cboot Introduction

Tomoki Ohtsuki

April 10, 2016

Contents

1	The basic functionality and several important classes		
	1.1	Context objects	
	1.2	Conformal block approximation and prefactor_numerator class	2
2	Hov	w actual codes proceed	
	2.1	3d Bosonic example	•
		2.1.1 The Ising model binary search	•
		2.1.2 Central charge lower bound	
		2.1.3 Bound on ϵ'	ţ
	2.2	O(n)-example	
		2.2.1 Bounds for S-sector and T-sector	6
		2.2.2 A bit simplified version	7
	2.3	$d=3, \mathcal{N}=2$ example	
	2.4	A mixed correlator example	1
\mathbf{A}	Con	nformal block convention	14

1 The basic functionality and several important classes

1.1 Context objects

The first thing you do in cboot is to create a *context object*, which stores several truncation parameters and parameter-dependent constants and methods. They include e.g.

• derivative cutoff Λ : it appears e.g. in the truncation of the Ising model bootstrap study as

find
$$\{a_{m,n}\}$$
 with
$$\sum_{\substack{m,n\geq 0\\m+n\leq \Lambda}} a_{m,n} \partial_x^m \partial_y^n F_{\Delta,l}^{(-)}(\Delta_{\phi}; x, y) \geq 0 \text{ for } \Delta \geq \Delta_{\min}.$$

One of the motivations for introducing context object is that it is quite easy encounter a bug once you input inconsistent value of Λ .

- Working numerical precision
- Max expansion order in ρ -series
- Matrix representing coordinate transform $\rho \to x$
- Matrix representing coordinate transform $(z, \bar{z}) \to (x, y)$
- A method to create a matrix which represents convolution with $v^{\delta} = \{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})\}^{\delta}$, accompanied by (anti-)symmetrization w.r.t. $z \leftrightarrow (1-z)$.

The most basic (minimal) context object is called cb_universal_context and is implemented in the file context_object.pyx. However, you will rarely use this class directly, as it has no method to create conformal blocks¹. The conformal block creation methods are attributes of its descendant classes, introduced in the next subsection.

1.2 Conformal block approximation and prefactor_numerator class

Context objects with conformal block computation methods can be created by context_for_scalar function:

```
import sage.cboot as cb
context_3d=cb.context_for_scalar(Lambda=13,epsilon=0.5)
```

The parameter argument Lambda refers to the derivative cutoff Λ and the epsilon parameter specifies the space-time dimensionality, $\epsilon = \frac{d-2}{2}$, so here we constructed a context for d=3 bootstrap study. The expansion order in ρ -series (default:250) and working binary precisions (default:800) too are keyword arguments.

The returned value context_3d is an instance of scalar_cb_context_generic, which inherits from cb_universal_context and is equipped with the method to calculate the conformal blocks (up to given derivative cutoff).

context_for_scalar returns different (inherited) classes when called with $\epsilon=0$ and $\epsilon=1$, i.e., d=2 and d=4: we prepare different classes in these cases, as the rational approximation of the conformal block is special in the sense that it contains double poles. To avoid this complication, the exact expression by Dolan and Osborn is implemented. The names of methods and attributes relevant for the bootstrap study is common, so code valid In practice, you don't have to care these slight difference

Now this context has the method to compute conformal block. For constant value of Δ , use gBlock method

```
import sage.cboot as cb
context_3d=cb.context_for_scalar(Lambda=13,epsilon=0.5)
g_em=context_3d.gBlock(2,3,0,0)
```

The first argument refers to spin and second to operator dimension. The third and fourth arguments, which are both 0 now, specify the dimension difference of external operator Δ_{12} and Δ_{34} . The resulting object g_em is a numpy array containing the conformal block and its (x, y)-derivatives as multi-precision numbers.

