
1. **Introduction**

The key requisites of the project are functions that:

* drivers can reserve a parking space by using a laptop or a phone.
* parking attendants can monitor parking facilities
* the system is initially built for a small parking facility and should be able to be applied to various sized parking facilities
* the system can provide basic statistics including average occupancy, peak usage hours, parking slot statistics, and revenue, which should be extensible in order to help developers to add more analysis algorithms

****

< Figure1. System context diagram >

This document is written with the words, ‘must’, ‘must not’ , ‘required’ , ‘shall’ , ‘shall not’ , ‘should’, ‘should not’, ‘recommended’ and ‘not recommended’ in a statement. These are the following meanings that:

* ‘must’, ‘shall’, or ‘required’ means the statement is an absolute requirement.
* ‘must not’, ‘shall not’ or ‘prohibited’ means the statement is an absolute prohibition.
* ‘should’ or ‘recommended’ means the full implications must be understood before choosing a different course.
* ‘should not’ or ‘not recommended’ means the full implications must be understood before choosing this course.

1. **Project Context**

**2.1) Market Context**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Who the customer/stakeholders are** | Garage owner, GTPS, Attendant, drivers, team members, team mentor, Smart phone company, App market, Credit card company, System installer, Project Manager |
| **Notions of quality** | Reduce driver frustration, more efficiently utilize the space, reducing liabilities, reducing operating costs for owner |
| **Functional expectations** | H/W control and reservation parking space, monitoring and managing parking facility |
| **Product packaging** | H/W devices, Server, Network device, DB, user manual and S/W. |
| **How quickly you must design and deliver new products** | We have various competitors, so we need to develop parking system in five weeks for preoccupying market. |

**2.2) Organizational Context**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Structure** | The development team has 5 members.  Namjin Lee, he is a team leader.  Jack Oh, he is a software integration engineer.  Charles Park, he is a test engineer.  Joan Kim, she is a documentation manager.  Jaeheon Kim, he is an architect.  All members are involved software development. |
| **Culture** | Our team name is “Infinite Challenge”. It means that we have an “Infinite” passion and we love “Challenges”. |

**2.3) Business Context**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strategies** | We will focus on a successful deployment of the initial system and then we will extend markets into the global. |
| **Internal and external providers** | H/W parts company, Server provider |
| **Cost obligations and assets** | PC, Server, Development expense |
| **Profit model** | Maintenance fee/every month, Installation fee. |
| **Competition** | Other development team. |
| **Future direction** | GTPS would like to scale out the system to include larger parking lots and garages, and sell the system to other garage owners around the world if the solution is successful for them. |

**2.4) Technical Context**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Languages** | JAVA, C, C++, Scratch |
| **Tools** | Eclipse, Arduino IDE, JDK |
| **Operating system and hardware platform** | Arduino, Windows, Mac OSX |
| **Implementation frameworks** | Arduino |

1. **Functional Requirement**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Functional Requirement** | **Description** |
| FR01 | The system must detect cars in parking space. | Arduino H/W control |
| FR02 | The system must detect when cars are parked incorrectly. If a car straddle on parking slot lanes, the system shall blink LED and the system shall inform it to attendants in 2 minutes. |
| FR03 | The system must open and close an entry gate. |
| FR04 | The system must detect when cars arrive at the gate. |
| FR05 | The system shall allow drivers to reserve parking spaces.  Reservations will be made via a mobile app, a laptop, or a desktop app for drivers. | Reservation system for drivers |
| FR06 | For reservation, drivers must sign up the system so that the system can prevent from unauthorized users. |
| FR07 | The system must provide available parking slot information to drivers. |
| FR08 | Drivers must provide a license plate (identifying information), the day and time they would like to park, and credit card information (payment information). |
| FR09 | The system must return a confirmation information to the driver if reservation is succeed. |
| FR10 | The system must check the confirmation information to verify the deriver's information and reservation. | When drivers come up an entry gate |
| FR11 | “Grace period” must be configurable. | Operating a "grace period" |
| FR12 | If the driver does not show up at the start of their reservation time, the system must operate the "grace period". |
| FR13 | If the driver doesn't show up within the grace period, the system must cancel the reservation. | No-show process |
| FR14 | The system must calculate the total parking fee by hour and it shall charge on their credit card. | Charge system |
| FR15 | The system must show available parking lots. | Monitoring system for attendants |
| FR16 | The system must show how long the car has occupied the particular parking lot. |
| FR17 | The system must notify the attendant if a driver parks other spot, and it will reallocate the parking lot. |
| FR18 | The system must notify the attendant after 2 minutes if a car crosses the lanes and LED is blinking. |
| FR19 | The system must show the facility usage and revenue.  The facility usage must include average occupancy, peak usage hours, parking slot statistics. | Management system for owner |
| FR20 | The system shall extend analysis algorithms or applications without disrupting operations. | Management system for owner  Extend system |
| FR21 | The system must provide login system for preventing unauthorized users. | System security |
| FR22 | The system must not allow anyone to view facility data (reservations, credit cards, etc.) except owner. |

