

The Theory of Witt Vectors

Joseph Rabinoff

Contents

1. Motivation	1
2. Definition of the Witt Rings	5
3. Proof of the Existence of the Witt Rings	9
4. The Standard Topology on the Witt Rings	12
5. The Frobenius and Verschiebung Maps	14
6. Almost-Universal Properties	21
7. The Artin-Hasse Exponential	24

The theory of Witt vectors, while mostly elementary, manages to package such algebraic power into the letter W that it turns up in many areas of mathematics. And whereas the Witt rings enjoy a great number of “well-known” properties, it can be difficult to find a written statement of these properties, much less a proof. Difficult, that is, if one does not know that almost everything one could want to know about the Witt vectors, including the vast majority¹ of the material below, is contained in Hazewinkel’s book [Haz78]. As I was unaware of this reference until B. Conrad kindly pointed it out, I undertook to write a reference manual for the theory of Witt vectors. The results I’ve included are simply those which I’ve come across in my own research; I do not by any means claim that they are comprehensive. My contribution to the material below mainly consists of the specific statements and their proofs;² none of it can be considered original work. If the reader finds an omission or error, I would be grateful if she would email me at rabinoff@post.harvard.edu.

As the theory of Witt vectors is quite elementary, the reader need only be familiar with the fundamental concepts and constructions from commutative algebra and category theory to understand the vast majority of this paper. However, experience with p -adic rings and other linearly topological rings would be helpful.

In this paper, all rings are commutative with identity element 1 (unless stated otherwise). For a ring K , we let \mathbf{Alg}_K denote the category of K -algebras. Let \mathbf{Ab} denote the category of abelian groups.

1 Motivation

The theory of Witt vectors arises from the study of the properties of certain p -adic rings, and indeed, provides a construction of the unramified extensions of the p -adic integers, for example. These rings are defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let p be a prime number. A ring R is called a *strict p -ring* provided that R is complete and Hausdorff with respect to the p -adic topology, p is not a zero-divisor in R , and the residue ring $K = R/p$ is perfect (i.e., the map $x \mapsto x^p$ is bijective on K).

For our purposes, the following are the important properties of strict p -rings (cf. [Ser79, Chapter II]):

¹Basically, everything except Section 1.

²Again, as I was unaware that everything is proved in [Haz78], many of the proofs are my own, and differ from those in [Haz78]. The presentation is otherwise similar.

Theorem 1.2. Let K be a perfect ring of characteristic p .

1. There is a strict p -ring R with residue ring K , which is unique up to canonical isomorphism.
2. There exists a unique system of representatives $\tau : K \rightarrow R$, called the Teichmüller representatives, such that $\tau(xy) = \tau(x)\tau(y)$ for all $x, y \in K$.
3. Every element x of R can be written uniquely in the form $x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n) p^n$ for $x_n \in K$.
4. The formation of R and τ is functorial in K , in that if $f : K \rightarrow K'$ is a homomorphism of perfect rings of characteristic p , and R' is the strict p -ring with residue ring K' and section τ' , then there is a unique homomorphism $F : R \rightarrow R'$ making the following squares commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{F} & R' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & K' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{F} & R' \\ \uparrow \tau & & \uparrow \tau' \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & K' \end{array}$$

The map F is given by

$$F\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n) p^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau'(f(x_n)) p^n.$$

Example 1.3. Let R be an unramified extension of \mathbf{Z}_p , with residue field $K = R/p \cong \mathbf{F}_q$. Then R is a strict p -ring, and is hence the unique strict p -ring with residue field \mathbf{F}_q . The Teichmüller representatives are constructed as follows: we have $\mathbf{F}_q^\times \cong \mathbf{Z}/(q-1)\mathbf{Z}$, so that the nonzero elements of \mathbf{F}_q are the roots of the polynomial $X^{q-1} - 1$. By Hensel's Lemma, each element x of \mathbf{F}_q^\times has a unique lift $\tau(x) \in R$ also satisfying $\tau(x)^{q-1} - 1 = 0$. Setting $\tau(0) = 0$ completes the definition of the map τ . In other words, the Teichmüller representatives are exactly the $(q-1)$ st roots of unity in R , union $\{0\}$.

Theorem 1.2 is an abstract fact, which may be proved without yielding a useful construction of the strict p -ring R with given residue ring K . But the strong unicity and functoriality of R indicates that one should be able to construct it algebraically in terms of K . We can certainly reconstruct the set underlying R as all sums of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n) p^n$, where we think of $\tau(x_n)$ as a parameter depending only on $x_n \in K$. But in order to reconstruct the ring structure on R , we need to understand the addition and multiplication laws in terms of the arithmetic of K . Put another way, if $\sum \tau(x_n) p^n + \sum \tau(y_n) p^n = \sum \tau(s_n) p^n$, we need to write the s_n in terms of the x_n and y_n , and similarly for multiplication. By unicity, the answer should not depend on R , and by functoriality, the answer should not even depend on K , in that the same addition and multiplication laws will have to work for every K . This suggests that the s_n will be given by polynomials in the x_n and y_n with p -integral rational coefficients; that is, by polynomials whose coefficients are rational numbers with nonnegative p -adic valuation. In fact the s_n will be given by integer polynomials in the x_n and y_n .

The following lemma will be fundamental in proving the p -integrality of polynomials:

Lemma 1.4. Let A be a ring, and let $x, y \in A$ be such that $x \equiv y \pmod{pA}$. Then for all $i \geq 0$ we have $x^{p^i} \equiv y^{p^i} \pmod{p^{i+1}A}$.

Proof.

We proceed by induction on i ; the case $i = 0$ is clear. Let $i \geq 1$, and write $x^{p^{i-1}} = y^{p^{i-1}} + p^i z$ for $z \in A$. Raising both sides to the p th power, we obtain

$$x^{p^i} = y^{p^i} + \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{n} y^{p^i(p-n)} p^{in} z^n + p^{ip} z^p.$$

The lemma follows because p divides all of the binomial coefficients, and $ip \geq i+1$. ■

Let R be a strict p -ring with residue ring K , and suppose that $\sum \tau(x_n) p^n + \sum \tau(y_n) p^n = \sum \tau(s_n) p^n$. To calculate the s_n , we proceed inductively. Looking mod p , we have

$$\tau(x_0) + \tau(y_0) \equiv \tau(s_0) \pmod{p},$$

so since $\tau(x) = x \pmod{p}$, we have $x_0 + y_0 = s_0$. The naïve second step is to write

$$\tau(x_0) + p\tau(x_1) + \tau(y_0) + p\tau(y_1) \equiv \tau(s_0) + p\tau(s_1) \equiv \tau(x_0 + y_0) + p\tau(s_1) \pmod{p^2},$$

then rewrite to find

$$p\tau(s_1) \equiv \tau(x_0) + \tau(y_0) - \tau(x_0 + y_0) + p(\tau(x_1) + \tau(y_1)) \pmod{p^2}.$$

But whereas we know that $\tau(x_0) + \tau(y_0) - \tau(x_0 + y_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have no idea what its residue mod p^2 is. The trick to calculating s_1 is as follows. Since K is perfect, every $x \in K$ has a unique p th root, written $x^{1/p}$. Since $x_0 + y_0 = s_0$, we must have $x_0^{1/p} + y_0^{1/p} = s_0^{1/p}$. By Lemma 1.4 above and the fact that τ commutes with multiplication, we can write

$$\tau(s_0) = \tau(s_0^{1/p})^p = \tau(x_0^{1/p} + y_0^{1/p})^p \equiv (\tau(x_0^{1/p}) + \tau(y_0^{1/p}))^p \pmod{p^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$p\tau(s_1) \equiv \tau(x_0^{1/p})^p + \tau(y_0^{1/p})^p - (\tau(x_0^{1/p}) + \tau(y_0^{1/p}))^p + p(\tau(x_1) + \tau(y_1)) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Expanding out the above equation and dividing by p , we obtain

$$\tau(s_1) \equiv \tau(x_1) + \tau(y_1) - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{n} \tau(x_0^{n/p}) \tau(y_0^{(p-n)/p}) \pmod{p},$$

and therefore,

$$s_1 = x_1 + y_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{n} x_0^{n/p} y_0^{(p-n)/p}.$$

The above bit of formal manipulation has nothing to do with K . Indeed, let X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 be indeterminates, and define polynomials $w_1(X_0) = X_0$ and $w_p(X_0, X_1) = X_0^p + pX_1$; then solve the polynomial equations

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= w_1(S_0) = w_1(X_0) + w_1(Y_0) = X_0 + Y_0 \\ S_0^p + pS_1 &= w_p(S_0, S_1) = w_p(X_0, X_1) + w_p(Y_0, Y_1) = X_0^p + pX_1 + Y_0^p + pY_1 \end{aligned}$$

for S_0 and S_1 . This is the same bit of algebra as above, except with X_0 replacing $\tau(x_0^{1/p})$, etc., so we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= X_0 + Y_0 \\ S_1 &= X_1 + Y_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{n} X_0^n Y_0^{p-n}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $S_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[X_0, Y_0]$ and $S_1 \in \mathbf{Z}[X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1]$. Substituting $\tau(x_0^{1/p})$ back in for X_0 , etc., we see that $s_0 = S_0(x_0, y_0)$ and $s_1 = S_1(x_0^{1/p}, y_0^{1/p}, x_1, y_1)$.

Witt [Wit36] realized this, and also discovered the pattern. Define

$$w_{p^n}(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n p^i X_i^{p^{n-i}} = X_0^{p^n} + pX_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^{n-1}X_{n-1}^p + p^nX_n.$$

Letting $X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots$ be indeterminates, inductively find S_n that solve the polynomial equations

$$w_{p^n}(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n) = w_{p^n}(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) + w_{p^n}(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n). \quad (1.1)$$

As the only term of $w_{p^n}(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ involving S_n is $p^n S_n$, it is clear that there are unique polynomials S_n with rational coefficients satisfying the above identity. What Witt showed is that in fact, $S_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n]$. Assuming this, we have:

Theorem 1.5. *Let R be a strict p -ring, let $K = R/p$ be its residue ring, and let $\tau : K \rightarrow R$ the system of Teichmüller representatives. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n) p^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(y_n) p^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(s_n) p^n.$$

Then with the S_n as above, we have

$$s_n = S_n(x_0^{1/p^n}, y_0^{1/p^n}, x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{1/p}, y_{n-1}^{1/p}, x_n, y_n).$$

Proof.