To approximate the conformal block, use approx_cb method:

```
g_approx=context_3d.approx_cb(13,2)
```

The first argument (13 here) is the cutoff $\nu_{\rm max}$ (defined in [1]) for the number of poles included in the approximation, and the second one (2 here) is the spin of approximated block. In this function, Δ_{12} and Δ_{34} are keyword arguments whose default values are 0.

g_approx is an instance of class prefactor_numerator, which wraps the information of poles (it is another class, damped_rational) and array of polynomials.

Let us see g_approx correctly approximates the conformal block: type

```
print float(max(abs(g_approx(3)-g_em)))
```

The argument of g_approx (which is 3 here) specifies the value of Δ . You can also verify that increasing ν_{max} will lead to a better approximation:

```
g_approx=context_3d.approx_cb(20,2)
print float(max(abs(g_approx(3)-g_em)))
```

There are other methods useful for the conformal bootstrap studies, but they can be better explained in a tutorial fashion.

¹The reason for the separation is just technical – I wanted to save re-compile time by separating functionality.

2 How actual codes proceed

2.13d Bosonic example

import sage.cboot as cb

Let us play with the simplest examples contained in examples/3dBosonic.py.

The Ising model binary search

We have already explained what the first two lines of the code mean:

```
context=cb.context_for_scalar(epsilon=0.5,Lambda=13)
   In the following lines,
lmax=25
nu_max=12
cbs={}
for spin in range(0,lmax,2):
    g=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin)
    cbs.update({spin:g})
```

we are storing rational approximation of conformal blocks in the dictionary cbs.

Then, let us proceed to the definition of make_F. This is a function to create the convolved conformal block with given spin and extremal operator dimension δ :

```
def make_F(delta,spin,gap_dict):
    mat_F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta)
    try:
        gap=context(gap_dict[spin])
    except KeyError:
        if spin==0:
            gap=context.epsilon
        else:
            gap=2*context.epsilon+spin
    g_shift=cbs[spin].shift(gap)
    F=context.dot(mat_F,g_shift)
    return F
   In the definition of mat_F
    mat_F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta)
```

the method F_minus_matrix of the context object creates the matrix representing the convolution with $\{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})\}^{\delta}$ together with anti-symmetrization in $z \leftrightarrow (1-z)$.

The next several lines are to shift Δ by gap. To determine the amount of shift (gap), the code first search the input dictionary gap_dict:

```
try:
    gap=context(gap_dict[spin])
```

So if your input gap_dict has spin input as a key, gap_dict[spin] will be your preferred value, and the action of context (i.e., the __call__ method of context object) will convert it to a multiprecision number. When there is no such key, gap will be set to the unitarity bound.

```
except KeyError:
    if spin==0:
        gap=context.epsilon
   else:
        gap=2*context.epsilon+spin
```

Then, the shift method of prefactor_numerator will shift both the numerator polynomials and prefactors of cbs[spin], and the context.dot operation performs the matrix product with mat_F and g_shift, returning the result.

```
g_shift=cbs[spin].shift(gap)
F=context.dot(mat_F,g_shift)
return F
```

The next function make_SDP takes its arguments as external operator dimension delat and artificial gap specification dictionary gap_dict (which is directly passed to make_F function defined above).

```
def make_SDP(delta,gap_dict):
    delta=context(delta)
   Fs=[make_F(delta,spin,gap_dict) for spin in cbs.keys()]
   mat_F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta)
   norm=context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(0,0,0,0))
   obj=norm*0
   return context.SDP(norm,obj,Fs)
```

Here, Fs is the list containing approximated $F^{(-)}$ with desired shift. norm is used for the normalization of linear functional, which we take here to be the identity vector conformal block(hence all the arguments are 0). As long as you are interested in binary search of the dimension bound, the optimization target obj can be null. context.SDP will combine these data into SDP class.

