CSCI 3155: Lab Assignment 4

Spring 2014: Due Sunday, March 16, 2014

The primary purpose of this lab to understand the interplay between type checking and evaluation. Concretely, we will extend JAVASCRIPTY with immutable objects and extend our small-step interpreter from Lab 3. Unlike all prior language constructs, object expressions do not have an *a priori* bound on the number of sub-expressions because an object can have any number of fields. To represent objects, we will use collections from the Scala library and thus will need to get used to working with the Scala collection API.

Like last time, you will work on this assignment in pairs. However, note that **each student needs to submit a write-up** and are individually responsible for completing the assignment.

You are welcome to talk about these questions in larger groups. However, we ask that you write up your answers in pairs. Also, be sure to acknowledge those with which you discussed, including your partner and those outside of your pair.

Recall the evaluation guideline from the course syllabus.

Both your ideas and also the clarity with which they are expressed matter—both in your English prose and your code!

We will consider the following criteria in our grading:

- How well does your submission answer the questions? For example, a common mistake is to give an example when a question asks for an explanation. An example may be useful in your explanation, but it should not take the place of the explanation.
- How clear is your submission? If we cannot understand what you are trying to say, then we cannot give you points for it. Try reading your answer aloud to yourself or a friend; this technique is often a great way to identify holes in your reasoning. For code, not every program that "works" deserves full credit. We must be able to read and understand your intent. Make sure you state any preconditions or invariants for your functions (either in comments, as assertions, or as require clauses as appropriate).

Try to make your code as concise and clear as possible. Challenge yourself to find the most crisp, concise way of expressing the intended computation. This may mean using ways of expression computation currently unfamiliar to you.

Finally, make sure that your file compiles and runs (using Scala 2.10.3). A program that does not compile will *not* be graded.

Submission Instructions. Upload to the moodle exactly two files named as follows:

- Lab4_*YourIdentiKey*.pdf with your answers to the written questions (scanned, clearly legible handwritten write-ups are acceptable)
- Lab4_YourIdentiKey.scala with your answers to the coding exercises
- Lab4Spec-YourIdentiKey. scala with any updates to your unit tests.
- Lab4-*YourIdentiKey*. jsy with a challenging test case for your JAVASCRIPTY interpreter.

Replace *YourIdentiKey* with your IdentiKey. To help with managing the submissions, we ask that you rename your uploaded files in this manner.

Getting Started. Download the code pack lab3.zip from the assignment page.

A suggested way to get familiar with Scala is to do some small lessons with Scala Koans (http://www.scalakoans.org/). Useful ones for Lab 4 are AboutHigherOrderFunctions, AboutLists, AboutPartialFunctions, and AboutInfixPrefixAndPostfixOperators.

- 1. **Feedback**. Complete the survey on the linked from the moodle after completing this assignment. Any non-empty answer will receive full credit.
- 2. **Warm-Up: Collections**. To implement our interpreter for JAVASCRIPTY with objects, we will need to make use of collections from Scala's library. One of the most fundamental operations that one needs to perform with a collection is to iterate over the elements of the collection. Like many other languages with first-class functions (e.g., Python, ML), the Scala library provides various iteration operations via *higher-order functions*. Higher-order functions are functions that take functions as parameters. The function parameters are often called *callbacks*, and for collections, they typically specify what the library client wants to do for each element.

In this question, we practice both writing such higher-order functions in a library and using them as a client.

(a) Implement a function

```
def compressRec[A](1: List[A]): List[A]
```

that eliminates consecutive duplicates of list elements. If a list contains repeated elements they should be replaced with a single copy of the element. The order of the elements should not be changed.

Example:

```
scala> compressRec(List(1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3))
res0: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3)
```

This test has been provided for you in the template.

For this exercise, implement the function by direct recursion (e.g., pattern match on 1 and call compressRec recursively). Do not call any List library methods.

This exercise is from Ninety-Nine Scala Problems:

```
http://aperiodic.net/phil/scala/s-99/ .
```

Some sample solutions are given there, which you are welcome to view. However, it is strongly encouraged that you first attempt this exercise before looking there. The purpose of the exercise is to get some practice for the later part of this homework. Note that the solutions there do not satisfy the requirements here (as they use library functions). If at some point you feel like you need more practice with collections, the above page is a good resource.