Let $n \geq 0$, and define \tilde{s}_i to be

$$\tilde{s}_i := S_{p^i}(x_0^{1/p^i}, y_0^{1/p^i}, x_1^{1/p^{i-1}}, y_1^{1/p^{i-1}}, \dots, x_i, y_i)$$

for $i \leq n$. This is a polynomial identity in K with integer coefficients, so we may take p^{n-i} th roots to obtain

$$\tilde{s}_i^{1/p^{n-i}} = S_{p^i}(x_0^{1/p^n}, y_0^{1/p^n}, x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, \dots, x_i^{1/p^{n-i}}, y_i^{1/p^{n-i}}).$$

Of course this is the same as saying that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{p^i}(\tau(x_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(y_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \tau(y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \dots, \tau(x_i^{1/p^{n-i}}), \tau(y_i^{1/p^{n-i}})) \\ & \equiv \tau(\tilde{s}_i^{1/p^{n-i}}) \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{p^i}(\tau(x_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(y_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \tau(y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \dots, \tau(x_i^{1/p^{n-i}}), \tau(y_i^{1/p^{n-i}}))^{p^{n-i}} \\ & \equiv \tau(\tilde{s}_i^{1/p^{n-i}})^{p^{n-i}} = \tau(\tilde{s}_i) \pmod{p^{n-i+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Substituting $\tau(x_i^{1/p^{n-i}})$ for X_i and $\tau(y_i^{1/p^{n-i}})$ for Y_i , into (1.1), we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(x_0) + p\tau(x_1) + \dots + p^n\tau(x_n) + \tau(y_0) + p\tau(y_1) + \dots + p^n\tau(y_n) \\ & = S_1(\tau(x_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(y_0^{1/p^n}))^{p^n} + pS_p(\tau(x_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(y_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \tau(y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}))^{p^{n-1}} \\ & \quad + \dots + p^n S_{p^n}(\tau(x_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(y_0^{1/p^n}), \tau(x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \tau(y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}), \dots, \tau(x_n), \tau(y_n)) \end{aligned}$$

in R . Using (1.2), we may rewrite the right-hand side of the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(x_1) + p\tau(x_1) + \dots + p^n\tau(x_n) + \tau(y_1) + p\tau(y_1) + \dots + p^n\tau(y_n) \\ & \equiv \tau(\tilde{s}_1) + p\tau(\tilde{s}_1) + \dots + p^n\tau(\tilde{s}_n) \pmod{p^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\tilde{s}_i = s_i$ for $i \leq n$, as desired. ■

Witt also showed the analogous result for multiplication: namely, if we solve the polynomial equations

$$w_{p^n}(Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = w_{p^n}(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)w_{p^n}(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

then $Z_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n]$. Setting

$$z_n = Z_n(x_0^{1/p^n}, y_0^{1/p^n}, x_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, y_1^{1/p^{n-1}}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{1/p}, y_{n-1}^{1/p}, x_n, y_n),$$

the reader can verify (following the proof of Theorem 1.5) that

$$\left(\sum \tau(x_n) p^n\right) \cdot \left(\sum \tau(y_n) p^n\right) = \sum \tau(z_n) p^n.$$

Hence the ring laws on R are described entirely by the polynomials S_n and Z_n , which were obtained algebraically. This motivates the definition of the Witt vectors: given any ring A , we will construct a ring $W_p(A)$, whose addition and multiplication laws are somehow given by the polynomials S_n and Z_n . Taking $A = K$, we will show (Theorem 2.13) that $W_p(K)$ is the strict p -ring with residue ring K .

2 Definition of the Witt Rings

There are several flavors of Witt rings, so for the sake of uniformity in the statements of results, we will define Witt rings associated to the following subsets of the natural numbers:

Definition 2.1. A subset $P \subset \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a *divisor-stable set* provided that $P \neq \emptyset$, and if $n \in P$, then all proper divisors of n are also in P . If P is a divisor-stable set, we let $\wp(P)$ denote the set of prime numbers contained in P .

Remark 2.2. Let P be a divisor-stable set. We make the following observations:

- (i) Since $P \neq \emptyset$, we automatically have $1 \in P$.
- (ii) If $n \in P$, then all prime factors of n are contained in $\wp(P)$.
- (iii) The multiplicatively closed subset T generated by P is simply the set of products of primes in $\wp(P)$.

Example 2.3. (i) The set \mathbf{N} is divisor-stable, as are the finite sets $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

- (ii) Let p be a prime number. The set $P_p = \{1, p, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$ is divisor-stable, as are the finite sets $P_{p(n)} = \{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^n\}$.

The following is key to defining the Witt rings.

Definition 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Define the *n th Witt polynomial* to be

$$w_n = \sum_{d|n} dX_d^{n/d} \in \mathbf{Z}[\{X_d : d \mid n\}].$$

For any divisor-stable set P and any ring A , define the set

$$W_P(A) := \prod_{n \in P} A = A^P;$$

and for $x \in W_P(A)$, we write x_n for the n th coordinate, so $x = (x_n)_{n \in P}$. If $P = \mathbf{N}$ we write $W(A)$ for $W_P(A)$, and if $P = P_p = \{1, p, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$ for a prime p , we write $W_p(A)$ for $W_P(A)$. We consider the Witt polynomials w_n as set-theoretic maps $w_n : W_P(A) \rightarrow A$ for $n \in P$, and we write

$$w_* = (w_n)_{n \in P} : W_P(A) \longrightarrow A^P.$$

For $x \in W_P(A)$, the values $w_n(x)$ for $n \in P$ are called the *ghost components* of x , and the coordinates x_n are the *Witt components*.

The reason we do not write $W_P(A)$ for the codomain as well as the domain of w_* above is because they will soon have different ring structures.

Remark 2.5. Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let A be a ring such that all elements of P have inverses in A . Then we can solve for the Witt components of $x \in W_P(A)$ in terms of its ghost components $w_n(x)$ for $n \in P$, so $w_* : W_P(A) \rightarrow A^P$ is in fact a *bijection*. Similarly, if no element of P is a zero-divisor in A , then w_* is an *injection*.

Now we can state the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 2.6. Let P be a divisor-stable set. There is a unique covariant functor $W_P : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$, such that for any ring A ,

(i) $W_P(A) = \prod_{n \in P} A = A^P$ as sets, and for a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$,

$$W_P(f)((a_n)_{n \in P}) = (f(a_n))_{n \in P}.$$

(ii) The maps $w_n : W_P(A) \rightarrow A$ are homomorphisms of rings for all $n \in P$.

The zero element of $W_P(A)$ is $(0, 0, \dots)$, and the unit element is $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$.

We will give the proof of Theorem 2.6 in the next section. We will devote the rest of this section to some of its consequences.

Definition 2.7. With the notation as in Theorem 2.6, we call the ring $W_P(A)$ the *ring of P -Witt vectors*, or *P -Witt ring*, with coefficients in A . We call $W(A)$ the *big Witt ring with coefficients in A* , and for a prime p , $W_p(A)$ is the *p -Witt ring with coefficients in A* . When confusion about P is impossible, we simply call $W_P(A)$ the *Witt ring* or *ring of Witt vectors*.

Remark 2.8 (Important!). We should emphasize that the p -Witt ring $W_p(A)$ for a prime p is by far the most commonly used in practice (at least in number theory). In fact, in the presence of a fixed prime number p , authors will generally write $W(A)$ for $W_p(A)$, and refer to $W_p(A)$ as “the” ring of Witt vectors over A . In this case, people generally index the Witt components and ghost components of a Witt vector $x \in W_p(A)$ by the exponent of p : in other words, people write $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ for $(x_{p^0}, x_{p^1}, x_{p^2}, \dots)$ and $w_n(x)$ for $w_{p^n}(x)$, $n \geq 0$. The notation we use in this paper is therefore *nonstandard* for number-theoretic applications (although it is natural in our more general context).

Remark 2.9. 1. If A is a K -algebra, it is not true in general that $W_P(A)$ is a K -algebra. For example, if $A = \mathbf{F}_p$ and $P = \{1, p, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$ then $W_P(\mathbf{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$ by Theorem 2.13, which is not an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We may still consider W_P as a functor from \mathbf{Alg}_K to $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$.

2. Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[\{X_n : n \in P\}]$. Then for any ring A , $\text{Hom}(R, A)$ is naturally identified with $W_P(A)$ as sets, and hence W_P is representable. The ring structure on $W_P(A)$ makes R into a ring object in $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$.
3. When all elements of P have an inverse in A , the condition that each w_n be a ring homomorphism implies by Remark 2.5 that $w_* : W_P(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^P$ is a ring isomorphism, where A^P has the product ring structure. Similarly, when the elements of P are not zero-divisors in A , the map w_* makes $W_P(A)$ into a subring of A^P ; however, $w_*(W_P(A)) \neq A^P$ in general.
4. Witt originally thought of the rings $W_p(A)$ as inverse limits of the rings $W_{P_{p(n)}}(A)$, where $P_{p(n)} = \{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^n\}$. Since the elements of $W_{P_{p(n)}}(A)$ have finitely many components, Witt thought of them as vectors. This is the only sense in which rings of Witt vectors are related to vectors; really they are rings, and in fact ring-valued functors.

At this point it is convenient to explain how Theorem 2.6 relates to Section 1. Let

$$R = \mathbf{Z}[\{X_n, Y_n : n \in P\}],$$

and let $X = (X_n)_{n \in P}$, $Y = (Y_n)_{n \in P} \in W_P(R)$. Let $S = X + Y$ be the sum in the ring $W_P(R)$. By definition,

$$w_n(S) = w_n(X) + w_n(Y)$$

for $n \in P$, so the solutions S_n to the polynomial equations

$$w_n((S_n)_{n \in P}) = w_n((X_n)_{n \in P}) + w_n((Y_n)_{n \in P})$$

are contained in $\mathbf{Z}[\{X_i, Y_i : i \in P\}]$. A similar result holds for multiplication: namely, if $Z = X \cdot Y$, then

$$w_n(Z) = w_n(X) \cdot w_n(Y),$$

and $Z_n \in \mathbf{Z}[\{X_i, Y_i : i \in P\}]$. These polynomials in fact give the ring laws for $W_P(A)$ for any ring A (and any P , for that matter), as the following corollary shows:

Corollary 2.10. *Let R, P, X, Y, Z , and S be as above. The polynomials S_n and Z_n do not depend on the choice of P , and in addition,*

$$S_n, Z_n \in \mathbf{Z}[\{X_i, Y_i : i \mid n\}].$$

Let A be an arbitrary ring, and let $x, y \in W_P(A)$. Let $s_n = S_n$ evaluated at the x_i and y_i , and similarly for z_n . Then

$$s = (s_n)_{n \in P} = x + y \quad \text{and} \quad z = (z_n)_{n \in P} = x \cdot y,$$

where the addition and multiplication takes place in $W_P(A)$.

Proof.

Solving the equation $w_n(S) = w_n(X) + w_n(Y)$ explicitly for S_n shows that S_n only depends on $\{X_i, Y_i : i \mid n\}$. As the equation $w_n(S) = w_n(X) + w_n(Y)$ does not depend on P , neither does S . The same statements hold with Z replacing S .

Define a ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow A$ by $f(X_i) = x_i$ and $f(Y_i) = y_i$. Since $W_P(f)$ is a ring homomorphism, we have

$$s = W_P(f)(S) = W_P(f)(X) + W_P(f)(Y) = x + y.$$

By the same argument, $z = x \cdot y$. ■

Remark 2.11. Corollary 2.10 essentially shows that the ring laws of $W_P(A)$ for an arbitrary ring A can be calculated in $W_P(R)$ where $R = \mathbf{Z}[\{X_n, Y_n : n \in P\}]$. Since R is a ring which is *torsion-free as a \mathbf{Z} -module*, one can often prove statements about $W_P(R)$ using the injection $w_* : W_P(R) \hookrightarrow R^P$ of Remark 2.9(3), and then derive facts about $W_P(A)$. This often-used trick is called “reduction to the universal case”, and it is extremely powerful — one often cares about Witt rings over rings A of characteristic p , but in order to prove theorems about these rings, one reduces to the characteristic-0 case. We will make extensive use of this strategy; for instance, in Section 7 we will define a kind of characteristic- p exponential map.

