

# Math 416: Abstract Linear Algebra

Date: Oct. 15, 2025

Lecture: 19

## Announcements

- HW6 is due **Fri, Oct. 17 @ 8pm**
- Grades are posted! Contact me w/ any issues
- Midterm 2 : **Fri, Oct 24 @ 1pm**

## Last time

- Invariant subspaces, eigenvals/vecs

## This time

- Eigenvals/vecs, polynomials of operators

## Reading/watching

- §5A of Axler
- 3blue1brown eigenvals/eigenvecs

## Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

Prop 5.7 (Equiv. conditions to be an eigenvalue)

Suppose  $\dim V < \infty$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , &  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then the following are all equivalent:

- a)  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$
- b)  $T - \lambda I$  is not injective
- c)  $T - \lambda I$  is not surjective
- d)  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible

Proof. To see a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  b), note

$$Tv = \lambda v \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Tv - \lambda v = 0$$

$$(T - \lambda I)v = 0$$

$\uparrow v \neq 0$  by def. of eigenval/vect

Remaining equivalences follow from

equiv. of injectivity, surjectivity, &

invertibility of operators (Axios 3.65)

### Prop. 5.11 (linearly indep. eigenvectors)

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then every list of eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  is linearly indep.

To first gain some intuition, let  $v_1, v_2$  be eigenvectors corresponding to distinct  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, we wish to show

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 = 0 &\Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + a_2 \lambda_1 v_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following

$$T(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2) = a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 v_2 = 0$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 - a_1 \lambda_2 v_1 = 0$$

$$\text{b/c } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \therefore \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$$



$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(a_1 v_1) = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_2 = 0$$

- This argument can be turned into an inductive proof to handle the general case.
- Challenge: think of a non-inductive proof!
- We also immediately have the following cor.

Cor. 5.12 (# of distinct eigenvalues)

Suppose  $V$  is finite-dim. Then each  $T \in L(V)$  has at most  $\dim V$  distinct eigenvals.

Proof. By above, distinct eigvals have eigvecs that are LI.

Length of LI list  $\leq \dim V$

by Axier 2.22.

# Polynomials of Operators

Lets define some notation that will allow us to talk about polynomials of operators

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  &  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

- $T^m \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is defined to be  $T^m := \underbrace{T \cdots T}_{m \text{ times}}$
- $T^0 := I_V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$
- If  $T$  is invertible,  $T^{-m} := (T^{-1})^m$

From these, we can derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned} T^m T^n &= (\underbrace{T \cdots T}_{m \text{ times}}) (\underbrace{T \cdots T}_{n \text{ times}}) \\ &= (\underbrace{T \cdots T}_{m+n \text{ times}}) \\ &= T^{m+n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (T^m)^n &= (\underbrace{T^m \cdots T^m}_{n \text{ times}}) \\ &= T^{mn} \end{aligned}$$

If  $T$  is invertible,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Otherwise  $m, n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$

We may now define what it means to have a polynomial of an operator.

Suppose  $T \in L(W)$  &  $p \in \mathcal{P}(IF)$  w/

$$p(z) = a_0 z^0 + a_1 z^1 + \dots + a_m z^m$$

$\forall z \in IF$ . Then,  $p(T) \in L(W)$  is defined as

$$p(T) = a_0 I + a_1 T + \dots + a_m T^m$$

### Example

Suppose  $D \in L(P(\mathbb{R}))$  def. by  $Dq = q'$

and let  $p(x) = 7 - 3x + 5x^2$ .

Let  $q(x) = x^3$ . What is  $(p(D))q$ ?

$$p(D)q(x) = (7I - 3D + 5D^2)x^3$$

$$= 7x^3 - 3(3x^2) + 5(6x)$$

$$p(D)q(x) = 7x^3 - 9x^2 + 30x$$

## Products of polynomials

If  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(F)$ , then we define

$$(pq)(z) = p(z)q(z) \quad \forall z \in F$$

From this def., we may derive the following properties:

$$a) (pq)(T) = p(T)q(T)$$

$$b) p(T)q(T) = q(T)p(T)$$

Informal proof: these obviously hold for products of polynomials,

Let  $z \mapsto T$  & the result still holds. (See Axiom 5.17)

Finally, let us note that  $\text{null } p(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  If  $u \in \text{null } p(T)$ ,  $p(T)u = 0$ . Thus

$$p(T)(Tu) = T(p(T)u) = T(0) = 0$$

mult. property

$$\Rightarrow Tu \in \text{null } p(T).$$

Similar for  $\text{range } p(T)$