Computer Vision HW3, Review Report

Reviewer:

Group No. [3]

	Student ID	Name
Member 1	0751231	曾揚
Member 2	309505018	郭俊廷
Member 3		

Submitter:

	Group No.	Scoress
Submitter 1	18	Excellent
Submitter 2	19	Good
Submitter 3	4	Good

Reviewers Comments

Submitter # 1

1. Scores: Excellent
   (Excellent / Good / Okay / Bad / Not acceptable)

2. Comments:
   (1) Their implementation is complete enough.
   (2) Their report is detailed, and there is a comparison for methods of blending.
   (3) Their logic of the presentation is clear and smooth.

Submitter # 2

1. Scores: Good
   (Excellent / Good / Okay / Bad / Not acceptable)

2. Comments:
   (1) Their implementation is complete enough.
   (2) Their result looks regular.
   (3) They maybe can try to deal with the problems of blending.

Submitter # 3

1. Scores: Good
   (Excellent / Good / Okay / Bad / Not acceptable)

2. Comments:
   (1) Their implementation of mathematical formulas is explained clearly.
   (2) Their report is detailed (26 pages), and they implement the SIFT, MSER, FAST algorithm to find keypoint.
   (3) They transform image 1 by multiplying homogeneous H, and wrap to image 2, and their result looks deformed. A little different from OpenCV's result.