Example 2.12. Let p be a prime number, and let $P = P_p = \{1, p, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$. Note that

$$w_{p^n} = \sum_{i=0}^n p^i X_i^{p^{n-i}} = X_1^{p^n} + p X_p^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^{n-1} X_{p^{n-1}}^p + p^n X_{p^n},$$

which agrees with our previous definition of w_{p^n} , except that we have renamed the variable X_n to X_{p^n} (cf. Remark 2.8). By Corollary 2.10, if the S_{p^n} are defined such that

$$w_{p^n}(S_1, S_p, \dots, S_{p^n}) = w_{p^n}(X_1, X_p, \dots, X_{p^n}) + w_{p^n}(Y_1, Y_p, \dots, Y_{p^n})$$

for all n , then $S_{p^n} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_{p^i}, Y_{p^i}]_{i=0,1,2,\dots,n}$, and similarly for Z_{p^n} and multiplication, thus verifying a claim that we made in Section 1. In this sense, for any ring R , the ring laws of $W_p(R)$ are given by the same algebra as the ring laws of a strict p -ring.

To be explicit, we calculated in Section 1 that

$$S_1 = X_1 + Y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_p = X_p + Y_p - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{n} X_1^n Y_1^{p-n}.$$

As for multiplication, we have

$$Z_1 = w_1(Z_1) = w_1(X_1)w_1(Y_1) = X_1Y_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1^p + pZ_p &= w_p(Z_1, Z_p) = w_p(X_1, X_p)w_p(Y_1, Y_p) = (X_1^p + pX_p)(Y_1^p + pY_p) \\ &= (X_1Y_1)^p + pX_1^pY_p + pX_pY_1^p + p^2X_pY_p \\ \implies Z_p &= X_1^pY_p + X_pY_1^p + pX_pY_p. \end{aligned}$$

Given the above example, we can show how the Witt rings offer a construction of the strict p -ring with a given perfect residue ring of characteristic p .

Theorem 2.13. *Let K be a perfect ring of characteristic p , and let R be the strict p -ring with residue ring K , with Teichmüller representatives $\tau : K \rightarrow R$. Then the map $f : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ given by*

$$f(x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_{p^n}^{1/p^n}) p^n$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Proof.

It is clear that f is a well-defined bijection, and that $f(1) = \tau(1) = 1$. Let $x, y \in W_p(K)$, and let $s = x + y$. We will show that $f(s) = f(x) + f(y)$, and leave the analogous proof that $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ to the reader. By Corollary 2.10,

$$s_{p^i} = S_{p^i}(x_1, y_1, x_p, y_p, \dots, x_{p^i}, y_{p^i}),$$

and hence, since S_{p^i} is a polynomial with integer coefficients,

$$s_{p^i}^{1/p^i} = S_{p^i}(x_1^{1/p^i}, y_1^{1/p^i}, x_p^{1/p^i}, y_p^{1/p^i}, \dots, x_{p^i}^{1/p^i}, y_{p^i}^{1/p^i}).$$

Let $\tilde{x}_j = x_{p^j}^{1/p^j}$, and similarly for \tilde{y}_j and \tilde{s}_j . Substituting into the above equation, we have

$$\tilde{s}_i = S_{p^i}(\tilde{x}_0^{1/p^i}, \tilde{y}_0^{1/p^i}, \tilde{x}_1^{1/p^{i-1}}, \tilde{y}_1^{1/p^{i-1}}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{p^i}, \tilde{y}_{p^i}),$$

so by Theorem 1.5,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n p^i \tau(x_{p^i}^{1/p^i}) + \sum_{i=0}^n p^i \tau(y_{p^i}^{1/p^i}) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n p^i \tau(s_{p^i}^{1/p^i}) \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Thus $f(x + y) \equiv f(x) + f(y) \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ for any n , completing the proof. ■

We will give another proof of Theorem 2.13 using Frobenius and Verschiebung maps in Section 5.

Remark 2.14. One amazing aspect of the universal construction of $W_P(A)$ is that, not only can we recover the standard generalization of the ring $\mathbf{Z}_p = W_p(\mathbf{F}_p)$ to any perfect residue field K of characteristic p (namely, the unique unramified extension of \mathbf{Z}_p with residue field K), but we can in fact define the ring $W_p(A)$ for any ring A , of arbitrary characteristic. In other words, the same ring laws used to construct \mathbf{Z}_p can be used to define its analogue for an *arbitrary* residue ring, although now $A = W_p(A)/\ker(w_1)$ instead of $W_p(A)/p$. Perhaps even more amazingly, these Witt rings will come equipped with Frobenius and Verschiebung maps associated to numbers $n \in P$ which are not necessarily prime; cf. Section 5.

To end this section, we give an immediate corollary of Corollary 2.10:

Corollary 2.15. *Let P and P' be divisor-stable sets, with $P' \subset P$. The quotient map*

$$(x_n)_{n \in P} \mapsto (x_n)_{n \in P'} : W_P(A) \longrightarrow W_{P'}(A)$$

is a ring homomorphism for any ring A , and hence defines a natural transformation $W_P \rightarrow W_{P'}$ of ring-valued functors.

3 Proof of the Existence of the Witt Rings

The following argument is based on an exercise in Lang's *Algebra* [Lan84]. The exercise number varies by edition, but can be located by looking under the “Witt vectors” entry in the index. We highly recommend that the reader do this exercise (with the caveat that that Lang defines the Frobenius endomorphism incorrectly), but for completeness we include our solution here.

Lang states that Witt told him the following proof, but that it differs from his proof in [Wit36]. The proof below uses the same ideas as in [Haz78].

Definition 3.1. For a ring A , we let $\Lambda(A)$ be the (multiplicative) abelian group

$$\Lambda(A) = 1 + tA[[t]].$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a ring. Every element $f = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n \in \Lambda(A)$ can be written in the form $f = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_n t^n)$, for unique elements $y_n \in A$. Furthermore, there are polynomials $Y_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $X'_n \in \mathbf{Z}[Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n]$, independent of A , such that $y_n = Y_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $x_n = X'_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.*

Proof.

First we will prove by induction on n that there are unique y_1, \dots, y_n such that

$$f(t) / \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - y_i t^i) = 1 + O(t^{n+1}).$$

The case $n = 0$ is clear. Supposing the claim to be true for $n - 1$, write $f(t) / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - y_i t^i) = 1 + z t^n + O(t^{n+1})$. We calculate that for $y \in A$,

$$(1 + y t^n)^{-1} (1 + z t^n + O(t^{n+1})) = 1 + (z - y) t^n + O(t^{n+1}),$$

which has zero t^n -coefficient if and only if $y = z$. Hence there is a unique value $y_n = z$ such that $f / \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - y_i t^i) = 1 + O(t^{n+1})$.

The above proof shows that there are unique $y_1, y_2, \dots \in A$ such that

$$f(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_n t^n).$$

To show that $y_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, choose $A = \mathbf{Z}[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ and $f = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} X_n t^n$. In this case we necessarily have $Y_n \in A$, and it is easy to see that Y_n only depends on the first $n + 1$ terms of f , so in fact $Y_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$. For an arbitrary ring B , define a ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ by $X_n \mapsto x_n$ for some choice of $x_n \in B$, and extend to a map $\Lambda(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(B)$. Taking the image of the equality $\prod (1 - Y_n t^n) = 1 + \sum X_n t^n$ in $\Lambda(B)$, we find that $\prod (1 - y_n t^n) = 1 + \sum x_n t^n$, where $y_n = Y_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

It is clear that the x_n are integer polynomials in the y_n . ■

Corollary 3.3. *For any ring A , the map $x \mapsto f_x : W(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ defined by*

$$f_x(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_n t^n) \quad \text{where} \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

is a bijection.

Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. The Mercator series defines a bijection $\log : \Lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} tA[[t]]$, whose inverse is given by the standard exponential series $\exp : tA[[t]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(A)$. Of course the log map takes products to sums, as this is a formal property of the Mercator series, so log is an isomorphism of abelian groups. It is clear that $f \mapsto -t df/dt : tA[[t]] \xrightarrow{\sim} tA[[t]]$ is also an isomorphism of abelian groups, with inverse $\int -t^{-1}(\cdot) dt$. Set

$$D = -t \frac{d}{dt} \log : \Lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} tA[[t]].$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, and let $x \in W(A)$. Then*

$$D(f_x(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(x) t^n.$$

Proof.

The logarithmic derivative satisfies the standard identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log f(t) = \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)}.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\log \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_n t^n) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - x_n t^n),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D(f_x(t)) &= -t \frac{d}{dt} \log(f_x(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n x_n t^n}{1 - x_n t^n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n x_n t^n + n x_n^2 t^{2n} + n x_n^3 t^{3n} + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the t^n term of $D(f_x(t))$ is exactly $\sum_{d|n} d x_d^{n/d} = w_n(x)$, proving the lemma. ■

We need one last lemma before beginning the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, and let $x, y \in W(A)$. Let*

$$f(t) = \prod_{d,e \in \mathbf{N}} (1 - x_d^{m/d} y_e^{m/e} t^m)^{de/m}, \quad \text{where } m = \text{lcm}(d, e).$$

Then

$$D(f(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(x)w_n(y) t^n.$$

Proof.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(t)) &= \sum_{d,e \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{de}{m} D(1 - x_d^{m/d} y_e^{m/e} t^m) \\ &= \sum_{d,e \in \mathbf{N}} de \frac{x_d^{m/d} y_e^{m/e} t^m}{1 - x_d^{m/d} y_e^{m/e} t^m} \\ &= \sum_{d,e \in \mathbf{N}} de \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (x_d^{m/d} y_e^{m/e} t^m)^n. \end{aligned}$$

The t^n -term of the above series is

$$\sum_{m|n} \sum_{\text{lcm}(d,e)=m} (dx_d^{n/d})(ey_e^{n/e}) = \left(\sum_{d|n} dx_d^{n/d} \right) \left(\sum_{e|n} ey_e^{n/e} \right) = w_n(x)w_n(y).$$

■

In the proof of Theorem 2.6 we will argue by reduction to the universal case, as in Remark 2.11.

Proof of Theorem 2.6.

We will show that the big Witt functor W exists. Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. By Remark 2.5, there is a unique ring structure on $W(A)$ making $w_* : W(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\mathbf{N}}$ into a ring homomorphism, where the codomain has the product ring structure. Since $w_*(0) = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $w_*(1, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 1, \dots)$, the zero element of $W(A)$ is $(0, 0, \dots)$ and the unit element is $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$. As this construction is obviously functorial in A , we have proved that W exists and is unique on the category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$. We must show that the ring laws are in fact defined over the integers.