1. **Use Case Scenario**

**4.1) UC01** Reserve parking spaces

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC01** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR05 ~ FR09) Reserve parking spaces |
| **Stakeholders** | A driver who would like to reserve a parking lot. |
| **Preconditions** | The driver must satisfy with FR06 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1) The Sure-Park system allows an authorized driver to reserve a parking lot.  2) The system shows available parking spaces to the driver.  3) If a parking space is available, the driver needs to input his/her license plate, the day and time they would like to park, and credit card information.  4) If all information is ok, the system provides confirmation information to drivers. |
| **Post conditions** | The reservation was confirmed. |
| **Alternate scenario** | 3a) No available parking spaces  1) The system closes the reservation.  4a) Some information is invalid  1) The system displays which information is failed.  2) Repeat steps 3-4 until all information is valid. |

**4.2) UC02** Show up scenario

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC02** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR03-FR04) Show up scenario |
| **Stakeholders** | A driver who has made a reservation, An attendant who confirms the reservation |
| **Preconditions** | UC01 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1. A driver comes to the gate. 2. The system detects the presence of a car at the gate. 3. A driver provides confirmation information to system. 4. The system verifies the driver’s information and confirms the reservation. 5. The system gives a driver a unique alpha-numeric identifier. 6. The system lifts the entry gate and allows the driver to enter the facility. |
| **Post conditions** | The car entered into the garage. |
| **Alternate scenario** | 4a) Invalid confirmation information,   1. The system does not allow the driver to enter the garage. |

**4.3) UC03 ‘**No show scenario and grace period’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC03** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR11-FR13) No show scenario and grace period |
| **Stakeholders** | A driver who has made a reservation |
| **Preconditions** | UC01 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1) If a driver does not show up at the start of their reservation time, the parking spot will be held for a “grace period” after the start of the reservation.  2) If a driver doesn’t show up within the grace period, the parking spot is released. Drivers are not charged for “no-show”, but they lose their reservation. |
| **Post conditions** | The reservation has canceled. |
| **Alternate scenario** | 1a) If a driver shows up at the garage  1) process UC02 scenario  2a) If a driver shows up within the grace period,  1) process UC02 scenario |

**4.4) UC04 ‘**Get out the garage and charge scenario’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC04** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR14) Get out the garage and charge scenario |
| **Stakeholders** | A driver who has made a reservation. Attendants who check reservation. |
| **Preconditions** | UC02 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1. A driver gets out of the parking lot. 2. The system detects getting out of the parking lot. 3. The system calculates the parking fee and charges it automatically on driver’s credit card. 4. The system updates the parking status and the parking lot makes free. |
| **Post conditions** | The parking fee has charged and parking status has updated. |
| **Alternate scenario** | None. |

**4.5) UC05 ‘**Parking scenario’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC05** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR01, FR02, FR10, FR17, FR18) Parking scenario |
| **Stakeholders** | Attendant, A driver who is parking his/her car. |
| **Preconditions** | UC02 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1) A car parked at the designated parking spot.  2) A car breaks the lane keeping systems in the garage for 2 minutes.  3) The system notifies the parking attendant. |
| **Post conditions** | The car is parked correctly. |
| **Alternate scenario** | 1a) If a car parked at other spot,   1. The system will notify the attendant and it will reallocate the parking spaces.   2b) If a car crosses the line or occupied 2 parking spaces,   1. The system blinks a visual indicator(LED) at the parking spot. 2. The system notifies the parking attendant.   The attendant notify to the driver that car breaks the lane keeping systems. |

**4.3) UC06 ‘**Monitoring scenario’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC06** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR15, FR16) Monitoring scenario for attendants. |
| **Stakeholders** | Attendants |
| **Preconditions** | Attendants must satisfy FR06. |
| **Main success scenario** | 1) Attendants select the monitoring menu.  2) The system shows which parking spots are open and occupied. Also, it will show how long a car has occupied a particular parking spot. |
| **Post conditions** | Display a parking status. |
| **Alternate scenario** | None. |

