## Homework 8 (Due Oct 13, 2023)

## Jack Hyatt MATH 554 - Analysis I - Fall 2023

October 16, 2023

Justify all of your answers completely.

3.35 Prove a set is closed iff it contains all its adherent points.

*Proof.* Let S be a set in the metric space E.  $(\Longrightarrow)$ 

Assume S is closed and p is an adherent point of S. BWOC, assume that  $p \notin S$ . Then  $p \in S^c$ . Since S is closed,  $S^c$  is open. So then there is a ball for r > 0, that  $B(p,r) \subseteq S^c$ . So we have an open ball about p that doesn't contain any points in S, which makes p not an adherent

Showing the contrapositive. Assume S is not closed. Then  $S^c$  is not open. So then  $\exists p \in S^c$  s.t.  $\forall r > 0$ ,  $B(p,r) \notin S^c$ . So then  $\exists s \in B(p,r)$  s.t.  $s \in S$ . This makes  $p \notin S$  an adherent point of S.

3.36 Let S be a set in a metric space and p and adherent point of S. Prove there is a sequence of points  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  from S that converges to p.

*Proof.* Let p be an adherent point of S. Then  $\forall r > 0$ , B(p,r) contains a point of S. For every positive integer n let  $p_n \in S$  be a point of S that is in the ball B(p, 1/n).

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . Assume n > N.

Then since  $p_n$  is in the open ball of radius 1/n centered at p,

 $d(p_n, p) < 1/n < 1/N = \epsilon$ . So  $d(p_n, p) < \epsilon$ , meaning  $p_n$  converges to p.

3.37 Let S be a set in a metric space and p a point that is a limit of a sequence of points from S. Prove p is an adherent point of S.

*Proof.* Let S be a set in the metric space E and let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of points from S that converges to  $p \in E$ . Let r > 0. Since  $p_n$  converges to p,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $n > N \implies d(p_n, p) < r$ .

So  $p_n \in B(p,r)$ . Since  $p_n \in S$ , B(p,r) contains a point in S. So p is an adherent point.

- 3.38 Let S be a subset of the metric space E. Prove the following are equivalent:
  - (a) S is closed.
  - (b) S contains the limits of its sequences in the sense that if  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence if points from S that converges, say  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty}$ , then  $x \in S$ .

Proof.  $(a \implies b)$ 

Assume S is closed and that  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of points from S that converge to the point p. Then from problem 3.37, we know that p is an adherent point of S. Since S is closed, we know from 3.35 that all its adherent points are in S. So  $p \in S$ .

$$(b \Longrightarrow a)$$

Assume that S contains the limits of its sequences. Let p be an adherent point of S. So then by 3.36, there is a sequence of points  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in S that converge to p. So then by the assumption,  $p \in S$ . So S contains all its adherent points. From 3.35, S is then closed.

3.39 Let F be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and f a polynomial. Show that

$$S \coloneqq f^{-1}[F] = \{x : f(x) \in F\}$$

is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of points from S that converge to p. So then  $f(p_n) \in F$ . We also have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(p_n) = f(p)$ . Since F is a closed, it contains the limit of its sequences. So from 3.38,  $f(p) \in F$ . Then  $p \in f^{-1}[F] = S$ . So S contains the limit of its sequences, meaning it's closed by 3.38.

3.40 Prove every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in a metric space that converges to p. Let N be so that

$$n > N \implies d(p_n, p) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Similarly, that applies if we replace n with m.

So then we have  $d(p_n, p) < \epsilon/2$  and  $d(p_m, p) < \epsilon/2$ . Adding together, we get  $d(p_n, p) + d(p_m, p) < \epsilon$ .

By triangle inequality, we get  $d(p_n, p_m) \le d(p_n, p) + d(p_m, p)$ . So then  $d(p_n, p_m) < \epsilon$ .

By definition of Cauchy, our sequence is Cauchy.

3.41 Let E = (0,1) be the open unit interval with metric d(x,y) = |x-y|. Then show that the sequence  $\langle 1/n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence that is not convergent to any point of E.

*Proof.* First let's show it's Cauchy. Let  $N = 2/(\epsilon)$ .

Let m, n > N. Then  $0 < p_n < \epsilon/2$  and  $0 < p_m < \epsilon/2$ .

$$|p_n - p_m| \le |p_n| + |p_m| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.$$

So the sequence is Cauchy.

Now to show it is not convergent in E. BWOC, assume the sequence converges to a point in E, we'll call p.

Then for some  $N, n > N \implies |1/n - p| < p/2$ .

$$p - 1/n \le |p - 1/n| < p/2$$
, so then  $p - 1/n < p/2 \implies -1/n < -p/2 \implies 1/n > p/2$ .

Since p cannot be 0 due to the metric space, this means there is a real number smaller than 1/n for all n. This violates Archimedes Small



3.42 Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in the metric space E, such that some subsequence of  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges. Prove the original sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges.

*Proof.* Assume  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy. Assume  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges to p. Also assume  $\epsilon > 0$ 

Then  $\exists N_0 \text{ s.t. } k > N_0 \implies d(p_{n_k}, p) < \epsilon/2$ , since  $n_k \ge k$  by Lemma 3.46 in the notes.

We also have  $\exists N > N_0 \text{ s.t. } n, m > N \implies d(p_m, p_n) < \epsilon/2.$ 

Choose  $n_k \ge m$ . Then we also have  $d(p_n, p) \le d(p_n, p_{n_k}) + d(p_{n_k}, p) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ .

So  $p_n$  converges to p.

3.43 Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a convergent sequence in the metric space E. Let  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a subsequence of this sequence. Prove  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is also convergent and has the same limit as the original sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in the metric space, E, that converges to p, and  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a subsequence. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ 

Then  $\exists N \text{ s.t. } n > N \implies d(p_n, p) < \epsilon$ .

So assume that k > N. Since  $p_{n_k}$  is in the original sequence and  $n_k \ge k$ , we have  $d(p_{n_k}, p) < \epsilon$ .

So  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \ k > N \implies d(p_{n_k}, p) < \epsilon$ . This means  $\langle p_{n_k} \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges to p.