Scheme Notes 01

Geoffrey Matthews

Department of Computer Science Western Washington University

September 25, 2018

Resources

- The software:
 - https://racket-lang.org/
- Texts:
 - https://mitpress.mit.edu/sicp/
 - http://www.scheme.com/tsp13/ (make sure you use the 3rd edition and not the 4th)
 - http://ds26gte.github.io/tyscheme/

Running the textbook examples

- Using the racket language is usually best, the examples from The Scheme Programming Language should run without modification.
- ▶ The examples from SICP are a little more idiosyncratic. Most of them can be run by installing the sicp package as in these instructions:

```
http://stackoverflow.com/questions/19546115/which-lang-packet-is-proper-for-sicp-in-dr-racket
```

Simple Scheme Program

```
quadratic.rkt __
(provide quadratic)
(define quadratic
  (lambda (a b c)
    (let ((discriminant (- (* b b) (* 4.0 a c))))
      (if (< discriminant 0.0)
          (list +nan.0 +nan.0)
          (two-real-solutions (- b)
                               (sqrt discriminant)
                               (* 2.0 a))))))
(define two-real-solutions
  (lambda (neg-b root-disc two-a)
    (list (/ (- neg-b root-disc) two-a)
          (/ (+ neg-b root-disc) two-a))))
```

Simple Scheme Program Unit Tests

```
quadratic-test.rkt
(define same?
  (lambda (a b)
    (< (abs (- a b)) 1.0e-10)))
(define list-same?
  (lambda (ls1 ls2)
    (and (same? (first ls1) (first ls2))
         (same? (second ls1) (second ls2)))))
(check list-same?
       (quadratic 1 2 1) (list -1.0 -1.0))
(check list-same?
       (quadratic 1 0 -1) (list -1.0 1.0))
(check list-same?
       (quadratic 1 -5 6) (list 2.0 3.0))
```

There are two types of expressions:

Primitive expressions: no parentheses.

Constants:

```
4 3.141592 #t #f "Hello world!"

Variables:
x long-variable-name a21
```

Compound expressions: with parentheses.

```
Special forms:
  (define x 99)
  (if (> x y) x y)
Function calls:
  (+ 1 2 3)
  (list (list 1 2) (list 3 4))
```

Introducing Local Variables

Beware! This will NOT work.

```
(let ((x 3)
	(y (* 2 x))
	(z (* 3 x)))
	(+ x (* y z))) => 57
```

But this will.

```
(let* ((x 3)
	(y (* 2 x))
	(z (* 3 x)))
	(+ x (* y z))) => 57
```

Defining Procedures

Two equivalent ways:

```
(define (square x) (* x x))
(define square (lambda (x) (* x x)))
```

The first one is more in line with the procedure call:

```
(square 5) => 25
```

Defining Procedures

Two equivalent ways:

```
(define (square x) (* x x))
(define square (lambda (x) (* x x)))
```

The second one is more in line with defining other things:

```
(define x (* 3 4))
(define y (list 5 9 22))
```

The action of define is simply to give a *name* to the result of an expression.

Defining Procedures

Two equivalent ways:

```
(define (square x) (* x x))
(define square (lambda (x) (* x x)))
```

The result of a lambda-expression is an anonymous function. We can name it, as above, or use it without any name at all:

```
(square 5) => 25
((lambda (x) (* x x)) 5) => 25
```

Procedures always return a value

```
(define (bigger a b c d)
 (if (> a b) c d))
(define (solve-quadratic-equation a b c)
  (let ((disc (sqrt (- (* b b)
                        (* 4.0 a c)))))
    (list
    (/ (+ b disc)
      (* 2.0 a))
     (/ (+ (- b) disc))
       (* 2.0 a)))
   ))
```

Solving problems

Newton's method:

If y is a guess for \sqrt{x} , then the average of y and x/y is an even better guess.

X	guess	quotient	average
2	1.0	2.0	1.5
2	1.5	1.3333333333333333	1.416666666666665
2	1.416666666666665	1.411764705882353	1.4142156862745097
2	1.4142156862745097	1.41421143847487	1.4142135623746899

. . .

Evidently, we want to iterate, and keep recomputing these things until we find a value that's close enough.

Newton's Method in Scheme

```
(define sqrt-iter
  (lambda (guess x)
    (if (good-enough? guess x)
        guess
        (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x))))
(define improve
  (lambda (guess x)
    (average guess (/ x guess))))
(define average
  (lambda (x y) (/ (+ x y) 2)))
(define good-enough?
  (lambda (guess x)
    (< (abs (- (square guess) x)) 0.00001)))</pre>
(define square
  (lambda (x) (* x x)))
(define sqrt
  (lambda (x) (sqrt-iter 1.0 x)))
```

Decompose big problems into smaller problems.

Newton's Method in Scheme

```
(define sqrt-iter
  (lambda (guess x)
    (if (good-enough? guess x)
        guess
        (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x))))
(define improve
  (lambda (guess x)
    (average guess (/ x guess))))
(define average
  (lambda (x y) (/ (+ x y) 2)))
(define good-enough?
  (lambda (guess x)
    (< (abs (- (square guess) x)) 0.00001)))</pre>
(define square
  (lambda (x) (* x x)))
(define sqrt
  (lambda (x) (sqrt-iter 1.0 x)))
```

Note: NO GLOBAL VARIABLES!