- (b) Re-implement the compress function from the previous part as compressFold using the foldRight method from the List library. The call to foldRight has been provided for you. Do not call compressFold recursively or any other List library methods.
- (c) Implement a higher-order recursive function

```
def mapFirst[A](f: A => Option[A])(1: List[A]): List[A]
```

that finds the first element in 1 where f applied to it returns a Some(a) for some value a. It should replace that element with a and leave 1 the same everywhere else. Example:

```
scala> mapFirst((i: Int) => if (i < 0) Some(-i) else None)(List(1,2,-3,4,-5))
res0: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3, 4, -5)</pre>
```

(d) Consider again the binary search tree data structure from Lab 1:

```
sealed abstract class Tree {
    def insert(n: Int): Tree = this match {
        case Empty => Node(Empty, n, Empty)
        case Node(1, d, r) =>
            if (n < d) Node(1 insert n, d, r) else Node(1, d, r insert n)
    }

    def foldLeft[A](z: A)(f: (A, Int) => A): A = {
        def loop(acc: A, t: Tree): A = t match {
            case Empty => throw new UnsupportedOperationException
            case Node(1, d, r) => throw new UnsupportedOperationException
        }
        loop(z, this)
    }
}
case object Empty extends Tree
case class Node(1: Tree, d: Int, r: Tree) extends Tree
```

Here, we have implement the binary search tree insert as a method of Tree. For this exercise, complete the higher-order method foldLeft. This method performs an in-order traversal of the input tree this calling the callback f to accumulate a result. Suppose the in-order traversal of the input tree yields the following sequence of data values: d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n . Then, foldLeft yields

$$f(\cdots(f(f(z,d_1),d_2))\cdots),d_n)$$
.

We have provided a test client sum that computes the sum of all of the data values in the tree using your foldLeft method.

```
expressions
                                      e := x \mid n \mid b \mid undefined \mid uop e_1 \mid e_1 \ bop \ e_2 \mid e_1 \ ? \ e_2 : e_3 \mid 
                                            | const x = e_1; e_2 | console.log(e_1)
                                            | str | function p(\overline{x}: \overline{\tau}) tann e_1 | e_0(\overline{e})
                                            | \{ f_1 : e_1, ..., f_n : e_n \} | e_1.f
values
                                      v := n \mid b \mid  undefined \mid str \mid  function p(\overline{x} : \overline{\tau}) tann e_1
                                            | \{ f_1 : v_1, ..., f_n : v_n \}
unary operators
                                  uop := - | !
binary operators
                                  bop ::= , | + | - | * | / | === | ! == | < | <= | > | >= | && | ||
                                      \tau ::= number | bool | string | Undefined | (\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}) \Rightarrow \tau' | \{f_1:\tau_1;...;f_n:\tau_n\}
types
variables
                                      \boldsymbol{x}
numbers (doubles)
                                      n
booleans
                                      b := true \mid false
strings
                                    str
                                     p ::= x \mid \varepsilon
function names
field names
type annotations
                                 tann := : \tau \mid \varepsilon
                                     \Gamma ::= \cdot | \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau]
type environments
```

Figure 1: Abstract Syntax of JAVASCRIPTY

(e) Implement a function

```
def strictlyOrdered(t: Tree): Boolean
```

as a client of your foldLeft method that checks that the data values of t as an in-order traversal are in strictly accending order (i.e., $d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_n$).

Example:

```
scala> strictlyOrdered(treeFromList(List(1,1,2)))
res0: Boolean = false
```

3. JavaScripty Type Checker

As we have seen in the prior labs, dealing conversions and checking for dynamic type errors complicate the interpreter implementation. Some languages restrict the possible programs that it will execute to ones that it can guarantee will not result in a dynamic type error. This restriction of programs is enforced with an analysis phase after parsing known as *type checking*. Such languages are called *strongly, statically-typed*. In this lab, we will implement a strongly, statically-typed version of JAVASCRIPTY. We will not permit any type conversions and will guarantee the absence of dynamic type errors.

