Blockchain Problem 2

- 1. A. In the Moonbase example, a wealthy user could potentially carry out a denial-of-service attack by spamming the service with withdrawal requests. Since the UTXOs used to fund the withdrawals are chosen at random, a wealthy user could create a large number of withdrawal requests and exhaust Moonbase's UTXOs, preventing legitimate users from making withdrawals. This type of attack is particularly effective because the UTXOs used to fund the withdrawals are chosen at random. This means that there is no way to predict which UTXOs will be used to fund a withdrawal, making it difficult for Moonbase to prevent the attack by simply blocking certain UTXOs.
 - B. To address this issue, Moonbase can implement order processing for withdrawal requests. Instead of processing withdrawal requests as they come in, Moonbase can prioritize withdrawals based on factors such as the withdrawal amount, transaction fee, or the length of time the UTXOs have been held. This would ensure that withdrawal requests with higher priority are processed first, and would prevent a wealthy attacker from spamming the service with low-value withdrawals. In addition, Moonbase can implement transaction fees for withdrawals. Transaction fees are an amount of Bitcoin paid by the user to incentivize miners to include the transaction in the next block. By implementing transaction fees for withdrawals, Moonbase can encourage users to include higher fees to ensure their withdrawals are processed quickly. This would also provide an incentive for miners to prioritize transactions with higher fees, making it less likely that a wealthy attacker could overwhelm the system with low-value withdrawals.
- 2. Have Moonbase implement batch transactions. If they frequently are making small transactions, batching them together into a single transaction can reduce the total amount of transactions that need to be posted to the chain. This will reduce the number of UTXOs and save on transaction fees.