

Area Chair Information

Group No. [3]

	Student ID	Name
Member 1	0751231	曾揚
Member 2	309505018	郭俊廷
Member 3		

Submitter Information

Group No. [4]

Area Chair Summary

1. HW4 final score: Excellent
2. Comment:
 - 1) Their report and presentation sounds very detailed.
 - 2) Their results have good visualization.

Reviewers Comments

TAs will collect all the reviewing results of the same group, and provide them below.
Do not change it, you should give the final score based on these comments.

Reviewer # 1 (Group 10)

1. Scores: Okay
2. Comments:

In the calculate 4 possible essential matrix step, they do not explain clearly enough about the math inequality. In the result, they don't have correct results with texture at the end. But overall, the concept of whole processing is well.

Reviewer # 2 (Group 17)

1. Scores: Excellent

2. Comments:

pros:

- The code implementation is correct.
- Report is well organized and thorough.
- The demo along with code explanation is very detailed and complete.

cons:

- You should sent your code, report, and demo video to every member in our group, instead of only one member.

Reviewer # 3 (Group 21)

1. Scores: Excellent

2. Comments:

- a. Their report is well written.
- b. Explain in detail.
- c. Their code is easy to understand with lots of comments.
- d. Their experimental results have great visualization.