Then binary search function is simple, but you have to set sdpb to be appropriate path to SDPB.

```
from subprocess import Popen, PIPE
import re
sdpb="sdpb"
sdpbparams=["--findPrimalFeasible","--findDualFeasible","--noFinalCheckpoint"]
def bs(delta,upper=3,lower=1,sdp_method=make_SDP):
    upper=context(upper)
    lower=context(lower)
    while upper - lower > 0.001:
        D_try=(upper+lower)/2
        prob=sdp_method(delta, {0:D_try})
       prob.write("3d_Ising_binary.xml")
        sdpbargs=[sdpb,"-s","3d_Ising_binary.xml"]+sdpbparams
        out, err=Popen(sdpbargs,stdout=PIPE,stderr=PIPE).communicate()
        sol=re.compile(r'found ([^ ]+) feasible').search(out).groups()[0]
        if sol=="dual":
            print("(Delta_phi, Delta_epsilon)={0} is excluded."\
            .format((float(delta),float(D_try))))
            upper=D_try
        elif sol=="primal":
            print("(Delta_phi, Delta_epsilon)={0} is permitted."\
            .format((float(delta),float(D_try))))
            lower=D_try
        else:
            raise RuntimeError("Unexpected return from sdpb")
```

Now everything should look familiar: if you tried with some D_try and obtained and obtained "found dual feasible", upper would be replaced with D_try, and if you instead obtained "found primal feasible", lower would be replaced.

The default result of the execution

```
sage 3dBosonic.py
```

will perform the binary search with delta=0.518, the result of which should be ~ 1.413 .

2.1.2 Central charge lower bound

It is easy to derive the central charge lower bound as well, modifying make_SDP function:

```
def make_SDP_for_cc(delta,gap_dict={0:1}):
    delta=context(delta)
    Fs=[make_F(delta,spin,gap_dict) for spin in cbs.keys()]
    mat_F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta)
    norm=context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(2,3,0,0))
    obj=context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(0,0,0,0))
    return context.SDP(norm,obj,Fs)
```

The difference from make_SDP is that norm is taken to be the conformal block coming from the energy-momentum tensor and obj is that from the identity.

Since there is no need to perform binary search, SDPB-execution function is simpler than bs:

Note that there are neither --findDualFeasible nor --findPrimalFeasible, as they will exit the search before the optimal solution turns out.

To perform central charge search, uncomment the corresponding lines in 3dBosonic.py.

2.1.3 Bound on ϵ'

As a simple exercise, let us derive a bound on ϵ' , i.e., the second-lowest operator neutral under any global symmetries, as a function of Δ_{ϵ} (ϵ is of course the lowest such operator). To do this, we should study $\langle \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \rangle$ as well, but a twist to \mathbb{Z}_2 -odd four point function (like that of 3d Ising) is that $\epsilon \times \epsilon$ can contain ϵ itself.

To account for this, we have to modify the make_SDP function a bit:

```
def make_SDP_epsilon_prime(delta,gap_dict):
    delta=context(delta)
    Fs=[make_F(delta,spin,gap_dict) for spin in cbs.keys()]
    mat_F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta)
    Fs+=[context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(0,delta,0,0))]
    norm=context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(0,0,0,0))
    obj=norm*0
    return context.SDP(norm,obj,Fs)
    As you see, the only difference is the addition

Fs+=[context.dot(mat_F,context.gBlock(0,delta,0,0))]
```

which represents the positivity requirement on the conformal block coming from ϵ itself. Uncommenting the last two lines of 3dBosonic.py, you will know that $\Delta_{\epsilon'}$ must be smaller than 2.4 ²for $\Delta_{\epsilon} = 0.8$, so for this value of Δ_{ϵ} , we must have at least two relevant operators singlet under any global symmetries.

²In general, we expect this bound to be weaker than the bound without the requirement of the function on ϵ -contribution (i.e., \mathbb{Z}_2 -odd example presented above). However, these result somehow coincide. The same sort of coincidence takes place in other dimensions like d=5.

2.2 O(n)-example

Then we let us look around how O(n) model bootstrap in the script 3dOn.py works.