Let $R = \mathbf{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots]$, where the X_i and Y_i are indeterminates. Let

$$X = (X_1, X_2, \dots), Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots) \in W(R).$$

Let $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots) \in W(R)$ be such that $f_X(t)f_Y(t) = f_S(t)$, i.e.,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - X_n t^n) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - Y_n t^n) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - S_n t^n).$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have $S_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots]$, and by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(S) t^n &= D(f_S(t)) = D(f_X(t)f_Y(t)) = D(f_X(t)) + D(f_Y(t)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (w_n(X) + w_n(Y)) t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $w_*(S) = w_*(X) + w_*(Y)$, so $S = X + Y$ in $W(R)$. Now let $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots) \in W(R)$ be such that

$$f_Z(t) = \prod_{d,e \in \mathbf{N}} (1 - X_d^{m/d} Y_e^{m/e} t^m)^{de/m}, \quad \text{where } m = \text{lcm}(d, e).$$

Then by Lemma 3.2 we have $Z_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots]$, and by Lemma 3.5,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(Z) t^n = D(f_Z(t)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} w_n(X) w_n(Y) t^n,$$

so $w_*(Z) = w_*(X)w_*(Y)$, and hence $Z = X \cdot Y$ in $W(R)$.

Let A be an arbitrary ring, and let $x, y \in W(A)$. Define $s = x + y$ by $s_n = S_n(x, y)$, and $z = x \cdot y$ by $z_n = Z_n(x, y)$. Reasoning as in Corollary 2.10, it is clear that when A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, these recover the ring laws on $W(A)$. In any case, we have constructed well-defined addition and multiplication maps on $W(A)$, which are functorial in A . We have not yet shown that $W(A)$ is a ring when equipped with these addition and multiplication laws.

Suppose that A embeds into a \mathbf{Q} -algebra A' , which is to say, that A is torsionfree as a \mathbf{Z} -module. Then the inclusion $W(A) \hookrightarrow W(A')$ respects addition and multiplication, i.e., $W(A)$ is a *subring* of $W(A')$. Hence $W(A)$ is a ring for such A . Now let B be an arbitrary ring, and choose a set $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of generators of B as a \mathbf{Z} -algebra. Set $A = \mathbf{Z}[\{X_i\}_{i \in I}]$, and let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be the surjective ring homomorphism such that $\varphi(X_i) = x_i$. Then $W(\varphi) : W(A) \rightarrow W(B)$ also respects the addition and multiplication laws, which is to say that $W(B)$ is a *quotient* ring of $W(A)$. As A is a torsionfree \mathbf{Z} -module, $W(A)$ is a ring, so $W(B)$ is a ring.

This completes the construction of a functor W satisfying the properties of Theorem 2.6. The unicity of the ring structure on $W(A)$ is proved in the same way as the previous paragraph: namely, we know that $W(A)$ has only one ring structure such that w_* is a ring homomorphism when A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra; hence it is determined when A embeds into a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, and therefore, when A is the quotient of a ring embedding into a \mathbf{Q} -algebra.

We leave it to the reader to construct the functor W_P for an arbitrary divisor-stable set P , using the same addition and multiplication polynomials S_n, Z_n above. ■

4 The Standard Topology on the Witt Rings

There is a natural inverse limit topology on the Witt rings, which is an important piece of structure, as almost all maps between Witt rings that we will see are continuous. This topology allows one to make sense of infinite sums of Witt vectors, which will be very useful in the sequel. We will assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of linear topological rings; cf. [Gro60, §0.7].

Notation 4.1. Let P be a divisor-stable set. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ write

$$P(n) = \{m \in P : m \leq n\}.$$

It is clear that $P(n)$ is a divisor-stable set. Let $\pi_n = \pi_{P,n} : W_P \rightarrow W_{P(n)}$ be the projection.

It is obvious from the definitions that for any P ,

$$W_P(A) = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W_{P(n)}(A)$$

as rings, under the maps π_n .

Definition 4.2. Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let A be a ring, equipped with the discrete topology. The *standard topology* on $W_P(A)$ is by definition the inverse limit topology on $\varprojlim W_{P(n)}(A)$, which is the same as the product topology on $W_P(A) = A^P$.

The standard topology has the following properties, the proofs of which are obvious.

Proposition 4.3. Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let A be a ring.

1. The standard topology makes $W_P(A)$ into a topological ring, i.e., the ring laws on $W_P(A)$ are continuous.
2. The filtered set of ideals $\{\ker(\pi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a neighborhood base of the identity in $W_P(A)$.
3. $W_P(A)$ is complete and Hausdorff with respect to the standard topology.
4. The sequence $x^{(n)} \in W_P(A)$ is Cauchy if and only if, for all $m \in P$, $\pi_m(x^{(n)})$ is constant for $n \gg 0$; the sequence converges to $y \in W_P(A)$ if and only if, for all $m \in P$, $\pi_m(x^{(n)}) = \pi_m(y)$ for $n \gg 0$.
5. The standard topology on $W_P(A)$ is discrete if and only if P is finite.

All maps between Witt rings that we have defined so far are continuous:

Proposition 4.4. *Let P be a divisor-stable set and let A be a ring. The following maps are continuous:*

1. $w_n : W_P(A) \rightarrow A$ for $n \in P$, where A has the discrete topology.
2. $w_* : W_P(A) \rightarrow A^P$, where A^P has the product topology induced by the discrete topology on A .
3. The projection $W_P(A) \rightarrow W_{P'}(A)$ for $P' \subset P$.
4. The homomorphism $W_P(f) : W_P(A) \rightarrow W_P(B)$ for a ring B and a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Proof.

1. Since $\ker(\pi_n) \subset \ker(w_n)$ we have that $\ker(w_n)$ is open.
2. The product topology is defined to be the finest topology such that a product of continuous maps is continuous.
3. Let $\pi_{P,P'} : W_P(A) \rightarrow W_{P'}(A)$ be the projection. Then $\pi_{P,P'}^{-1}(\ker(\pi_{P',n})) \supset \ker(\pi_{P,n})$.
4. This is clear because $W_P(f) = \varprojlim W_{P(n)}(f)$. ■

As stated above, one of the advantages of having a topology on $W_P(A)$ is the convergence of infinite sums. In order to take advantage of this property, we need to make a digression on simple arithmetic in the Witt rings.

Proposition 4.5. *Let P be a divisor-stable set, let A be a ring, and let $x, y \in W_P(A)$ be Witt vectors such that for all $n \in P$, either $x_n = 0$ or $y_n = 0$. Let $s = x + y$. Then $s_n = x_n + y_n$, i.e.,*

$$s_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } x_n \neq 0 \\ y_n & \text{if } y_n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

As we are verifying the equality of polynomial equations, it suffices to prove the Proposition universally, i.e., we may assume that A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. In this case, $w_* : W_P(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^P$ is an isomorphism, so we may check that $w_*(s) = w_*(x) + w_*(y)$, when $s_n = x_n + y_n$. Indeed, since for every $n \in P$ either $x_n = 0$ or $y_n = 0$, we have

$$w_n(s) = \sum_{d|n} d(x_d + y_d)^{n/d} = \sum_{d|n} dx_d^{n/d} + \sum_{d|n} dy_d^{n/d} = w_n(x) + w_n(y).$$
■

Definition 4.6. Let P be a divisor-stable set, let A be a ring, and let $a \in A$. We write $[a] \in W_P(A)$ for the Witt vector whose first component is a , and whose other components are zero:

$$[a] = (a, 0, 0, 0, \dots).$$

We call $[a]$ the Teichmüller representative for a , as the following Proposition justifies:

Proposition 4.7. Let P be a divisor-stable set, let A be a ring, and let $a \in A$. For $x \in W_P(A)$, we have

$$[a]x = (a^n x_n)_{n \in P}.$$

In particular, for $a, b \in A$, $[ab] = [a][b]$, so the map $a \mapsto [a] : A \rightarrow W_P(A)$ is multiplicative.

Proof.

Let $y = (a^n x_n)_{n \in P} \in W_P(A)$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we will check that $w_n(y) = w_n([a]x)$ for $n \in P$. Indeed,

$$w_n(y) = \sum_{d|n} d(a^d x_d)^{n/d} = a^n \sum_{d|n} dx_d^{n/d} = w_n([a])w_n(x) = w_n([a]x).$$

■

Let P be a divisor-stable set and let A be a ring. For $n \in P$ and $a \in A$, we provisionally define $V_n[a]$ to be the Witt vector whose n th Witt component is a , and whose other components are zero. Let $x \in W_P(A)$ be a Witt vector with only finitely many nonzero components x_n . Then it is clear from Proposition 4.5 that

$$x = \sum_{n \in P} V_n[x_n].$$

With topological considerations, the following stronger statement holds:

Proposition 4.8. Let P be a divisor-stable set and let A be a ring. Let $x \in W_P(A)$. Then

$$x = \sum_{n \in P} V_n[x_n] = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in P(N)} V_n[x_n].$$

The proof is immediate. See also the treatment in [Haz78].

5 The Frobenius and Verschiebung Maps

Let R be a finite unramified extension of \mathbf{Z}_p , with residue field $K = \mathbf{F}_{p^n}$ and Teichmüller representatives $\tau : K \rightarrow R$. It is a standard fact from the theory of local fields that the quotient map $R \rightarrow K$ induces an isomorphism from the automorphism group of R over \mathbf{Z}_p to $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{F}_p) = \{1, \text{Frob}, \text{Frob}^2, \dots, \text{Frob}^{n-1}\}$, where $\text{Frob}(x) = x^p$ is the Frobenius map. In other words, there is a canonical lift F_p of Frob to $R = W_p(K)$, which one can check is given by

$$F_p \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n) p^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_n^p) p^n. \quad (5.1)$$

Whereas it is surprising that one can define a p -Witt ring $W_p(A)$ for a ring A of arbitrary characteristic, it is perhaps more surprising that $W_p(A)$ always carries a canonical lift F_p of the Frobenius map on $W_p(A)/pW_p(A)$. In fact, the big Witt ring $W(A)$ has a commuting family of Frobenius maps F_n for any natural number n . These maps, along with their cousins the Verschiebung maps, are very important pieces of structure of the Witt rings, so we devote an entire section to them.

The following will be very useful when comparing homomorphisms from Witt rings to abelian groups. Recall that we may consider W_P as a functor $\mathbf{Alg}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ for any ring K ; this added flexibility will be useful in the proof of Theorem 5.7.

Definition 5.1. For a divisor-stable set P , let $W_P^+ : \mathbf{Alg}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ denote the functor that assigns to each ring A the additive group underlying $W_P(A)$.

Lemma 5.2. Let K be a ring, and let $G : \mathbf{Alg}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ be a covariant abelian-group valued functor on \mathbf{Alg}_K . We assume that G is representable, in the sense that there is a K -algebra R such that $G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(R, \cdot)$ as set-valued functors. Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let $u, v : W_P^+ \rightarrow G$ be two natural transformations. Let $A_0 = K[x]$ and let $x_0 = [x] \in W_P(A_0)$ (cf. Definition 4.6). If $u_{A_0}(x_0) = v_{A_0}(x_0)$, then $u = v$.

Proof.

Replacing u with $u - v$, we must show that if $u_{A_0}(x_0) = 0$ then $u = 0$. Precomposing u with the natural quotient $W \rightarrow W_P$, we may assume that $P = \mathbf{N}$; hence we will consider u as a natural transformation $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, such that $u_{A_0}(1 - xt) = 0$. By universality, we have that $u_A(1 - at) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_K$ and all $a \in A$.

