

Homework5

邢添珵

2024202862

Q1

Consider the following program:

```
#define LEN 10
int a[LEN][LEN];
void f(void)
{
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < LEN; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < LEN; j++)
    {
        a[i][j] = i * LEN + j;
    }
}
```

Suppose the address of a is 0x10000000. After the function f() finished, fill the following table (if you don't know the value, please write NONE):

Answer1

Place	Value
%eax	0x10000000
%ecx	22
\$0x10000004	1
0x10000012	NONE
0xFFFFFFF8	NONE
(%eax,%ecx,8)	44

Q2

Fill the blanks of the C program:

```
int dw_loop(int x, int y, int n)
{
    do
    {
        /* */
    } while /* */;
    return x;
}
```

The assembly code is as follows:

x@%ebp+8, y@%ebp+12, n@%ebp+16

```
movl 8(%ebp), %eax // x
movl 12(%ebp), %ecx // y
movl 16(%ebp), %edx // n

.L2:
    addl %edx, %eax
    imull %edx, %ecx
    subl $1, %edx
    testl %edx, %edx
    jle .L5
    cmpl %edx, %ecx
    jl .L2

.L5:
```

Answer2

```
int dw_loop(int x, int y, int n)
{
    do
    {
        x += n;
        y *= n;
        n -= 1;
    } while (n > 0 && y < n);
    return x;
}
```

Q3

After ICS class, Barathrum has written a function like below:

```
int cmov_complex(int x, int y)
{
    return x < y ? x * y : (x + y) * y;
}
```

(1). Please write down the corresponding assembly code by using conditional move operations.

Answer3(1)

```
cmov_complex:
    movl 8(%ebp), %eax
    movl 12(%ebp), %ecx
    movl %eax, %edx
    imull %ecx, %eax
    addl %ecx, %edx
    imull %ecx, %edx
    cmp 8(%ebp), %ecx
    cmovge %edx, %eax
    ret
```

(2). When Barathrum compiles it with gcc, he finds that there's no cmov at all in the assembly code! Please explain why gcc doesn't use conditional move operations in this case.

Answer3(2)

如果要使用 cmov，那么就必须进行两次乘法运算；但是如果使用这样的分支结构：

```
0000000000000000 <cmov_complex>:  
0:    endbr64  
4:    push   %rbp  
5:    mov    %rsp,%rbp  
8:    mov    %edi,-0x4(%rbp)  
b:    mov    %esi,-0x8(%rbp)  
e:    mov    -0x4(%rbp),%eax  
11:   cmp    -0x8(%rbp),%eax  
14:   jge    1f <cmov_complex+0x1f>  
16:   mov    -0x4(%rbp),%eax  
19:   imul  -0x8(%rbp),%eax  
1d:   jmp    2b <cmov_complex+0x2b>  
1f:   mov    -0x4(%rbp),%edx  
22:   mov    -0x8(%rbp),%eax  
25:   add    %edx,%eax  
27:   imul  -0x8(%rbp),%eax  
2b:   pop    %rbp  
2c:   ret
```

可以只进行一次乘法运算，效率比 cmov 更高。

在看了 stack overflow 上几个关于 GCC 优化的帖子以后，发现似乎是因为 $x < y$ 是数据相关的、可预测的，即使加了 cmov 跳转也不一定更快，并且还会占用更多寄存器，所以 GCC 选择使用分支结构。

Q4

Translate the following switch statements into assembly using jump table.

```
int x = <some value>;
int result = 0;
switch (x)
{
case 24:
    result = x + x;
    break;
case 27:
case 28:
    result = x + 10;
    break;
case 26:
    result = x * 2;
// Notice: there is no break here!
case 29:
case 30:
    result = result + 5;
    break;
default:
    result = 3;
    break;
}
```

Answer4

```
.section .rodata
.L9:
    .quad    .L1
    .quad    .L8
    .quad    .L2
    .quad    .L5
    .quad    .L5
    .quad    .L7
    .quad    .L7

# x in %edi
movl    %edi, %eax
subl    $24, %eax
cmpl    $6, %eax
ja     .L8
jmp     *.L9(,%eax,8)

.L1:
    lea    (%rdi,%rdi), %eax
    jmp    .L10

.L6:
    lea    (%rdi,%rdi), %eax
    addl   $5, %eax
    jmp    .L10

.L7:
.L8:
    leal    10(%rdi), %eax
    jmp    .L10

.L9:
.L3:
    movl   $5, %eax
    jmp    .L10

.L2:
    movl   $3, %eax
```

.L10

ret