2.2.1 Bounds for S-sector and T-sector

Again the code 3don.py starts with imports and computation of conformal blocks. Note that this time conformal blocks with odd spins are also stored.

```
import sage.cboot as cb
import numpy as np
from subprocess import Popen, PIPE
import re
context=cb.context_for_scalar(epsilon=0.5,Lambda=13)
lmax=25
nu_max=12
cbs={}
for spin in range(0,lmax):
    g=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin)
    cbs.update({spin:g})
   This time, to prepare a component in the sum-rule, we have to specify which sector it is in. Thus,
we make the following definition
def make_F(delta,sector,spin,gap_dict,NSO):
    delta=context(delta)
    try:
        gap=context(gap_dict[(sector,spin)])
    except KeyError:
        if spin==0:
            gap=context.epsilon
        else:
            gap=2*context.epsilon+spin
    g_shift=cbs[spin].shift(gap)
    g_num=g_shift.matrix
    g_pref=g_shift.prefactor
    F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta).dot(g_num)
    H=context.F_plus_matrix(delta).dot(g_num)
    if sector=="S":
        num=np.concatenate((context.null_ftype,F,H))
    elif sector=="T":
        num=np.concatenate((F,(1-2/context(NSO))*F,-(1+2/context(NSO))*H))
    elif sector=="A":
        num=np.concatenate((-F,F,-H))
    return context.prefactor_numerator(g_pref,num)
   Recall that the conformal block g_shift is an instance of prefactor_numerator, and the line
    g_num=g_shift.matrix
   picks the np.ndarray of polynomials in \Delta, which is the matrix attribute. Another attribute is a
damped_rational instance named prefactor,
```

```
g_pref=g_shift.prefactor
   Now g_num is a numpy ndarray and can be multiplied in the usual way
    F=context.F_minus_matrix(delta).dot(g_num)
    H=context.F_plus_matrix(delta).dot(g_num)
     context.F_plus_matrix(delta) creates the ndarray representing the convolution with v^{\delta},
accompanied by the symmetrization in z \leftrightarrow (1-z).
   In the lines
    if sector=="S":
         num=np.concatenate((context.null_ftype,F,H))
    elif sector=="T":
         num=np.concatenate((F,(1-2/context(NSO))*F,-(1+2/context(NSO))*H))
    elif sector=="A":
        num=np.concatenate((-F,F,-H))
         we prepare for the numerator polynomials, depending on the desired sector.context.null_ftype
is a null-vector with the dimension consistent with that of F^{(-)} (i.e., F). Finally the line
    return context.prefactor_numerator(g_pref,num)
   constructs the return value, combining g_pref and num into a prefactor_numerator instance.
   The make_SDP function and binary-search function bs is almost parallel.
   The default action of sage 3dOn.py will perform
    print bs(0.52)
     which prints the result of binary search on the \Delta_S dimension for \Delta_{\phi} = 0.52. If you uncomment
the line
    #print bs(0.52,sector="T")
     you will also obtain the \Delta_T-bound.
2.2.2 A bit simplified version
In 3dOn2.py same but a bit simplified functions are provided. In the new make_F,
def make_F(delta,sector,spin,gap_dict,NSO,Delta=None):
    delta=context(delta)
    if Delta==None:
         try:
             gap=context(gap_dict[(sector,spin)])
         except KeyError:
             if spin==0:
                  gap=context.epsilon
             else:
                  gap=2*context.epsilon+spin
         g=cbs[spin].shift(gap)
    else:
         Delta=context(Delta)
         g=context.gBlock(spin,Delta,0,0)
    F=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta),g)
    H=context.dot(context.F_plus_matrix(delta),g)
    if sector=="S":
         return [0,F,H]
```

```
elif sector=="T":
    return [F,(1-2/context(NSO))*F,-(1+2/context(NSO))*H]
elif sector=="A":
    return [-F,F,-H]
```

I've added another keyword argument Delta, default value of which is None. Then, if there is no specification for Delta, g will be the (shifted) conformal block rational approximation, but if Delta is given a value, g will be the $(\Delta = constant)$ conformal block vector. In the first case,

```
F=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta),g)
H=context.dot(context.F_plus_matrix(delta),g)
```

will automatically multiply the convolution matrix with the numerator numpy vector of **g** and combine it with the prefactor of **g**. If **g** is simply a numpy vector, **context.dot** will perform the usual numpy manipulation. The return value like

```
if sector=="S":
    return [0,F,H]
```

looks a bit different from the previous example - there are no numpy concatenation, and the return value is a list of prefactor_numerators and 0s.

