

# AN $\infty$ -CATEGORICAL APPROACH TO $R$ -LINE BUNDLES, $R$ -MODULE THOM SPECTRA, AND TWISTED $R$ -HOMOLOGY

MATTHEW ANDO, ANDREW J. BLUMBERG, DAVID GEPNER, MICHAEL J. HOPKINS,  
AND CHARLES REZK

ABSTRACT. We develop a generalization of the theory of Thom spectra using the language of  $\infty$ -categories (also known as quasicategories) of Joyal and Lurie. This treatment exposes the conceptual underpinnings of the Thom spectrum functor: it involves a new model of parametrized spectra, and our definition is motivated by the geometric definition of Thom spectra of May-Sigurdsson. For an  $A_\infty$  ring spectrum  $R$ , we associate a Thom spectrum to a map of  $\infty$ -categories from the  $\infty$ -groupoid of a space  $X$  to the  $\infty$ -category of free rank one  $R$ -modules, which we show is a model for  $BGL_1 R$ ; we show that  $BGL_1 R$  classifies homotopy sheaves of rank one  $R$ -modules, which we call  $R$ -line bundles. We use our  $R$ -module Thom spectrum to define the twisted  $R$ -homology and cohomology of  $R$ -line bundle over space classified by a map  $X \rightarrow BGL_1 R$ , and we recover the generalized theory of orientations in this context. In order to compare this approach to the classical theory, we characterize the Thom spectrum functor axiomatically, from the perspective of Morita theory.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the companion to this paper [ABGHR1], we review and extend the work of [MQRT77] on Thom spectra and orientations, using the theory of structured ring spectra. To an  $A_\infty$  ring spectrum  $R$  we associate a space  $BGL_1 R$ , and to a map of spaces  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1 R$  we associate an  $R$ -module Thom spectrum  $Mf$  such that  $R$ -module orientations  $Mf \rightarrow R$  correspond to null-homotopies of  $f$ .

Letting  $S$  denote the sphere spectrum, one finds that  $BGL_1 S$  is the classifying space for stable spherical fibrations, and if  $f$  factors as

$$f: X \xrightarrow{g} BGL_1 S \rightarrow BGL_1 R,$$

then  $Mg$  is equivalent to the usual Thom spectrum of the spherical fibration classified by  $g$ ,  $Mf \simeq Mg \wedge R$ , and  $R$ -module orientations  $Mf \rightarrow R$  correspond to classical orientations  $Mg \rightarrow R$ .

Rich as it is, the classical theory has a number of shortcomings. For example, one expects Thom spectra as above to arise from bundles of  $R$ -modules. However, in the approaches of [ABGHR1] as well as [MQRT77, LMSM86], such a bundle theory is more a source of inspiration than of concrete constructions or proofs. A related problem is that, with the constructions in [ABGHR1, MQRT77, LMSM86], it is difficult to identify the functor represented by the homotopy type  $BGL_1 R$ .

---

*Date:* Version 2.0 October 2012.

Ando was supported by NSF grant DMS-0705233. Blumberg was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship. Gepner was supported by EPSRC grant EP/C52084X/1. Hopkins was supported by the NSF. Rezk was supported by NSF grant DMS-0505056.

The parametrized homotopy theory of [MS06] is one approach to the bundles of  $R$ -modules we have in mind, but the material on Thom spectra in that work focuses on spherical fibrations, and the discussion of twisted generalized cohomology in §22 of that book requires a model for  $GL_1R$  which is a genuine topological monoid, a situation which may not arise from the ambient geometry.

In this paper, we introduce a new approach to parametrized spaces and spectra, Thom spectra, and orientations, based on the quasicategories of Joyal and Lurie [Joy02, HTT] (we'll also call them  $\infty$ -categories). Our treatment has a number of attractive features. It involves a simple theory of parametrized spectra as, essentially, homotopy local systems of spectra. We give a model for  $BGL_1R$  which, by construction, evidently classifies homotopy local systems of free rank-one  $R$ -modules. Using this model, we are able to give an intuitive and effective construction of the Thom spectrum. Our Thom spectrum functor is an  $\infty$ -categorical left adjoint, and so clearly commutes with homotopy colimits, and comes with an obstruction theory for orientations. We also discuss an axiomatic approach to the theory of generalized Thom spectra which allows us easily to check that our construction specializes to the other existing constructions, such as [LMSM86].

To begin, let's consider spaces over a space  $X$ . Since the singular complex functor from spaces to simplicial sets induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories (where the latter is equipped with the Kan model structure), and both are equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -groupoids, we will typically not distinguish between a space  $X$  and its singular complex  $\text{Sing}(X)$ . We will also use the term “fundamental  $\infty$ -groupoid of  $X$ ” for any  $\infty$ -category equivalent to  $\text{Sing}(X)$ . In particular, we may view spaces as  $\infty$ -groupoids, and hence as  $\infty$ -categories. Moreover, the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{T}$  of spaces is the prototypical example of an  $\infty$ -topos, so that for any space  $X$ , there is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{/X}$$

between the  $\infty$ -categories of presheaves of spaces on  $X$  and spaces over  $X$  [HTT, 2.2.1.2]. Thus  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T})$  is a model for the  $\infty$ -category of spaces over  $X$ .

Note that, since  $X$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, there is a canonical contractible space of equivalences  $X \simeq X^{\text{op}}$ , and so of equivalences

$$\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T}).$$

We prefer to use  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{T})$  to emphasize the analogy with presheaves.

Now let  $R$  be a ring spectrum. We say that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is free of rank one if there is an equivalence of  $R$ -modules  $M \rightarrow R$ , and we write  $R\text{-line} \subset R\text{-mod}$  for the subcategory consisting of the free rank one  $R$ -modules and the equivalences thereof. By construction,  $R\text{-line}$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, i.e., a Kan complex. In a precise sense which we now explain,  $R\text{-mod}$  classifies bundles of  $R$ -modules, and  $R\text{-line}$  classifies bundles of free rank-one  $R$ -modules whose fibers are glued together by  $R$ -linear equivalences.

Given a space  $X$ , a functor (i.e., a map of simplicial sets)

$$L : X^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$$

is a sort of local coefficient system: for each point  $p \in X$ , we have a  $R$ -module  $L_p$ . To a path  $\gamma : p \rightarrow q$  in  $X$ ,  $L$  associates an equivalence of  $R$ -modules

$$(1.1) \quad L_\gamma : L_q \simeq L_p.$$

From a homotopy of paths  $h : \gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$ , we get a path

$$(1.2) \quad L_h : L_{\gamma'} \rightarrow L_{\gamma}$$

in the space of  $R$ -module equivalences  $L_p \rightarrow L_q$ , and so forth for higher homotopies. More precisely,  $L_{\bullet}$  is a “homotopy local system” of  $R$ -modules. The fact that the data of a functor from  $X^{\text{op}}$  to  $R\text{-mod}$  are precisely the higher coherence conditions for a homotopy local system of  $R$ -modules is what makes the theory of  $\infty$ -categories so effective in this context. With this in mind, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.3** (§2.4). Let  $X$  be a space. A *bundle of  $R$ -modules over  $X$*  is a functor

$$f : X^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}.$$

A *bundle of  $R$ -lines over  $X$*  is a functor

$$f : X^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow R\text{-line}.$$

We write  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  and  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line})$  for the  $\infty$ -categories of bundles of  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -lines over  $X$ .

Our definition of the Thom spectrum is motivated by the May-Sigurdsson description of the neo-classical Thom spectrum as the composite of the pullback of a universal parametrized spectrum followed by the base change along the map to a point [MS06, 23.7.1, 23.7.4].

**Definition 1.4** (§2.5). Let  $X$  be a space. The Thom  $R$ -module spectrum  $Mf$  of a bundle of  $R$ -lines over  $X$

$$f : X^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow R\text{-line}$$

is the colimit of the functor

$$X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} R\text{-line} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}.$$

obtained by composing with the inclusion  $R\text{-line} \subset R\text{-mod}$ .

It is very easy to describe the obstruction theory for orientations in this setting. The colimit in Definition 1.4 means that the space of  $R$ -module maps

$$Mf \longrightarrow R$$

is equivalent to the space of maps of bundles of  $R$ -modules

$$f \rightarrow R_X,$$

where  $R_X$  denotes the trivial bundle of  $R$ -lines over  $X$ , i.e. the constant functor  $X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  with value  $R \in R\text{-line}$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Definition 2.22). The space of *orientations*  $Mf \rightarrow R$  is the pull-back in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Orient}(Mf, R) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_{R\text{-mod}}(Mf, R) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, R_X) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_{R_X\text{-mod}}(f, R_X). \end{array}$$

That is, orientations  $Mf \rightarrow R$  are those  $R$ -module maps which correspond to trivializations  $f \simeq R_X$  of the bundle of  $R$ -lines  $f$ .

Put another way, let  $R\text{-triv}$  be the  $\infty$ -category of trivialized  $R$ -lines:  $R$ -lines  $L$  equipped with a specific equivalence  $L \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ . It is a contractible Kan complex, and the natural map

$$(1.6) \quad R\text{-triv} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$$

is a Kan fibration. We then have the following.

**Theorem 1.7** (Theorem 2.24). *If  $f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  is a bundle of  $R$ -lines over  $X$ , then the space of orientations  $Mf \rightarrow R$  is equivalent to the space of lifts in the diagram*

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & R\text{-triv} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & R\text{-line}. \end{array}$$

Analogous considerations lead to a version of the Thom isomorphism in this setting.

