

Chapter 2

Variant’s assessment

RQ2. To what extent the generated variants are different ?

In this chapter, we investigate whether the artificially created variants are different. We propose a methodology to compare the program variants both statically and during runtime. Besides, we present a novel study on code preservation, demonstrating that the code transformations introduced by CROW are resilient to later compiling transformations during machine code generation. We evaluate the variant’s assessment in both existing scenarios for WebAssembly, browsers and standalone engines.

2.1 Metrics

In this section we propose the metrics used along this chapter to answer RQ2. We define the metrics to compare an original program and its variants statically and during runtime. Besides, we proposed the metrics to compare program variants preservation.

2.1.0.1 Static

To measure the static difference between programs, we compare their bytecode instructions using a global alignment approach. In a previous work of us [] we empirically demonstrated that programs semantic can be detected out of natural diversity.

Metric 1 *DTW*

2.1.0.2 Program traces

We measure the difference between programs at runtime by evaluating their execution trace, at function and instruction level. Also, we include the measuring of the

execution time of the programs.

Metric 2 *DTW*

2.1.0.3 **Compilers preservation**

The later metric is needed because WebAssembly is an intermediate language and compilers use it to produce machine code. For program variants, this means that compilers can undo artificial introduced transformations, for example, through optimization passes. When a code transformation is maintained from the first time it is introduced to the final machine code generation is a preserved variant.

Part of the contributions of this thesis are our strategies to prevent reversion of code transformations. We take engineering decision regarding this in all the stages of the CROW workflow. First, we disable all optimizations inside CROW in the generation of the WebAssembly binaries. This prevents the LLVM toolchain used to remove some introduced transformations. However, the LLVM toolchain applies optimizations by default, such as constant folding or logical operations’ normalization. As we illustrate previously, these are some transformations found and applied by CROW. We modified the LLVM backend for WebAssembly to avoid this reversion during the creation of Wasm binaries. This phenomenon is sometimes bypassed by diversification studies when they are conducted at high-level. As another contribution, we conduct a study on preservation for both scenarios where Wasm is used, browsers and standalone engines. In

The final metric corresponds to the preservation study. We compare two programs to be different under the WebAssembly representation and under the machine code representation (x86) after they are compiled through several engines. The engines used are listed in Table 2.1. We only measure the difference in x86 after the WebAssembly code is compiled to the machine target. This decision is not arbitrary, according to the study of [?], any conclusion carried out by comparing two program binaries under target can be extrapolated to another target of the same binaries.

Metric 3 *Preservation*

2.2 **Setup**

To answer RQ2 we use the same corpora proposed and evaluated in chapter 1.

2.3 **Evaluation**

2.3.1 **Corpora**

To answer RQ2, we use the corpora proposed in chapter 1.



Name	Properties
V8 	V8 is the engine used by Chrome and NodeJS to execute JavaScript and WebAssembly. TODO Explain compilation process
wasmtime 	Wasmtime is a standalone runtime for WebAssembly. This engine is used by the Fastly platform to provide Edge-Cloud computing services. TODO Explain compilation process

Table 2.1: Wasm engines used during the diversification assessment study. The table is composed by the name of the engine and the description of the compilation process for them.

2.3.2 Setup

2.4 Results

2.5 Conclusions

Appended papers