Note also the change in make_SDP

```
def make_SDP(delta,gap_dict,NSO=2):
    delta=context(delta)
    pvms=[]
    for sector in ("S","T","A"):
        if sector is not "A":
            spins=[spin for spin in cbs.keys() if not spin%2]
        else:
            spins=[spin for spin in cbs.keys() if spin%2]
        for spin in spins:
                pvms.append(make_F(delta,sector,spin,gap_dict,NSO))

norm=make_F(delta,"S",0,None,NSO,Delta=0)
    obj=0
    return context.sumrule_to_SDP(norm,obj,pvms)
```

Note that in the definition of norm, we are specifying Delta keyword, so in the terminology of the previously defined make_SDP function, norm here should look like

```
[0,norm_F,norm_H]
```

Note also that obj is simply 0 (integer). Then, in the line

```
return context.sumrule_to_SDP(norm,obj,pvms)
```

sumrule_to_SDP will automatically collect the dimensions of all the prefactor_numerator entries in the list, and replace all the 0 entries with the null vectors of appropriate dimensions. After that, it will pass the replaced list to context.join, which is a function to combine prefactor_numerator or numpy ndarray into a single prefactor_numerator.³

As a simple twist, you can also obtain the lower bound on the current central charge.

2.3 $d = 3, \mathcal{N} = 2$ example

examples/supe_Ising.py is an example of d=3, $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal bootstrap implementation, which is straightforward but a bit lengthy. First of all, we have to prepare a function to compute the superconformal block for chiral \times anti-chiral OPE:

³This operation will also join prefactor_numerator with different prefactors by taking the least-common damped_rational.

```
def cSCblock(nu_max,spin,Delta=None):
    d=context.epsilon*2+2
    epsilon=context.epsilon
    pole_add_data=[((1,1),[-spin-1],[-spin],(spin+2*epsilon)/4/(spin+epsilon)),
            ((0,2),[epsilon,epsilon-1,context(-1-spin),spin+d-3],\
            [0,d-3,context(-spin),context(spin+2*epsilon)],1/context(16))]
    if spin > 0:
       pole_add_data.append(((-1,1),[spin+d-3],[spin+2*epsilon]\
                ,context(spin)/context(4*(spin+epsilon))))
    if Delta ==None:
        res_g=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin,0,0)
        res_g_tilde=res_g
        bosonic = res_g
    else:
       Delta=context(Delta)
        res_g=context.gBlock(spin,Delta,0,0)
        res_g_tilde=np.copy(res_g)
        bosonic=np.copy(res_g)
        if Delta==0 and spin==0:
            return (res_g,res_g_tilde,bosonic)
    for shift,poles,factors,C in pole_add_data:
        if Delta == None:
            g=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin+shift[0]).shift(shift[1])\
                    .multiply_factored_rational(poles,factors,C)
        else:
            g=context.gBlock(spin+shift[0],Delta+shift[1],0,0)
            for x in poles:
                g=g/(Delta-context(x))
            for x in factors:
                g*=(Delta-context(x))
            g=g*C
        res_g+=g
        if shift[0]%2:
            res_g_tilde=res_g_tilde-g
        else:
            res_g_tilde=res_g_tilde+g
    return (res_g, res_g_tilde, bosonic)
    The definition for pole_add_data
```

is a list of 4-component tuples, which specify how the superconformal descendants contribute to

