# **Tables**

Put your name here
Put the date here

## Introduction

In this module, we'll learn how to summarize categorical data using tables.

## Instructions

Presumably, you have already created a new project and downloaded this file into it. Please knit the document and work back and forth between this R Markdown file and the PDF output as you work through this module.

When you are finished with the assignment, knit to PDF one last time, proofread the PDF file **carefully**, export the PDF file to your computer, and then submit your assignment.

Sometimes you will be asked to add your own R code. That will appear in this document as a code chunk with a request for you to add your own code, like so:

```
## Add code here to [do some task]...
```

Be sure to remove the line ## Add code here to [do some task]... when you have added your own code. Sometimes you will be asked to type up your thoughts. That will appear in the document as follows:

Please write up your answer here.

Again, please be sure to remove the line "Please write up your answer here" when you have written up your answer. In these areas of the assignment, please use contextually meaningful full sentences/paragraphs (unless otherwise indicated) and proper spelling, grammar, punctuation, etc. This is not R code, but rather a free response section where you talk about your analysis and conclusions. If you need to use some R code as well, you can use inline R code inside the block between \begin{answer} and \end{answer}, or if you need an R code chunk, please go outside the answer block and start a new code chunk.

## Load Packages

We load the MASS package to work with data on risk factors associated with low birth weight, and the gmodels package to make nice contingency tables.

```
library(MASS)
library(gmodels)
```

# Working with factor variables

R uses the term "factor variable" to refer to a categorical variable. Your data set may already come with its variables coded correctly as factor variables, but often they are not. For example, our birth weight data birthwt has several categorical variables, but they are all coded numerically.

The code below is somewhat involved and technical. After the code chunk, I'll explain what each piece does.

First of all, because birthwt is a dataset defined in the MASS package, we don't want to modify it. Therefore, if we want to change something, we have to assign a new name to the resulting operation. That is why we have race <- at the beginning of the code line. The symbol <- is taking the result of the command on the right (in this case, the factor command) and giving it a new name.

The factor command converts birthwt\$race into a factor variable. The levels of the variable are the pre-existing numerical values. The labels are the names we actually want to appear in our output.

The letter c in c(1, 2, 3) and c("White", "Black", "Other") is necessary whenever we want to combine more than one thing into a single expression. (In technical terms, the "c" stands for "combine" or "concatenate" and creates a "vector".)

Finally, the last line takes the single vector race and turns it into a data frame that we call race\_df. Many of the commands we will use require that we analyze variables that are sitting inside of data frames. Let's see how this worked.

```
## 'data.frame': 189 obs. of 1 variable:
## $ race: Factor w/ 3 levels "White", "Black", ...: 2 3 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 ...
```

You can see from the output that this created a data frame called race\_df containing a single factor variable called race sitting inside it.

# Summarizing one categorical variable

If you need to summarize a single categorical variable, a frequency table usually suffices.

```
##
## White Black Other
## 96 26 67
```

If you want percentages, you have to create a table and then apply the prop.table command to it:

```
##
## White Black Other
## 0.5079365 0.1375661 0.3544974
```

The graphical analogues of these tables are the bar chart and the relative frequency bar chart. (See the module Graphing\_categorical\_data.Rmd.)

#### Exercise

Generate a frequency table like above, but this time use the original variable birthwt\$race.

```
## Add code here to create a frequency table with birthwt$race.
```

Explain the advantage of creating a factor variable with meaningful labels over using the original numerical variable.

Please write up your answer here.

# Summarizing two categorical variables

A table summarizing two categorical variables is called a contingency table (or pivot chart, or cross-tabulation, or probably several other terms as well). There are multiple ways of getting contingency tables out of R, but the most flexible is the CrossTable command from the gmodels package.

First things first, though. We need to create one more factor variable. We'll use the smoke variable about whether the mothers smoked during pregnancy.

```
smoke <- factor(birthwt$smoke, levels = c(0, 1), labels = c("No", "Yes"))
race_smoke <- data.frame(race, smoke)</pre>
```

The smoke variable is created in exactly the same way as the race variable was earlier. Now, though, because we want to analyze both race and smoke together, we create a data frame called race\_smoke with both variables. Let's make sure it did what we intended.

```
str(race_smoke)

## 'data.frame': 189 obs. of 2 variables:

## $ race : Factor w/ 3 levels "White", "Black", ...: 2 3 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 ...

## $ smoke: Factor w/ 2 levels "No", "Yes": 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 ...
```

Examine the output from the above code to make sure we have a data frame with the two factor variables we want.

And now for the contingency table. The first variable will be your row variable and the second, your column variable. There's no right or wrong way to do this, but I prefer to use the explanatory variable for the rows and the response variable for the columns. For example, I might be interested in knowing if a woman's race is associated with how likely she might have been to smoke. Therefore, race will be my row variable and smoke will be my column variable. For now, ignore all the extra options on the second line of the code chunk below.

```
##
## Cell Contents
## |------|
## | N |
```

```
##
##
## Total Observations in Table: 189
##
##
         | race smoke$smoke
##
                    Yes | Row Total |
## race smoke$race |
           No
 _____|
      White | 44 |
                    52 l
 -----|----|-----|
                    10 |
     Black | 16 |
##
 -----|-----|-----|
      Other | 55 |
                    12 |
 -----|-----|-----|
   Column Total | 115 | 74 |
 -----|----|
##
##
```

This table is highly misleading. For example, one cannot compare the 10 black women who smoked to the 12 "other" women who smoked. The 10 are out of 26, but the 12 are out of 67. That's why we need percentages. As the explanatory variable is in the rows, we turn on row percentages using the prop.r option of CrossTable.

```
## |
       N / Row Total |
## |-----|
##
## Total Observations in Table: 189
##
##
##
          | race_smoke$smoke
## race_smoke$race | No | Yes | Row Total |
 -----|-----|-----|
       White | 44 | 52 | 96 |
##
       1
             0.458 l
                   0.542 |
 -----|-----|
      Black |
              16 l
                     10 l
            0.615 | 0.385 |
       1
##
## -----|-----|
       Other | 55 |
##
                     12 |
      | 0.821 | 0.179 | 0.354 |
##
## -----|-----|
   Column Total | 115 |
                     74 |
                            189 |
```

## ## ##

Cell Contents

```
## -----|-----|
##
##
```

#### Exercise

What percentage of black women smoked during pregnancy? What percentage of "other" women smoked during pregnancy?

Please write up your answer here.

#### Exercise

Does race appear to be associated with the likelihood of smoking during pregnancy for the women in this data set? Or are these variables independent?

Please write up your answer here.

#### Your turn

Choose two categorical variables of interest from the birthwt data set. (Choose at least one variable other than race or smoke.) Turn them into factor variables with meaningful labels. Create a new data frame containing both variables. Identify one as explanatory and one as response. Then create a contingency table with row percentages. Comment on the association (or independence) of the two variables.

```
## Add code here to convert one or more variables to factor variables
## and make a data frame.
```

## Add code here to create a contingency table with row percentages.

Please write up your answer here.

## Conclusion

We use frequency tables to summarize a single categorical variable. Both raw counts and percentages can be useful.

We use contingency tables to summarize two categorical variables. Unless groups are of equal size, raw counts can be incredibly misleading here. As long as your explanatory variable appears as the row variable in the table, you should include row percentages to be able to compare the distributions of percentages among groups. If the percentages are roughly the same, the variables are more likely to be independent, whereas if the percentages are different, there may be an association between the variables.