



Question 1

$$a) \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{18387 - 17(9)(115.0588)}{1785 - 17(9)^2} \\ \approx 1.9191$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = 115.0588 - 1.9191(9) \\ \approx 97.7869$$

$$\hat{y}_i = 97.7869 + 1.9191x_i$$

$$b) \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{15} \left\{ [226580 - 17(115.0588)^2] - \frac{[18387 - 17(9)(115.0588)]^2}{1785 - 17(9)^2} \right\} \\ \approx 1.49$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = 1.49 \frac{1}{1785 - 17(9)^2} \\ \approx 0.0037$$

$$\text{CI for } \beta_1 = 1.9191 \pm 2.1315\sqrt{0.0037} \\ \approx (1.7894, 2.0488)$$

$$c) \hat{y} = 97.7869 + 1.9191(13) \\ = 122.7352$$

$$d) SE = \sqrt{1.49 \left[\frac{1}{17} + \frac{(13-9)^2}{1785 - 17(9)^2} \right]} \\ \approx 0.3822$$

Question 2

a) test for correlation coefficient

$$b) H_0: \rho = 0 \text{ vs } H_1: \rho \neq 0 \\ t_0 = \frac{0.19\sqrt{77}}{\sqrt{1 - (0.19)^2}} \\ \approx 1.6982$$

$$p\text{-value} \approx 0.0935$$

Since $p\text{-value} > 0.05$, we do not reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.05$. We cannot conclude that there is a relationship between reactivity as measured by the automated and manual monitors.

$$c) z = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+0.19}{1-0.19} \right) \\ \approx 0.1923$$

$$z_1 = 0.1923 - \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{76}} \\ \approx -0.0325$$

$$z_2 = 0.1923 + \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{76}} \\ \approx 0.4171$$

$$\text{CI for } \rho = \left(\frac{e^{2(-0.0325)} - 1}{e^{2(-0.0325)} + 1}, \frac{e^{2(0.4171)} - 1}{e^{2(0.4171)} + 1} \right) \\ \approx (-0.0325, 0.3945)$$

Question 3

$$a) H_0: \alpha_i = \alpha_j \text{ vs } H_1: \text{at least one } \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \quad \forall i \neq j \\ F = \frac{[5(18.68)^2 + 12(8.575)^2 + 5(5.46)^2 - 22(10.1636)^2] / 2}{[4(10.0656)^2 + 11(6.8372)^2 + 4(3.1342)^2] / 19} \\ \approx 4.9895$$

$$p\text{-value} \approx 0.0181$$

Since $p\text{-value} < 0.05$, we reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.05$

$$b) H_0: L = 0 \text{ vs } H_1: L \neq 0 \\ \{A, B\} \quad t_0 = \frac{18.68 - 8.575}{\sqrt{50.4628(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{12})}} \\ \approx 2.6724 \\ \{A, C\} \quad t_0 = \frac{18.68 - 5.46}{\sqrt{50.4628(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5})}} \\ \approx 2.9425 \\ \{B, C\} \quad t_0 = \frac{8.575 - 5.46}{\sqrt{50.4628(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{5})}} \\ \approx 0.8238$$

$$\text{critical value} = 2.093$$

All the $t_0 > 2.093$, except pair $\{B, C\}$, we reject H_0 for pair $\{A, B\}$ and $\{A, C\}$ at $\alpha = 0.05$

$$c) H_0: \alpha_i = \alpha_j \text{ vs } H_1: \text{at least one } \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \quad \forall i \neq j \\ \text{critical value} = 2.627$$

All the $t_0 > 2.627$, except pair $\{B, C\}$, we reject H_0 for pair $\{A, B\}$ and $\{A, C\}$ at $\alpha = 0.05$

Question 4

H_0 : median of all groups are the same vs H_1 : at least one pair of medians are different

```
> kruskal.test(maxfwt ~ lead_grp, data = data)

Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test

data:  maxfwt by lead_grp
Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 4.2895, df = 2, p-value = 0.1171
```

Since the p-value > 0.05 , we do not reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.05$
