



### **ECON2271**

#### **Business Econometrics**

**Or: Practical Econometrics for Beginners** 

Week 5: Multivariate Regression (i)

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *Agenda and learning outcomes*



Topic 3 (i): Multivariate Regression:(continuous Y, different types of X)

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + ... + b_n X_n + e$$

- a) Multivariate regression model essentials:
  - > Understand how to interpret the parameters of a multivariate function.
  - Understand how to specify and interpret models which include nominal and ordinal X-variables
  - Understand the difference between R-squared and adjusted R-squared in multivariate models
  - Students to know how to select variables to include, both intuitively and using statistical tools.
  - Be able to compare estimates across alternative model specifications, and use this information to make reasonable inferences about relationships between variables.
  - > Understand the key causes and consequences of endogenous regressors.
- b) Allowing for heterogeneity in associations (interaction terms):
  - ➤ Understand when, why and how allow for differences in associations between X and Y;
  - > being able to correctly interpret relevant model estimates.
- c) Using alternative estimation techniques to cope with endogenous regressors
  - > Students able to explain how 2SLS works
  - > Students able to perform 2SLS estimation and interpret results correctly



#### **Multivariate Regression Essentials:**

• Most of the time, when we are interested in understanding variation in Y, we have to acknowledge that Y depends not only on one, but on several different variables:

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + ... + b_n X_n + e$$

- Key consequences of moving to multivariate regression:
  - For a model with j independent (X) variables, you have to make sure that n > j.
  - The b-parameters now specifically reflect <u>partial</u> derivatives: b<sub>j</sub> measures the change in Y as X<sub>j</sub> changes by 1 unit, if all other X-variables remain constant.
  - The estimation of OLS is now a little more complex (but unless you're estimating manually you won't need to worry about that).
  - There is one additional problem we have to deal with: multicollinearity, which occurs when two or more X-variables are highly correlated and the model cannot distinguish between which X is causing what variation in Y.
  - We need to introduce the adjusted R-squared as a measure of goodness-of-fit, particularly if comparing competing model specifications.
  - If you don't specify your model correctly, you won't be able to know what's really going on.
  - If you can't interpret your model correctly (which can be tricky!) you will draw the wrong conclusions.

### **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



Nominal and ordinal regressors: What to do if X a set of mutually exclusive and complementary dummy variables?

- Example: The relationship between education and health
  - We want to find out whether people with higher levels of education have better health.
  - Health is measured by the 0-100 physical health index (PH)
  - Education is measured as the highest level qualification obtained:
    - Year 11 of high-school or less (NHS)
    - Year 12 of high-school (HS)
    - Vocational (trade) qualification (VT)
    - Undergraduate tertiary degree (UG)
    - Postgraduate tertiary degree (PG)
  - Could we just assign ascending numbers to these education levels (1-5) and regress PH on education level?
  - We could, but this forces the incremental differences in PH across education levels to be equal... Is this reasonable? Or useful?
  - If not, we could instead create a set of dummy identifier variables for each education level.
     These would be complementary and mutually exclusive.



- · Nominal and ordinal regressors
  - Example 1: The relationship between education and health  $PH_i = b_0 + b_1 HS_i + b_2 VT_i + b_3 UG_i + b_4 PG_4 + u_i$
  - ➤ How many variables in this model? Why?
  - ➤ What do the b-parameters capture?

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



- Nominal and ordinal regressors
  - ➤ What am I testing here?
    - I could perform pairwise hypothesis tests between b-parameters to see if any two groups are different:
      - $\rightarrow$  b<sub>1</sub> = 0; b<sub>2</sub> = 0; b<sub>3</sub> = 0; b<sub>4</sub> = 0 (these test whether any of the groups are different from the NHS group)
      - ▶ b<sub>1</sub> = b<sub>2</sub>; b<sub>1</sub> = b<sub>3</sub>; b<sub>1</sub> = b<sub>4</sub>; b<sub>2</sub> = b<sub>3</sub>; b<sub>2</sub> = b<sub>3</sub>; b<sub>3</sub> = b<sub>4</sub> (these test whether any of the other groups are different from one another).
    - I could test whether people with different levels of educational report different PH:
      - ightharpoonup  $H_0$ :  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$ .
      - > This is a joint hypothesis test.



