

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

An Additional Case of Minimal Change Disease Following the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine

To the Editor:

A recent case report by Lebedev et al¹ described a patient presenting with minimal change disease (MCD) within days of his first injection of the BNT162b2 vaccine (Pfizer-BioNTech mRNA-based vaccine against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [SARS-CoV-2]).¹ Here, we describe a similar case of a patient with new-onset MCD after this vaccine.

A man in his early 80s was admitted with a 2-week history of edema and increase of body weight of 12 kg. He had received the first injection of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) vaccine 7 days before onset of edema. His medical history mentioned venous thromboembolisms several years earlier. He used no medication. Blood pressure was 168/94 mm Hg, and physical examination showed generalized pitting edema including periorbital edema. Blood tests revealed serum creatinine, 1.43 mg/dL; albumin, 1.03 g/dL; and total cholesterol, 522 mg/dL. Proteinuria was 15.3 g/d. Additional studies revealed no signs of an underlying systemic disease or malignancy. Light microscopy of a kidney biopsy specimen showed no apparent abnormalities in all 23 glomeruli examined. Tubular epithelial cells showed prominent nuclei and vacuolization. Immunofluorescence studies were negative. Electron microscopy showed diffuse podocyte foot-process effacement. All findings were consistent with MCD. Treatment with prednisolone 80 mg daily was initiated. After 10 days of prednisolone treatment, urinary protein-creatinine ratio declined to 0.68 g/g and edema had disappeared.

Our case provides support for a potential association between the BNT162b2 vaccine and onset of MCD. Pharmacovigilance of COVID-19 vaccines will be important to determine the incidence of this potential adverse event.

Rutger J. Maas, MD, PhD, Sanne Gianotten, MD, Wilbert A.G. van der Meijden, MD

Article Information

Authors' Affiliations: Department of Nephrology, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands (RJM, WAGM); and Department of Nephrology, Bernhoven Hospital, Uden, The Netherlands (SG).

Support: None.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

Peer Review: Received May 7, 2021. Accepted May 7, 2021 after editorial review by an Associate Editor and a Deputy Editor.

Publication Information: © 2021 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online May 13, 2021 with doi [10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.05.003)

Reference

1. Lebedev L, Sapojnikov M, Wechsler A, et al. Minimal change disease following the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2021;78(1):142-145.

RESEARCH LETTERS**Effect of Lanthanum Carbonate on Blood Pressure in CKD**

To the Editor:

Serum phosphate concentrations rise as chronic kidney disease (CKD) progresses and higher concentrations are associated with vascular calcification, cardiovascular events, and all-cause mortality.^{1,2} The relationship between serum phosphate and blood pressure (BP) is less established, but emerging data suggest that higher levels may induce microvascular dysfunction and increase BP.^{3,4} Here we looked at the association between phosphate-lowering medications and BP in advanced CKD.

We evaluated data from COMBINE, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial designed to test 2 medications intended to reduce dietary phosphate absorption, lanthanum carbonate (LC; a phosphate binder) and nicotinamide (an intestinal phosphate transport inhibitor), in 205 participants with CKD stage 3b-4 over 12-month follow-up.⁵ The trial was approved by institutional review boards at each center and all participants provided informed consent. Participants randomized to active LC arms exhibited reduced 24-hour urine phosphate excretion (UPE), but serum phosphate remained unchanged.⁵ As UPE is an indicator of dietary phosphate absorption,⁶ we hypothesized that randomization to LC would lower BP compared to the non-LC arms.

As nicotinamide did not affect serum phosphate or UPE in COMBINE, our post hoc analysis evaluated participants by 2 groups, LC vs non-LC (instead of the original 4). Participant data were included if they presented for at least 2 follow-up visits (which occurred monthly for 3 months, and then at 6, 9, and 12 months postrandomization). Using an electronic BP device, BP was measured 3 times from the right arm while seated in a quiet room following 5 minutes' rest during baseline and follow-up visits.

We hypothesized that effects would manifest by month 3 since theoretically the phosphate-BP relationship is mediated acutely, so we modeled the "acute" (baseline to month 3) and "chronic" (months 3-12) stages separately using a 2-slope linear spline model. The 2 slopes were weighted by their proportional time spans to produce a composite measure for 12-month rates of change. Our primary model adjusted for age, sex, clinical center, and baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), BP, and number of antihypertensives. Two-tailed $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Of 205 individuals randomized (Fig S1), mean age was 69 ± 12 (SD) years, 38% were women, and 34% were