

# Homework5

邢添琨

2024202862

## Q1

Consider the following program:

```
#define LEN 10
int a[LEN][LEN];
void f(void)
{
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < LEN; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < LEN; j++)
        {
            a[i][j] = i * LEN + j;
        }
}
```

Suppose the address of a is 0x10000000. After the function f() finished, fill the following table (if you don't know the value, please write NONE):

### Answer1

Place	Value
%eax	0x10000000
%ecx	22
\$0x10000004	1
0x10000012	NONE
0xFFFFFFFF8	NONE
(%eax,%ecx,8)	44

## Q2

Fill the blanks of the C program:

```
int dw_loop(int x, int y, int n)
{
    do
    {
        /* */
    } while (/* */);
    return x;
}
```

The assembly code is as follows:

```
x@%ebp+8, y@%ebp+12, n@%ebp+16

movl 8(%ebp), %eax // x
movl 12(%ebp), %ecx // y
movl 16(%ebp), %edx // n
.L2:
    addl %edx, %eax
    imull %edx, %ecx
    subl $1, %edx
    testl %edx, %edx
    jle .L5
    cmpl %edx, %ecx
    jl .L2
.L5:
```

## Answer2

```
int dw_loop(int x, int y, int n)
{
    do
    {
        x += n;
        y *= n;
        n -= 1;
    } while (n > 0 && y < n);
    return x;
}
```

## Q3

After ICS class, Barathrum has written a function like below:

```
int cmov_complex(int x, int y)
{
    return x < y ? x * y : (x + y) * y;
}
```

(1). Please write down the corresponding assembly code by using conditional move operations.

## Answer3(1)

```
cmov_complex:
    movl 8(%ebp), %eax
    movl 12(%ebp), %ecx
    movl %eax, %edx
    imull %ecx, %eax
    addl %ecx, %edx
    imull %ecx, %edx
    cmp 8(%ebp), %ecx
    cmovge %edx, %eax
    ret
```

(2). When Barathrum compiles it with gcc, he finds that there's no cmov at all in the assembly code! Please explain why gcc doesn't use conditional move operations in this case.

## Answer3(2)

如果要使用 cmov ，那么就必须进行两次乘法运算；但是如果使用这样的分支结构：

```
0000000000000000 <cmov_complex>:
 0:  endbr64
 4:  push  %rbp
 5:  mov   %rsp,%rbp
 8:  mov   %edi,-0x4(%rbp)
 b:  mov   %esi,-0x8(%rbp)
 e:  mov   -0x4(%rbp),%eax
11:  cmp   -0x8(%rbp),%eax
14:  jge   1f <cmov_complex+0x1f>
16:  mov   -0x4(%rbp),%eax
19:  imul  -0x8(%rbp),%eax
1d:  jmp   2b <cmov_complex+0x2b>
1f:  mov   -0x4(%rbp),%edx
22:  mov   -0x8(%rbp),%eax
25:  add   %edx,%eax
27:  imul  -0x8(%rbp),%eax
2b:  pop   %rbp
2c:  ret
```

可以只进行一次乘法运算，效率比 cmov 更高。

在看了 stack overflow 上几个关于 GCC 优化的帖子以后，发现似乎是因为  $x < y$  是数据相关的、可预测的，即使加了 cmov 跳转也不一定更快，并且还会占用更多寄存器，所以 GCC 选择使用分支结构。

## Q4

Translate the following switch statements into assembly using jump table.

```
int x = <some value>;
int result = 0;
switch (x)
{
case 24:
    result = x + x;
    break;
case 27:
case 28:
    result = x + 10;
    break;
case 26:
    result = x * 2;
// Notice: there is no break here!
case 29:
case 30:
    result = result + 5;
    break;
default:
    result = 3;
    break;
}
```

## Answer4

```
.section .rodata

.L9:
    .quad    .L1
    .quad    .L8
    .quad    .L2
    .quad    .L5
    .quad    .L5
    .quad    .L7
    .quad    .L7

    # x in %edi
    movl     %edi, %eax
    subl     $24, %eax
    cmpl     $6, %eax
    ja       .L8
    jmp      *.L9(,%eax,8)

.L1:
    lea      (%rdi,%rdi), %eax
    jmp      .L10

.L6:
    lea      (%rdi,%rdi), %eax
    addl     $5, %eax
    jmp      .L10

.L7:
.L8:
    leal     10(%rdi), %eax
    jmp      .L10

.L9:
.L3:
    movl     $5, %eax
    jmp      .L10

.L2:
    movl     $3, %eax
```

.L10

ret