- · Nominal and ordinal regressors
  - Example: The relationship between education and health

$$PH_{i} = b_{0} + b_{1}HS_{i} + b_{2}VQ_{i} + b_{3}UG_{i} + b_{4}PG_{i} + u_{i}$$

. regress ph h	ns vt ug pg						
Source	SS	df	MS	Numbe	r of obs	=	15,577
				F (4,	15572)	=	163.33
Model	307861.213	4	76965.3032	2 Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	7338138.73	15,572	471.239322	2 R-squ	ared	=	0.0403
				- Adj R	-squared	=	0.0400
Total	7645999.94	15,576	490.883407	7 Root	MSE	=	21.708
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Co	nf.	Interval
hs	10.71983	.5553488	19.30	0.000	9.63128	3	11.80838
vt	5.548865	.4515231	12.29	0.000	4.66382	7	6.433903
ug	10.81406	.5091926	21.24	0.000	9.81598	8	11.81214
pg	11.65467	.8303855	14.04	0.000	10.0270	1	13.28232

### **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



- Nominal and ordinal regressors
  - Example: The relationship between education and health
    - > All group (HS, VT, UG and PG) are statistically different from the reference group (NHS)
    - Seems we have 3 broad levels: NHS has the lowest PH, VT score about 5 points higher, and HS, UG and PG all score about 5 points higher again.
    - First, perhaps we should test whether we are right to lump HS, UG and PG together:

```
. test (_b[hs] = _b[ug]= _b[pg])

( 1) hs - ug = 0
( 2) hs - pg = 0

F( 2, 15572) = 0.59
Prob > F = 0.5518
```

➤ We use the F-distribution to test two-sided hypotheses about more than one regression coefficient at a time (i.e. joint hypotheses). The critical value for the F-statistic depends on the number of restrictions implied by the null hypothesis (K, here K=2), and the number of degrees-of-freedom (n – K – 1, here df= 15572). You can refer to a table (e.g. the critical value at the 95% level of confidence is 19.5), or you can use the p-value provided by your statistical package.



- · The mixed-variable multivariate regression model
  - Often, if we want to understand the relationship between two variables, we need to know much more about what drives our dependent variable.
  - E.g. We know that health is determined by a whole range of variables:
    - Income, wealth, age, marital status...
  - So if education groups are different with respect to these characteristics, education may be masking the true characteristics determining differences in health.
  - That is, we are right to conclude that people with different levels of education report different health, but we might be wrong in concluding that this is actually about education. It might be that people in the NHS group are much older than anybody else, for example.
  - In other words, we need to compare the physical health of people with different education but for whom all other such characteristics are the same! Ceteris paribus!
  - Therefore, we need to specify and estimate a larger model, where we introduce any variables we know (or suspect) will affect health, and we want to hold constant when observing differences across education levels:

 $PH_{i} = b_{0} + b_{1}HS_{i} + b_{2}VT_{i} + b_{3}UG_{i} + b_{4}PG_{i} + b_{5}Female_{i} + b_{6}Age_{i} + b_{7}\ln(lnc+1)_{i} + b_{7}\ln(Wth+1)_{i} + b_{8}Partn_{i} + u_{i}$ 

 Here, we have added gender (Female), age, log of income and wealth, and a dummy indicator for individuals who are married or in a de-facto relationship (Partn).

### **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



Source	SS	df	MS	Num	per of obs	=	14,87
				- F(9	, 14867)	=	449.3
Model	1554728.08	9	172747.56	5 Pro	o > F	=	0.000
Residual	5715696.39	14,867	384.45526	3 R-s	quared	=	0.213
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.213
Total	7270424.48	14,876	488.73517	6 Roo	t MSE	=	19.60
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Con	nf.	Interval
hs	3.172676	.5325074	5.96	0.000	2.128896		4.21645
vt	2.492377	.4269131	5.84	0.000	1.655575	j	3.3291
ug	4.993481	.49378	10.11	0.000	4.025611		5.96135
pg	5.960783	.7879749	7.56	0.000	4.416255	j	7.50531
female	-1.62763	.3248575	-5.01	0.000	-2.264391		990869
age	4898287	.0094001	-52.11	0.000	5082541		471403
lninc	2.195591	.2261228	9.71	0.000	1.752362	4	2.63881
lnwth	2.034765	.1001552	20.32	0.000	1.838449	ł	2.23108
partn	2.97935	.3614856	8.24	0.000	2.270794	i	3.68790
cons	44.79887	2.363374	18.96	0.000	40.16637	7	49.4313

CRICOS Provider Code: 00126



> What can we learn from this?

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



- > What can we learn from this?
  - More specifically:
    - If we thought that people with higher education are healthier because they have higher
      incomes and wealth levels, we are wrong... When we hold these and other variables constant,
      the association between education and health weakens, so it is possible that these factors
      account for some of this effect, but some differences persist across education levels.
    - If we thought that people with higher education are healthier because they tend to be
      younger, we are wrong... When we hold age and other variables constant, the association
      between education and health weakens, so it is possible that that age accounts for some of
      this effect, but some differences across education levels persist.



#### > What can we learn from this?

If we want to find out whether it is age or economic circumstances which are the key drivers of
the observed difference in health across education levels, we need to introduce these variables
separately into the model, and determine when and how the education coefficients change.