the superconformal block

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}(\Delta,l:z,\bar{z}) = & g(\Delta,l:z,\bar{z}) \\ + & \frac{l+d-2}{4(l+\frac{d-2}{2})} \frac{\Delta+l}{(\Delta+l+1)} g(\Delta+1,l+1:z,\bar{z}) \\ + & \frac{1}{16} \frac{\Delta(\Delta-d+3)(\Delta+l)(\Delta-l-2\epsilon)}{(\Delta-\epsilon)(\Delta-\epsilon+1)(\Delta+1+l)(\Delta-l-d+3)} g(\Delta+2,l:z,\bar{z}) \\ + & \frac{l}{4(l+\epsilon)} \frac{(\Delta-l-2\epsilon)}{(\Delta-l-d+3)} g(\Delta+1,l-1:z,\bar{z}) \end{split}$$

in our convention (see App.A). Of course, the last contribution is present only for l > 0 (so this data is appended only if spin==0). As a first step, we compute the bosonic conformal block:

```
if Delta ==None:
    res_g=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin,0,0)
    res_g_tilde=res_g
    bosonic = res_g
else:
    Delta=context(Delta)
    res_g=context.gBlock(spin,Delta,0,0)
    res_g_tilde=np.copy(res_g)
    bosonic=np.copy(res_g)
    if Delta==0 and pin==0:
        return (res_g,res_g_tilde,bosonic)
```

We will need different linear combinations of conformal blocks, $\mathcal{G}(\Delta, l)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\Delta, l)$, and $g(\Delta, l)$ in the sum-rule, so separately prepare the variables res_g, res_g_tilde, and bosonic.

When Delta is specified, it means we are computing Δ =constant superconformal block numpy vector. In this mode, note that simply setting res_g_tilde=res_g doesn't work, and must employ copy method of numpy. Note also that Delta=0 (i.e. the identity conformal block) is exceptional.

Let us take a close look at the for loop inside

```
for shift,poles,factors,C in pole_add_data:
```

which will compute the contribution from superconformal descendants. As an example, if you consider

$$\frac{l+d-2}{4(l+\frac{d-2}{2})} \frac{\Delta+l}{(\Delta+l+1)} g(\Delta+1, l+1: z, \bar{z}),$$

this conformal block contribution corresponds to If Delta==None (i.e., we compute the rational-approximation)

with shift=(1,1), poles=[context(-spin-1)], factors=[-spin], and C=(spind-2)/4/(spin+epsilon)+. This is achieved in the following steps:

- 1. Compute the conformal block with spin spin+shift[0]
- 2. Shift Delta by the shift[1] by the usual shift method
- 3. Add poles, zeros, and overall constants with the multiply_factored_rational method of prefactor_numerator. The argument poles stands for the locations of newly added poles, factors for the zeroes of numerator Δ-polynomial, and C for the overall constant.

prefactor_numerator class supports __add__ method, and you are allowed to write

The remainder of the script should look familiar now.

2.4 A mixed correlator example

The last example exhibits how to reproduce the result of [2], where the assumption that σ and ϵ are the only relevant scalar operators in the 3d Ising model was shown to imply that it is isolated in the space of allowed values of $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\epsilon})$.

The sum-rule constraints coming from the consistency conditions of $\langle \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \rangle$, $\langle \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \rangle$, and $\langle \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \rangle$ is [2]

$$\sum_{O: \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-even}} (\lambda_{\sigma\sigma O}, \lambda_{\epsilon\epsilon O}) \vec{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-even}, \Delta_O, l_O} \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{\sigma\sigma O} \\ \lambda_{\epsilon\epsilon O} \end{array}\right) + \sum_{O: \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-odd}} \lambda_{\sigma\epsilon O} \vec{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-odd}, \Delta_O, l_O} \lambda_{\sigma\epsilon O} = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-even},\Delta,l} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} F_{\Delta,l}^{(-)\sigma\sigma,\sigma\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_{\Delta,l} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_{\Delta,l}^{(-)\epsilon\epsilon,\epsilon\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}F_{\Delta,l}^{(-)\sigma\sigma,\epsilon\epsilon} \\ \frac{1}{2}F_{\Delta,l}^{(-)\sigma\sigma,\epsilon\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}F_{\Delta,l}^{(+)\sigma\sigma,\epsilon\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}F_{\Delta,l}^{(+)\sigma\sigma,\epsilon\epsilon} \\ \frac{1}{2}F_{\Delta,l}^{(+)\sigma\sigma,\epsilon\epsilon} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with

$$F_{\Delta,l}^{(\mp)ij,kl} = v^{\frac{\Delta_j + \Delta_k}{2}} g^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(\Delta,l:z,\bar{z}) \mp \{z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}\}$$

