

STAT4008

SURVIVAL MODELING

ASSIGNMENT 3 SOLUTION

1 Q1

Test $H_0 : h(t) = 0.2$.

We have $\chi^2 = \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{[\sum_{i=1}^{12} d_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{12} T_i)(0.2)]^2}{(0.2)(\sum_{i=1}^{12} T_i)} = \frac{[8 - (230)(0.2)]^2}{(0.2)(230)} = 31.4 > 3.84 = \chi_{1,0.95}^2$ and so null is rej.

Note: denominator equals $E = \sum_{i=1}^{12} H_0(T_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \int_0^{T_j} h_0(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \int_0^{T_j} 0.2 dt = \sum_{i=1}^{12} T_i (0.2)$

(If you follow the example in tutorial 5, you will obtain $\chi^2 = 0.05128$ and null is not rejected, but please don't follow that approach in subsequent tests and exams.)

2 Q2

Test $H_0 : h_1(t) = h_2(t)$.

Consider the two tables below:

i	ti	ni	di	n1i	d1i	n2i	d2i	w1i	w2i	d1i-w1i	d2i-w2i
1	2.00	21.00	3.00	9.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	1.29	1.71	0.71	-0.71
2	3.00	18.00	3.00	7.00	1.00	11.00	2.00	1.17	1.83	-0.17	0.17
3	4.00	13.00	3.00	5.00	1.00	8.00	2.00	1.15	1.85	-0.15	0.15
4	5.00	8.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	5.00	1.00	1.12	1.88	0.88	-0.88
5	6.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.33	0.67	-0.33	0.33
6	7.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00

i	[V11]i	[V12]i	[V22]i
1	0.66	-0.66	0.66
2	0.63	-0.63	0.63
3	0.59	-0.59	0.59
4	0.50	-0.50	0.50
5	0.22	-0.22	0.22
6	0.00	0.00	0.00

For Log rank test:

$$U_L = \sum_{i=1}^6 (d_{1i} - w_{1i}) = 0.9354 \text{ and } V_L = \sum_{i=1}^6 ([V11]_i) = 2.60648.$$

$$\text{So, } \chi_L^2 = U_L^2 / V_L = 0.335 < 3.84 = \chi_{1,0.95}^2$$

(Alternative Method) For Wilcoxon test:

$$U_W = \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i (d_{1i} - w_{1i}) = 16 \text{ and } V_W = \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i^2 ([V11]_i) = 629.5664.$$

$$\text{So, } \chi_W^2 = U_W^2 / V_W = 0.4066 < 3.84 = \chi_{1,0.95}^2$$

In both methods, H_0 is NOT rejected at % significance.

Alternatively, you can use the following R-code:

`Survdiff(formula=Surv(time,status)~group) #chisq=0.3 and p=0.6`

3 Q3

When two prognostic factors are jointly considered, there are 4 groups of data. If non-parametric method is used, R output:

Call:

```
survdif(formula = Surv(data, c) ~ x1 + x2)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	(O-E) ² /V
x1=0, x2=0	4	2	4.92	1.736	2.433
x1=0, x2=1	9	6	8.16	0.571	0.961
x1=1, x2=0	9	7	5.11	0.697	0.954
x1=1, x2=1	8	8	4.80	2.126	2.965

Chisq= 5.7 on 3 degrees of freedom, p= 0.128

⇒ $p\text{-value} > 0.05$, H_0 is NOT rejected at % significance.

Alternatively, since the wordings are not clear in the questions, the followings are also accepted.

