Area Chair Information

Group No. [3]

	Student ID	**Name**
Member 1	0751231	曾揚
Member 2	309505018	郭俊廷
Member 3		

Submitter Information

Group No. [8]

Area Chair Summary

1. HW2 final score: Good

2. Comment:
(1) They have good comments in the source code,but it does not work.
(2) The report is well written.

Reviewers Comments

TAs will collect all the reviewing results of the same group, and provide them below. Do not change it, you should give the final score based on these comments.

Reviewer # 1 (Group 5)

1. Scores: Excellent

2. Comments:
    1. If the results of the Laplacian pyramid can be gray scale, it could show more clear.
    2. The padding method of Laplacian pyramid makes the edges of images with low resolution gray.
    3. Colorization with the approach to detect high variation regions to get a more efficient computation is smart.

Reviewer # 2 (Group 9)

1. Scores: Okay

2. Comments:
   a. We got some inspiration from their method of task3 because they use high variation region to do alignment which is different from our method.
   b. Their code does not work.
   c. The report is well written. It's easy to read.

Reviewer # 3 (Group 21)

1. Scores: Good

2. Comments:
   a. They have good comments in source code.
   b. Their report is very clear.