In this lab, we extend JAVASCRIPTY with types, multi-parameter functions, and objects/records (see Figure 1). We have a language of types τ and annotate function parameters with types. Functions can now take any number of parameters. We write a sequence of things using either an overbar or dots (e.g., \overline{e} or e_1, \ldots, e_n for a sequence of expressions). An object literal

$$\{f_1: e_1, ..., f_n: e_n\}$$

```
/* Functions */
case class Function(p: Option[String], params: List[(String, Typ)], tann: Option[Typ],
                         e1: Expr) extends Expr
  Function (p, \overline{(x,\tau)}, tann, e_1) function p(\overline{x:\tau})tann e_1
case class Call(e1: Expr, args: List[Expr]) extends Expr
  Call(e_1, \overline{e}) e_1(\overline{e})
/* Objects */
case class Obj(fields: Map[String, Expr]) extends Expr
  Object(\overline{f:e}) {\overline{f:e}}
case class GetField(e1: Expr, f: String) extends Expr
  GetField(e_1, f) e_1.f
/* Types */
case class TFunction(params: List[(String, Typ)], tret: Typ) extends Typ
  TFunction (\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}, \tau') (\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}) \Rightarrow \tau'
case class TObj(tfields: Map[String, Typ]) extends Typ
  T0bj(\overline{f}:\overline{\tau}) \{\overline{f}:\overline{\tau}\}
```

Figure 2: Representing in Scala the abstract syntax of JAVASCRIPTY. After each **case class** or **case object**, we show the correspondence between the representation and the concrete syntax.

is a comma-separted sequence of field names with initialization expressions surrounded by braces. Objects in this lab are more like records or C-structs as opposed to JavaScript objects, as we do not have any form of dynamic dispatch. Our objects in this lab are also immutable. The field read expression e_1 . f evaluates e_1 to an object value and then looks up the field named f. An object value is a sequence of field names associated with values. The type language τ includes base types for numbers, booleans, strings, and **undefined**, as well as constructed types for functions $(\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}) \Rightarrow \tau'$ and objects $\{f_1:\tau_1;\ldots;f_n:\tau_n\}$.

As an aside, we have chosen syntax that is compatible with the TypeScript language that adds typing to JavaScript. TypeScript aims to be fully compatible with JavaScript, so it is not as strictly typed as JAVASCRIPTY in this lab.

In Figure 2, we show the updated and new AST nodes. We update Function and Call for multiple parameters/arguments. Object literals and field read expressions are represented by Object and GetField, respectively.

In this lab, we implement a type checker that is very similar to a big-step interpreter. Instead of computing the value of an expression by recursively computing the value of each sub-expression, we infer the type of an expression, by recursively inferring the type of each sub-expression. An expression is *well-typed* if we can infer a type for it.

Given its similarity to big-step evaluation, we can formalize a type inference algorithm in a similar way. In Figure 3, we define the judgment form $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ which says informally, "In type environment Γ , expression e has type τ ." We will implement a function

```
def typeInfer(env: Map[String,Typ], e: Expr): Typ
```

that corresponds directly to this judgment form. It takes as input a type environment env