Finally, using this notion of  $R$ -module Thom spectrum, we can define the twisted  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology of a space  $f: X \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  equipped with an  $R$ -line bundle by the formulas

$$(1.9) \quad R_n^f(X) = \pi_0 \text{map}_R(\Sigma^n R, Mf) \cong \pi_n Mf$$

$$(1.10) \quad R_f^n(X) = \pi_0 \text{map}_R(Mf, \Sigma^n R).$$

In the presence of an orientation, we have the following untwisting result.

**Corollary 1.11.** *If  $f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  admits an orientation, then  $Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$ , and the twisted  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology spectra*

$$(1.12) \quad R^f(X) \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$$

$$(1.13) \quad R_f(X) \simeq \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, R)$$

*reduce to the ordinary  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology spectra of  $X$  (here  $\text{Map}$  denotes the function spectrum).*

In Section 3 we relate the theory developed in this paper to other approaches, such as [MQRT77, LMSM86, ABGHR1]. We rely on the fact that  $R\text{-line}$  is a model for  $BGL_1 R$ . Indeed, the fiber of (1.6) at  $R$  is  $\text{Aut}_R(R)$ , by which we mean the derived space of  $R$ -linear self-homotopy equivalences of  $R$  (e.g., see [ABGHR1, §2]). More precisely, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.14** (Corollary 2.14). *The Kan fibration*

$$(1.15) \quad \text{Aut}_R(R) \rightarrow R\text{-triv} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$$

*is a model in simplicial sets for the quasifibration  $GL_1 R \rightarrow EGL_1 R \rightarrow BGL_1 R$ .*

**Remark 1.16.** In fact, since geometric realization carries Kan fibrations to Serre fibrations [Qui68], upon geometric realization we obtain a Serre fibration which models

$$GL_1 R \rightarrow EGL_1 R \rightarrow BGL_1 R$$

in topological spaces. The approach taken in [MQRT77, ABGHR1] is only known to produce a quasifibration.

The equivalence  $B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \simeq R\text{-line}$  implies the following description of the Thom spectrum functor of Definition 1.4, which plays a role in §3 when we compare our approaches to Thom spectra. Recall that if  $x$  is an object in an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$ , is a group-like monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid, that is, a group-like  $A_{\infty}$  space; conversely if  $G$  is a group-like monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid, then we can form the  $\infty$ -category  $BG$  with a single object  $*$  and  $G$  as automorphisms. Moreover, an action of  $G$  on  $x$  is just a functor  $BG \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 1.17.** *Let  $G$  be a group-like monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid. A map  $BG \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  specifies an  $R$ -linear action of  $G$  on  $R$ , and then the Thom spectrum is equivalent to the (homotopy) quotient  $R/G$ .*

The preceding theorem follows immediately from the construction of the Thom spectrum, since by definition the quotient in the statement is the colimit of the map of  $\infty$ -categories  $BG \rightarrow R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ .

Turning to the comparisons, the constructions of [ABGHR1] and this paper give two constructions of an  $R$ -module Thom spectrum from a map  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1 R$ . Roughly speaking, the “algebraic” model studied in [ABGHR1] takes the pull-back  $P$  in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & EGL_1 R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & BGL_1 R, \end{array}$$

and sets

$$(1.18) \quad M_{\text{alg}} f = \Sigma_+^{\infty} P \wedge_{\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R} R.$$

The “geometric” model in this paper sets

$$M_{\text{geo}} f = \operatorname{colim}(X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1 R \simeq R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}).$$

It is possible to show by a direct calculation that these two constructions are equivalent; we do this in section 3.7. The bulk of §3 is concerned with a more general characterization of the Thom spectrum functor from the point of view of Morita theory. Here we also show that our Thom spectrum recovers the Thom spectrum of [LMSM86] in the special case of a map  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1 S$ .

In (1.18), the Thom spectrum  $M_{\text{alg}}$  is a derived smash product with  $R$ , regarded as an  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R$ - $R$  bimodule, specified by the canonical action of  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R$  on  $R$ . Recalling that the target category of  $R$ -modules is stable, we can regard this Thom spectrum as given by a functor from (right)  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules. Now, roughly speaking, Morita theory (more precisely, the Eilenberg-Watts theorem) implies that any continuous functor from (right)  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules which preserves homotopy colimits and takes  $GL_1 R$  to  $R$  can be realized as tensoring with an appropriate  $(\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1 R)$ - $R$  bimodule. In particular, this tells us that the Thom spectrum functor is characterized among such functors by the additional data of the action of  $GL_1 R$  on  $R$ .

We develop these ideas in the setting of  $\infty$ -categories. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the  $\infty$ -category of spaces. Given a colimit-preserving functor  $F: \mathcal{T}_{/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  which sends  $*/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)$  to  $R$ , we can restrict along the Yoneda embedding (3.2)

$$B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)} \xrightarrow{F} R\text{-mod};$$

since it takes the object of  $B \operatorname{Aut}(R)$  to  $R$ , we may view this as a functor

$$k: B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \rightarrow B \operatorname{Aut}(R).$$

Conversely, given an endomorphism  $k$  of  $B \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ , we get a colimit-preserving functor

$$F: \mathcal{T}_{/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$$

whose value on  $B^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow B \operatorname{Aut}(R)$  is

$$\operatorname{colim}(B^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \xrightarrow{k} B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \hookrightarrow R\text{-mod}).$$

About this correspondence we prove the following.

**Proposition 1.19** (Corollary 3.13). *A functor  $F$  from the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{T}_{/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)}$  to the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules is equivalent to the Thom spectrum functor if and only if it preserves colimits and its restriction along the Yoneda embedding*

$$B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{/B \operatorname{Aut}(R)} \xrightarrow{F} R\text{-mod}$$

*is equivalent to the canonical inclusion*

$$B \operatorname{Aut}(R) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}.$$

It follows easily (Proposition 3.20) that the Thom spectrum functors  $M_{\operatorname{geo}}$  and  $M_{\operatorname{alg}}$  are equivalent. It also follows that, as in Proposition 1.17, the Thom spectrum of a group-like  $A_\infty$  map  $\phi: G \rightarrow GL_1 S$  is the (homotopy) quotient

$$\operatorname{colim}(BG^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}) \simeq R/G.$$

This observation is the basis for our comparison with the Thom spectrum of Lewis and May. In §3.6 we show that the Lewis-May Thom spectrum associated to the map  $B\phi: BG \rightarrow BGL_1 S$  is a model for the (homotopy) quotient  $S/G$ .

**Proposition 1.20** (Corollary 3.24). *The Lewis-May Thom spectrum associated to a map*

$$f: B \rightarrow BGL_1 S$$

*is equivalent to the Thom spectrum associated by Definition 1.4 to the map of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$B^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1 S \simeq S\text{-line}.$$

## 2. PARAMETRIZED SPECTRA AND THOM SPECTRA

In this section, we show that the theory of  $\infty$ -categories, as developed by Joyal, Lurie, and others, provides a powerful technical and conceptual framework for the study of Thom spectra and orientations. For the theory of  $R$ -module Thom spectra and orientations, all that is really required is a good  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules.

**2.1.  $\infty$ -Categories and  $\infty$ -Groupoids.** For the purposes of this paper, an  $\infty$ -category will always mean a quasicategory in the sense of Joyal [Joy02]. This is the same as a weak Kan complex in the sense of Boardman and Vogt [BV73]; the different terminology reflects the fact that these objects simultaneously generalize the homotopy theory of categories and of spaces. There is nothing essential in our use of quasicategories, and any other sufficiently well-developed theory of  $\infty$ -categories (more precisely,  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories) would suffice.

Given two  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , the  $\infty$ -category of functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is simply the simplicial set of maps from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , considered as simplicial sets. More generally, for any simplicial set  $X$  there is an  $\infty$ -category of functors from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ , written  $\mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})$ ; by [HTT, Proposition 1.2.7.2, 1.2.7.3], the simplicial set  $\mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})$  is a  $\infty$ -category whenever  $\mathcal{C}$  is, even for an arbitrary simplicial set  $X$ .

This description of  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  gives rise to simplicial categories of  $\infty$ -categories and  $\infty$ -groupoids. For our purposes it is important to have  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty$  and  $\mathrm{Gpd}_\infty$  of  $\infty$ -categories and  $\infty$ -groupoids, respectively. We construct these  $\infty$ -categories by a general technique for converting a simplicial category to an  $\infty$ -category: there is a simplicial nerve functor  $N$  from simplicial categories to  $\infty$ -categories which is the right adjoint of a Quillen equivalence  $\mathfrak{C} : \mathrm{Set}_\Delta \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Cat}_\Delta : N$  [HTT, §1.1.5.5, 1.1.5.12, 1.1.5.13]. Note that this process also gives rise to a standard passage from a simplicial model category to an  $\infty$ -category which retains the homotopical information encoded by the simplicial model structure. Specifically, given a simplicial model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , one restricts to the simplicial category on the cofibrant-fibrant objects,  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{cf}}$ . Then applying the simplicial nerve yields an  $\infty$ -category  $N(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{cf}})$ .

In particular,  $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty$  is the simplicial nerve of the simplicial category of  $\infty$ -categories, in which the mapping spaces are made fibrant by restricting to maximal Kan subcomplexes, and  $\mathrm{Gpd}_\infty$  is the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of  $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty$  on the  $\infty$ -groupoids. We recall that the Quillen equivalence between the standard model structure on topological spaces and the Kan model structure on simplicial sets induces an equivalence on underlying  $\infty$ -categories. Thus, as all the constructions we perform in this paper are homotopy invariant, we will typically regard topological spaces as  $\infty$ -groupoids via their singular complexes.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a maximal  $\infty$ -subgroupoid  $\mathcal{C}^\simeq$ , which is by definition the pullback (in simplicial sets) of the diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\simeq & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N \mathrm{ho}(\mathcal{C})^\simeq & \longrightarrow & N \mathrm{ho}(\mathcal{C}), \end{array}$$

where  $N \mathrm{ho}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the nerve of the homotopy category of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $N \mathrm{ho}(\mathcal{C})^\simeq$  is the maximal subgroupoid. Thus, if  $a$  and  $b$  are objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^\simeq(a, b)$  is the subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$  consisting of the *equivalences*.