First we will show by induction on the degree of $f \in 1 + tA[t]$ that $u_A(f) = 0$. Let $f \in 1 + tA[t]$ have degree n , and let $g(t) = t^n f(1/t)$, so g is monic of degree n . If g has a root $a \in A$, then since g is monic, we can write $g(t) = (t - a)g_1(t)$, where g_1 is monic and $\deg(g_1) < n$. Hence $f(t) = t^n g(1/t) = (1 - at)f_1(t)$, where $f_1 \in 1 + tA[t]$ and $\deg(f_1) < n$. Since u_A is a homomorphism, by induction we have $u_A(f) = 0$.

Now suppose that g does not have a root in A . Let $A' = A[X]/(g(X))$. Then $A \hookrightarrow A'$, and the residue a of X in A' is a root of $g \in A'[t]$. By the above argument, $u_{A'}(f) = 0$, so since $G(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(R, A)$ injects into $G(A') = \mathrm{Hom}_K(R, A')$, we must have $u_A(f) = 0$.

The above proves that for any ring A and any $x \in W(A)$ such that $x_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, we have $u_A(x) = 0$; indeed, $f_x(t)$ is a polynomial (of finite degree).

Now let $A = K[X_1, X_2, \dots]$, and let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots) \in W(A)$. By a universality argument, it suffices to show that $u_A(X) = 0$. Let $\varphi = u_A(X) \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(R, A)$, and let $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(R, A)$ correspond to the zero element of the abelian group $G(A)$. Let $x \in R$, so $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ only involve finitely many X_i . Let $A_n = A[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \subset A$, and suppose that $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in A_n$. Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(A) & \xrightarrow{u_A} & G(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W(A_n) & \xrightarrow{u_{A_n}} & G(A_n) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the homomorphisms $\pi_n : A \rightarrow A_n$ given by setting $X_i = 0$ for $i > n$. Then $W(\pi_n)(X)$ is a Witt vector with finitely many nonzero components, so $u_{A_n}(W(\pi_n)(X)) = 0$. By commutivity of the square, we have

$$\pi_n \circ \psi = G(\pi_n)(\psi) = 0 = u_{A_n}(W(\pi_n)(X)) = G(\pi_n)(u_A(X)) = \pi_n \circ \varphi,$$

so $\pi_n(\varphi(x)) = \pi_n(\psi(x))$. But $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in A_n \subset A$, so

$$\varphi(x) = \pi_n(\varphi(x)) = \pi_n(\psi(x)) = \psi(x).$$

As x was arbitrary, this proves that $\varphi = \psi$, so $u_A(X) = 0$. ■

Example 5.3. We will primarily apply Lemma 5.2 when $G = W_P^+$, which is to say, $R = K[\{X_n : n \in P\}]$; cf. Remark 2.9.

Remark 5.4. The preceding lemma is stated without proof in [Car67]. Cartier claims it is true for any functor $G : \mathbf{Alg}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ taking injections to injections, a claim that I cannot prove.

Now we can move on to defining the Frobenius and Verschiebung maps. As the Verschiebung is easier to write down, we start with it.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and let P be a divisor-stable set. For any ring A define $V_n : W_P^+(A) \rightarrow W_P^+(A)$ by $V_n((x_m)_{m \in P}) = (y_m)_{m \in P}$, where*

$$y_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \nmid m \\ x_{m/n} & \text{if } n \mid m. \end{cases}$$

Then V_n is a continuous homomorphism of additive topological groups, and in fact defines a natural transformation $W_P^+ \rightarrow W_P^+$, having the following properties:

1.

$$w_m(V_n(x)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \nmid m \\ n \cdot w_{m/n}(x) & \text{if } n \mid m \end{cases}$$

2. *If $P = \mathbf{N}$, so $W_P(A) = W(A) \cong \Lambda(A)$, then $V_n : \Lambda(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ is given by $V_n(f(t)) = f(t^n)$.*

Proof.

Clearly V_n is a natural transformation. It is obvious from the definition that when $P = \mathbf{N}$ we have $V_n(f(t)) = f(t^n)$. Hence V_n is a homomorphism of additive groups when $P = \mathbf{N}$, as $(fg)(t^n) = f(t^n)g(t^n)$. For arbitrary P the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(A) & \xrightarrow{V_n} & W(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_P(A) & \xrightarrow{V_n} & W_P(A) \end{array}$$

commutes, so $V_n : W_P^+(A) \rightarrow W_P^+(A)$ is a homomorphism of additive groups. From the above it is clear that $V_n : W_P(A) \rightarrow W_P(A)$ is the inverse limit of the maps $V_n : W_{P(m)}(A) \rightarrow W_{P(m)}(A)$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$, so V_n is continuous.

It remains to calculate $w_m(V_n(x))$. Let $x \in W_P(A)$ and $y = V_n(x)$. If $n \nmid m$ then

$$w_m(V_n(x)) = \sum_{d|m} dy_d^{m/d} = 0$$

since $n \nmid d$ when $d \mid m$. If $m = m'n$ then

$$w_m(V_n(x)) = \sum_{d|m} dy_d^{m/d} = \sum_{d|m'} (nd) y_{nd}^{m/(nd)} = n \sum_{d|m'} dx_d^{m'/d} = nw_{m'}(x).$$

Note that, for $x \in A$, the above definition of $V_n[x]$ coincides with the provisional one given in Section 4. ■

Example 5.6. When $P = P_p = \{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$ we have

$$V_p(x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots)$$

and

$$w_*(V_p(x)) = (0, pw_1(x), pw_p(x), pw_{p^2}(x), \dots).$$

Theorem 5.7. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and let P be a divisor-stable set such that $n \cdot P = \{np : p \in P\} \subset P$. There is a unique natural transformation of ring-valued functors $F_n : W_P \rightarrow W_P$ such that for every ring A and every $x \in W_P(A)$ and $m \in P$, we have*

$$w_m(F_n(x)) = w_{mn}(x).$$

The map F_n is continuous. When $P = \mathbf{N}$, so $W_P(A) = W(A) \cong \Lambda(A)$, the map $F_n : \Lambda(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ is defined by

$$F_n(f)(t^n) = (N(f))(t),$$

where $N = N_{A[[t]]/A[[t^n]]} : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t^n]]$ is the norm map.

I believe it was Cartier in [Car67] who first realized that the Frobenius and the norm map are related.

Proof.

First suppose that $P = \mathbf{N}$. Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. The map

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (x_n, x_{2n}, x_{3n}, \dots) : A^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow A^{\mathbf{N}}$$

is a ring homomorphism, so since $w_* : W(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\mathbf{N}}$ is an isomorphism, it is clear that there is a unique ring homomorphism $F_n : W(A) \rightarrow W(A)$ satisfying the desired property. We claim that, on $\Lambda(A)$, we have $F_n(f)(t^n) = N(f)(t)$. We use Lemma 5.2, as applied to $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, to reduce the claim to proving that $F_n(f)(t^n) = N(f)(t)$ when $f = 1 - at$ — note that F_n and N are both natural transformations of abelian-group valued functors $\Lambda(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A)$. By definition we have $w_{mn}(f) = a^{mn}$, so $w_m(F_n(f)) = a^{mn}$. As $w_m(1 - a^n t) = a^{mn}$, we must have $F_n(f)(t) = 1 - a^n t$, so $F_n(f)(t^n) = 1 - a^n t^n$. To calculate $N(f)(t)$, we choose the basis $1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{n-1}$ of $A[[t]]$ over $A[[t^n]]$. With respect to this basis, the matrix for multiplication by $1 - at$ is

$$\mu_{1-at} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & & \\ -at^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Expanding about the first column, we calculate

$$N(1 - at) = \det(\mu_{1-at}) = 1 + (-1)^n at^n \cdot (-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} = 1 - a^n t^n,$$

which proves the claim.

At this point we can *define* the natural transformation $F_n : W \rightarrow W$ on $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ by the formula $F_n(f)(t^n) = N(f)(t)$, as $N(f)(t)$ is defined for any ring A . It remains to show that $F_n : W(A) \rightarrow W(A)$ is a ring homomorphism for all A , and that F_n is unique. Showing that F_n is a ring homomorphism is a standard universal argument: one just shows that the polynomials defining F_n on the ring $\mathbf{Z}[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ commute with the polynomials for addition and multiplication, by reducing to the case of a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. As usual, unicity follows by functoriality: clearly F_n is determined for any ring A embedding into a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, and hence for any quotient of such a ring, which is to say, any ring.

We must show that $F_n : W_P \rightarrow W_P$ exists and is unique for general P satisfying $nP \subset P$. Directly solving the polynomial equations $w_{mn}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = w_m(y_1, y_2, \dots) = w_m(F_n(x))$ shows that y_m only depends on the x_i for $i \mid nm$. Therefore, the map $F_n : W(A) \rightarrow W(A)$ descends to the quotient $W_P(A)$. Unicity follows from the same universality argument.

It remains to show that F_n is continuous. As $W_P(A)$ is a first-countable topological space, it suffices to show that F_n is sequentially continuous. Let $x^{(m)} \in W_P(A)$ converge to a point

$x \in W_P(A)$. This means that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_i^{(m)} = x_i$ for $i \leq N$ and $m \gg 0$. Since each Witt component of $F_n(y)$ only depends on finitely many components of $y \in W_P(A)$, it is clear that $F_n(x^{(m)}) \rightarrow F_n(x)$. ■

Remark 5.8. The proofs of Theorems 5.5 and 5.7 show that F_n and V_n commute with the quotient maps $W_P \rightarrow W_{P'}$ for appropriate $P' \subset P$. Lemma 5.2 implies that F_n and V_n are determined by the equations

$$F_n(1 - at) = 1 - a^n t \quad \text{and} \quad V_n(1 - at) = 1 - at^n$$

on $\Lambda(A)$ (cf. [Car67]).

As formal consequences of Theorems 5.5 and 5.7, we have the following Propositions:

Proposition 5.9. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let P be a divisor-stable set. We have*

$$V_n \circ V_m = V_{nm} = V_m \circ V_n.$$

When $nP \subset P$ and $mP \subset P$ we also have

$$F_n \circ F_m = F_{nm} = F_m \circ F_n.$$

Proof.

The statement about the Verschiebung is obvious from the definition. One way to prove the claim about the Frobenius is to argue as in the proof of Theorem 5.7: on $\Lambda(A)$, we have

$$F_n \circ F_m(1 - at) = F_n(1 - a^m t) = 1 - a^{mn} t = F_{mn}(1 - at).$$
■

Proposition 5.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let P be a divisor-stable set with $nP \subset P$. Let A be any ring, and let $x, y \in W_P(A)$.*

1. $F_n \circ V_n(x) = n \cdot x$.
2. $V_n(F_n(x)y) = xV_n(y)$ (i.e., V_n is “ F_n^{-1} -linear”).
3. If m is prime to n then $V_m \circ F_n = F_n \circ V_m$.
4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(V_n x)^m = n^{m-1} V_n(x^m)$.

Proof.

As all of the above are formal identities of polynomials, a standard universality argument allows us to assume that A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. In this case, $w_* : W_P(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^P$ is an isomorphism, so we need only check the identities on ghost components.

