

# COMP 4475 Assignment Two

Due: March 8<sup>th</sup>, Before Class (10AM)

1. **(20 marks)** (Ex.2 in Ch2 of the book KRR) This question involves formalizing the properties of mathematical groups in FOL. Recall that a set is considered to be a group relative to a binary function  $f$  and an object  $e$  if and only if

- $f$  is associative;
- $e$  is an identity element for  $f$ , that is for any  $x$ ,  $f(e, x) = f(x, e) = x$ ; and
- every element has an inverse, that is, for any  $x$ , there is an  $i$  such that  $f(x, i) = f(i, x) = e$ .

- (a) Formalize these as sentences of FOL with two nonlogical symbols, a function symbol  $f$ , and a constant symbol  $e$ , and show using interpretations that the sentences logically entail the following property of groups: For every  $x$  and  $y$ , there is a  $z$  such that  $f(x, z) = y$ .
- (b) Repeat the entailment proof using Resolution. To do so, you will need to treat equality as a predicate and add to the sentences of part (a) some or all of the axioms of equality (Section 4.2.4 in KRR): reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity. In addition, add the axiom of the substitution of equals for equals, that is for every  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ , if  $x = y$ , then  $f(x, z) = f(y, z)$  and  $f(z, x) = f(z, y)$ .

2. **(30 marks)** Suppose we use the following KB (where  $x, y, z$  are variables and  $r1, r2, r3, goal$  are constants) to determine whether a particular robot can score.

- (a)  $Open(x) \wedge HasBall(x) \rightarrow CanScore(x)$
- (b)  $Open(x) \wedge CanAssist(y, x) \wedge HasBall(y) \rightarrow CanScore(x)$
- (c)  $PathClear(x, y) \rightarrow CanAssist(x, y)$
- (d)  $PathClear(x, z) \wedge CanAssist(z, y) \rightarrow CanAssist(x, y)$
- (e)  $PathClear(x, goal) \rightarrow Open(x)$
- (f)  $PathClear(y, x) \rightarrow PathClear(x, y)$
- (g)  $HasBall(r3)$
- (h)  $PathClear(r1, goal)$
- (i)  $PathClear(r2, r1)$
- (j)  $PathClear(r3, r2)$
- (k)  $PathClear(r3, goal)$

Intuitively,  $CanScore(x)$  means  $x$  can score on goal.  $CanAssist(x, y)$  means there exists some series of passes that can get the ball from  $x$  to  $y$ .  $Open(x)$  means  $x$  can shoot on goal directly. And  $PathClear(x, y)$  means the path between  $x$  and  $y$  is clear.

- Provide a SLD-derivation for the query  $CanScore(x)$  in which the answer provided is  $r1$ .
- Provide a SLD-derivation for the query  $CanScore(x)$  in which the answer provided is  $r3$ .
- How many “distinct” derivations (i.e., involving different pass sequences) are there for the fact  $CanScore(r3)$ ?

3. **(10 marks)** What is the result of the following applications of substitution?

- $P(x, y, z) \ \{x/c, y/f(a)\}$ , where  $a$  and  $c$  are constants,  $x, y, z$  are variables.
- $Q(x, y) \ \{x/z, y/z\}$ , where  $x, y, z$  are all variables.

4. **(10 marks)** Find a most general unifier (if one exists) of the following pairs.

- $P(y, a, b, y)$  and  $P(c, f, g, f)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants, and  $f, g, y$  are variables.
- $P(f(x), r(x), c)$  and  $P(w, r(q), q)$ , where  $c$  is a constant, and  $x, w, q$  are variables.

5. **(30 marks)** (Ex.4 in Ch5 of the book KRR) In this question, we will explore the semantic properties of propositional Horn clauses. For any set of clauses  $S$ , define  $\mathcal{I}_S$  to be the interpretation that satisfies an atom  $p$  if and only if  $S \models p$ .
- Show that if  $S$  is a set of positive Horn clauses, then  $\mathcal{I}_S \models S$ .
  - Give an example of a set of clauses  $S$  where  $\mathcal{I}_S \not\models S$ .
  - Suppose that  $S$  is a set of positive Horn clauses and that  $c$  is a negative Horn clause. Show that if  $\mathcal{I}_S \not\models c$  then  $S \cup \{c\}$  is unsatisfiable.
  - Suppose that  $S$  is a set of positive Horn clauses and that  $T$  is a set of negative ones. Using part (c), show that if  $S \cup \{c\}$  is satisfiable for every  $c \in T$ , then  $S \cup T$  is satisfiable also.
  - In the propositional case, the normal Prolog interpreter can be thought of as taking a set of positive Horn clauses  $S$  (the program) and a single negative clause  $c$  (the query) and determining whether or not  $S \cup \{c\}$  is satisfiable. Use part (d) to conclude that Prolog can be used to test the satisfiability of an arbitrary set of Horn Clauses.