

- [45] B. Cox, D. Evans, A. Filipi, J. Rowanhill, W. Hu, J. Davidson, J. Knight, A. Nguyen-Tuong, and J. Hiser, “N-variant systems: a secretless framework for security through diversity,” in *Proc. of USENIX Security Symposium*, USENIX-SS’06, 2006.
- [46] J. Cabrera Arteaga, “Artificial software diversification for webassembly,” 2022. QC 20220909.
- [47] M. Jacob, M. H. Jakubowski, P. Naldurg, C. W. N. Saw, and R. Venkatesan, “The superdiversifier: Peephole individualization for software protection,” in *International Workshop on Security*, pp. 100–120, Springer, 2008.
- [48] R. Sasnauskas, Y. Chen, P. Collingbourne, J. Ketema, G. Lup, J. Taneja, and J. Regehr, “Souper: A Synthesizing Superoptimizer,” *arXiv preprint 1711.04422*, 2017.
- [49] J. Cabrera Arteaga, P. Laperdrix, M. Monperrus, and B. Baudry, “Multi-Variant Execution at the Edge,” *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2108.08125, Aug. 2021.
- [50] S. Bhatkar, D. C. DuVarney, and R. Sekar, “Address obfuscation: an efficient approach to combat a board range of memory error exploits,” in *Proceedings of the USENIX Security Symposium*, 2003.
- [51] S. Narayan, C. Disselkoen, D. Moghimi, S. Cauligi, E. Johnson, Z. Gang, A. Vahldiek-Oberwagner, R. Sahita, H. Shacham, D. Tullsen, *et al.*, “Swivel: Hardening webassembly against spectre,” in *USENIX Security Symposium*, 2021.
- [52] E. Johnson, D. Thien, Y. Alhessi, S. Narayan, F. Brown, S. Lerner, T. McMullen, S. Savage, and D. Stefan, “Sfi safety for native-compiled wasm,” *NDSS. Internet Society*, 2021.
- [53] J. Cabrera-Arteaga, N. Fitzgerald, M. Monperrus, and B. Baudry, “WASM-MUTATE: Fast and Effective Binary Diversification for WebAssembly,” *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2309.07638, Sept. 2023.
- [54] M. Willsey, C. Nandi, Y. R. Wang, O. Flatt, Z. Tatlock, and P. Panchekha, “Egg: Fast and extensible equality saturation,” *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, vol. 5, jan 2021.
- [55] A. Homescu, S. Neisius, P. Larsen, S. Brunthaler, and M. Franz, “Profile-guided automated software diversity,” in *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization (CGO)*, pp. 1–11, IEEE, 2013.

- [56] D. Cao, R. Kunkel, C. Nandi, M. Willsey, Z. Tatlock, and N. Polikarpova, “Babble: Learning better abstractions with e-graphs and anti-unification,” *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, vol. 7, jan 2023.
- [57] R. Tate, M. Stepp, Z. Tatlock, and S. Lerner, “Equality saturation: A new approach to optimization,” in *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, POPL ’09, (New York, NY, USA), p. 264–276, Association for Computing Machinery, 2009.
- [58] T. Rokicki, C. Maurice, M. Botvinnik, and Y. Oren, “Port contention goes portable: Port contention side channels in web browsers,” in *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM on Asia Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, ASIA CCS ’22, (New York, NY, USA), p. 1182–1194, Association for Computing Machinery, 2022.
- [59] S. Narayan, C. Disselkoen, D. Moghimi, S. Cauligi, E. Johnson, Z. Gang, A. Vahldiek-Oberwagner, R. Sahita, H. Shacham, D. Tullsen, and D. Stefan, “Swivel: Hardening WebAssembly against spectre,” in *30th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 21)*, pp. 1433–1450, USENIX Association, Aug. 2021.
- [60] T. Schnitzler, K. Kohls, E. Bitsikas, and C. Pöpper, “Hope of delivery: Extracting user locations from mobile instant messengers,” in *30th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, NDSS 2023, San Diego, California, USA, February 27 - March 3, 2023*, The Internet Society, 2023.
- [61] A. Hilbig, D. Lehmann, and M. Pradel, “An empirical study of real-world webassembly binaries: Security, languages, use cases,” *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, 2021.

Part II

Included papers