1. For $m \in P$ we have

$$w_m(F_n(V_n(x))) = w_{mn}(V_n(x)) = nw_m(x).$$

Hence $w_* F_n V_n(x) = w_*(nx)$, so $F_n V_n(x) = nx$.

2. For $m \in P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{nm}(V_n(F_n(x)y)) &= nw_m(F_n(x)y) = nw_{nm}(x)w_m(y) \\ &= w_{nm}(x)w_{nm}(V_n y) = w_{nm}(xV_n y) \end{aligned}$$

and when $m \in P$ but $n \nmid m$,

$$w_m(V_n(F_n(x)y)) = 0 = w_m(x)w_m(V_n y) = w_m(xV_n y).$$

Thus $w_*(V_n(F_n(x)y)) = w_*(xV_n y)$.

3. By Proposition 5.9, we may assume that n and m are prime. For $r \in P$ and $x \in A$ we have

$$w_r(V_m(F_n(x))) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \nmid r \\ mw_{r/m}(F_n(x)) = mw_{nr/m}(x) & \text{if } m \mid r. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$w_r(F_n(V_m(x))) = w_{rn}(V_m(x)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \nmid rn \\ mw_{rn/m}(x) & \text{if } m \mid rn. \end{cases}$$

Since m and n are distinct primes, $m \mid rn$ if and only if $m \mid r$, so the assertion follows.

4. Using (2), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (V_n x)^m &= (V_n x)^{m-1} \cdot (V_n x) = V_n(F_n((V_n x)^{m-1}) \cdot x) \\ &= V_n(F_n(V_n x)^{m-1} \cdot x) = V_n((nx)^{m-1} \cdot x) = n^{m-1} V_n(x^m). \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 5.11. It is *not* in general true that $V_n \circ F_n = n$. However, it is clear from Proposition 5.12 that when $n = p$ is prime and $pA = 0$, then F_p and V_p are commuting endomorphisms of $W_P(A)$, so in this case we do have $V_p \circ F_p = F_p \circ V_p = p$.

The first part of Proposition 5.10 is evidence that for a prime number p , F_p and V_p deserve to be called Frobenius and Verschiebung maps, respectively. The following Proposition demonstrates that fact beyond a doubt.

Proposition 5.12. *Let p be a prime, and let P be a divisor-stable set with $pP \subset P$. Let A be a ring, and let $x \in W_P(A)$ and $y = F_p(x)$. Then*

1. $y_m \equiv x_m^p \pmod{pA}$ for $m \in P$, and
2. $F_p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{pW_P(A)}$.

Proof.

We immediately reduce both assertions to the case when $P = \mathbb{N}$. For the first claim, we replace A with A/pA ; we must show that when $pA = 0$, we have $F_p(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1^p, x_2^p, \dots)$. Let $A = \mathbf{F}_p[X_1, X_2, \dots]$, where the X_i are indeterminates; by the usual arguments, it suffices to show that $F_p(X_1, X_2, \dots) = (X_1^p, X_2^p, \dots)$. Replacing $W(A)$ with $\Lambda(A)$, we want to show that $F_p(\prod(1 - X_n t^n)) = \prod(1 - X_n^p t^n)$. Let $f = \prod(1 - X_n t^n)$. As $F_p(f)(t^p) = N(f)(t)$, where N is the norm from $A[[t]]$ to $A[[t^p]]$, it suffices to show that $N(f) = \prod(1 - X_n^p t^{pn}) = f^p$. Since $f^p \in A[[t^p]]$, we have

$$f^{p^2} = N(f^p) = N(f)^p.$$

But $A[[t]]$ is a ring of characteristic p with no nilpotents, so the p th power map is injective, and hence $N(f) = f^p$, as desired.

The second assertion is more delicate. Let $a \in A$, and recall that $[a] = (a, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ denotes the Teichmüller representative of a . By Remark 5.8 and Proposition 4.7, we see that $F_p[a] = [a^p] = [a]^p$. Now let $n > 1$. If $p \mid n$, by Propositions 5.9 and 5.10 we have $(V_n[a])^p = n^{p-1} V_n([a]^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and

$$F_p V_n[a] = F_p V_p V_{n/p}[a] = p V_{n/p}[a] \equiv 0 \equiv (V_n[a])^p \pmod{p}.$$

If $p \nmid n$,

$$F_p V_n[a] = V_n F_p[a] = V_n[a^p] = V_n([a]^p),$$

and by Fermat's little theorem,

$$(V_n[a])^p = n^{p-1} V_n([a]^p) \equiv V_n([a]^p) = F_p V_n[a] \pmod{p}.$$

This shows that $F_p V_n[a] \equiv (V_n[a])^p \pmod{p}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in A$, i.e., $F_p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ for all Witt vectors $x \in W(A)$ with only one nonzero component.

Let $x \in W(A)$ be an arbitrary Witt vector, and let $x^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m V_i[x_i]$, so $x^{(m)}$ has finitely many nonzero components, and $x^{(m)} \rightarrow x$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By the above, we have

$$F_p(x^{(m)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m F_p V_i[x_i] \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i[x_i])^p \equiv \left(\sum_{i=1}^m V_i[x_i] \right)^p = (x^{(m)})^p \pmod{p}.$$

By continuity of F_p and of the ring laws,

$$F_p(x^{(m)}) - (x^{(m)})^p \longrightarrow F_p(x) - x^p \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume that A is a torsionfree \mathbf{Z} -module; we may do this since any ring is a quotient of such a ring. Since w_* is an injection, $W(A)$ is also a torsionfree \mathbf{Z} -module, so there is a unique element $y^{(m)} \in W(A)$ such that $py^{(m)} = F_p(x^{(m)}) - (x^{(m)})^p$. Let $W_{(n)}(A) = W_{\mathbf{N}(n)}(A)$ be the ring of length- n Witt vectors with coefficients in A , and let $\pi_n : W(A) \rightarrow W_{(n)}(A)$ be the projection. Again since w_* is injective, $W_{(n)}(A)$ is torsionfree as a \mathbf{Z} -module. For all n we have

$$p\pi_n(y^{(m)}) = \pi_n(F_p(x^{(m)}) - (x^{(m)})^p),$$

which is constant for $m \gg 0$. Since $p : W_{(n)}(A) \rightarrow W_{(n)}(A)$ is an injection, this shows that $\pi_n(y^{(m)})$ is constant for $m \gg 0$, so the $y^{(m)}$ form a Cauchy sequence, and hence converge to a $y \in W(A)$ such that $py = F_p(x) - x^p$. ■

Remark 5.13. Neither conclusion of Proposition 5.12 is true in general if we replace p with an integer n that is not a prime. For example, the first Witt component of $F_6(x)$ is

$$w_6(x) = x_1^6 + 3x_3^2 + 2x_2^3 + 6x_6 \not\equiv x_1^6 \pmod{6}.$$

This implies that $F_6(x) \not\equiv x^6 \pmod{6}$, since otherwise,

$$w_6(x) = w_1(F_6(x)) \equiv w_1(x)^6 = x_1^6 \pmod{6},$$

which we just showed is false. Similarly, the first component of $F_4(x)$ is not equivalent to $x^4 \pmod{4}$, so Proposition 5.12 is even false for nontrivial prime powers.

Note that when K is a ring of characteristic p , Proposition 5.12 shows that $F_p : W_p(K) \rightarrow W_p(K)$ is given by $F_p((x_n)_{n \in P}) = (x_n^p)_{n \in P}$, so when K is perfect, F_p is an automorphism. Comparing with (5.1), we see that when R is a strict p -ring with residue field K , then $F_p : W_p(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} W_p(K)$ agrees with the natural lift of Frobenius on R under the isomorphism of Theorem 2.13.

We can also re-prove Theorem 2.13 quite easily using the Frobenius and Verschiebung maps. In addition, we obtain that the isomorphism of Theorem 2.13 is a homeomorphism with respect to the standard topology on $W_p(K)$ and the p -adic topology on R .

Theorem 5.14 (re-proof of Theorem 2.13). *Let K be a perfect ring of characteristic p , and let R be the strict p -ring with residue ring K , with Teichmüller representatives $\tau : K \rightarrow R$. Then the map $f : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ given by*

$$f(x_1, x_p, x_{p^2}, \dots) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(x_{p^n}^{1/p^n}) p^n$$

is an isomorphism of topological rings.

Proof.

First we will prove that $W_p(K)$ is a strict p -ring with residue ring K . For $x \in W_p(K)$ we have

$$px = F_p V_p(x) = F_p(0, x_{p^0}, x_{p^1}, x_{p^2}, \dots) = (0, x_{p^0}^p, x_{p^1}^p, x_{p^2}^p, \dots). \quad (5.2)$$

Since K is perfect, $pW_p(K) = V_p(W_p(K)) = \ker(w_1)$. Hence

$$W_p(K)/pW_p(K) \cong W_p(K)/\ker(w_1) \cong K.$$

At this point it is also easy to see that the standard topology and the p -adic topology on $W_p(K)$ coincide, so that $W_p(K)$ is p -adically Hausdorff and complete. It is clear by (5.2) that p is not a zero-divisor in $W_p(K)$. Hence $W_p(K)$ is a strict p -ring with residue ring K , and Teichmüller representatives $t : K \rightarrow W_p(K)$ given by $t(a) = [a]$, as in Definition 4.6.

By Theorem 1.2, the map $f : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ given by

$$f\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} t(x_{p^n}) p^n\right) = \sum \tau(x_{p^n}) p^n$$

is an isomorphism of rings. Since $t(x_{p^n}) p^n = (F_p V_p)^n [x_{p^n}] = V_{p^n}([x_{p^n}^{p^n}])$, we have that

$$(x_{p^0}, x_{p^1}, x_{p^2}, \dots) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} t(x_{p^n}^{1/p^n}) p^n$$

which completes the proof. ■

6 Almost-Universal Properties

I am not aware of any universal property that characterizes the Witt rings $W_P(A)$ for arbitrary A . However, there are some conditions under which there exist canonical maps to and from Witt rings. Zink [Zin02] attributes the maps defined in Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.3 to Cartier. A slightly more general version can be found in [Haz78].

Recall that $\wp(P)$ denotes the set of prime numbers in P .

Theorem 6.1. *Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let A be a ring such that no element of P is a zero-divisor in A . Suppose that A is equipped with ring endomorphisms $\sigma_p : A \rightarrow A$ for all $p \in \wp(P)$, such that:*

1. $\sigma_p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ for all $x \in A$, and
2. $\sigma_p \circ \sigma_q = \sigma_q \circ \sigma_p$ for all $p, q \in \wp(P)$.

Let $n \in P$, and let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ be its prime factorization. Define

$$\sigma_n = \sigma_{p_1}^{e_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{p_r}^{e_r}.$$

Then there is a unique ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow W_P(A)$ such that $w_n \circ \varphi = \sigma_n$ for all $n \in P$.

Proof.

