

Statement of Work

Jack Lemere

Project: Diamond Value Prediction

AIDI 1002 AI Algorithms

Course Facilitator: Marcos Bittencourt

Date: Nov 1, 2020

Executive Summary and Rationale

Diamonds are well known to be one of the most expensive and sought-after gemstones in the world, making the diamond business extremely lucrative. Diamonds are a form of elemental carbon created deep in the earth's crust under extreme temperature and pressure. Once mined, the diamonds are carefully examined and then cut into various unique shapes. Once the diamond has been cut, it is then examined and graded in order to determine the diamond's price value. The value of each gemstone is based on the variables used in the grading process. These variables primarily include carat, clarity, color, and cut; also known as the four Cs. For this project, we will be creating an AI algorithm to predict the price values of various diamonds based on these variables, as well as other variables. Having an AI be able to assess the value of diamonds is very useful as this can be a very mundane task for humans. By being able to recognize patterns in characteristics that give diamonds their value through AI, human resources may be spared, making the process much faster, more efficient, and possibly more accurate.

The dataset used will contain a list of several diamonds each have variables including carat, clarity, color, cut, and more. Each datapoint will also include the evaluated price of the diamond determined manually with the given variables. The algorithm will be trained using a sample of this data, which will begin to correlate a relationship between the variables and the given price. The algorithm will then be tested to predict the value of numerous other diamonds. The predicted price and the actual determined price will then be compared to determine the accuracy of the model.

Data and Algorithm

The training and testing of the prediction model will require a dataset acquired from Kaggle. The dataset is very large, so many samples will be used to train and test the model. The main variables of the dataset to be examined will be the four Cs mentioned earlier since those variables will have the most impact on the value of each diamond. There are some other values which may have an influence on the pricing of diamonds such as the various geometric characteristics included in the data. This relationship between price and geometric will be explored to determine if there is any noticeable influence. There are some variables not in the dataset that may likely have an influence on the value of the diamond. These variables include non-numerical values such as the history or uniqueness of each diamond, or the location of where each diamond was mined. Not having access to these variables may hinder the accuracy of the prediction model. However, these inaccessible values may be reflected as outliers in the dataset which can be removed to account for special cases. As for the algorithm itself, the goal is to predict price values based on known information. The best choice of AI architecture in this case would be a regression based algorithm.

	Unnamed: 0	carat	cut	color	clarity	depth	table	price	x	y	z
0	1	0.23	Ideal	E	SI2	61.5	55.0	326	3.95	3.98	2.43
1	2	0.21	Premium	E	SI1	59.8	61.0	326	3.89	3.84	2.31
2	3	0.23	Good	E	VS1	56.9	65.0	327	4.05	4.07	2.31
3	4	0.29	Premium	I	VS2	62.4	58.0	334	4.20	4.23	2.63
4	5	0.31	Good	J	SI2	63.3	58.0	335	4.34	4.35	2.75
...

Fig 1. The first four data points of the diamond dataset. The main characteristics which will determine price will be the columns named carat, cut, color, and clarity. Carat is a quantitative value representing the mass of the diamond in carats, while cut, color, and clarity are all categorical values. “Cut” describes the cut quality of the diamond and is given a value of either Fair, Good, Very Good, Premium, or Ideal. “Color” is given a letter between D and J with D being best and J being worst. “Clarity” gives each diamond a value from the *GIA diamond clarity grading scale* which gives each diamond a rating between I₃ (Included) and FL (Flawless) with various values in between.

Test Process

The final testing process will be where the model is evaluated to determine how accurately the prices of the diamonds are predicted. This will be measured by determining the degree of error the model produces. The one method that will be examined for determining the magnitude of error will be to measure the mean-absolute error. This will take the average of the differences between each prediction and actual value, giving us a metric to determine what range we can expect the predicted value to differ from the actual value.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |A_t - F_t|$$

An issue with using mean-absolute error as a way to measure inaccuracy is that calculating the difference may not provide an accurate understanding of how accurate the model is since we are potentially dealing with a vast range of numbers. For example, if a price difference between the predicted price and actual price of a diamond was \$5,000, that does not really tell us much. If the diamond was worth \$400 and the model predicted \$5,400, that would suggest the model made a very poor prediction. Though if the diamond was worth \$1,200,000 and the model predicted \$1,205,000, that would suggest the model made a very good prediction. Since we only see the final difference when using this evaluation method, determining if the prediction was good or not is not possible. To avoid this, if we are dealing with a wide range of numbers it

would be best to use a percentage based value. Such as Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE).

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{|A_t - F_t|}{|A_t|} \right\} * 100$$

By using this method, more background information is seen which allows us to more easily compare how accurately the model predicted. Applying this method on the earlier example, the poor prediction would have an error of 1250% while the good prediction would have an error of 0.42%. By using this example, we can see that MAPE provides much more context to the error, which will be better for our purposes.