**2.2. Parametrized spaces.** Let  $X$  be an  $\infty$ -groupoid, which we view as the fundamental  $\infty$ -groupoid of a topological space. There are two canonically equivalent  $\infty$ -topoi associated to  $X$ ; namely, the slice  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X}$  of  $\infty$ -groupoids over  $X$ , and the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_\infty)$  of presheaves of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $X$ . The equivalence

$$\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_\infty) \simeq \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X}$$

sends a functor to its colimit, regarded as a space over  $X$ , and may be regarded as a generalization of the equivalence between (free)  $G$ -spaces and spaces over  $BG$  [HTT, 2.2.1.2]. In particular, a terminal object  $1 \in \mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_\infty)$  must be sent to a terminal object  $\mathrm{id}_X \in \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X}$ , which in this special case recovers the formula

$$(2.2) \quad \mathrm{colim}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}} 1 \simeq X.$$

**Remark 2.3.** As explained in the Introduction, the data of a functor  $L : X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Gpd}_{\infty}$  encodes the data of a homotopy local system of spaces on  $X$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Since  $X$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, we have a canonical contractible space of equivalences  $X \simeq X^{\text{op}}$ , which induces equivalences

$$\text{Fun}(X, \text{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \text{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \text{Gpd}_{\infty/X}.$$

Although notationally a bit more complicated, we think it is slightly more natural to regard spaces over  $X$  as contravariant functors, instead of covariant functors, from  $X$  to  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty}$ . One reason for this is that, this way, the Yoneda embedding appears naturally as a functor  $X \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \text{Gpd}_{\infty})$ , and this will play an important role in our treatment of the Thom spectrum functor (cf. Proposition 3.12).

**Lemma 2.5.** *The base-change functor  $f^* : \text{Gpd}_{\infty/X} \rightarrow \text{Gpd}_{\infty/X'}$  admits a right adjoint. In particular,  $f^*$  commutes with colimits.*

*Proof.* [HTT, 6.1.3.14]. □

**Remark 2.6.** If  $X$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, then via the equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty/X} \simeq \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \text{Gpd}_{\infty})$ , the Yoneda embedding  $X \rightarrow \text{Gpd}_{\infty/X}$  sends the point  $x$  of  $X$  to the “path fibration”  $X_{/x} \rightarrow X$ . (This follows from an analysis of the “unstraightening” functor that provides the right adjoint in [HTT, 2.2.1.2].)

**2.3. Parametrized spectra.** An  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *stable* if it has a zero object, finite limits, and the endofunctor  $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , defined by sending  $X$  to the limit of the diagram  $* \rightarrow X \leftarrow *$ , is an equivalence [HA, 1.1.1.9, 1.4.2.27]. It follows that the left adjoint  $\Sigma$  of  $\Omega$  is also an equivalence, that finite products and finite coproducts agree, and that square  $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a pullback if and only if it is a pushout (so that  $\mathcal{C}$  has all finite colimits as well). A morphism of stable  $\infty$ -categories is an exact functor, meaning a functor which preserves finite limits and colimits [HA, 1.1.4.1].

More generally, given any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  with finite limits, the *stabilization* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the limit (in the  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -categories) of the tower

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{C}_* \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{C}_* ,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_*$  denotes the pointed  $\infty$ -category associated to  $\mathcal{C}$  (the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$  on those arrows whose source is a final object  $*$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ ). Provided  $\mathcal{C}$  is presentable,  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$  comes equipped with a stabilization functor  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$  functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  [HA, 1.4.4.4], formally analogous to the suspension spectrum functor, and left adjoint to the zero-space functor  $\Omega_-^{\infty} : \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  (the subscript indicates that we forget the basepoint).

If one works entirely in the world of presentable stable  $\infty$ -categories and left adjoint functors thereof, then  $\text{Stab}$  is left adjoint to the inclusion into the  $\infty$ -category of presentable  $\infty$ -categories of the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of presentable stable  $\infty$ -categories. In other words, a morphism of presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is stable factors (uniquely up to a contractible space of choices) through the stabilization  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  (cf. [HA, 1.4.4.4, 1.4.4.5]). The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty/X}$  of spaces over a fixed space  $X$  is presentable.

The discussion so far suggests two models for the  $\infty$ -category of spectra over  $X$ : one is  $\text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty/X})$ , and the other is  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty}))$ . In fact these are



equivalent: for any  $\infty$ -groupoid  $X$ , the equivalence  $\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty})$  induces an equivalence of stabilizations

$$\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X}) \simeq \mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty})).$$

Since limits in functor categories are computed pointwise, one easily checks that

$$\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/X}) \simeq \mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty})).$$

**Remark 2.7.** May and Sigurdsson [MS06] build a simplicial model category  $\mathcal{S}_X$  of orthogonal spectra parametrized by a topological  $X$ . In [ABG10], we prove that there is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories between the simplicial nerve of the May-Sigurdsson category of parametrized orthogonal spectra  $N(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\mathrm{cf}}$  and the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty}))$ .

**2.4. Parametrized  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -lines.** We now fix an  $A_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum  $R$ . Recall [HA, 4.2.1.36] that there exists a presentable stable  $\infty$ -category  $R\text{-mod}$  of (right)  $R$ -module spectra, and that this  $\infty$ -category is *unital* as there exists a distinguished object  $R$ .

**Definition 2.8.** An  $R$ -line is an  $R$ -module  $M$  which admits an  $R$ -module equivalence  $M \simeq R$ .

Let  $R\text{-line}$  denote the full  $\infty$ -subgroupoid of  $R\text{-mod}$  spanned by the  $R$ -lines. This is not the same as the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of  $R\text{-mod}$  on the  $R$ -lines, as a map of  $R$ -lines is by definition an equivalence. We regard  $R\text{-line}$  as a pointed  $\infty$ -groupoid via the distinguished object  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *There is a canonical equivalence of  $\infty$ -groupoids*

$$B \mathrm{Aut}_R(R) \simeq R\text{-line}.$$

*Proof.* We regard  $B \mathrm{Aut}_R(R) \subset R\text{-mod}$  as the full subgroupoid of  $R\text{-mod}$  consisting of the single  $R$ -module  $R$ . Hence  $B \mathrm{Aut}_R(R)$  is naturally a full subgroupoid of  $R\text{-line}$ , and the fully faithful inclusion  $B \mathrm{Aut}_R(R) \subset R\text{-line}$  is also essentially surjective by definition of  $R$ -line. It is therefore an equivalence, so it only remains to show that  $GL_1 R \simeq \mathrm{Aut}_R(R)$  as monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoids. This follows from the fact that  $\mathrm{End}_R(R) \simeq \Omega^{\infty}(R)$ , and  $\mathrm{Aut}_R(R) \subset \mathrm{End}_R(R)$  is, by 2.1, the monoidal subspace defined by the same condition as  $GL_1 R \subset \Omega^{\infty}(R)$ ; namely, as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aut}_R(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_R(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0 \mathrm{End}_R(R)^{\times} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathrm{End}_R(R), \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_0 \mathrm{End}_R(R)^{\times} \cong \pi_0(R)^{\times}$  denotes the invertible homotopy classes of endomorphisms in the ordinary category  $\mathrm{ho}(R\text{-mod})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a space. The  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules over  $X$  is the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  of presheaves of  $R$ -modules on  $X$ ; similarly, the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -lines over  $X$  is the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, R\text{-line})$  of presheaves of  $R$ -lines on  $X$ .

We will denote by  $R_X$  the constant functor  $X^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  which has value  $R$ , and sometimes write

$$R_X\text{-mod} = \mathrm{Fun}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, R\text{-mod})$$

for the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules over  $X$ , and

$$R_X\text{-line} = \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line})$$

for the full  $\infty$ -subgroupoid spanned by those  $R$ -modules over  $X$  which factor

$$X^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow R\text{-line} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$$

through the inclusion of the full  $\infty$ -subgroupoid  $R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *The fiber over  $X$  of the projection  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}} \rightarrow \text{Gpd}_{\infty}$  is equivalent to the  $\infty$ -groupoid  $R_X\text{-line}$ .*

*Proof.*  $R_X\text{-line} \simeq \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line}) \simeq \text{Gpd}_{\infty}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line})$ , and, in general, the  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b)$  of maps from  $a$  to  $b$  in the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  may be calculated as the fiber over  $a$  of the projection  $\mathcal{C}_{/b} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.12.** A *trivialization* of an  $R_X$ -module  $L$  is an  $R_X$ -module equivalence  $L \rightarrow R_X$ . The  $\infty$ -category  $R_X\text{-triv}$  of trivialized  $R$ -lines is the slice category

$$R_X\text{-triv} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_X\text{-line}_{/R_X}.$$

The objects of  $R_X\text{-triv}$  are *trivialized  $R_X$ -lines*, which is to say  $R_X$ -lines  $L$  with a trivialization  $L \rightarrow R_X$ ; more generally, an  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n \rightarrow R_X\text{-triv}$  of  $R_X\text{-triv}$  is a map  $\Delta^n \star \Delta^0 \rightarrow R_X\text{-line}$  of  $R_X\text{-line}$  which sends  $\Delta^0$  to  $R_X$ . There is a canonical projection

$$\iota_X : R_X\text{-triv} \longrightarrow R_X\text{-line}$$

which sends the  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n \star \Delta^0 \rightarrow R_X\text{-line}$  to the  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n \star \Delta^0 \rightarrow R_X\text{-line}$ ; according to (the dual of) [HTT, Corollary 2.1.2.4], this is a right fibration, and hence a Kan fibration as  $R_X\text{-line}$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid [HTT, Lemma 2.1.3.2].