```
> survdif(Surv(set3$time,set3$status)~set3$x1)
Call:
survdif(formula = Surv(set3$time, set3$status) ~ set3$x1)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	(O-E) ² /V
set3\$x1=0	13	8	13.08	1.97	5.03
set3\$x1=1	17	15	9.92	2.61	5.03

Chisq= 5 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.02

```
> survdif(Surv(set3$time,set3$status)~set3$x2)
Call:
survdif(formula = Surv(set3$time, set3$status) ~ set3$x2)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	(O-E) ² /V
set3\$x2=0	13	9	10	0.1071	0.203
set3\$x2=1	17	14	13	0.0829	0.203

Chisq= 0.2 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.7

4 Q4

Q4a) Testing $H_0 : h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h_3(t)$ for group 1, 2, 3.

If use non-parametric method, R output:

Call :

```
survdifff(formula = Surv(d$Time, rep(1, nrow(d))) ~ Group, data = d)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	(O-E)^2/V
Group=1	51	51	40.40	2.783	6.448
Group=2	26	26	34.92	2.278	4.697
Group=3	7	7	8.68	0.327	0.497

Chisq= 6.5 on 2 degrees of freedom, p= 0.0396

$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} < 0.05$, H_0 is rejected at % significance.

(Alternative method) If cox model is used, R-output:

Call :

```
coxph(formula = Surv(d$Time, rep(1, nrow(d))) ~ factor(Group), data = d)
```

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	p
factor(Group)2	-0.541	0.582	0.243	-2.23	0.026
factor(Group)3	-0.558	0.572	0.440	-1.27	0.200

Likelihood ratio test=5.88 on 2 df, p=0.0529 n= 84, number of events= 84

$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} > 0.05$, H_0 is marginally not rejected at % significance.

Q4b) Testing $H_0 : h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h_3(t)$ for treatment 1, 2, 3

If use non-parametric method, R output:

Call :

```
survdifff(formula = Surv(d$Time, rep(1, nrow(d))) ~ Treatment, data = d)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	(O-E)^2/V
Treatment=1	15	15	12.6	0.47562	0.712
Treatment=2	33	33	33.6	0.00914	0.018
Treatment=3	36	36	37.9	0.09428	0.205

Chisq= 0.7 on 2 degrees of freedom, p= 0.692

$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} > 0.05$, H_0 is NOT rejected at % significance.

(Alternative method) If cox model is used, R-output:

Call :

```
coxph(formula = Surv(d$Time, rep(1, nrow(d))) ~ as.factor(Treatment), data = d)
```

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	p
as.factor(Treatment)2	-0.187	0.829	0.322	-0.582	0.56
as.factor(Treatment)3	-0.233	0.792	0.319	-0.731	0.47

Likelihood ratio test=0.52 on 2 df, p=0.771 n= 84, number of events= 84

$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} > 0.05$, H_0 is NOT rejected at % significance.

5 Q5

```
data = read.csv("ass3q5.csv", header = T)
```

```
set.seed(123457)
```

```
draw = sample(nrow(data),100)
```

```
subsample = data[draw, ]
```

```
survival_data = Surv(subsample$Time, event = subsample$Status)
```

```
survdifff(formula = survival_data ~ subsample$Smoking.Status)
```

Output, you should be able to obtain this,

Call:

```
survdifff(formula = Surv(sub$Time, sub$Status) ~ sub$Smoking.Status)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	(O-E)^2/V
sub\$Smoking.Status=0	32	26	34.4	2.043	4.20
sub\$Smoking.Status=1	32	26	21.5	0.920	1.34
sub\$Smoking.Status=2	36	33	29.1	0.531	0.88

```
Chisq= 4.3 on 2 degrees of freedom, p= 0.1
```

Or this

```
> survdifff(Surv(sub$Time,sub$Status)~sub$Smoking.Status)
```

Call:

```
survdifff(formula = Surv(sub$Time, sub$Status) ~ sub$Smoking.Status)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	(O-E)^2/V
sub\$Smoking.Status=0	37	29	33.5	0.5990	1.077
sub\$Smoking.Status=1	28	24	21.0	0.4236	0.604
sub\$Smoking.Status=2	35	31	29.5	0.0757	0.127

```
Chisq= 1.2 on 2 degrees of freedom, p= 0.6
```