. regress ph h	ns vt ug pg ag	e					
Source	ss	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	15,577
				F(5,	15571)	=	630.37
Model	1287138.69	5	257427.739	Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	6358861.25	15,571	408.378476	R-sq	uared	=	0.1683
				Adj	R-squared	=	0.1681
Total	7645999.94	15,576	490.883407	Root	MSE	=	20.208
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Cc	onf.	Interval]
hs	5.17271	.5292482	9.77	0.000	4.13532	2	6.210098
vt	4.795491	.4206117	11.40	0.000	3.97104	13	5.619939
ug	9.104614	.4752994	19.16	0.000	8.17297	2	10.03626
pg	10.88378	.7731797	14.08	0.000	9.36825	8	12.3993
pg age	10.88378	.7731797		0.000	9.36825		12.3993

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



#### > What can we learn from this?

. regress ph l	ns vt ug pg ag	e lninc					
Source	ss	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	15,577
				- F(6,	15570)	=	597.17
Model	1430370.13	6	238395.023	l Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	6215629.81	15,570	399.205513	l R-sq	uared	=	0.1871
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.1868
Total	7645999.94	15,576	490.88340	7 Root	MSE	=	19.98
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Con	f.	Interval]
hs	4.558207	.5242752	8.69	0.000	3.530567		5.585847
vt	3.83879	.4189169	9.16	0.000	3.017664		4.659916
ug	7.108442	.4816026	14.76	0.000	6.164445		8.052439
pg	8.419096	.7754418	10.86	0.000	6.89914		9.939052
age	4171162	.0087004	-47.94	0.000	43417		4000623
lninc	3.909969	.2064203	18.94	0.000	3.505361		4.314577
_cons	48.06245	2.251517	21.35	0.000	43.64921		52.47569
	L						



#### > What can we learn from this?

regress ph h	ns vt ug pg ag	e lninc ln	wth				
Source	ss	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	14,885
				- F(7,	14877)	=	559.00
Model	1515566.38	7	216509.483	B Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	5762118.63	14,877	387.317243	R-sq	uared	=	0.2082
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.207
Total	7277685.01	14,884	488.960293	Root	MSE	=	19.68
	05	0.5.1		D. I.L.			T-1
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[324 COI	ır.	Interval
hs	3.279219	.5340505	6.14	0.000	2.23241	1	4.32602
vt	3.255181	.4212224	7.73	0.000	2.42953	1	4.08082
ug	5.640601	.4891421	11.53	0.000	4.681822	2	6.5993
pg	6.813405	.7854116	8.67	0.000	5.27390	l	8.35290
age	4746127	.0092186	-51.48	0.000	4926823	3	456543
lninc	2.335761	.2240628	10.42	0.000	1.8965	7	2.77495
lnwth	2.058722	.1004704	20.49	0.000	1.86178	7	2.25565
cons	42.9883	2.339191	18.38	0.000	38.4032	,	47.573

# **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



#### > What can we learn from this?

- Seems that we can't blame health differences across education levels on age.. However, some of
  it can be explained by income and wealth.
- I think that what is interesting about this is that there appears to be a direct positive effect of education on health, even after we control for all these factors.
- If we compare two individuals of same age, gender, marital status, income and wealth but where one has a higher level of education than the other, the person with the higher education will report better health.



#### > But there are some very important caveats:

- We can only compare ACROSS individuals here.
- We can only say that people who have different education have different health, after controlling for age, gender, income, wealth, and marital status.
- Suggesting that this implies that more education actually leads to better health outcomes is a long shot – at least in this context (cross-sectional analysis in well-developed economy).
- We need to ask: are there other things that could explain an association between education and health?
  - Education is not a random variable: The amount of education a person pursues is determined by:
    - access to what extent is education a feasible option?; and
    - <u>choice</u> if you have the option to invest in more education, what determines your choice of whether or not to do so?
  - It is possible that people who take particular education paths have particular characteristics which are associated also with health.
  - If so, it would be wrong to conclude that more education produces better health outcomes.
  - This is called omitted variable bias, and it is an important potential problem!

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



#### > Omitted variable bias:

- Say we estimate a model Y = b0 + b1X + u.
- You can imagine that Y is health and X is education.
- Let's say there is another key variable determining health, which is not observed: Z.
  - You can imagine that Z is some personal characteristic, such as conscientiousness: people
    who are characterise as conscientious are well-organised, dedicated to their work, take their
    responsibilities seriously, and are able to commit to things. They have an internal (rather
    than external) locus of control.
  - People with higher education are more likely to score higher on "conscientiousness" than
    others. This makes sense: conscientious people would seem better suited to pursuing
    education.
  - People who score higher on "conscientiousness" tend also to be healthier. This also makes sense: conscientious people are probably more likely to take their health seriously, commit to healthy behaviours and develop healthy habits.
- This means that, in the simple model above, this important information (Z) is omitted. Hence, the error term will contain this key information. However, some of this information is correlated with X (education). Consequently, X is correlated with u, which means it is endogenous. This yields a biased estimate of b1: the model will attribute variation in health to variation in education, when some of this variation is in fact attributable to Z the omitted variable.



