers.

By using a superdiversifier, the generated code transformations outperform hand-written transformations. Evidence of this is the CVE<sup>1</sup> found in the code

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.fastly.com/blog/defense-in-depth-stopping-a-wasm-compiler-bug-before-it-became-a-problem>

generation component of wasmtime. We found this CVE during the implementation of MEWE with one of the generated variants. This highlighted the need for better strategies for stressing compilers, interpreters, and validators of Wasm. CROW and MEWE might improve fuzzing campaigns [? ], preventing vulnerabilities by providing better testing.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Bhansali,S., Aris,A., Acar,A., Oz,H., and Uluagac,A. S. (2022). A first look at code obfuscation for webassembly. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks*, WiSec '22, page 140–145, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Zalewski,M. (2017). American fuzzy lop.