

## Homework 1

---

### Problem 1

Use Lemma 2.3 on page 28 of MLS to prove Rodrigues' formula, Equation (2.14).

**Hint:** We can prove the following two lemmas first using mathematical induction, where

**Lemma 0.1:**  $\theta^{2n-1}\hat{\omega}^{2n-1} = (-1)^{n-1}\theta^{2n-1}\hat{\omega}$  for  $n = 1, \dots, \infty$ .

**Lemma 0.2:**  $\theta^{2n}\hat{\omega}^{2n} = (-1)^{n+1}\theta^{2n}\hat{\omega}^2$  for  $n = 1, \dots, \infty$ .

Using the results of the lemmas and Taylor expansion of  $\sin \theta$  and  $\cos \theta$ , we can get the Rodrigues' formula.

---

### Problem 2

Equation (2.18) on page 30 of MLS shows one way to extract  $\omega$  from a  $3 \times 3$  rotation matrix  $R$ . This mapping breaks down for  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi$ , however, which can be found from (2.17). This is to be expected at  $\theta = 0$ , because this is a singularity (the axis of rotation  $\omega$  is undefined when  $\theta = 0$ ). When  $\theta = \pi$ , there is not a singularity; one should be able to find  $\omega$ . Use Rodrigues' formula to show that when  $R = I$ ,  $\theta = 0$  and  $\omega$  is arbitrary.

---

### Problem 3

Equation (2.18) is just one way of finding  $\omega$  from the nine terms in  $R$ —you have nine equations you can use and only three unknowns. From (2.16), find an alternative to equation (2.18) that will give  $\omega$  for the particular case that  $\theta = \pi$ .

**Note:** when we see methods to solve the inverse kinematics of a multi-link manipulator in a few weeks, our knowledge of the structure of the manipulator will give us  $\omega$ , and we will use a more robust method of finding  $\theta$  from the known  $\omega$ .

---

### Problem 4

Let  $R \in SO(3)$  be a rotation matrix generated by rotating about a unit vector  $\omega$  by  $\theta$  radians. That is,  $R$  satisfies  $R = e^{\hat{\omega}\theta}$ .

(a) Show that the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\omega}$  are 0,  $i$ , and  $-i$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Show that the eigenvector associated with  $\lambda = 0$  is  $\omega$ .

(b) Verify that the eigenvalues of  $R$  are 1,  $e^{i\theta}$ , and  $e^{-i\theta}$  and that the eigenvectors of  $R$  are the same as  $\hat{\omega}$ .

**Hint:** Note that the eigenvalues of  $R$  are the exponentials of the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\omega}$  times  $\theta$ . You don't need to find the eigenvectors to do this problem.

## Problem 5

Let  $SO(2)$  be the set of all  $2 \times 2$  orthogonal matrices with determinant equal to  $+1$ .

(a) Show that  $SO(2)$  can be identified with the  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , the unit circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Hint:** Where you are on a circle can be identified by a single parameter  $\theta$  with periodicity  $2\pi$ . Show, using the definition of the properties of  $SO(n)$  in section 2.1, that any member of  $SO(2)$  can also be written as a function of  $\theta$ .

(b) Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  be a real number and define  $\hat{\omega} \in so(2)$  as the skew-symmetric matrix

$$\hat{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$e^{\hat{\omega}\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega\theta & -\sin \omega\theta \\ \sin \omega\theta & \cos \omega\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Is the exponential map  $\exp : so(2) \rightarrow SO(2)$  surjective? injective?

**Hints:** An *injective* mapping is one-to-one. Don't use Rodrigues' formula—it is only proven for  $so(3)$ .

## Problem 6

Let  $R \in SO(2)$  and  $\hat{\omega} \in so(2)$ .

(a) Show that  $R\hat{\omega}R^T = \hat{\omega}$ .

(b) Verify that  $Re^{\hat{\omega}\theta}R^T = e^{\hat{\omega}\theta}$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}e^{\hat{\omega}\theta} = (\hat{\omega}\dot{\theta})e^{\hat{\omega}\theta} = e^{\hat{\omega}\theta}(\hat{\omega}\dot{\theta})$ .

**Hints:** Matrix exponential of  $\Lambda$  is defined as:  $e^\Lambda = I + \Lambda + \frac{\Lambda^2}{2!} + \cdots$ .