**4.3) UC07 ‘**Management scenario’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID: UC07** | **Description** |
| **Title** | (FR19) Management scenario for owner |
| **Stakeholders** | Owner |
| **Preconditions** | Owner must satisfy FR06 |
| **Main success scenario** | 1) Owner selects the management menu which shows parking statistics and revenue.  2) The system shows which basic statistics on facility usage to include average occupancy, peak usage hours, parking slot statistics (e g. how much time cars were parked in parking slots) and revenue. |
| **Post conditions** | Display a basic statistics and revenue. |
| **Alternate scenario** | None. |

1. **Quality Attribute**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Quality Attribute** | **Priority** | **Description** |
| QA01 | Scalability | 9 | Installers should complete setup and tests for a new facility controller in an hour. |
| QA02 | Availability | 1 | Facility controller experiences a catastrophic hardware failure. In this case, Sure Park system’s software detects the fault and notify attendants in 30 seconds. |
| QA03 | Security | 9 | Users log in the system and get the permission to access the authorized data and information. The unauthorized user tries to access the data and information which are permitted only attendants and owner. The system prevents all unauthorized access. |
| QA04 | Extensibility | 3 | Developer wants to add new algorithm application to Sure Park software. The system needs to be updated without disrupting operations. New algorithm can be implemented and tested within 1 week. |
| QA05 | Performance | 3 | When driver wants to get an empty parking slot, system must provide it in 5 sec. |
| QA06 | Usability | 1 | The owner wants to check basic statistics on facility usages. The owner can show statistic report in 3 step after login. |
| QA07 | Interoperability | 9 | When a driver enters and goes out the parking garage, facility controller and Sure park system must communicate without communication loss. |

1. **Quality Attribute Scenario**

**6.1) QA01**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Scale out to other parking facilities |
| **ID** | QA01 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Scalability |
| **Scenario** | Installers should complete setup for a new facility controller in an hour. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Installer |
| **Stimulus** | Installer wants a new facility controller in the parking garage. |
| **Artifact** | The System |
| **Environment** | New owner wants to install a new system or existing owner wants to extend the current system. |
| **Response** | New facility controller will be installed completely |
| **Response measure** | 1 hour for installing |

**6.2) QA02**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Detect malfunction of the facility controller |
| **ID** | QA02 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Availability |
| **Scenario** | Facility controller experiences a catastrophic hardware failure. In this case, Sure Park system’s software detects the fault and notify attendants in 30 seconds. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Facility controller (Arduino) |
| **Stimulus** | Malfunction of facility controller |
| **Artifact** | Facility controller software and Sure Park system’s software |
| **Environment** | During normal operation |
| **Response** | The hardware fault is detected, the system logs the fault and notifies attendants. |
| **Response measure** | The system should notify attendants of the fault in 30 seconds. |

**6.3) QA03**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Protect data and information from unauthorized access |
| **ID** | QA03 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Security |
| **Scenario** | Users log in the system and get the permission to access the authorized data and information. The unauthorized user tries to access the data and information which are permitted only attendants and owner. The system prevents all unauthorized access. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Unauthorized user, unauthorized system |
| **Stimulus** | Unauthorized attempts to display data and access system service. |
| **Artifact** | The system |
| **Environment** | Normal operation (run time) |
| **Response** | The data and information are protected from unauthorized access. |
| **Response measure** | How many unauthorized accesses are protected? 100% |

**6.4) QA04**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Add more analysis algorithms or analysis applications |
| **ID** | QA04 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Extensibility |
| **Scenario** | Developer wants to add new algorithm application to Sure Park software. The system needs to be updated without disrupting operations. New algorithm can be implemented and tested within 1 week. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Developers |
| **Stimulus** | Add new algorithm to the system |
| **Artifact** | Sure Park system’s software |
| **Environment** | Normal operation (run time) |
| **Response** | New algorithm should be added without disrupting operations. |
| **Response measure** | New algorithm can be implemented and tested within 1 week. |

**6.5) QA05**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Retrieve an available parking slot ASAP. |
| **ID** | QA05 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Performance |
| **Scenario** | When driver wants to get an empty parking slot, system must provide it in 5 sec. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Driver |
| **Stimulus** | Request reservation. |
| **Artifact** | Sure Park system |
| **Environment** | Normal operation (run time) |
| **Response** | Retrieve about parking slot status. |
| **Response measure** | The system must return the parking slot status in 5 sec. |