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $X$  be an  $\infty$ -groupoid. Then  $R_X\text{-triv}$  is a contractible  $\infty$ -groupoid, and the fiber, over a given  $R_X\text{-line}$   $f$ , of the projection*

$$\iota_X : R_X\text{-triv} \longrightarrow R_X\text{-line}$$

*is the (possibly empty)  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\text{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, R_X)$ .*

*Proof.* Once again, use the description of  $\text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b)$  as the fiber over  $a$  of the projection  $\mathcal{C}_{/b} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , together with the fact that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid then  $\mathcal{C}_{/b}$ , an  $\infty$ -groupoid with a final object, is contractible.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *The Kan fibration*

$$\text{Aut}_R(R) \rightarrow R\text{-triv} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$$

*is a simplicial model for the quasifibration  $GL_1 R \rightarrow EGL_1 R \rightarrow BGL_1 R$ .*

*Proof.* By the preceding discussion,  $R\text{-triv}$  is a contractible Kan complex and the projection  $R\text{-triv} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  is a Kan fibration. It therefore follows from Proposition 2.9 that  $\text{Aut}_R(R) \simeq GL_1 R$ .  $\square$

For  $X$  the terminal Kan complex, we write  $R\text{-triv}$  in place of  $R_X\text{-triv}$  and  $\iota : R\text{-triv} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  in place of  $\iota_X$ . Given  $f : X \rightarrow R\text{-line}$ , we refer to a factorization

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & R\text{-triv} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \iota \\ X^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{f} & R\text{-line} \end{array}$$

of  $f$  through  $\iota$  as a *trivialization* of  $f$ .

**Definition 2.16.** We write  $\text{Triv}(f)$  for the space of trivializations of  $f$ ; explicitly, it is the fiber over  $f$  in the fibration

$$\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-triv}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line}).$$

**Corollary 2.17.** *There is a canonical equivalence of  $\infty$ -groupoids*

$$\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-triv}) \simeq R_X\text{-triv}.$$

*Moreover,  $\text{Triv}(f)$  is equivalent to  $\text{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, R_X)$ .*

*Proof.* For the first claim, we have

$$\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line}/_R) \simeq \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line})/_{{p^*}R} \simeq R_X\text{-line}/_{R_X}.$$

For the second, compare the two pull-back diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, R_X) & \longrightarrow & R_X\text{-line}/_{R_X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{f\} & \longrightarrow & R_X\text{-line} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Triv}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-triv}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{f\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-line}), \end{array}$$

in which the two right-hand fibrations are equivalent.  $\square$

A map of spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  gives rise to a restriction functor

$$f^* : R_Y\text{-mod} \rightarrow R_X\text{-mod}$$

which admits a right adjoint  $f_*$  as well as a left adjoint  $f_!$ . This means that, given an  $R_X$ -module  $L$  and an  $R_Y$ -module  $M$ , there are natural equivalences of  $\infty$ -groupoids

$$\text{map}(f_!L, M) \simeq \text{map}(L, f^*M)$$

and

$$\text{map}(f^*M, L) \simeq \text{map}(M, f_*L).$$

An important point about these functors is the following.

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow *$  be the projection to a point and let  $\pi^* : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow R_X\text{-mod}$  be the resulting functor. If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, then*

$$(2.19) \quad \pi_! \pi^* M \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty X \wedge M.$$

*Proof.* We use the equivalence  $R_X\text{-mod} \simeq \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ , and compute in  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$ . In that case the left hand side in (2.19) is the colimit of the constant map of  $\infty$ -categories

$$X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{M} R\text{-mod}.$$

This map is equivalent to the composition

$$X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{1} \text{Gpd}_\infty \xrightarrow{\Sigma_+^\infty} \text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_\infty) \xrightarrow{(-) \wedge M} R\text{-mod}.$$

The second two functors in this composition commute with colimits, and equation 2.2 says that  $X \simeq \operatorname{colim}(1 : X^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gpd}_{\infty})$ .  $\square$

**2.5. Thom spectra.** We continue to fix an  $A_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum  $R$ .

**Definition 2.20.** The Thom  $R$ -module spectrum is the functor

$$M : \operatorname{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}$$

which sends  $f : X^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  to the colimit (i.e., left Kan extension)

$$Mf \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_!(i \circ f)$$

of the composite  $X^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{f} R\text{-line} \xrightarrow{i} R\text{-mod}$ .

**Proposition 2.21.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\infty$ -group (a group-like monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid) with classifying space  $BG$  and suppose given a map  $f : BG \rightarrow R\text{-line}$ . Then*

$$Mf \simeq R/G,$$

where  $G$  acts on  $R$  via the map  $\Omega f : G \simeq \Omega BG \rightarrow \Omega R\text{-line} \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_R(R)$ .

*Proof.* Both  $Mf$  and  $R/G$  are equivalent to the colimit of the composite functor  $BG^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow B \operatorname{Aut}_R(R) \simeq R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Orientations.** With these in place, one can analyze the space of orientations in a straightforward manner, as follows. First of all observe that, by definition, we have an equivalence

$$\operatorname{map}_{R\text{-mod}}(Mf, R) \simeq \operatorname{map}_{R_X\text{-mod}}(f, p^*R).$$

**Definition 2.22.** The space of *orientations* of  $Mf$  is the pullback

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Orient}_R(Mf, R) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{map}_{R\text{-mod}}(Mf, R) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \operatorname{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, p^*R) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{map}_{R_X\text{-mod}}(f, p^*R). \end{array}$$

The  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\operatorname{Orient}_R(Mf, R)$  enjoys an obstruction theory analogous to that of the space of orientations described in [ABGHR1]. The following theorem is the analogue in this context of [ABGHR1, 3.20].

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let  $f : X^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  be a map, with associated Thom  $R$ -module  $Mf$ . Then the space of orientations  $Mf \rightarrow R$  is equivalent to the space of lifts in the diagram*

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & R\text{-triv} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \iota \\ X^{\operatorname{op}} & \xrightarrow{f} & R\text{-line}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Corollary 2.17 says that the space  $\operatorname{Triv}(f)$  of factorizations of  $f$  through  $\iota$  is equivalent to the mapping space  $\operatorname{map}_{R_X\text{-line}}(f, p^*R)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.26.** *An orientation of  $Mf$  determines an equivalence of  $R$ -modules*

$$Mf \simeq \Sigma_+^{\infty} X \wedge R.$$

*Proof.* Using the equivalence  $\text{Orient}_R(Mf, R) \longrightarrow \text{Iso}(f p^* R)$  of (2.23), the desired map is the composite

$$\text{Iso}(f, p^* R) \longrightarrow \text{Iso}(p_! f, p_! p^* R) \longrightarrow \text{Iso}(p_! f, \Sigma_+^\infty X \wedge R).$$

Here the second map applies  $p_!$  and the last map composes with the equivalence  $p_! p^* R \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty X \wedge R$  of Proposition 2.18.  $\square$

**2.7. Twisted homology and cohomology.** Recall that the  $R$ -module Thom spectrum  $Mf$  of the map  $f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$ , which we think of as classifying an  $R$ -line bundle on  $X$ , is the pushforward  $Mf \simeq p_! f$  of the composite

$$X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} R\text{-line} \longrightarrow R\text{-mod}.$$

The homotopy groups  $\pi_n Mf$  can be computed as homotopy classes of  $R$ -module maps from  $\Sigma^n R$  to  $Mf$ , which is a convenient formulation because the twisted  $R$ -cohomology groups are dually homotopy classes of  $R$ -module maps from  $Mf$  to  $\Sigma^n R$ .

**Definition 2.27.** Let  $R$  be an  $A_\infty$  ring spectrum, let  $X$  be a space with projection  $p: X \rightarrow *$  to the point, and let  $f: X \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  be an  $R$ -line bundle on  $X$ . Then the  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology of  $X$  are the mapping spectra

$$\begin{aligned} R^f(X) &= \text{Map}_R(R, Mf) \simeq Mf \\ R_f(X) &= \text{Map}_R(Mf, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{R_X}(f, R_X), \end{aligned}$$

formed in the stable  $\infty$ -category  $R\text{-mod}$  of  $R$ -modules (or  $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, R\text{-mod})$  of  $R_X$ -modules).

Here recall that  $R_X \simeq p^* R$  is the constant bundle of  $R$ -modules  $X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ , and the equivalence of mapping spectra  $\text{Map}_R(Mf, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{R_X}(f, R_X)$  follows from the equivalence, for each integer  $n$ , of mapping spaces

$$\text{map}_R(p_! f, \Sigma^n R) \simeq \text{map}_{R_X}(f, p^* \Sigma^n R)$$

that results from the fact that  $p^*$  is right adjoint to  $p_!$ .

