## ON THE ADEM RELATIONS

## S. R. BULLETT and I. G. MACDONALD

§1.

THE PURPOSE of this note is to remark that the Adem relations for Steenrod squares (p = 2) and reduced powers (p > 2) can be given a much simpler formulation than that generally received (see, e.g. [3]) and that this formulation leads to a simple proof.

First, when p = 2 let P(t) denote the formal power series

$$P(t) = \sum_{i>0} t^i Sq^i$$

where t is an indeterminate. Then the Adem relations for Steenrod squares are equivalent to the power-series identity

$$P(s^{2} + st)P(t^{2}) = P(t^{2} + st)P(s^{2})$$
(1)

where s, t are indeterminates. In other words,  $P(s^2 + st)P(t^2)$  is symmetrical in s and t

Next, when p > 2, let

$$P(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} t^i P^i; \tag{2}$$

then the Adem relations for the reduced powers  $P^i$  are equivalent to the statement that the formal power series

$$(1+s ad B)P(t^p+st^{p-1}+..+s^{p-1}t). P(s^p)$$

is symmetrical in s and t. Here  $\beta$  denotes the Bockstein homomorphism and  $(ad \beta)P = \beta P - P\beta$ .

In practice, it is simpler (and entails no loss of information) to set s = 1, so that if we put  $u = 1 + t + ... + t^{p-1} = (1-t)^{p-1}$  and  $\tau = tu$ , our version of the Adem relations becomes

$$P(\tau)P(1) = P(u)P(t^p), \quad (p \ge 2)$$
(3)

$$[\beta, P(\tau)]P(1) = t[\beta, P(u)]P(t^p).$$
  $(p > 2)$  (4)

We shall first prove (3) and (4), and then show that they are indeed equivalent to the Adem relations as usually stated.

§2.

We recall that

$$H^*((B\mathbf{Z}/2)^n; \mathbf{Z}/2) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

where each  $x_i$  has dimension 1, and that for primes p > 2

$$H^*((B\mathbf{Z}/p)^n; \mathbf{Z}/p) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \otimes E[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$$

where each  $y_i$  has dimension 1 and each  $x_i = \beta y_i$  has dimension 2.

THEOREM (Serre). The cohomology class  $x_1 
ldots x_n$  induces an injection of  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  into  $H^*((B\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  in dimensions  $\leq 2n$ .

The class  $y_1, \dots, y_n x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n}$  induces an injection of  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/p, 3n); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  into  $H^*((B\mathbb{Z}/p)^{2n}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  in dimensions  $\leq 4n$ .

The proof of this result does not involve the Adem relations. For p=2 it is outlined by Serre in [2]: he proves  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  to be the polynomial algebra on  $\{Sq^I\iota_n; I \text{ admissible, excess } (I) < n\}$  and then shows that  $\{Sq^I\iota_n: \iota_n; I \text{ admissible, degree } (I) \le n\}$  are linearly independent in  $H^*((B\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Details of the two corresponding steps for p > 2 can be found in [1, 3].

It follows that to verify relations among Steenrod operations it suffices to evaluate them on  $x_1 ldots x_n (p=2)$  or on  $y_1 ldots y_n x_{n+1} ldots x_{2n} (p>2)$ .

Consider the relation (3). By the Cartan formula, P(1),  $P(\tau)$ , P(u) and  $P(t^p)$  are all multiplicative and so we are reduced to verifying (3) for  $x \in H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2)(p=2)$ , or for  $y \in H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  and  $x \in H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{Z}/p)(p>2)$ . For this we need only the elementary facts that  $P(t)x = x + tx^p$  and P(t)y = y.

These give (p > 2)

$$P(\tau)P(1)y = y = P(u)P(t^p)y$$

and (all p)

$$P(\tau)P(1)x = x + (1+\tau)x^p + \tau^p x^{p^2}.$$

$$P(u)P(t^p)x = x + (u + t^p)x^p + u^p t^p x^{p^2}$$

The last two expressions are equal if (and only if)  $\tau = tu$  and  $u = 1 + t + ... + t^{p-1}$ . Thus (3) holds: indeed it is the unique relation of the form P(a)P(1) = P(b)P(c).

To verify the relation (4) we introduce an indeterminate v which we treat as having odd dimension; then the operation  $P(t) + v[\beta, P(t)]$  is multiplicative ( $v^2 = 0$ ) and we can combine the relations (3) and (4) in the single multiplicative formula

$$(P(\tau) + v[\beta, P(\tau)])P(1) = (P(u) + vt[\beta, P(u)])P(t^{p}).$$
 (5)

Verification of (5) by evaluation on x and y is now an elementary exercise.

§3.