 $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

```
TYPESEO
                                            TYPENEG
                                                                                          TYPENOT
          TYPEVAR
                                                                                           \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool}
                                              \Gamma \vdash e_1: number
                                                                                                                                 \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1
                                                                                                                                                        \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2
          \Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)
                                            \Gamma \vdash -e_1: number
                                                                                           \Gamma \vdash !e_1 : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                                                                                         \Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2 : \tau_2
       TYPEARITH
                                                                                                                      TYPEPLUSSTRING
       \Gamma \vdash e_1: number
                                          \Gamma \vdash e_2: number
                                                                              bop \in \{+, -, *, /\}
                                                                                                                      \Gamma \vdash e_1: string
                                                                                                                                                     \Gamma \vdash e_2: string
                                    \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ bop \ e_2 : \mathbf{number}
                                                                                                                                 \Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2: string
                                     TYPEINEQUALITYNUMBER
                                      \Gamma \vdash e_1: number
                                                                         \Gamma \vdash e_2: number
                                                                                                            bop \in \{<, <=, >, >=\}
                                                                          \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ bop \ e_2 : \mathbf{bool}
                                         TYPEINEQUALITYSTRING
                                          \Gamma \vdash e_1: string
                                                                         \Gamma \vdash e_2: string
                                                                                                        bop ∈ {<, <=, >, >=}
                                                                          \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ bop \ e_2 : \mathbf{bool}
                            TYPEEQUALITY
                                                                         \tau has no function types
                            \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau
                                                  \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau
                                                                                                                          bop \in \{===,!==\}
                                                                          \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ bop \ e_2 : \mathbf{bool}
              TYPEANDOR
                                                                                                              TypePrint
                                           \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                       bop \in \{\&\&, ||\}
              \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                                                                                  \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1
                                     \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ bop \ e_2 : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                                                               \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{console.log}(e_1) : \mathbf{Undefined}
                   TYPEIF
                                                                                                         TYPECONST
                   \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                                                                                \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau_2
                                            \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau
                                                                      \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau
                                                                                                         \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1
                                      \Gamma \vdash e_1 ? e_2 : e_3 : \tau
                                                                                                                 \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{const} \ x = e_1; e_2 : \tau_2
                                                                                                                         ТүреОвіест
TYPECALL
\Gamma \vdash e : (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \cdots \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_n : \tau_n
                                                                                                                                   \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i (for all i)
                                        \Gamma \vdash e(e_1, \ldots, e_n) : \tau
                                                                                                                         \Gamma \vdash \{..., f_i : e_i, ...\} : \{..., f_i : \tau_i, ...\}
             TYPEGETFIELD
                                                               TYPENUMBER
                                                                                                          TYPEBOOL
                                                                                                                                               TYPESTRING
             \Gamma \vdash e : \{ \dots, f : \tau, \dots \}
                     \Gamma \vdash e.f : \tau
                                                               \Gamma \vdash n: number
                                                                                                          \Gamma \vdash b : \mathbf{bool}
                                                                                                                                               \Gamma \vdash str : string
                                                                   TYPEFUNCTION
      TYPEUNDEFINED
                                                                    \Gamma[x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau
                                                                                     \Gamma \vdash  function (x_1 : \tau_1, ..., x_n : \tau_n) e : \tau'
       \Gamma \vdash undefined : Undefined
                                     TYPEFUNCTIONANN
                                     \Gamma[x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau
                                                     \Gamma \vdash  function (x_1 : \tau_1, ..., x_n : \tau_n) : \tau e : \tau'
                              TYPERECFUNCTION
                              \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau'][x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau
                                                   \Gamma \vdash  function x(x_1 : \tau_1, ..., x_n : \tau_n) : \tau e : \tau'
```

Figure 3: Typing of JAVASCRIPTY.

(Γ) and an expression e (e) returns a type Typ (τ). It is informative to compare these rules with the big-step operational semantics from Lab 3.

The TypeEquality is slightly informal in stating

 τ has no function types.

We intend this statement to say that the structure of τ has no function types. The helper function hasFunctionTyp is intended to return **true** if a function type appears in the input and **false** if it does not, so this statement can be checked by taking the negation of a call to hasFunctionTyp.

To signal a type error, we will use a Scala exception

```
case class StaticTypeError(tbad: Typ, esub: Expr, e: Expr) extends Exception
```

where tbad is the type that is inferred sub-expression esub of input expression e. These arguments are used to construct a useful error message. We also provide a helper function err to simplify throwing this exception.

We suggest the following step-by-step order to complete typeInfer.

- 1. First, complete the cases for the basic expressions excluding Function, Call, Obj, and GetField.
- 2. Then, work on these remaining cases. These cases use collections, so be sure to complete the previous question before attempting these cases. You can also work on step before finishing typeInfer. **Hints**: Helpful library methods here include map, foldLeft, zipped, foreach, and mapValues. You may want to use zipped in the Call case to match up formal parameters and actual arguments.

4. JavaScripty Small-Step Interpreter

In this question, we update substitute and step from Lab 3 for multi-parameter functions and objects. Because of type checking, the step cases can simplified greatly. We eliminate the need to perform conversions and should no longer throw DynamicTypeError.

We introduce another Scala exception type

```
case class StuckError(e: Expr) extends Exception
```

that should be thrown when there is no possible next step. This exception looks a lot like DynamicTypeError except that the intent is that it should never be raised. It is intended to signal a coding error in our interpreter rather than an error in the JAVASCRIPTY test input.

In particular, if the JAVASCRIPTY expression e passed into step is closed and well-typed (i.e., judgment $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ holds meaning inferType(e) does not throw StaticTypeError), then step should never throw a StuckError. This property of JAVASCRIPTY is known as type safety.

A small-step operational semantics is given in Figure 4. This semantics no longer has conversions compared to Lab 3. It is much simpler because of type checking (e.g., even with the addition of objects, it fits on one page).