So let us see around the code mixed_ising.py where this sum-rule is implemented. The beginning part should look familiar except for the import of cached_function.

```
import sage.cboot as cb
from sage.misc.cachefunc import cached_function
from subprocess import Popen, PIPE
import re
sdpb="sdpb"
sdpbparams=["--findPrimalFeasible","--findDualFeasible","--noFinalCheckpoint"]
context=cb.context_for_scalar(epsilon=0.5,Lambda=11)
lmax=20
nu_max=8
   This time we also have to prepare the conformal block derivative table for non-identical scalars,
@cached_function
def prepare_g_0(spin,Delta=None):
    return context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin)
@cached_function
def prepare_g_se(spin,Delta_se,Delta=None):
    g_se=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin,Delta_1_2=Delta_se,Delta_3_4=Delta_se)
    return g_se
@cached_function
```

def prepare_g_es(spin,Delta_se,Delta=None):

```
g_es=context.approx_cb(nu_max,spin,Delta_1_2=-Delta_se,Delta_3_4=Delta_se)
return g_es
```

@cached_function will store the result of the function execution and we will be able to avoid the re-computation when called with the same argument.

prepare_g_se will compute the conformal block with

$$g_{\Delta,l}^{\Delta_{\sigma\epsilon},\Delta_{\sigma\epsilon}}(z,\bar{z})$$

with the context's approx_cb method. This time, the keyword arguments Delta_1_2 and Delta_3_4 (whose default value are 0) are specified to be a non-zero value, i.e., $\Delta_{\sigma\epsilon}$. The following function is prepared just for computing the constant- Δ conformal block vectors:

Note that the gBlock method will raise an error when called with $\Delta, l = 0$ but $\Delta_{12} \neq 0$.