Finally, we shall derive the Adem relations in their usual form. Consider first the formula (3). It shows that, for any integers  $a, b \ge 0$ ,  $P^a P^b$  is equal to the coefficient of  $\tau^a$  in

$$[P(u)P(t^{p})]_{a+b} = \sum_{j\geq 0} u^{a+b-j} t^{pj} P^{a+b-j} P^{j},$$

that is to say,

$$P^{a}P^{b} = \operatorname{Res}_{\tau=0} [P(u)P(t^{p})]_{a+b} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{a+1}}.$$

Now since  $\tau = t(1-t)^{p-1}$  we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = (1-t)^{p-1} - t(p-1)(1-t)^{p-2} = (1-t)^{p-2}$$

since we are working modulo p, and hence

$$P^{a}P^{b} = \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left[ P(u)P(t^{p}) \right]_{a+b} \frac{(1-t)^{p-2} dt}{(tu)^{a+1}}$$

which is equal to the coefficient of  $t^a$  in

$$\sum_{i>0} (1-t)^{(p-1)(b-j)-1} t^{pj} P^{a+b-j} P^{j}.$$

Consequently

$$P^{a}P^{b} = \sum_{j\geq 0} (-1)^{a-pj} \binom{(p-1)(b-j)-1}{a-pj} P^{a+b-j}P^{j},$$

which is the first Adem relation, without restriction on a and b.

As to the second relation, we have from (4)

$$\beta P(\tau)P(1) - P(\tau)\beta P(1) = t\beta P(u)P(t^p) - tP(u)\beta P(t^p)$$

and therefore, using (3),

$$P(\tau)\beta P(1) = ((1-t)\beta P(u) + tP(u)\beta)P(t^p).$$

A calculation similar to that just performed now leads to the usual form of the second Adem relations.

## 4. REMARK

G. Segal has pointed out to us that the Adem relations for Steenrod squares, in the form (1), can be more naturally explained as follows.

For any space X there is a total squaring operation  $S: H^n(X) \to H^{2n}(X \times B\Sigma_2)$ , where  $\Sigma_2$  is the symmetric group on two letters. Iterating it gives  $S^2: H^n(X) \to H^{4n}(X \times B\Sigma_2 \times B\Sigma_2)$ . This is the restriction of a total fourth-power operation  $T: H^n(X) \to H^{4n}(X \times B\Sigma_4)$ , by the cartesian product embedding of  $\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_2$  in  $\Sigma_4$ . Because inner automorphisms of  $\Sigma_4$  act on  $B\Sigma_4$  by maps which are homotopic to the identity it follows that for any  $\xi$  the element  $S^2\xi$  is invariant under the action of the normalizer of  $\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_2$  in  $\Sigma_4$ , in particular under the operation of interchanging the factors of  $\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_2$ . The Adem relations express this invariance.

If we identify  $H^*(X \times B\Sigma_2)$  with  $H^*(X)[t]$ , with  $t \in H^1(B\Sigma_2)$  then  $S\xi = \sum_k t^{n-k} Sq^k \xi$ 

for  $\xi \in H^n(X)$ . If  $H^*(X \times B\Sigma_2 \times B\Sigma_2) = H^*(X)[t, s]$ , then

$$S^{2}\xi = s^{2n}\sum_{m,k}s^{-m}Sq^{m}(t^{n-k}Sq^{k}\xi).$$

But  $\sum s^{-m} Sq^m$  is a ring homomorphism, and it takes t to  $t + s^{-1}t^2$ , so

$$S^{2}\xi = s^{2n} \sum (t + s^{-1}t^{2})^{n-k} s^{-m} Sq^{m} Sq^{k} \xi$$

$$\cdot$$

$$= s^{n} t^{n} (s + t)^{n} \sum s^{-m} (t + s^{-1}t^{2})^{-k} Sq^{m} Sq^{k} \xi$$

$$= s^{n} t^{n} (s + t)^{n} P(s^{-1}) P((t + s^{-1}t^{2})^{-1}) \xi$$

in the notation of the paper. Hence  $P(s^{-1})P((t+s^{-1}t^2)^{-1})$  is symmetric in (s, t). Write  $s^{-1} = u(u+v)$ ,  $t^{-1} = v(u+v)$ . Then  $(t+s^{-1}t^2)^{-1} = v^2$ , and we find that

$$P(u(u+v))P(v^2)$$

is symmetric in (u, v).

An analogous discussion applies to the case of odd primes, but the details are more complicated.

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. CARTAN: Sur les groupes d'Eilenberg-MacLane II. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 40 (1954), 704-707.
- 2. J.-P. SERRE: Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane. Comm. Math. Helv. 27 (1953), 198-232.
- 3. N. E. STEENROD and D. B. A. EPSTEIN: Cohomology Operations. Annals of Math. Studies, No. 50. Princeton (1962).

Department of Pure Mathematics Queen Mary College (University of London) Mile End Road London E1 4NS England