Area Chair Information

Group No. [19]

	Student ID	Name
Member 1	309605002	蔡松廷
Member 2	309512047	陳榮漢
Member 3	309554015	林孟晨

Submitter Information

Group No. [3]

Area Chair Summary

1. HW3 final score: Good

2. Comment:
   Their results of this homework meet the requirements, but there are still some concept and implementation procedures they didn't explain in detail to their reviewers.

Reviewers Comments

TAs will collect all the reviewing results of the same group, and provide them below. Do not change it, you should give the final score based on these comments.

Reviewer # 1 (Group 1)

1. Scores: Excellent

2. Comments:

This group's stitch results are very good, and also their explanation is very clear and easy to follow, the report is also very well written. My suggestion for this group is to add more discussion in the future.

Reviewer # 2 (Group 28)

1. Scores: Good

2. Comments:
   a. Their oral presentation is clear to understand.
   b. Code is clean and easy to read.
   c. They meet the homework requirement, but they didn't do extra techniques or experiments.

Reviewer # 3 (Group 17)

1. Scores: Good

2. Comments:
   pros:
   The code implementation is correct.
   cons:
   Some details are not thoroughly explained during demo.