The structure of make_F function is almost the same, but if sector argument is even, the contribution is a vector of matrices, so the output value in this case is a nested list.

```
def make_F(deltas,sector,spin,gap_dict,Delta=None):
    delta_s=context(deltas[0])
    delta_e=context(deltas[1])
    Delta_se=delta_s-delta_e
    if Delta == None:
        try:
            shift=context(gap_dict[(sector,spin)])
        except KeyError:
            if spin==0:
                shift=context.epsilon
                shift=2*context.epsilon+spin
        gs=[x.shift(shift) for x in prepare_g(spin,Delta_se,Delta=Delta)]
    else:
        gs=prepare_g(spin,Delta_se,Delta=Delta)
    if sector=="even":
        F_s_s=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta_s),gs[0])
        F_e_e=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta_e),gs[0])
        F_s_e=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix((delta_s+delta_e)/2),gs[0])
        H_s_e=context.dot(context.F_plus_matrix((delta_s+delta_e)/2),gs[0])
        return [[[F_s_s,0],
                   [0,0]],
                  [[0,0],
```

```
[0,F_e_e]],
                    [[0,0],
                     [0,0]],
                    [[0,F_s_e/2],
                     [F_s_e/2,0]],
                    [[0,H_s_e/2],
                     [H_s_e/2,0]]
    elif sector=="odd+":
        F_s_e=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix((delta_s+delta_e)/2),gs[1])
        F_e_s=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta_s),gs[2])
        H_e_s=context.dot(context.F_plus_matrix(delta_s),gs[2])
        return [0,0,F_s_e,F_e_s,-H_e_s]
    elif sector=="odd-":
        F_s_e=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix((delta_s+delta_e)/2),gs[1])
        F_e_s=context.dot(context.F_minus_matrix(delta_s),gs[2])
        H_e_s=context.dot(context.F_plus_matrix(delta_s),gs[2])
        return [0,0,-F_s_e,F_e_s,-H_e_s]
    else: raise RuntimeError("unknown sector name")
   These individual contributions are summed up in the make_SDP functions:
def make_SDP(deltas):
    pvms=[]
    gaps={("even",0):3,("odd+",0):3}
    for spin in range(0,lmax):
        if not spin%2:
             pvms.append(make_F(deltas, "even", spin, gaps))
             pvms.append(make_F(deltas, "odd+", spin, gaps))
        else:
             pvms.append(make_F(deltas, "odd-", spin, gaps))
    epsilon_contribution=make_F(deltas,"even",0,{},Delta=deltas[1])
    sigma_contribution=make_F(deltas, "odd+", 0, {}, Delta=deltas[0])
    for m,x in zip(epsilon_contribution,sigma_contribution):
        m[0][0] += x
    pvms.append(epsilon_contribution)
    norm=[]
    for v in make_F(deltas,"even",0,{},Delta=0):
       norm.append(v[0][0]+v[0][1]+v[1][0]+v[1][1])
    return context.sumrule_to_SDP(norm,obj,pvms)
   We are assuming that \sigma and \epsilon are the only relevant operators in the \mathbb{Z}_2-even and odd sectors,
respectively, so we set
    gaps={("even",0):3,("odd+",0):3}
   and consider the isolated contributions of \sigma and \epsilon separately. These are computed in the lines
    epsilon_contribution=make_F(deltas, "even", 0, {}, Delta=deltas[1])
    sigma_contribution=make_F(deltas, "odd+", 0, {}, Delta=deltas[0])
     To make the full use of the 3-point symmetry \langle \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rangle, these contributions are combined into a
sigle positivity requirement by
```

for m,x in zip(epsilon_contribution,sigma_contribution):
 m[0][0]+=x

pvms.append(epsilon_contribution)

norm is taken to be the identity contribution as usual.

When executed, you will see that how the allowed $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\epsilon})$ are isolated with $\Lambda = 11$ search space.

A Conformal block convention

The conformal block convention used in cboot follows that of [3], so in the $z \to 0$ limit with real positive z,

$$g_{\Delta,l}^{\Delta_{12},\Delta_{34}}(z,\bar{z}) = z^{\Delta}(1+O(z)).$$

Thus, compared with the convention of [4], [5], and [6],

$$g_{\Delta,l,\cdots}^{(\mathrm{there})} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\Delta} g_{\Delta,l,\cdots}^{(\mathrm{here})},$$

and with [2],

$$g_{\Delta,l,\cdots}^{(\text{there})} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\Delta} g_{\Delta,l,\cdots}^{(\text{here})}.$$

References

- [1] D. Simmons-Duffin, "A Semidefinite Program Solver for the Conformal Bootstrap," *JHEP* **06** (2015) 174, arXiv:1502.02033 [hep-th].
- [2] F. Kos, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, "Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the 3D Ising Model," *JHEP* 11 (2014) 109, arXiv:1406.4858 [hep-th].
- [3] M. Hogervorst, H. Osborn, and S. Rychkov, "Diagonal Limit for Conformal Blocks in d Dimensions," *JHEP* 08 (2013) 014, arXiv:1305.1321 [hep-th].
- [4] F. Kos, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, "Bootstrapping the O(N) vector models," *JHEP* **06** (2014) 091, arXiv:1307.6856 [hep-th].
- [5] S. El-Showk, M. F. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, "Solving the 3d Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap II. c-Minimization and Precise Critical Exponents," J. Stat. Phys. 157 (2014) 869, arXiv:1403.4545 [hep-th].
- [6] S. M. Chester, S. Giombi, L. V. Iliesiu, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and R. Yacoby, "Accidental Symmetries and the Conformal Bootstrap," *JHEP* 01 (2016) 110, arXiv:1507.04424 [hep-th].