

# Design and Analysis of Algorithms

## L23: Job Scheduling

Dr. Ram P Rustagi  
Sem IV (2019-H1)  
Dept of CSE, KSIT/KSSEM  
[rprustagi@ksit.edu.in](mailto:rprustagi@ksit.edu.in)

# Resources

- Text book 2: Sec 4.1, 4.3, 4.4
- Text book 1: Sec 9.1-5.4 - Levitin
- RI: Introduction to Algorithms
  - Cormen et al.
- MIT Open Course Ware
  - <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/job-sequencing-using-disjoint-set-union/>

# Example Case

- In college fest which starts at 9:00am, there are a number of available events as below to participate, and each event takes 1 unit of time (e.g. 1hr).
  - Each event has different awards values
  - Each event has its own closing timeline.

Event	Closing	Award
<b>Mimcry</b>	12:00	200
<b>Drama</b>	11:00	100
<b>Painting</b>	12:00	90
<b>Dance</b>	10:00	50
<b>JAM</b>	11:00	125
<b>Singing</b>	10:00	60

Deadline
3
2
3
1
2
1

**Q: What is the max award you can get?**

# Greedy Job Scheduling

- A set of  $n$  jobs to run on a computer
- Each job  $i$  has a deadline  $d_i \geq 1$  and profit  $p_i \geq 0$
- There is only one computer
- Each job takes one unit of time (simplification)
- Profit is earned when job is completed by deadline
- Find the subset of jobs that maximizes the profit, i.e.

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{i \in J} P_i$$

Note: It belongs to subset paradigm since we are looking at subset of jobs.

# Example: Job Scheduling

Job	Profit	Dead-line
1	100	2
2	10	1
3	15	2
4	27	1

Optimal Solution: 1,4

Feasible Solutions	Profit
1	100
2	10
3	15
4	27
1,2	110
1,3	115
1,4	127
2,3	25
3,4	42

# Job Scheduling: Greedy Approach

- What should be the optimization measure to schedule the next job?
- First attempt:
  - Choose  $\sum_{i \in J} P_i$  as the optimization measure
  - i.e. choose a job that increases this value maximum
    - Subject to constraint of the deadline i.e.  $J$  (set of jobs) should be feasible solution.
  - How to choose jobs:
    - Order jobs in decreasing order of profit
    - Choose job one at a time as per this order and add to the solution if solution remains feasible.

# Job Scheduling: Greedy Approach

Job	Pro fit	De ad- line
1	100	2
2	10	1
3	15	2
4	27	1

- Application of First Greedy approach
  - Job 1 is added to J. Feasible  $\{1\}$
  - Next: Job 4 is considered as per order.
    - Is set  $J = \{1, 4\}$  feasible.
      - Yes if 4-1, No if 1-4
    - Thus  $\{1, 4\}$  is feasible solution.
  - Next: Job 3 is considered,  
 $\{1, 4, 3\}$  is infeasible, thus J remains  $\{1, 4\}$
  - Next: Job 2 is considered  
 $\{1, 4, 2\}$  is infeasible thus J remains  $\{1, 4\}$
  - The max profit is 127 for  $J = \{1, 4\}$
- Time complexity :
  - $n!$  to evaluate feasibility for a given set

# Job Scheduling: Feasible Solution

- How to determine that a given set of jobs constitute feasible solution.
- Try out all possible permutations in jobs  $J$ 
  - Check for each permutation if jobs can be scheduled meeting the deadlines.
- Easy to check or a given permutation  $\sigma = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ 
  - Job  $i_q$  must be completed by time  $q$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq k$
  - If for some job  $i_q$ ,  $q > d_{i_q}$ , then job  $i_q$  is not completed by  $d_{i_q}$ .
- When  $|J| = k$ , all  $k!$  permutations must be checked
- Can we find one permutation that meets the need?
  - Order the jobs in non-decreasing order of deadlines



# Proof for Feasible Solution

- Theorem 1:
  - Let  $J$  be the set of  $k$  jobs and  $\sigma = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  is a permutation of jobs in  $J$  such that  $d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq d_{i_k}$ .  
Then  $J$  is a feasible solution if and only if (*iff*) the jobs in  $J$  can be processed in the order  $\sigma$  without violating any deadline.
- Theorem 2:
  - The greedy method describes above always obtains an optimal solution to the job scheduling problem.