Let $T \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be the multiplicative subset generated by P , and let $A' = T^{-1}A$, so A' contains A , and w_* defines an isomorphism $W_P(A') \xrightarrow{\sim} (A')^P$. If $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a ring endomorphism then $f(T) = T$, so f extends uniquely to a ring endomorphism $f' : A' \rightarrow A'$. In particular, the endomorphisms σ_n extend to $\sigma'_n : A' \rightarrow A'$. Define

$$\varphi' = w_*^{-1} \circ \prod_{n \in P} \sigma'_n : A' \longrightarrow (A')^P \longrightarrow W_P(A'),$$

so φ' is the unique ring homomorphism such that $w_n \circ \varphi' = \sigma'_n$ for all $n \in P$. We need only show that $\varphi'(A) \subset W_P(A)$, as if this were true then $\varphi = \varphi'|_A$ would satisfy the required properties.

Let $x \in A$, and let $\varphi'(x) = y = (y_n)_{n \in P}$. We will show by induction on n that $y_n \in A$. When $n = 1$, we have $y_1 = w_1(y) = \sigma_1(x) = x \in A$. Now let $n \in P$ be arbitrary, and let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ be the prime factorization of n . Choose a prime factor p_i of n , and set $m = n/p_i$, so by induction, $\sigma_m(y) = \sum_{d|m} dy_d^{m/d}$ with $y_d \in A$. Therefore,

$$\sigma_n(y) = \sigma_{p_i}(y) \circ \sigma_m(y) = \sigma_{p_i} \left(\sum_{d|m} dy_d^{m/d} \right) = \sum_{d|m} d\sigma_{p_i}(y_d)^{m/d}.$$

Let d divide m , and let p^s be the highest power of p dividing d , so that p^{e_i-s-1} is the highest power of p dividing m/d . Using Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\sigma_{p_i}(y_d)^{m/d} = (\sigma_{p_i}(y_d)^{p_i^{e_i-s-1}})^{m/(dp_i^{e_i-s-1})} \equiv y_d^{n/d} \pmod{p_i^{e_i-s}A},$$

and thus $d\sigma_{p_i}(y_d)^{m/d} \equiv dy_d^{n/d} \pmod{p_i^{e_i}A}$. Hence

$$\sigma_n(y) \equiv \sum_{d|m} dy_d^{n/d} \equiv \sum_{d|n, d \neq n} dy_d^{n/d} \pmod{p_i^{e_i}A}.$$

Since this is true for all i , the Chinese remainder theorem yields

$$\sigma_n(y) \equiv \sum_{d|n, d \neq n} dy_d^{n/d} \pmod{nA},$$

and therefore

$$y_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sigma_n(y) - \sum_{d|n, d \neq n} dy_d^{n/d} \right) \in A.$$

■

The statement of Corollary 6.3 is easier to comprehend with the following bit of notation, suggested by Zink [Zin02].

Notation 6.2. Let P be a divisor-stable set, and let A be a ring. For clarity, we write

$$\widehat{w}_n : W_P(W_P(A)) \rightarrow W_P(A)$$

for the Witt polynomials, \widehat{F}_n for the Frobenius on $W_P(W_P(A))$, \widehat{V}_n for the Verschiebung, etc. Elements of $W_P(W_P(A))$ will be denoted with a hat as well, and for $\widehat{x} \in W_P(W_P(A))$, we write $x = (\widehat{x}_n)_{n \in P} = (\widehat{x}_{n,m})_{n,m \in P}$, where $(\widehat{x}_{n,m})_{m \in P}$ are the Witt components of \widehat{x}_n .

Corollary 6.3. Let P be a divisor-stable set such that $nP \subset P$ for all $n \in P$. There is a unique natural transformation of ring-valued functors on \mathbf{Alg}_Z

$$\Delta : W_P \longrightarrow W_P \circ W_P \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \widehat{w}_n \circ \Delta = F_n \quad \text{for all } n \in P.$$

We also have the identity

$$W_P(w_n) \circ \Delta = F_n \quad \text{for all } n \in P.$$

Proof.

For any ring A , we have a commuting family $\{F_n : W_P(A) \rightarrow W_P(A) \mid n \in P\}$ of ring endomorphisms of $W_P(A)$. By Proposition 5.12, for $p \in \wp(P)$, F_p lifts the Frobenius map on $W_P(A)/pW_P(A)$. Let A be a ring that is torsionfree as a \mathbf{Z} -module. Since $w_* : W_P(A) \rightarrow A^P$ is injective, we have in particular that no element of P is a zero-divisor in $W_P(A)$. Hence by Theorem 6.1, there is a unique map $\Delta_A : W_P(A) \rightarrow W_P(W_P(A))$ such that $\hat{w}_n \circ \Delta = F_n$ for all $n \in P$. Since \hat{w}_* is an injection, it is easy to see that Δ_A is functorial in A . Hence Δ exists and is unique on rings that inject into \mathbf{Q} -algebras.

Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[\{X_n : n \in P\}]$, let $X = (X_n)_{n \in P} \in W_P(R)$, and let $\hat{Y} = (\hat{Y}_{n,m})_{n,m \in P} = \Delta_R(X)$. Then $\hat{Y}_{n,m} \in R$, i.e., $\hat{Y}_{n,m}$ is an integer polynomial in the X_n . For an arbitrary ring A and $x \in W_P(A)$, define $\Delta_A(x) = \hat{y} = (\hat{y}_{n,m})_{n,m \in P}$, where $\hat{y}_{n,m} = \hat{Y}_{n,m}(x)$. By functoriality, this recovers the above definition of Δ_A when A is a torsionfree \mathbf{Z} -module, so by the standard arguments, Δ_A is a ring homomorphism for arbitrary A . As Δ_A is certainly functorial in A , we see that Δ exists and is unique.

The identity $W_P(w_n) \circ \Delta = F_n$ is a relation of integer polynomials, so by a universality argument, it suffices to check on ghost components. Let A be a ring, let $x \in W_P(A)$, and let $\hat{y} = \Delta(x)$. Since $w_m(F_n(x)) = w_{mn}(x)$, we want to show that for all $m \in P$, $w_m(W_P(w_n)(\hat{y})) = w_{mn}(x)$. Indeed, $W_P(w_n)(\hat{y}) = (w_n(\hat{y}_m))_{m \in P}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} w_m(W_P(w_n)(\hat{y})) &= \sum_{d|m} d w_n(\hat{y}_d)^{m/d} = w_n \left(\sum_{d|m} d \hat{y}_d^{m/d} \right) \\ &= w_n(\hat{w}_m(\hat{y})) = w_n(F_m x) = w_{nm}(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 6.4. 1. In proof of Corollary 6.3, we calculated the useful relation

$$w_m \circ W_P(w_n) = w_n \circ \hat{w}_m.$$

2. Let P be as in Corollary 6.3, and let P' be a divisor-stable set containing P . Then by the same proof as Corollary 6.3, there is a unique natural transformation $\Delta : W_{P'} \rightarrow W_P \circ W_{P'}$ such that $\hat{w}_n \circ \Delta = F_n$ for $n \in P$.

There is also a bona fide universal property of the Witt rings $W_p(K)$, where p is prime and K is a perfect ring of characteristic p ; however, I prefer to think of it as an “almost-universal property” because it only works in such limited circumstances — really it is a property of strict p -rings. The following definition can be found in [Ser79, §II.5].

Definition 6.5. A p -ring is a ring R that is Hausdorff and complete for the topology defined by a decreasing sequence $\mathfrak{a}_1 \supset \mathfrak{a}_2 \supset \cdots$ of ideals such that $\mathfrak{a}_n \cdot \mathfrak{a}_m \subset \mathfrak{a}_{n+m}$, and such that the residue ring $L = R/\mathfrak{a}_1$ is perfect of characteristic p .

Theorem 6.6 is a characterization of $W_p(K)$ as the universally repelling object in the category of p -rings whose residue ring is a K -algebra.

Theorem 6.6. Let p be prime, and let K be a perfect ring of characteristic p . Let R be a p -ring with residue ring L , and let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be a ring homomorphism. Then there is a unique continuous homomorphism $F : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ making the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_p(K) & \xrightarrow{F} & R \\ \downarrow w_1 & & \downarrow \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

commute.

Proof.

By [Ser79], Chapter II, Proposition 8, there is a unique multiplicative system of representatives $\tau : L \rightarrow R$. Recall from Theorem 5.14 and its proof that every element $x \in W_p(K)$ can be written

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_{p^n}^{1/p^n}] p^n.$$

Since power series in p converge in R , we may define $F : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ by

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(f(x_{p^n}^{1/p^n})) p^n.$$

It is clear that the square (6.1) commutes. The proof that F is a ring homomorphism carries over from the proof of Theorem 2.13 with little modification, replacing equivalences modulo p^n with equivalences modulo \mathfrak{a}_n . (Alternatively, cf. [Ser79], Chapter II, Proposition 9). Since the standard topology on $W_p(K)$ coincides with the p -adic topology, and since $p \in \mathfrak{a}_1$, we see that F is continuous.

Uniqueness is proved as follows. Let $F' : W_p(K) \rightarrow R$ be another homomorphism satisfying the conclusions of the theorem. By Proposition 8 in [Ser79], an element $y \in R$ is in $\tau(L)$ if and only if y is a p^n th power for all n . Since K is perfect, $[a] \in W_p(K)$ is a p^n th power for all n , so $F'([a]) = \tau(f(a))$. Hence $p^n F'([a]) = p^n \tau(f(a))$ for all n , so by continuity, $F = F'$. ■

7 The Artin-Hasse Exponential

For any prime p and any ring A there is a natural quotient map $W(A) \rightarrow W_p(A)$. It is natural to ask if that map has a section, i.e., if there is a natural inclusion of $W_p(A)$ into $W(A)$. It is too much to expect that such a section would be a ring homomorphism, but it turns out to be true that for $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras A , there is a natural homomorphism of abelian groups $\iota_p : W_p(A) \hookrightarrow W(A)$ splitting $W(A) \twoheadrightarrow W_p(A)$. Zink [Zin02] attributes the map ι_p to Cartier. We will define ι_p , and show how it is a kind of p -adic analogue of an exponential map, which is interesting since it is difficult to make sense of the ordinary exponential series $\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n/n!$ over a ring in which there exist nonzero integers that are zero divisors. Cartier uses ι_p in his theory of modules classifying p -divisible groups, in which certain modules over the rings $W_p(A)$ are a kind of “linearization at the origin” of a p -divisible group (like a tangent space, or a Jet space); in this philosophy, ι_p is in a sense the analogue of the exponential map $\text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow G$, where G is a Lie group. Cartier theory, as well as a more thorough treatment of the Artin-Hasse exponential, can be found in [Haz78].

Cartier’s construction rests on the following amazing power series:

Definition 7.1. The Artin-Hasse exponential power series is defined by

$$\text{hexp}(x) = \exp \left(x + \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{x^{p^2}}{p^2} + \frac{x^{p^3}}{p^3} + \cdots \right) \in \mathbf{Q}[[x]].$$

Theorem 7.2. The Artin-Hasse exponential has p -integral coefficients, i.e.,

$$\text{hexp}(x) \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[[x]],$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring \mathbf{Z} localized at the prime ideal $(p) = p\mathbf{Z}$.

The above theorem can be proved in many ways, including:

1. Dwork's criterion states that a power series $f \in 1 + x\mathbf{Q}[[x]]$ has p -integral coefficients if and only if $f(x^p)/f(x)^p \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$ and $f(x^p)/f(x)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
2. The coefficient of x^n in $n! \operatorname{hexp}(x)$ is the number of elements of the symmetric group on n letters whose order is a power of p ; the result then follows from a general (rather difficult) group theory fact.