Note that, since  $R$  is only assumed to be an  $A_\infty$  ring spectrum, the homotopy category of  $R\text{-mod}$  does not usually admit a closed monoidal structure with unit  $R$ ; nevertheless, we still regard  $R_f(X)$  as the “ $R$ -dual” spectrum  $\text{Map}_R(Mf, R)$  of  $Mf \simeq R^f(X)$ , or as the “spectrum of (global) sections”  $\text{Map}_{R_X}(f, R_X)$  of the  $R$ -line bundle  $f$ . Also, the notation  $R^f(X)$  and  $R_f(X)$  is designed so that, for an integer  $n$ , we have the  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^f(X) &= \pi_0 \text{map}_R(\Sigma^n R, Mf) \cong \pi_n Mf \\ R_f^n(X) &= \pi_0 \text{map}_R(Mf, \Sigma^n R) \cong \pi_0 \text{map}_{R_X}(f, p^* \Sigma^n R). \end{aligned}$$

A consequence of our work with orientations is the following untwisting result:

**Corollary 2.28.** *If  $f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  admits an orientation, then  $Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$ , and the twisted  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology spectra*

$$\begin{aligned} R^f(X) &\simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X \\ R_f(X) &\simeq \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, R) \end{aligned}$$

*reduce to the ordinary  $R$ -homology and  $R$ -cohomology spectra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, Corollary 2.26 gives equivalences  $\text{Map}_R(R, Mf) \simeq Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$  and  $\text{Map}_R(R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X, R) \simeq \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, R)$ .  $\square$

### 3. MORITA THEORY AND THOM SPECTRA

In this section we interpret the construction of the Thom spectrum from the perspective of Morita theory. This viewpoint is implicit in the “algebraic” definition of the Thom spectrum of  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1 R$  in [ABGHR1] as the derived smash product

$$M_{\text{alg}} f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma_+^\infty P \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1 R}^L R,$$

where  $P$  is the pullback of the diagram

$$X \longrightarrow BGL_1 R \longleftarrow EGL_1 R.$$

As passage to pullback induces an equivalence between spaces over  $BGL_1 R$  and  $GL_1 R$ -spaces and the target category of  $R$ -modules is stable, we can regard the Thom spectrum as essentially given by a functor from (right)  $\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1 R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules.

Roughly speaking, Morita theory (more precisely, the Eilenberg-Watts theorem) implies that any continuous functor from (right)  $\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1 R$ -modules to (right)  $R$ -modules which preserves homotopy colimits and takes  $GL_1 R$  to  $R$  can be realized as tensoring with an appropriate  $(\Sigma_+^\infty GL_1 R)$ - $R$  bimodule. In particular, this tells us that the Thom spectrum functor is characterized amongst such functors by the additional data of the action of  $GL_1 R$  on  $R$ , equivalently a map  $BGL_1 R \rightarrow BGL_1 R$ .

Beyond its conceptual appeal, this viewpoint on the Thom spectrum functor provides the basic framework for comparing the construction which we have discussed in this paper with  $M_{\text{alg}}$  and also with the “neo-classical” construction of Lewis and May and the parametrized construction of May and Sigurdsson.

After discussing the analogue of the classical Eilenberg-Watts theorem in the context of ring spectra in §3.1, in §3.2 we classify colimit-preserving functors between  $\infty$ -categories. Our classification leads in §3.3 to a characterization of the “geometric” Thom spectrum functor  $M = M_{\text{geo}}$  of this paper, which serves as the basis for comparison with the “algebraic” Thom spectrum  $M_{\text{alg}}$  from [ABGHR1].

In §3.4 we briefly review the construction of  $M_{\text{alg}}$ , and characterize it using Morita theory. In §3.5 we prove the equivalence of  $M_{\text{geo}}$  and  $M_{\text{alg}}$ . The close relationship between our  $\infty$ -categorical construction of the Thom spectrum and the definition of May and Sigurdsson [MS06, 23.7.1, 23.7.4] allows us (in §3.6) to compare the construction of May and Sigurdsson construction of the Thom spectrum (and by extension the “neo-classical” Lewis-May construction) to the ones in this paper.

In §3.7 we also sketch a direct comparison between  $M_{\text{geo}}$  and  $M_{\text{alg}}$ ; although the argument does not characterize the functor among all functors from  $GL_1 R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules, we believe it provides a useful concrete depiction of the situation.

**3.1. The Eilenberg-Watts theorem for categories of module spectra.** The key underpinning of classical Morita theory is the Eilenberg-Watts theorem, which for rings  $A$  and  $B$  establishes an equivalence between the category of colimit-preserving functors  $A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$  and the category of  $(A, B)$ -bimodules. The proof of the theorem proceeds by observing that any functor  $T: A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$  specifies a bimodule structure on  $TA$  with the  $A$ -action given by the composite

$$A \rightarrow F_A(A, A) \rightarrow F_B(TA, TA).$$

It is then straightforward to check that the functor  $- \otimes_A TA$  is isomorphic to the functor  $T$ , using the fact that both of these functors preserve colimits.

In this section, we discuss the generalization of this result to the setting of categories of module spectra. The situation here is more complicated than in the discrete case; for instance, it is well-known that there are equivalences between categories of module spectra which are not given by tensoring with bimodules, and there are similar difficulties with the most general possible formulation of the Eilenberg-Watts theorem. However, much of the subtlety here comes from the fact that unlike in the classical situation, compatibility with the enrichment in spectra is not automatic (see for example the excellent recent paper of Johnson [Jo08] for a comprehensive discussion of the situation). By assuming our functors are enriched, we can recover a close analogue of the classical result.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be (cofibrant)  $S$ -algebras, and let  $T$  be an enriched functor

$$T: A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}.$$

Specifically, we assume that  $T$  induces a map of function spectra  $F_A(X, Y) \rightarrow F_B(TX, TY)$ , and furthermore that  $T$  preserves tensors (in particular, homotopies) and homotopy colimits. For instance, these conditions are satisfied if  $T$  is a Quillen left-adjoint. The assumption that  $T$  is homotopy-preserving implies that  $T$  preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects and so admits a total left-derived functor  $T^L: \text{ho } A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{ho } B\text{-mod}$ . Furthermore,  $T(A)$  is an  $A$ - $B$  bimodule with the bimodule structure induced just as above.

Using an elaboration of the arguments of [SS04, 4.1.2] (see also [Sch04, 4.20]) we now can prove the following Eilenberg-Watts theorem in this setting. We will work in the EKMM categories of  $S$ -modules, so we can assume that all objects are fibrant.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Given the hypotheses of the preceding discussion, there is a natural isomorphism in  $\text{ho } B\text{-mod}$  between the total left-derived functor  $T^L(-)$  and the derived smash product  $(-) \wedge_A^L T(A)$ , regarding  $T(A)$  as a bimodule as above.*

*Proof.* By continuity, there is a natural map of  $B$ -modules

$$(-) \wedge_A T(A) \rightarrow T(-).$$

Let  $T'$  denote a cofibrant replacement of  $T(A)$  as an  $A$ - $B$  bimodule. Since the functor  $(-) \wedge_A T'$  preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant  $A$ -modules, there is a total left-derived functor  $(-) \wedge_A^L T'$  which models  $(-) \wedge_A^L T(A)$ . Thus, the composite

$$(-) \wedge_A T' \rightarrow (-) \wedge_A T(A) \rightarrow T(-).$$

descends to the homotopy category to produce a natural map

$$(-) \wedge_A^L T(A) \rightarrow T^L(-).$$

The map is clearly an equivalence for the free  $A$ -module of rank one; i.e.  $A$ . Since both sides commute with homotopy colimits, we can inductively deduce that the first map is an equivalence for all cofibrant  $A$ -modules, and this implies that the map of derived functors is an isomorphism.  $\square$

To characterize the Thom spectrum functor amongst functors from spaces over  $BGL_1 R$  to  $R$ -modules, it is useful to formulate Proposition 3.1 in terms of  $\infty$ -categories. One reason is that (as we recall in Subsection 3.4) the “algebraic” Thom spectrum of [ABGHR1] is the composition of a right derived functor (which is an equivalence) and a left derived functor. We remark that much of the technical difficulty in the neo-classical theory of the Thom spectrum functor arises from the

difficulties involved in dealing with point-set models of such composites. This is the kind of formal situation that the  $\infty$ -category framework handles well.

**3.2. Colimit-preserving functors.** In this section we study functors between  $\infty$ -categories which preserve colimits. Specializing to module categories, we obtain a version of the Eilenberg-Watts theorem which applies to both the algebraic and the geometric Thom spectrum.

We begin by considering cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small  $\infty$ -category, and consider the  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{T})$  of presheaves of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Recall that  $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$  comes equipped with a fully faithful Yoneda embedding

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$$

which exhibits  $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$  as the “free cocompletion” [HTT, 5.1.5.8] of  $\mathcal{C}$ . More precisely, writing  $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  consisting of the colimit-preserving functors, we have the following:

**Lemma 3.3** ([HTT, 5.1.5.6]). *For any cocomplete  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$ , precomposition with the Yoneda embedding induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$(3.4) \quad \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

We shall be particularly interested in the case that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, so that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/\mathcal{C}},$$

as in Remark 2.4. In particular, given a functor  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , we may extend by colimits to a colimit-preserving functor  $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{T}_{/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $g : \mathcal{T}_{/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is any colimit-preserving functor whose restriction along the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{/\mathcal{C}}$  is equivalent to  $f$ , then  $g$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{f}$ .*

**Lemma 3.7** ([HA, 1.4.4.4, 1.4.4.5]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -categories such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is stable. Then*

$$\Omega_{-}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

*admits a left adjoint*

$$\Sigma_{+}^{\infty} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}),$$

*and precomposition with the  $\Sigma_{+}^{\infty}$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

Combining the universal properties of stabilization and the Yoneda embedding, we obtain the following equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\infty$ -categories such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is stable and presentable. Then there are equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the last two lemmas.  $\square$

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have distinguished objects, given by maps  $* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  from the trivial  $\infty$ -category  $*$ . Then  $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}))$  inherit distinguished objects via the maps

$$* \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{+}^{\infty}} \mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})),$$



where  $i$  denotes the Yoneda embedding. Note that the fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{*/}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

shows that the  $\infty$ -category of pointed functors is equivalent to the fiber of the evaluation map  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  over the distinguished object of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\infty$ -categories with distinguished objects such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is stable and cocomplete. Then there are equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{*/}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{*/}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{*/}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

*Proof.* Take the fiber of  $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Pre}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  over  $* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a group-like monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid  $G$ , let  $BG$  be a one-object  $\infty$ -groupoid with  $G \simeq \mathrm{Aut}_{BG}(*)$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a stable and cocomplete  $\infty$ -category with a distinguished object  $*$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fun}_{*/}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Pre}(BG)), \mathcal{D}) &\simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{*/}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{Pre}(BG), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \\ &\mathrm{Fun}_{*/}(BG, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(BG, B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(*)); \end{aligned}$$

*that is, specifying an action of  $G$  on the distinguished object  $*$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to specifying a pointed colimit-preserving functor from  $\mathrm{Pre}(BG)$  (or its stabilization) to  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* A base-point preserving functor  $BG \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  necessarily factors through the full subgroupoid  $B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(*)$ .  $\square$

Note that the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(BG, B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(*))$  is actually an  $\infty$ -groupoid, as  $B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(*)$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid.