# Algo High Level

```
Algo GreedyJob(int d[], set J, int n) {  
    // J is set of jobs that can be completed in deadlines d[]  
    J = {1}  
    for i = 2 to n {  
        if all jobs in J ∪ {i} can be completed, then  
            // by their deadlines  
            J = J ∪ {i}  
    }  
}
```

# Algo-I: Job Scheduling

```
int JobSchedule2(int d[], int j[], int n) {  
    //  $n \geq 1$ , and deadlines  $d[i] \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$   
    // Jobs are ordered such that their profits are in non-  
    // increasing order i.e.  $p[1] \geq p[2] \geq \dots \geq p[n]$ .  
    //  $J[i]$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  job in the optimal solution with  $k \leq n$  jobs  
    // At algo termination,  $d[J[i]] \leq d[j[i+1]]$ ,  $1 \leq i < k$   
  
    // Initialize  
    d[0] = 0 // fictitious job with deadline of 0  
    // allows for job insertion at position 1 later.  
    J[0] = 0 // this job is boundary and can't be scheduled  
    J[1] = 1 // start with job 1 with highest profit  
    k = 1 // job set size is 1 to start with
```

# Algo1: Job Scheduling

```
for (i=2; i≤n; i++) {  
    // consider jobs in non-increasing order of p[i]  
    // find pos for J[i] and check for feasibility of insertion  
    int r = k //job set size  
    while ( (d[J[r]]>d[i]) && (d[J[r]]!=r) )  
        r--; //find position where job i can be considered.  
    if ( (d[J[r]]≤d[i]) && (d[J[r]]>r) ) {  
        //insert i into J[]  
        for (int q=k; q≥(r+1); q--)  
            J[q+1]=J[q] // increase deadline of jobs by 1.  
        J[r+1]=i  
        k++ // since job i is feasible, increase the set size.  
    } //end if  
} //end for i  
return k  
}
```

# Algo-1: Time Complexity

- For loop run  $n$  times.
  - Each job needs to be considered.
- if  $K$  is the value of max deadline, then
  - Inside while loop plus for loop (for shifting slots) may run  $K$  times.
- Time complexity:  $O(nK)$
- Considering  $K$  is of order of  $n$  (if all jobs can be scheduled)
- Time complexity:  $O(n^2)$

# Algo-2: Job Scheduling

```
//Approach: schedule a job in the slot where it meets deadline.  
// If no slot is available before deadline, then job is not scheduled.  
// jobs are ordered in non-increasing order as per deadlines.  
int JobSchedule-1(int d[], int j[], int n) {  
    //  $n \geq 1$ , and deadlines  $d[i] \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$   
    // Jobs are ordered such that their profits are in non-  
    // increasing order i.e.  $p[1] \geq p[2] \geq \dots \geq p[n]$  .  
    // Job[i] is  $i^{\text{th}}$  job in the optimal solution with  $k \leq n$  jobs  
    // At algo termination,  $d[\text{Job}[i]] \leq d[\text{job}[i+1]]$  ,  
    //  $1 \leq i < k$   
    // Initialization  
     $k = 0$  ; // size of Job schedule  
    for  $i = 1$  to  $n$   
        slot[i] = False // all slots are initialized to false
```

# Algo-2: Job Scheduling

```
for (i=1; i≤n; i++) {  
    // consider jobs in non-increasing order of p[i]  
    //check if any slot available before deadline  
    while (j=d[i]; j>0; j-) {  
        //find position where job i can be considered.  
        if (slot[j] == False{  
            //Add jobs to the slot  
            slot[j] = True;  
            Job[j] = i;  
            k++;  
            break  
        } //end if  
    } //end while  
} // end for  
return k  
}
```

# Algo-2: Time Complexity

- For loop run  $n$  times.
  - Each job needs to be considered.
- if  $K$  is the value of max deadline, then
  - while loop may run  $K$  times.
- Time complexity:  $O(nK)$
- Considering  $K$  is of order of  $n$  (if all jobs can be scheduled)
- Time complexity:  $O(n^2)$



# Fast Job Scheduling (Union-Find)

- Let  $i$  denote the timeslot  $i$ 
  - At the start time, each time slot is its own set
- There are  $m$  timeslots, where
$$m = \min(n, \max(d_i))$$
  - i.e. the latest deadline
- Each set of  $k$  slots has a value  $F(k)$  for all slots  $i$  in set  $k$ 
  - $F(k)$  : Stores highest free timeslot before this time
  - $F(k)$  : Defined only for root node in set
- Initially all slots are free

# Summary

- Job Scheduling
  - Greedy approach: Schedule as per profit and deadline
- Two approaches
  - Schedule the job in earliest slot and then keep shifting right
  - Schedule the job in the deadline slot or look for slots earlier than the deadline