

## Area Chair Information

Group No. [30]

	Student ID	Name
Member 1	309551030	邱黃婷
Member 2	0886009	黃傳原
Member 3		

## Submitter Information

Group No. [3]

## Area Chair Summary

1. HW4 final score: Okay
2. Comment:
  - a. Their code and report are well organized and clear.
  - b. However considering the comment from group 13, it's a pity that they didn't use their own data to do experiments, so they didn't complete all the tasks.

## Reviewers Comments

TAs will collect all the reviewing results of the same group, and provide them below. Do not change it, you should give the final score based on these comments.

### Reviewer # 1 (Group 9)

1. Scores: Excellent
2. Comments:
  - a. The code is clean and correct.

- b. The report is well organized and clearly explained.
- c. They do not use their own data in result.
- d. They give further explanation on the formula in teacher' s slide.

**Reviewer # 2 (Group 13)**

- 1. Scores: Bad
- 2. Comments:

Their presentation on the theory is okay, but lack explanation of the code, as well as the experiments on a custom dataset is missing.

**Reviewer # 3 (Group 24)**

- 1. Scores: Good
- 2. Comments:
  - a. The demo is clear and complete.
  - b. Their results are great, but they didn' t try their own image.