Putting this all together, consider the case in which the target  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of right  $R$ -modules for an associative  $S$ -algebra  $R$ , pointed by the free rank one  $R$ -module  $R$ . Then  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(*) \simeq GL_1 R$ , and we have an  $\infty$ -categorical version of the Eilenberg-Watts theorem.

**Corollary 3.11.** *The space of pointed colimit-preserving maps from the  $\infty$ -category of spaces over  $BG$  to the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules is equivalent to the space of monoidal maps from  $G$  to  $GL_1 R$ , or equivalently the space of maps from  $BG$  to  $BGL_1 R$ .*

**3.3.  $\infty$ -categorical Thom spectra, revisited.** We now return to the definition of Thom spectra from §2 and interpret that construction in light of the work of the previous subsections. To avoid confusion with the Thom spectrum constructed in [ABGHR1], in this section we write  $M_{\mathrm{geo}}$  for the Thom spectrum of §2.

Let  $R$  be an algebra in  $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty})$ , and form the  $\infty$ -categories  $R\text{-mod}$  and  $R\text{-line}$ . Given a map of  $\infty$ -groupoids

$$f : X \rightarrow R\text{-line},$$

the “geometric” Thom spectrum we constructed in §2 is the push-forward of the restriction to  $X$  of the tautological  $R$ -line bundle  $\mathrm{id}_{R\text{-line}}$ , the identity of  $R\text{-line}$ . More precisely,  $M_{\mathrm{geo}} f \simeq \mathrm{colim}(f : X \rightarrow R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod})$ , and in particular,  $M_{\mathrm{geo}}$  preserves ( $\infty$ -categorical) colimits.

**Proposition 3.12.** *The restriction of  $M_{\text{geo}} : \text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  along the Yoneda embedding*

$$R\text{-line} \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(R\text{-line}^{\text{op}}, \text{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}}$$

*is equivalent to the inclusion  $R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  of the full  $\infty$ -subgroupoid on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the colimit-preserving functor  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  induced by the canonical inclusion  $R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ . As we explain in Corollary 3.6, it sends  $X \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  to the colimit of the composite  $X \rightarrow R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ .  $\square$

Together with Corollary 3.6, the Proposition implies the following.

**Corollary 3.13** (Proposition 1.19). *A functor  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  is equivalent to  $M_{\text{geo}}$  if and only if it preserves colimits and its restriction along the Yoneda embedding  $R\text{-line} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(R\text{-line}^{\text{op}}, \text{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \text{Gpd}_{\infty/R\text{-line}}$  is equivalent to the inclusion of  $R\text{-line}$  into  $R\text{-mod}$ .*

**3.4. A review of the algebraic Thom spectrum functor.** We briefly recall the “algebraic” construction of the Thom spectrum from [ABGHR1]. For an  $S$ -algebra  $R$ , the classical construction yields  $GL_1R$  as an  $A_{\infty}$  space. This means we expect to be able to form constructions  $BGL_1R$  and  $EGL_1R$ , and so given a classifying map  $f : X \rightarrow BGL_1R$  obtain a  $GL_1R$ -space  $P$  as the pullback of the diagram

$$X \longrightarrow BGL_1R \longleftarrow EGL_1R.$$

We then define the Thom spectrum associated to  $f$  as the derived smash product

$$(3.14) \quad M_{\text{alg}}f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma_+^{\infty} P \wedge_{\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1R}^L R,$$

where  $R$  is the  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1R$ - $R$  bimodule specified by the canonical action of  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1R$  on  $R$ .

In order to make this outline precise, the companion paper used the technology of  $*$ -modules [Blum05, BCS08], which are a symmetric monoidal model for the category of spaces such that monoids are precisely  $A_{\infty}$  spaces and commutative monoids are precisely  $E_{\infty}$  spaces. Denote the category of  $*$ -modules by  $\mathcal{M}_*$ . As an  $A_{\infty}$  (or  $E_{\infty}$ ) space,  $GL_1R$  gives rise to a monoid in the the category of  $*$ -modules. We will abusively continue to use the notation  $GL_1R$  to denote a model of  $GL_1R$  which is cofibrant as a monoid in  $*$ -modules. We can compute  $BGL_1R$  and  $EGL_1R$  as two-sided bar constructions with respect to the symmetric monoidal product  $\boxtimes$ :

$$E_{\boxtimes}GL_1R = B_{\boxtimes}(*, GL_1R, GL_1R) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R = B_{\boxtimes}(*, GL_1R, *).$$

The map  $E_{\boxtimes}GL_1R \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R$  models the universal quasifibration [ABGHR1, 3.8]. Furthermore, there is a homotopically well-behaved category  $\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R}$  of  $GL_1R$ -modules in  $\mathcal{M}_*$  [ABGHR1, 3.6].

Now, given a fibration of  $*$ -modules  $f : X \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R$ , we take the pullback of the diagram,

$$X \longrightarrow B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R \longleftarrow E_{\boxtimes}GL_1R$$

to obtain a  $GL_1R$ -module  $P$ . This procedure defines a functor from  $*$ -modules over  $B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R$  to  $GL_1R$ -modules; since we are assuming  $f$  is a fibration, we are computing the derived functor. Applying  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}_+}^{\infty}$ , we obtain a right  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}_+}^{\infty} GL_1R$ -module  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}_+}^{\infty} P$ , and so we can define  $M_{\text{alg}}f$  as above. (Here  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}_+}^{\infty}$  is the appropriate model of  $\Sigma_+^{\infty}$  in this setting.)

The functor which sends  $f$  to  $P$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$N((\mathcal{M}_{*/B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R})^{\text{cf}}) \simeq N((\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R})^{\text{cf}}),$$

as a consequence of [ABGHR1, 3.19]. Together with Proposition 3.1, this gives a characterization of the algebraic Thom spectrum functor.

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let*

$$T: \mathcal{M}_{GL_1R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_R$$

*be a continuous, colimit-preserving functor which sends  $GL_1R$  to an  $R$ -module  $R'$  homotopy equivalent to  $R$  in such a way that*

$$GL_1R \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R}}(GL_1R) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(R') \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(R)$$

*is homotopy equivalent to the inclusion  $GL_1R \simeq \text{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \text{End}(R)$ . (Here  $\text{Aut}$  and  $\text{End}$  refer to the derived automorphism and endomorphism spaces respectively.) Then  $T^{\mathbb{L}}$ , the left-derived functor of  $T$ , is homotopy equivalent to*

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}(-) \wedge_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R}^{\mathbb{L}} R: \mathcal{M}_{GL_1R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_R.$$

*Proof.* The stability of  $R\text{-mod}$  and Proposition 3.1 together imply that  $T^{\mathbb{L}}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}(-) \wedge_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R}^{\mathbb{L}} B$  for some  $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R, R)$ -bimodule  $B$ . Since  $T(\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R) \simeq R$ , we must have  $B \simeq R$ ; since the left action of  $GL_1R$  on itself induces (via the equivalence  $R' \simeq R$ ) the canonical action of  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R$  on  $R$ , we conclude that  $B \simeq R$  as  $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^{\infty}GL_1R, R)$ -bimodules.  $\square$

**3.5. Comparing notions of Thom spectrum.** In this section, we show that, on underlying  $\infty$ -categories, the algebraic Thom  $R$ -module functor is equivalent to the geometric Thom spectrum functor via the characterization of Corollary 3.13.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_S$  be the category of EKMM  $S$ -modules. According to the discussion in [HA, §1.4.3] (and using the comparisons of [MMSS01]), there is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$(3.16) \quad N\mathcal{M}_S^{\text{cf}} \simeq \text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty})$$

which induces equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories of algebras and commutative algebras

$$(3.17) \quad N\text{Alg}(\mathcal{M}_S)^{\text{cf}} \simeq \text{Alg}(\text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty})) \quad N\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{M}_S)^{\text{cf}} \simeq \text{CAlg}(\text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty})).$$

Let  $R$  be a cofibrant-fibrant EKMM  $S$ -algebra, and let  $R'$  be the corresponding algebra in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Stab}(\text{Gpd}_{\infty}))$ . The equivalence (3.16) induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$(3.18) \quad N(\mathcal{M}_R^{\text{cf}}) \simeq R'\text{-mod}.$$

Proposition 2.14 gives an equivalence of  $\infty$ -groupoids

$$(3.19) \quad BGL_1R \simeq N((R\text{-line})^{\text{cf}})$$

and so putting (3.18) and (3.19) together with the comparisons of [ABGHR1, 3.7] we have equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories

$$N((\mathcal{M}_{*/B_{\boxtimes}GL_1R})^{\text{cf}}) \simeq N((\text{Top}/_{BGL_1R})^{\text{cf}}) \simeq \text{Gpd}_{\infty/R'\text{-line}}.$$