As specified, SearchObject is non-deterministic. As we view objects as an unordered set of fields, it says an object expression takes can take a step by stepping on any of its component fields. To match the reference implementation, you should make the step go on the first non-value as given by the left-to-right iteration of the collection using Map.find.

We suggest the following step-by-step order to complete substitute and step.

- 1. First, complete the basic expression cases not given in the template (e.g., DoMinus, DoIfTrue).
- 2. Then, work on the object cases. These are actually simpler than the function cases. **Hint**: Note that field names are different than variable names. Object expressions are not variable binding constructs—what does that mean about substitute for them?
- 3. Then, work on the function cases. **Hints**: You might want to use your mapFirst function from the warm-up question. Helpful library methods here include map, foldRight, zipped, and forall,

 $\frac{\text{DoNeg}}{n' = -n} \qquad \frac{\text{DoNot}}{b' = \neg b} \qquad \frac{\text{DoSeq}}{v_1, e_2 \longrightarrow e_2} \qquad \frac{\frac{\text{DoArith}}{n_1 \ bop \ n_2} \quad bop \in \{+, -, *, /\}}{n_1 \ bop \ n_2 \longrightarrow n'} \qquad \frac{\text{Str}' = str_1 + str_2}{str_1 + str_2 \longrightarrow str'}$ DoInequalityNumber **DOINEQUALITYSTRING** $\frac{b' = n_1 \ bop \ n_2 \qquad bop \in \{<, <=, >, >=\}}{n_1 \ bop \ n_2 \longrightarrow b'}$ $\frac{b' = str_1 \ bop \ str_2 \qquad bop \in \{<, <=, >, >=\}}{str_1 \ bop \ str_2 \longrightarrow b'}$ DoEquality $\frac{b' = (v_1 \ bop \ v_2) \qquad bop \in \{===,!==\}}{v_1 \ bop \ v_2 \longrightarrow b'} \qquad \frac{\text{DoAndTrue}}{\text{true } \&\& \ e_2 \longrightarrow e_2} \qquad \frac{\text{DoAndFalse}}{\text{false } \&\& \ e_2 \longrightarrow \text{false}}$ **DOPRINT** Doortrue DoorFalse DoIfTrue $\frac{DOORFALSE}{\text{true} \mid\mid e_2 \longrightarrow \text{true}} \qquad \frac{DOORFALSE}{\text{false} \mid\mid e_2 \longrightarrow e_2} \qquad \frac{v_1 \text{ printed}}{\text{console.log}(v_1) \longrightarrow \text{undefined}}$ v_1 printed DoCall DoIfFalse DoConst $\frac{\text{DOCONST}}{\text{false}? e_2: e_3 \longrightarrow e_3} \qquad \frac{v = \text{function } (x_1: \tau_1, ..., x_n: \tau_n) tann}{v(v_1, ..., v_n) \longrightarrow e[v_n/x_n] \cdots [v_1/x_1]}$ v =**function** $(x_1 : \tau_1, ..., x_n : \tau_n) tann e$ DoCallrec DOCALLREC $v = \text{function } x(x_1 : \tau_1, ..., x_n : \tau_n) tann e$ $v(v_1, ..., v_n) \longrightarrow e[v_n/x_n] \cdots [v_1/x_1][v/x]$ $v(v_1, ..., v_n) \longrightarrow e[v_n/x_n] \cdots [v_1/x_1][v/x]$ SEARCHIF $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ SEARCHCONST $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ $e_{1} \longrightarrow e'_{1}$ $e \longrightarrow e'$ $e(e_{1},...,e_{n}) \longrightarrow e'(e_{1},...,e_{n})$ $\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e_i'}{\left(\mathbf{function}\ p(\overline{x:\tau})\ e\right)(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, e_i, \dots, e_n) \longrightarrow \left(\mathbf{function}\ p(\overline{x:\tau})\ e\right)(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, e_i', \dots, e_n)}$ **SEARCHOBJECT** SEARCHGETFIELD SEARCHODIECT $\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e'_i}{\{..., f_i : e_i, ...\} \longrightarrow \{..., f_i : e'_i, ...\}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{e_1.f \longrightarrow e'_1.f}$

Figure 4: Small-step operational semantics of JAVASCRIPTY