Definitions can be nested

```
(define sqrt
  (lambda (x)
    (define good-enough?
      (lambda (guess x)
        (< (abs (- (square guess) x)) 0.001)))</pre>
    (define improve
      (lambda (guess x)
        (average guess (/ x guess))))
    (define sqrt-iter
      (lambda (guess x)
        (if (good-enough? guess x)
            guess
            (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x))))
    (sqrt-iter 1.0 x)))
```

Parameters need not be repeated

```
(define sqrt
  (lambda (x)
    (define good-enough?
      (lambda (guess)
        (< (abs (- (square guess) x)) 0.001)))
    (define improve
      (lambda (guess)
        (average guess (/ x guess))))
    (define sqrt-iter
      (lambda (guess)
        (if (good-enough? guess)
            guess
            (sqrt-iter (improve guess)))))
    (sqrt-iter 1.0)))
```

Introducing local functions with letrec

```
(define sqrt
  (lambda (x)
    (letrec ((good-enough?
              (lambda (guess)
                (< (abs (- (square guess) x)) 0.001)))
             (improve
              (lambda (guess)
                (average guess (/ x guess))))
             (sqrt-iter
              (lambda (guess)
                (if (good-enough? guess)
                    guess
                     (sqrt-iter (improve guess)))))
             (sqrt-iter 1.0))))
```

Procedures in Scheme

```
(define f
  (lambda (x) (* x x))
)

(f 3) => 9
  (f 4) => 16

((lambda (x) (* x x)) 3) => 9
  ((lambda (x) (* x x)) 4) => 16
```

Local Variables in Procedures: Closures

```
(define counter
  (let ((n 0))
   (lambda ()
     (set! n (+ n 1))
     n
(counter) => 1
(counter) => 2
(counter) => 3
```

A Procedure that Returns a Procedure

```
(define curry
 (lambda (a)
   (lambda (b)
    (+ a b)
(curry 3) => #
((curry 3) 4) => 7
```

A Procedure that Returns a Counter

```
(define new-counter
  (lambda ()
    (let ((n 0))
      (lambda ()
        (set! n (+ n 1))
        n) ) )
(define x (new-counter))
(define y (new-counter))
(x) => 1
(x) \Rightarrow 2
(x) => 3
(y) => 1
(y) => 2
(x) => 4
```

Procedures as Returned Values: Derivatives

```
(define d
  (lambda (f)
    (let* ((delta 0.00001)
           (two-delta (* 2 delta)))
      (lambda (x)
        (/ (- (f (+ x delta)) (f (- x delta)))
           two-delta)))))
((d (lambda (x) (* x x x))) 5) => ?
((d \sin) 0.0)
```

Procedures as Returned Values: Procedures as Data

```
(define make-pair
  (lambda (a b)
    (lambda (i)
      (cond ((= i 0) a)
            ((= i 1) b)
            (else (error 'bad-index))))
  ))
(define x (make-pair 4 8))
(define y (make-pair 100 200))
(define z (make-pair x y))
(x 0)
(y 1)
((z 1) 0) => ?
```

Procedures as parameters

Summation notation:

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} f(i) = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

In scheme:

Better notation for summations

Instead of

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} f(i) = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

use

$$\sum_{a}^{b} f = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

Why don't we use that?

Better notation for summations

Instead of

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} f(i) = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

use

$$\sum_{a}^{b} f = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

Why don't we use that?

Because then you have problems with

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} i^2 = a^2 + \ldots + b^2$$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{b} \boxed{?} = a^2 + \ldots + b^2$$

Better notation for summations

Instead of

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} f(i) = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

use

$$\sum_{a}^{b} f = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$

Why don't we use that?

Because then you have problems with

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} i^2 = a^2 + \dots + b^2$$

$$\sum_{a}^{b} \boxed{?} = a^2 + \dots + b^2$$

$$\sum_{a}^{b} \lambda i \cdot i^2 = a^2 + \dots + b^2$$

Matches Scheme Code Better, Too

$$\sum_{a}^{b} f = f(a) + \ldots + f(b)$$
(define sum
(lambda (a b f)
(if (> a b)
0
(+ (f a) (sum (+ a 1) b f)))))

Finding fixed points

```
x is a fixed point of f if x = f(x)
For some functions you can find fixed points by iterating: x, f(x), f(f(x)), f(f(f(x))), \dots
```

Fixed points in scheme:

```
(define fixed-point
  (lambda (f)
    (let
        ((tolerance 0.0001)
         (max-iterations 10000))
      (letrec
          ((close-enough?
            (lambda (a b) (< (abs (- a b)) tolerance)))
           (try
            (lambda (guess iterations)
              (let ((next (f guess)))
                (cond ((close-enough? guess next) next)
                      ((> iterations max-iterations) #f)
                      (else (try next (+ iterations 1)))))))
        (try 1.0 0)))))
(fixed-point cos) => 0.7390547907469174
(fixed-point sin) => 0.08420937654137994
(fixed-point (lambda (x) x)) => 1.0
(fixed-point (lambda (x) (+ x 1))) => #f
```

Remember Newton's Method?

Newton's method:

If y is a guess for \sqrt{x} , then the average of y and x/y is an even better guess.

X	guess	quotient	average
2	1.0	2.0	1.5
2	1.5	1.3333333333333333	1.416666666666665
2	1.416666666666665	1.411764705882353	1.4142156862745097
2	1.4142156862745097	1.41421143847487	1.4142135623746899

. . .

Evidently, we want to iterate, and keep recomputing these things until we find a value that's close enough.

Newton's Method Using Functional Programming