**Proposition 3.20.** *The functor*

$$\mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/R'\text{-mod}} \simeq \mathrm{N}((\mathrm{Top}_{/BGL_1R})^{\mathrm{cf}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{NM}_{\mathrm{alg}}} \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{M}_R^{\mathrm{cf}}) \simeq R'\text{-mod},$$

*obtained by passing the Thom  $R$ -module functor  $M_{\mathrm{alg}}$  of [ABGHR1] through the indicated equivalences, is equivalent to the Thom  $R'$ -module functor of §2.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the topological category with a single object  $*$  and

$$\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(*, *) = GL_1R = \mathrm{Aut}_R(R^{\mathrm{cf}}) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}_{R'}(R').$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}$  is naturally a topological subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R}$  (the full topological subcategory on  $GL_1R$ ) and by definition a topological subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_R$ . Note also that

$$\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C} \simeq B\mathrm{Aut}(R') \simeq R'\text{-line}.$$

As in Proposition 3.15, the continuous functor

$$T^L: \mathcal{M}_{GL_1R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_R$$

determined by  $M_{\mathrm{alg}}$  has the property that its restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to the inclusion of the topological subcategory  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_R$ . Taking simplicial nerves, and recalling that

$$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R}^{\mathrm{cf}}) \simeq \mathrm{N}((\mathrm{Top}_{/BGL_1R})^{\mathrm{cf}}) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty}),$$

we see that

$$\mathrm{N}(T^L): \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{M}_{GL_1R}^{\mathrm{cf}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{M}_R^{\mathrm{cf}}) \simeq R'\text{-mod}$$

is a colimit-preserving functor whose restriction along the Yoneda embedding

$$\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty}) \simeq \mathrm{Gpd}_{\infty/R'\text{-line}}$$

is equivalent to the inclusion of the  $\infty$ -subcategory  $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{C} \simeq R'\text{-line} \rightarrow R'\text{-mod}$ . It follows from Proposition 3.13 that  $\mathrm{N}T^L$  is equivalent to the “geometric” Thom spectrum functor of §2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.21.** The argument also implies the following apparently more general result. Recall from 3.2 that any map  $k: BGL_1R \rightarrow BGL_1R$  defines a functor from the  $\infty$ -category of spaces over  $BGL_1R$  to the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules, defined by sending  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1R$  to the colimit of the composite

$$(3.22) \quad X^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1R \xrightarrow{k} BGL_1R \rightarrow R\text{-mod}.$$

On the other hand, according to Proposition 3.26 below, we can describe the derived smash product from section 3.1 associated to  $k$  as the colimit of the composite

$$X^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1R \xrightarrow{k} BGL_1R \xrightarrow{\Sigma_+^{\infty}} \Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1R\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{(-) \wedge_{\Sigma_+^{\infty} GL_1R} R} R\text{-mod}.$$

Since both functors are given by the formula  $M(k \circ f)$ , the Thom  $R$ -module of  $f$  composed with  $k$ , we conclude that these two procedures are equivalent for any  $k$ , not just the identity.

**3.6. The “neo-classical” Thom spectrum functor.** In this section we compare the Lewis-May operadic Thom spectrum functor to the Thom spectrum functors discussed in this paper. Since the May-Sigurdsson construction of the Thom spectrum in terms of a parametrized universal spectrum over  $BGL_1S$  [MS06][23.7.4] is easily seen to be equivalent to the space-level Lewis-May description, this will imply that all of the known descriptions of the Thom spectrum functor agree up to homotopy. Our comparison proceeds by relating the Lewis-May model to the quotient description of Proposition 2.21.

We begin by briefly reviewing the Lewis-May construction of the Thom spectrum functor; the interested reader is referred to Lewis’ thesis, published as Chapter IX of [LMSM86], and the excellent discussion in Chapter 22 of [MS06] for more details and proofs of the foundational results below. Nonetheless, we have tried to make our discussion relatively self-contained.

The starting point for the Lewis-May construction is an explicit construction of  $GL_1S$  in terms of a diagrammatic model of infinite loop spaces. Let  $\mathcal{S}_c$  be the symmetric monoidal category of finite or countably infinite dimensional real inner product spaces and linear isometries. Define an  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -space to be a continuous functor from  $\mathcal{S}_c$  to spaces. The usual left Kan extension construction gives the diagram category of  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -spaces a symmetric monoidal structure. It turns out that monoids and commutative monoids for this category model  $A_\infty$  and  $E_\infty$  spaces; for technical felicity, we focus attention on the commutative monoids which satisfy two additional properties:

- (1) The map  $T(V) \rightarrow T(W)$  associated to a linear isometry  $V \rightarrow W$  is a homeomorphism onto a closed subspace.
- (2) Each  $T(W)$  is the colimit of the  $T(V)$ , where  $V$  runs over the finite dimensional subspaces of  $W$  and the maps in the colimit system are restricted to the inclusions.

Denote such a functor as an  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -FCP (functor with cartesian product) [MS06, 23.6.1]; the requirement that  $T$  be a diagrammatic commutative monoid implies the existence of a “Whitney sum” natural transformation  $T(U) \times T(V) \rightarrow T(U \oplus V)$ . This terminology is of course deliberately evocative of the notion of  $FSP$  (functor with smash product), which is essentially an orthogonal ring spectrum [MMSS01].

An  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -FCP gives rise to an  $E_\infty$  space structured by the linear isometries operad; specifically,  $T(\mathbb{R}_\infty) = \text{colim}_V T(V)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -space with the operad maps induced by the Whitney sum [MQRT77, 1.9], [MS06, 23.6.3]. In fact, as alluded to above one can set up a Quillen equivalence between the category of  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -FCP’s and the category of  $E_\infty$  spaces, although we do not discuss this matter herein (see [L09] for a nice treatment of this comparison).

Moving on, we now focus attention on the  $\mathcal{S}_c$ -FCP specified by taking  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$  to the space of based homotopy self-equivalences of  $S^V$ ; this is classically denoted by  $F(V)$ . Passing to the colimit over inclusions,  $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = \text{colim}_V F(V)$  becomes a  $\mathbb{L}$ -space which models  $GL_1S$  — this is essentially one of the original descriptions from [MQRT77]. Furthermore, since each  $F(V)$  is a monoid, applying the two-side bar construction levelwise yields an FCP specified by  $V \mapsto BF(V)$ ; here  $BF(V)$  denotes the bar construction  $B(*, F(V), *)$ , and the Whitney sum transformation is defined using the homeomorphism  $B(*, F(V), *) \times B(*, F(W), *) \cong B(*, F(V) \times F(W), *)$ . The colimit  $BF(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  provides a model for  $BGL_1S$ .

Now, since  $F(V)$  acts on  $S^V$ , we can also form the two-sided bar construction  $B(*, F(V), S^V)$ , abbreviated  $EF(V)$ , and there is a universal quasifibration

$$\pi_V : EF(V) = B(*, F(V), S^V) \longrightarrow B(*, F(V), *) = BF(V)$$

which classifies spherical fibrations with fiber  $S^V$ . Given a map  $X \rightarrow BF(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , by pulling back subspaces  $BF(V) \subset BF(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  we get an induced filtration on  $X$ ; denote the space corresponding to pulling back along the inclusion of  $V \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$  by  $X(V)$  [LMSM86, IX.3.1].

Denote by  $Z(V)$  the pullback

$$X(V) \longrightarrow BF(V) \longleftarrow EF(V).$$

The  $V$ th space of the Thom prespectrum is then obtained by taking the Thom space of  $Z(V) \rightarrow X(V)$ , that is by collapsing out the section induced from the base point inclusion  $* \rightarrow S^V$ ; denote the resulting prespectrum by  $TF$  [LMSM86, IX.3.2] (note that some work is involved in checking that these spaces in fact assemble into a prespectrum).

Next, we will verify that the prespectrum  $TF$  associated to the identity map on  $BF(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is stably equivalent to the homotopy quotient  $S/GL_1 S \simeq S/F(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . For a point-set description of this homotopy quotient, it follows from [ABGHR1, 3.9] that the category of EKMM (commutative)  $S$ -algebras is tensored over (commutative) monoids in  $*$ -modules: the tensor of a monoid in  $*$ -modules  $M$  and an  $S$ -algebra  $A$  is  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M \wedge A$ , with multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M \wedge A) \wedge (\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M \wedge A) &\cong (\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M \wedge \Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M) \wedge (A \wedge A) \cong \\ &(\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty (M \boxtimes M)) \wedge (A \wedge A) \rightarrow (\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty M) \wedge A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can model the homotopy quotient as a bar construction in the category of (commutative)  $S$ -algebras. However, we can also describe the homotopy quotient as  $\text{colim}_V S/F(V)$ , where here we use the structure of  $F(V)$  as a monoid acting on  $S^V$ . It is this “space-level” description we will employ in the comparison below.

We find it most convenient to reinterpret the Lewis-May construction in this situation, as follows: The Thom space in this case is by definition the cofiber  $(EF(V), BF(V))$  of the inclusion  $BF(V) \rightarrow EF(V)$  induced from the base point inclusion  $* \rightarrow S^V$ . Now,

$$BF(V) \simeq */F(V)$$

and similarly

$$EF(V) \simeq S^V/F(V).$$

Hence the Thom space is likewise the cofiber  $(S^V, *)/F(V)$  of the inclusion  $* \rightarrow S^V$ , viewed as a *pointed* space.

