

13th-14th century theories of inference

Jacob Archambault

April 30, 2022

1 Why study consequence

Through the notion of consequence, logicians aim to give precision to our everyday notion of something following from something else. Consequence is arguably the core notion studied in formal logic today, where it has remained since Tarski's groundbreaking work on the topic in the 1930s, and also plays a major role in adjacent fields including computing and the philosophy of science.

When compared to the intuitive notion of consequence they aim to capture, however, the most widely-used theories of consequence suffer from several known deficiencies:

- Classical theories of consequence validate inference rules that are highly unintuitive. The best-known of these is *explosion*, which allows anything to be inferred from a formal contradiction.
- Within natural language, it is possible for statements to refer, directly or indirectly, to themselves. Because of this, Natural language inference is said to be *semantically closed*. The artificial languages studied in formal logic today, by contrast, tend to employ various devices to prevent semantic closure, leaving them less expressive than their natural counterparts. The inference schema studied in modern logical systems tend, by design, to be indifferent to whatever content might be expressed in actual natural language inferences whose formalizations they capture, and commonly accepted regimentations of natural language sentences in formal logic are not at all straightforward. Particularly for novices, this can leave the use of these systems opaque.

1.1 Why study medieval consequences

Given these difficulties, the study of consequence at the height of its cultivation in the high medieval period can be profitable in a number of ways.

1.1.1 To solve problems in modern logic

More immediately, work on inference in this period reaches a sophistication that it would not approach again until the beginning of the current tradition of work

on the topic in the late 19th and early 20th century. and therefore provides a repository that can be drawn upon to improve modern theories of consequence. For example,

1.1.2 To understand how we got here

2 Their nature

In medieval logic, *consequence* refers to a relation between two parts of a complex sentence (or a hypothetical proposition, in medieval parlance), respectively called the *antecedent* and *consequent*, according to which what is stated in the consequent can be said to follow from what is stated in the antecedent, e.g. ‘If Socrates is running, then he is moving’.

3 Their Criteria

3.1 Impossible for the antecedent...

3.2 Containment

4 Their division

5 Their origin

5.1 Topics

5.2 Sophismata

6 Their evolution

7 open questions