See [Rob00] for details. We will prove Theorem 7.2 in a different way, suggested by Wikipedia.

Lemma 7.3. *We have the following identity in $\mathbf{Q}[[x]]$:*

$$\operatorname{hexp}(x) = \prod_{\substack{n \in \mathbf{N} \\ p \nmid n}} (1 - x^n)^{-\mu(n)/n},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

It is worth clarifying that for $f \in 1 + x\mathbf{Q}[[x]]$, we define a fractional power of f as

$$f^{a/b} = \exp(a/b \cdot \log(f)).$$

Proof.

Taking the logarithm of both sides, we want to show that

$$\frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{x^{p^2}}{p^2} + \frac{x^{p^3}}{p^3} + \cdots = - \sum_{p \nmid n} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log(1 - x^n) = \sum_{p \nmid n} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{mn}}{m}.$$

The x^r -coefficient of the right-hand side of the above equation is

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{n|r \\ p \nmid n}} \mu(n).$$

It is clear from the above equation that the x^{p^n} coefficient is $1/p^n$. Let $r = p^n s$, where $p \nmid s$. Then the x^r -coefficient is $r^{-1} \sum_{n|s} \mu(n)$, which we claim is equal to zero. As $\mu(n) = 0$ when n is not squarefree, we may assume $s = p_1 \cdots p_m$, where the p_i are distinct primes. Then

$$\sum_{n|s} \mu(n) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}} (-1)^{\#I},$$

where the sum is taken over all distinct subsets I of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. As there are $\binom{m}{i}$ such subsets of size i , we have

$$\sum_{n|s} \mu(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (-1)^i = (1 - 1)^m = 0.$$

■

Lemma 7.4. *Let p be prime, let $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$ have p -integral coefficients, and let $g(x) \in 1 + x\mathbf{Q}[[x]]$ be such that $g(x)^n = f(x)$ for an integer n not divisible by p . Then g has p -integral coefficients as well, i.e., $g(x) \in 1 + x\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$.*

Proof.

Write

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m x^m \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} b_m x^m,$$

and let $a_0 = b_0 = 1$. We will show inductively that $b_m \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. Clearly $b_1 = a_1/n \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. Suppose that $b_1, \dots, b_{m-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. Comparing the x^m -coefficients of the equality $f(x) = g(x)^n$, we have

$$a_m = \sum_{\substack{m_1 + \dots + m_n = m \\ 0 \leq m_i}} b_{m_1} \cdots b_{m_n} = nb_m + \sum_{\substack{m_1 + \dots + m_n = m \\ 0 \leq m_i < m}} b_{m_1} \cdots b_{m_n},$$

and therefore by induction,

$$b_m = \frac{a_m}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{m_1 + \dots + m_n = m \\ 0 \leq m_i < m}} b_{m_1} \cdots b_{m_n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}.$$

■

Using Lemma 7.3 and applying Lemma 7.4 to $f(x) = 1 - x^n$, we obtain the proof of Theorem 7.2.

Our goal is to find a natural section $\iota_p : W_p^+(A) \hookrightarrow W^+(A)$ of the quotient $W^+(A) \rightarrow W_p^+(A)$ for $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras A , and to show that ι_p resembles an exponential map. The construction follows the general strategy for Witt vectors: namely, we will make a universal construction for \mathbf{Q} -algebras A (where the logarithm and exponential do make sense), then show that the polynomials in our construction have p -integral coefficients, so that it makes sense for $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras as well.

The first thing one might try is to define $\iota_p(x)$ to be the Witt vector y such that $y_{p^r} = x_{p^r}$ and $y_n = 0$ when n is not a p th power. Whereas ι_p is certainly a section of $W(A) \rightarrow W_p(A)$, it is unfortunately not a homomorphism of additive groups. What one really wants is $w_n(\iota_p(x)) = 0$ for n not a p th power, and $w_{p^r}(\iota_p(x)) = w_{p^r}(x)$.

Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. Consider the isomorphism $D : \Lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} tA[[t]]$ of Section 3. Define $\varepsilon_p : tA[[t]] \rightarrow tA[[t]]$ by

$$\varepsilon_p \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n \right) = \sum_{r \geq 0} a_{p^r} t^{p^r};$$

i.e., ε_p forgets the non- p -power coefficients of its input. Then ε_p is an endomorphism of abelian groups, so there is a unique endomorphism of $\Lambda(A)$, which we also denote by ε_p , such that $D \circ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p \circ D$. Note that $\varepsilon_p \circ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, and let $f = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x_n t^n) \in \Lambda(A)$. Then*

$$\varepsilon_p(f) = \prod_{r \geq 1} \text{hexp}(x_{p^r} t^{p^r}).$$

Proof.

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} D \left(\prod_{r \geq 1} \text{hexp}(x_{p^r} t^{p^r}) \right) &= \sum_{r \geq 1} -t \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x_{p^r}^{p^n} t^{p^{r+n}}}{p^n} = - \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} p^r x_{p^r}^{p^n} t^{p^{r+n}} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} t^{p^m} \sum_{r+n=m} p^r x_{p^r}^{p^n} = \sum_{m \geq 1} w_{p^m}(x) t^{p^m} = \varepsilon_p(D(f)). \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma follows from the definition of ε_p .

■

Using the canonical identification $W(A) \cong \Lambda(A)$, we may think of ε_p as an additive endomorphism of $W(A)$. Armed with Theorem 7.2 and Lemma 7.5, we are in a position to prove:

Theorem 7.6. *Let p be a prime, let A be a $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra, and let $\pi : W(A) \rightarrow W_p(A)$ be the projection. Define $\varepsilon_p : W^+(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A) \cong W^+(A)$ by*

$$\varepsilon_p(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \prod_{r \geq 1} \text{hexp}(x_{p^r} t^{p^r}).$$

Then ε_p is an endomorphism of abelian groups, functorial in A , satisfying:

1. $\varepsilon_p \circ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p$.
2. If $\varepsilon_p(x) = y$ then $x_{p^r} = y_{p^r}$ for all $r \geq 0$.
3. $\pi(\varepsilon_p(x)) = \pi(x)$ for all $x \in W(A)$.
4. $w_{p^r}(\varepsilon_p(x)) = w_{p^r}(x)$.

Furthermore, the restriction of the canonical projection $W(A) \rightarrow W_p(A)$ induces an isomorphism $\varepsilon_p W^+(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} W_p^+(A)$ of abelian groups. Therefore, the group homomorphism

$$\iota_p : W_p^+(A) \cong \varepsilon_p W^+(A) \hookrightarrow W^+(A)$$

is a section of the projection $W^+(A) \rightarrow W_p^+(A)$, satisfying $w_{p^r}(\iota_p(x)) = w_{p^r}(x)$.

Proof.

By Theorem 7.2, ε_p is well-defined, and by Lemma 7.5 and the standard universality arguments, we see that ε_p is an additive homomorphism such that $\varepsilon_p \circ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p$. Next we claim that, if $y = \varepsilon_p(x)$, then $y_{p^r} = x_{p^r}$ for $r \geq 0$. It suffices to check the claim when A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. By definition, $w_{p^r}(y) = w_{p^r}(x)$ for all r , so since the y_{p^n} are determined by the $w_{p^r}(y) = w_{p^r}(x)$, we must have $y_{p^n} = x_{p^n}$, as claimed. Thus $\pi(\varepsilon_p(x)) = \pi(x)$, so it is obvious that $\varepsilon_p W^+(A)$ surjects onto $W_p^+(A)$. Injectivity is clear because $\varepsilon_p(x)$ only depends on $\{x_{p^r}\}_{r \geq 0}$. ■

What Theorem 7.6 says is that, given $(x_{p^r})_{r \geq 0} \in W_p(A)$, there is a canonical choice of the coordinates x_n where n is not a power of p , which respects the addition law. Explicitly, if we set $y_{p^r} = x_{p^r}$ and $y_n = 0$ when n is not a power of p , then $\iota_p(x) = \varepsilon_p(y)$.

Now we will try to indicate in what sense ι_p is a p -adic analogue of an exponential map. Let \mathcal{N} be a ring without unit, such that every element of \mathcal{N} is nilpotent. Suppose that \mathcal{N} has the structure of \mathbf{Q} -algebra. Then we can think of the exponential map as a group isomorphism $\exp : \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 + \mathcal{N})$, where $1 + \mathcal{N}$ is the abelian group with the law $(1 + a)(1 + b) = 1 + (a + b + ab)$. Now suppose that \mathcal{N} is a $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. The statement analogous to Lemma 3.2 says that every element of $\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{N}) := 1 + \mathcal{N}[t]$ can be written uniquely as a finite product $\prod (1 - a_n t^n)$. This gives an identification of the additive group $\widehat{W}^+(\mathcal{N})$ of finite-length Witt vectors with entries in \mathcal{N} , with the multiplicative group $\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{N})$, analogous to the identification $W^+(A) \cong \Lambda(A)$ for a ring A . The finite version of Theorem 7.6 says that $\varepsilon_p \widehat{W}^+(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{W}_p^+(\mathcal{N})$. Consider the composition

$$E_p : \widehat{W}_p^+(\mathcal{N}) \cong \varepsilon_p \widehat{W}^+(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}^+(\mathcal{N}) = 1 + t\mathcal{N}[t] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto 1} (1 + \mathcal{N}),$$

given explicitly by

$$E_p(x_{p^0}, x_{p^1}, \dots, x_{p^m}, 0, 0, \dots) = \prod_{n=0}^m \text{hexp}(x_{p^n}).$$

It is clear that E_p is a homomorphism of abelian groups, and one can show that its kernel is equal to $(\text{Id} - V_p) \widehat{W}_p^+(\mathcal{N})$. This “exponential” map is now an isomorphism

$$E_p : \widehat{W}_p^+(\mathcal{N}) / (\text{Id} - V_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 + \mathcal{N}),$$

defined for any nilpotent $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra \mathcal{N} .

The preceding discussion is a special case of an isomorphism from Zink’s theory of displays [Zin02].

References

- [Car67] Pierre Cartier, *Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt généralisés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **265** (1967), A49–A52. MR MR0218361 (36 #1448)
- [Gro60] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Le langage des schémas*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1960), no. 4, 228. MR MR0217083 (36 #177a)
- [Haz78] Michiel Hazewinkel, *Formal groups and applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 78, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978. MR MR506881 (82a:14020)
- [Lan84] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, second ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1984. MR MR783636 (86j:00003)
- [Rob00] Alain M. Robert, *A course in p -adic analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR MR1760253 (2001g:11182)
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. MR MR554237 (82e:12016)
- [Wit36] Ernst Witt, *Zyklische körper und algebren der charakteristik p vom grad p^n . struktur diskret bewerteter perfekter körper mit vollkommenem restklassenkörper der charakteristik p* , J. Reine Angew. Math. (1936), no. 176, 126–140.
- [Zin02] Thomas Zink, *The display of a formal p -divisible group*, Astérisque (2002), no. 278, 127–248, Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques, I. MR MR1922825 (2004b:14083)

Copyright 2007, Joseph Rabinoff.