More generally, we can regard the prespectrum  $\{MF(V)\}$  as equivalently described as

$$MF(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^V/F(V),$$

the homotopy quotient of the *pointed* space  $S^V$  by  $F(V)$  via the canonical action, with structure maps induced from the quotient maps  $S^V \rightarrow S^V/F(V)$  together with the pairings

$$\begin{aligned} MF(V) \wedge MF(W) &\simeq S^V/F(V) \wedge S^W/F(W) \longrightarrow \\ &S^{V \oplus W}/F(V) \times F(W) \longrightarrow S^{V \oplus W}/F(V \oplus W), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(V) \times F(W) \rightarrow F(V \oplus W)$  is the Whitney sum map of  $F$ . It is straightforward to check that the structure maps in terms of the bar construction described in [LMSM86, IX.3.2] realize these structure maps.

The associated spectrum  $MF$  is then the colimit  $\operatorname{colim}_V S/F(V) \simeq S/F(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . A key point is that the Thom spectrum functor can be described as the colimit over shifts of the Thom spaces [LMSM86, IX.3.7, IX.4.4]:

$$MF = \operatorname{colim}_V \Sigma^{-V} \Sigma^\infty MF(V).$$

Furthermore, using the bar construction we can see that the spectrum quotient  $(\Sigma^V S)/F(V)$  is equivalent to  $\Sigma^\infty S^V/F(V)$ . Putting these facts together, we have the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} MF &= \operatorname{colim}_V \Sigma^{-V} \Sigma^\infty MF(V) = \operatorname{colim}_V \Sigma^{-V} \Sigma^\infty S^V/F(V) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{colim}_V \Sigma^{-V} (\Sigma^V S)/F(V) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_V (\Sigma^{-V} \Sigma^V S)/F(V) \simeq S/F(\mathbb{R}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

More generally, a slight elaboration of this argument implies the following:

**Proposition 3.23.** *The Lewis-May Thom spectrum  $MG$  associated to a group-like  $A_\infty$  map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow GL_1 S$  modeled by the map of  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -FCPs  $G \rightarrow F$  is equivalent to the spectrum  $S/G$ , the homotopy quotient of the sphere by the action of  $\varphi$ .*

Note that any  $A_\infty$  map  $X \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  can be rectified to a map of  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -FCPs  $X' \rightarrow F$  [L09].

**Corollary 3.24.** *Given a map of spaces  $f : X \rightarrow BGL_1 S$ , write  $M_{\text{LM}}f$  for the spectrum associated to the Lewis-May Thom spectrum of  $f$ . Then  $M_{\text{LM}}f \simeq M_{\text{geo}}f$  as objects of the  $\infty$ -category of spectra.*

*Proof.* A basic property of this (and any) Thom spectrum functor is that it preserves colimits [LMSM86, IX.4.3]. Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is connected. In this case,  $X \simeq BG$  for some group-like  $A_\infty$  space  $G$ , and  $f : BG \rightarrow BGL_1 S$  is the delooping of an  $A_\infty$  map  $G \rightarrow GL_1 S$ . Hence  $M_{\text{geo}}f \simeq S/G$  by Proposition 3.23 and  $M_{\text{LM}}f \simeq M_{\text{geo}}f$  by Proposition 2.21.  $\square$

**3.7. The algebraic Thom spectrum functor as a colimit.** We sketch another approach to the comparison of the “geometric” and “algebraic” Thom spectrum functors. This approach has the advantage of giving a direct comparison of the two functors. It has the disadvantage that it does not characterize the Thom spectrum functor among functors

$$\mathcal{T}_{/BGL_1 R} \rightarrow R\text{-mod},$$

and it does not exhibit the conceptual role played by Morita theory. Instead, it identifies both functors as colimits.

Suppose that  $R$  is an  $S$ -algebra. Let  $R\text{-mod}$  be the associated  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules, let  $R\text{-line}$  be the the sub- $\infty$ -groupoid of  $R$ -lines, and let  $j : R\text{-line} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  denote the inclusion. For a space  $X$ , the “geometric” Thom spectrum functor sends a map  $f : X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-line}$  to

$$\operatorname{colim}(X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} R\text{-line} \xrightarrow{j} R\text{-mod}).$$

As in [ABGHR1, §3], let  $G$  be a cofibrant replacement of  $GL_1 R$  as a monoid in  $\ast\text{-modules}$ . By definition of  $R\text{-line}$ , we have an equivalence  $B_{\boxtimes} G \simeq R\text{-line}$ . But

observe that we also have a natural equivalence

$$B_{\boxtimes}G \simeq G\text{-line}.$$

That is, let  $G\text{-mod} = N(\mathcal{M}_G^{\text{cf}})$  be the  $\infty$ -category of  $G$ -modules and let  $G\text{-line}$  be the maximal  $\infty$ -groupoid generated by the  $G$ -lines, i.e.,  $G$ -modules which admit a weak equivalence to  $G$ . By construction,  $G\text{-line}$  is connected, and so equivalent to  $B\text{Aut}(G) \simeq B_{\boxtimes}G$ .

Recall that we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$(3.25) \quad \text{Gpd}_{\infty/G\text{-line}} \simeq G\text{-mod}.$$

The key observation is the following. Let  $k: G\text{-line} \rightarrow G\text{-mod}$  denote the tautological inclusion. To a map of  $\infty$ -groupoids

$$f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow G\text{-line},$$

we can associate the  $G$ -module

$$P_f = \text{colim}(X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} G\text{-line} \xrightarrow{k} G\text{-mod}).$$

Inspecting the proof of [HTT, 2.2.1.2] implies that the functor  $P: \text{Gpd}_{\infty/G\text{-line}} \rightarrow G\text{-mod}$  gives the equivalence (3.25).

In other words, if  $f: X \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}G$  is a fibration of  $*$ -modules, then we can form  $P$  as in the pullback along  $E_{\boxtimes}G \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}G$ . Alternatively, we can form

$$f: X \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}G \simeq G\text{-line},$$

and then form  $P_f = \text{colim}(kf)$ , and obtain an equivalence of  $G$ -modules

$$P_f \simeq P.$$

**Proposition 3.26.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow B_{\boxtimes}G$  be a fibration of  $*$ -modules. The “algebraic” Thom spectrum functor sends  $f$  to*

$$\text{colim}(X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} B_{\boxtimes}G \simeq G\text{-line} \xrightarrow{k} G\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_+^\infty} \Sigma_+^\infty G\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R} R\text{-mod}).$$

*Proof.* By the Lemma, we have

$$(3.27) \quad P \simeq \text{colim}(X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f} B_{\boxtimes}G \simeq G\text{-line} \xrightarrow{k} G\text{-mod}),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} Mf &= \Sigma_+^\infty P \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \text{colim}(kf) \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R \\ &\simeq \text{colim}(\Sigma_+^\infty kf) \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R \\ &\simeq \text{colim}(\Sigma_+^\infty kf \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R). \end{aligned}$$

The last colimit is the colimit in the statement of the Lemma.  $\square$

From this point of view, the coincidence of the two Thom spectrum functors amounts to the fact that diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{f} & G\text{-line} & \xrightarrow{k} & G\text{-mod} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \Sigma_+^\infty(-) \wedge_{\Sigma_+^\infty G} R \\ & & R\text{-line} & \xrightarrow{j} & R\text{-mod} \end{array}$$

evidently commutes.



## REFERENCES

- [ABG10] Matthew Ando, Andrew Blumberg, and David Gepner. Twists of  $K$ -theory and  $TMF$ . *Proc. Symp. Pure Appl. Math.*, To appear, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.3004>.
- [ABGHR1] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, Michael Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. Units of ring spectra and Thom spectra via structured ring spectra. Preprint.
- [Blum05] A. J. Blumberg *Progress towards the calculation of the  $K$ -theory of Thom spectra* PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 2005
- [BCS08] A. J. Blumberg, R. Cohen, and C. Schlichtkrull Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space. *Geom. and Top.* **14** (2010), 1165–1242.
- [BV73] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347.
- [EKMM96] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, volume 47 of *Mathematical surveys and monographs*. American Math. Society, 1996.
- [Jo08] Niles Johnson. Morita theory for derived categories: A bicategorical approach. Preprint, arXiv:math.AT/0805.3673.
- [Joy02] A. Joyal. Quasi-categories and Kan complexes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 175(1-3):207–222, 2002. Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly.
- [L09] John Lind. Diagram spaces, diagram spectra, and spectra of units. Preprint, arXiv:math.AT/0908.1092.
- [LMSM86] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May, M. Steinberger, and J. E. McClure. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, volume 1213 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. With contributions by J. E. McClure.
- [HA] Jacob Lurie. Higher Algebra. Preprint, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HigherAlgebra.pdf>.
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. Higher Topos Theory. *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **170**. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [MQRT77] J. P. May.  *$E_\infty$  ring spaces and  $E_\infty$  ring spectra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. With contributions by Frank Quinn, Nigel Ray, and Jørgen Tornehave, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 577.
- [MMSS01] M. A. Mandell, J. P. May, S. Schwede, and B. Shipley. Model categories of diagram spectra. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 82(2):441–512, 2001.
- [MS06] J. P. May and J. Sigurdsson. *Parametrized homotopy theory*, volume 132 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Qui68] Daniel G. Quillen. The geometric realization of a Kan fibration is a Serre fibration. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19:1499–1500, 1968.
- [SS04] S. Schwede and B. Shipley. Stable model categories are categories of modules. *Topology*, 42(1):103–153, 2004.
- [Sch04] Stefan Schwede. Morita theory in abelian, derived and stable model categories. In *Structured ring spectra*, pages 33–86. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., No. 315. Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA IL 61801, USA

*E-mail address:* `mando@math.uiuc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD CA 94305

*E-mail address:* `blumberg@math.Stanford.edu`

THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO IL 60607, USA

*E-mail address:* `gepner@math.uic.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE MA 02138

*E-mail address:* `mjh@math.harvard.edu`

THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA IL 61801, USA

*E-mail address:* `rezk@math.uiuc.edu`