**6.6) QA06**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Obtain basic statistics on facility usage. |
| **ID** | QA06 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Usability |
| **Scenario** | The owner wants to check basic statistics on facility usages. The owner can show statistic report in 3 step after login. |
| **Source of stimulus** | The owner |
| **Stimulus** | Check statistics on facility usage. |
| **Artifact** | Sure Park system |
| **Environment** | Normal operation (Run time) |
| **Response** | Display basic statistics |
| **Response measure** | Statistics report can be show in 3 steps after the owner log in. |

**6.7) QA07**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Communicate between facility controller and Sure Park system. |
| **ID** | QA07 |
| **Quality Attribute** | Interoperability |
| **Scenario** | When a driver enters and goes out the parking garage, facility controller and Sure park system must communicate without communication loss. |
| **Source of stimulus** | Facility controller and Sure park system |
| **Stimulus** | Exchange updated status or commands. |
| **Artifact** | Facility controller and Sure park system |
| **Environment** | Normal operation (run time) |
| **Response** | Communication success. |
| **Response measure** | Communication success rate : 100%(100 times communication try and 100 times success.) |

1. **Quality Attribute Utility**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Quality Attribute** | **Description** | **Difficulty** | **Priority** |
| QA01 | Scalability | Scale out to other parking facilities | 9 | 9 |
| QA02 | Availability | Detect malfunction of the facility controller | 3 | 1 |
| QA03 | Security | Protect data and information from unauthorized access | 3 | 9 |
| QA04 | Extensibility | Add more analysis algorithms or analysis applications | 3 | 3 |
| QA05 | Performance | Retrieve an available parking slot ASAP. | 1 | 3 |
| QA06 | Usability | Obtain basic statistics on facility usage. | 1 | 1 |
| QA07 | Interoperability | Communicate between facility controller and Sure Park system. | 9 | 9 |

1. **Business Constraint**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Business Constraint** | **Description** |
| BC01 | Reducing complain | GTPS wants to reduce driver frustration when customers find available parking slots and reserve them. |
| BC02 | Increasing profits | More efficient space utilization is needed. |
| BC03 | Reducing liabilities | It is needed to reduce traffic congestion and the chance for accidents inside the parking facilities. |
| BC04 | Reducing operating costs | It is required to utilize personnel efficiently and reduce the number of employee. |
| BC05 | Applying other garage | GTPS would like to market the system to other garage owners around the world. |
| BC06 | Delivery | The system should be delivered in 5 weeks. |
| BC07 | Availability of workforce | The team is consists of 5 members. Java expert is only 1 person. |

1. **Technical Constraint**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Technical Constraint** | **Description** |
| TC01 | H/W System | Wi-Fi enabled Arduino(mega 2560)  - Flash Memory: 256KB of which 8KB used by bootloader  - SRAM: 8KB  - EEPROM: 4KB  - Clock Speed: 16MHz |
| TC02 | Programming language | For development Arduino: C/C++  For server and application: Java |
| TC03 | Network | Wi-Fi  Wi-Fi configuration |

1. **Overall Project Schedule**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | -2W | -1W | 1W | 2W | 3W | 4W | 5W | Output |
| Phase 0 | | | Phase 1 | | Phase 2 | Phase 3 |
| Planning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Project Planning Document |
| Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Architecture Driver Document |
| Design |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Architecture Specification Document |
| Implementation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Product |
| Testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Test Result |

1. **Project Risk and Mitigation Plan**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK | Priority | Mitigation Plan |
| Low experience of JAVA development | Low | We will be familiar with JAVA before arrived at CMU. |
| No experience of Arduino development | Low | We will be familiar with Arduino before arrived at CMU. |
| Not familiar with architectural patterns | High | We discuss various architectural patterns with mentor. |
| Short term for development | High | We will make a plan well and manage it perfectly. |
| Difficult to test big scaled system | Mid | We will design architecture considering testability. |

1. **Role & Responsibility**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Role | Assign | Responsibility |
| Team leader | Namjin Lee | Check time log and risk management |
| Architect | Jaeheon Kim | Design system architecture |
| Integration | Jack Oh | Integrate all artifacts(source code, documents …etc). |
| Test | Charles Park | Test and delivery. |
| Documentation | Joan Kim | Create document artifacts. |
| Development | All | We all develop the parking system. |

1. **Time Logging & Project Tracking**