#### > Other possible problems: reverse causality or simultaneity

- Are there yet other things that could explain an association between education and health?
  - Is it possible that health can determine education?
    - What if people with health issues fare worse at school, or find their health problems to be a significant barrier to pursuing further training or education?
  - If so, we have a problem of reverse causality or simultaneity: this happens when X causes Y, but Y also causes X, or when X and Y move simultaneously.
    - This problem will also make it difficult to determine exactly how much of the association between X and Y is attributed to the causal effect of X on Y.
    - This problem also causes X to be correlated with u, and the coefficient for X to be biased.
- So, omitted variables and reverse causality (and simultaneity) can cause some serious problems.
   What can be done?
  - If the problem is omitted information, the most obvious solutions is to try to get hold of this
    information...
  - If this is not possible, or the problem is reverse causality (or simultaneity), we need to look for other statistical techniques...

# **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



#### > Can we improve our health model?

- It just so happens that wave 13 of the HILDA data contains information about the Big5 personality traits, which includes:
  - Extraversion
  - Agreeableness
  - Conscientiousness
  - · Emotional stability
  - Openness to experience
- So, for all the individuals in wave 14 who also were captured in wave 13, I can "borrow" this
  information from the previous wave. This information is then not current, but it is only one year
  old, and there is lots of research demonstrating that personality is fairy stable in the short-tomedium run.
- · Will the positive association between education and health disappear if I control for personality?



egress pn r	s vt ug pg fe	maie age i	ninc Inwth	partn p	nextrv pna	gree	e pnconsc p	nemote phop	eı
Source	ss	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	13,089		
				F(14	, 13074)	=	318.06		
Model	1642678.82	14	117334.202	Prob	> F	=	0.0000		
Residual	4823017.32	13,074	368.901431	R-sq	uared	=	0.2541		
				Adj	R-squared	=	0.2533		
Total	6465696.14	13,088	494.017126	Root	MSE	=	19.207		
ph	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Cc	nf.	Interval]		
hs	2.607395	.5714861	4.56	0.000	1.48719	9	3.727591		
vt	2.281519	.4536271	5.03	0.000	1.39234	4	3.170694		
ug	4.141362	.5304874	7.81	0.000	3.1015	3	5.181195		
pg	5.591682	.829528	6.74	0.000	3.96568	7	7.217677		
female	-2.733549	.3566742	-7.66	0.000	-3.43268	2	-2.034416		
age	5567844	.0105021	-53.02	0.000	5773	7	5361987		
lninc	2.146555	.2361381	9.09	0.000	1.6836	9	2.60942		
lnwth	2.006655	.1092507	18.37	0.000	1.79250	8	2.220803		
partn	2.812935	.3829812	7.34	0.000	2.06223	7	3.563634		
pnextrv	.7194922	.1623273	4.43	0.000	.40130	7	1.037677		
pnagree	.8866264	.2118651	4.18	0.000	.471339	9	1.301913		
pnconsc	1.732453	.1841087	9.41	0.000	1.37157	4	2.093333		
pnemote	2.713672	.1791543	15.15	0.000	2.36250	3	3.06484		
pnopene	5038454	.180377	-2.79	0.005	857410	6	1502801		
_cons	21.18897	2.792746	7.59	0.000	15.7147	8	26.66316		

## **Topic 3(i): Multivariate Regression** *a) Multivariate regression essentials*



#### > What can we learn from this?

- The model R-squared...
- But the education coefficients..
- However, it is clear that personality...
- But it is not conscientiousness which dominates: it is...
- And openness to experience has a negative association with health... Why?

CRICOS Provider Code; 00126G



- Some important notes about model specification:
- If the model isn't specified correctly, we may draw the wrong conclusions.
- A well specified model will not be underspecified: it will include all relevant variables, and not omit any important information (especially if this information could be correlated with the model regressors)
- > But a model that includes variables which aren't key to explaining variation in the regressand (Y) is *overspecified*: This will most commonly cause problems with variance (i.e. loss of efficiency/estimation precision).
- ➤ How do we choose the "prefect" model specification?
  - > Use theory, prior research and intuition: include what SHOULD matter.
  - > Use statistics: include variables which appear to be important, and discard those that appear irrelevant (unless there is a good reason for including them anyway).
  - > If you have competing models, you can compare the adjusted R-squared directly: the model with the highest adjusted R-squared is best at explaining Y.
    - You can also use a special F-test to compare the fit of two alternative models, but if the adjusted R-squared is noticeably different the F-stat will almost always give you the same conclusion.

CRICOS Provider Code: 00126