Photovoltaic System Reconfiguration strategy foer mismatch condition

1st Dafang Zhao

dept. name of organization (of Aff.) name of organization (of Aff.) 2nd Given Name Surname dept. name of organization (of Af

dept. name of organization (of Aff.)
name of organization (of Aff.)

3rd Given Name Surname dept. name of organization (of Aff.) name of organization (of Aff.)

Abstract—Power generation efficiency of photovoltaic(PV) system reduced significantly under partial shading or solar cell damage etc. conditions. Which affected of turned on bypass diode. These efficiency loss in the form of mismatch losses. Using reconfiguration technology to reconfigure electrical connection, which, changing series or parallel connetion among PV panel can minimize mismatch losses. However existed reconfiguration methods such as exhaustive search or GA need very long computing time to find best configuration. This paper proposed an reconfiguration strategy that find optimal configuration among mismatched PV array in a very less computational time. This algorithm is very light and suitble for embedded device. The results show that compare with existed reconfiguration method under non-uniform shadow scenario proposed algorithm computes an optimized configuration with less computational time and very high accuracy.

Index Terms—Photovoltaic system, mismathed power loss, reconfiguration, dynamical reconfiguration.

I. INTRODUCTION

As the world of fossil energy constantly exhausted and the increasingly serious environmental pollution, the research and utilization of renewable energy and green energy have become maintain necessary means of survival and development of the human. Photovoltaic (PV) energy received significant attention since it has unlimited energy and easy to be scaled up. Thanks to extensive technology and research on photovoltaic energy generation, large scale photovoltaic energy generation system have been deployed into many practical application. But due to PV arrays are senstive to shading and PV cell's fault or aging. That means when interconnection of PV cells or modules do no have identical properties or experience different conditions from one another. PV arrays are in mismatch condition. In order to avoid mismatch condition damage PV cells, we proposed an algorithm that can re-configurate photovoltaic arrays to minimize mismatch loss.

In this paper, we using non-uniform irradiance levels to represent mismatch condition and analyzes the efficiency of a PV system under different shaded working condition is presented. When photovoltaic arrays operating in non-uniform irradiance levels may present multiple local maximum power points (MPPs) [1], which been generated by turning on bypass diodes. By changing electrical connection among the panels to prevent activate bypass diodes is a recent appealing solution [2].

The main difficulty facing the reconfiguration problem is that some or even all panels can be subjected to partial shading, so there may be more than one MPP for each panel. Reconfiguration strategy need also consider to group PV modules which provided high power separately [3] [4].

This procedure enables to detect panel's operating conditions, in more than two-strings, receiving different irradiance levels. The reconfiguration algorithm will analyzes panels' working conditions and reorganizes panels into different strings by different irradiance levels. However, in sparse of mismatching conditions, distribution of panels among different irradiance levels are not significant. For that, by increasing number of strings in the PV array and using exhaustive search can be a solution [3]. Another approach to optimize photovoltaic arrays is using genetic algorithm [5]. However, computing cost is too significant, and this algorithm can't detect best configuration precisely.

II. ASSUMPTION OF A PV ARRAY

In this paper, we using following definitions of PV arrays, modules, strings and panels. A PV array formed by several parallel connected PV strings, and string is several series connected PV panels. For a PV panel, formed by two or three PV modules connected in series with bypass diodes. An equivalent connection as showed in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1: Definition of PV array and internal components.

The algorithm we proposed based on following assumptions.

- The current versus voltage (*I-V*) curve of each panel calculated by algorithm presented in [6]. This algorithm will analyzes panel's *I-V* curve sample and coordinate to maximum or minimum power point in *P-V* curve.
- All the panels in PV array have same number (N) of modules. For particular module, using (V_{mpp_n}, I_{mpp_n}) to

identify MPP voltage and current by index n. Those parameter can be directly estimated by the prosess provided in [7].

Furthermore, it is also assumed that for each string it has same number of panels in PV array. Means every string in PV array have same length. String's length are identical based on when they connected in parallel, a string has more panels may cause current back flow into other strings which have less panels [8].



Fig. 2: Flowchart of reconfiguration algorithm.

III. RECONFIGURATION ALGORITHM

The general steps of reconfiguration algorithm are presented in Fig. 2. The first step is determine each PV module's V_{mpp} and I_{mpp} by using algorithm provided in [7] [9], and calculate MPP current and voltage candidates of PV array. When there are more than two panels connected in series, for a string MPPs is not straightforward. The MPP current and voltage candidates can be evaluated though a procedure presented in [10]. For string MPP current candidates, I_{mpp_n} values' different less than 5% are assumed to be equal. For string MPP voltage candidates, V_{mpp_n} can be calculated though multiplay number of active modules (N_a) by average MPP voltages (V_{mpp}) with $\pm 18\%$ error [10]. Afterward, determine real number of working modules (Q_{M_n}) per string by applying method in [10]. Next, find MPP candidates by multiplying current candidates and voltage candidates which determine by Q_{M_n} . Due to $V_{mpp}s$ and $I_{mpp}s$ can indicate shading distribution among PV array. Then grouping panels into different shadow distribution.

After this procedures is conducted for PV array, all panels will be organized into many groups that from un-shadowed or uniform shadow to fully shadowed group. However, if just simply grouping panels by shadow distribution conditions it may cause electrical connection overhead or unable calculate optimal configuration. To further reconfigure PV system into a better configuration, the replacement part of algorithm will proceed as follow:

- Sorting group by different shadow distribution conditions, select panels from first group into a PV string which working on high current level.
- If selected panels' working modules ($Q_{M_n}^*$) less than Q_{M_n} , select panels from next irradiance level group.
- If selected panels' $Q_{M_n}^*$ more than Q_{M_n} , re-select panels to adjust $Q_{M_n}^*$ equal to Q_{M_n} if it is possible.

This algorithm will better understood by applying to shadow condition showed in Fig. 3. This PV system is composed with 9 PV panels connected into 3 strings as showed in Fig.3.



Fig. 3: An example of un-uniform shadowed PV array.

Irradiance levels, I_{mpp} and V_{mpp} of each module are given in Table I.

TABLE I: Table Type Styles

Table	Table Column Head			
Head	Table column subhead	Subhead	Subhead	
copy	More table copy ^a			
^a Sample of a Table footnote.				

The example refer to a three-strings PV array, each string contain with 3 panels, each one made of three identical PV modules (N=3). In this example, it is assumed that input range of DC-DC converter and MPPT device defined by V_{min} = 200V and V_{max} = 500V. MPP current candidates and MPP voltage candidates are present in (1) and (2), respectively. Additional, number of real working module at MPP current candidates are given in (3).

$$I_{mpp_n} = [\{0.5_{(9)}, 0.5_{(9)}, 0.5_{(9)}\}, \{0.5_{(9)}, 0.5_{(9)}, 2_{(11)}\},$$

$$\{0.5_{(9)}, 0.5_{(9)}, 3_{(4)}\}, \{0.5_{(9)}, 2_{(11)}, 2_{(11)}\},$$

$$\{0.5_{(9)}, 2_{(11)}, 3_{(4)}\}, \{0.5_{(9)}, 3_{(4)}, 3_{(4)}\},$$

$$\{2_{(11)}, 2_{(11)}, 2_{(11)}\}, \{2_{(11)}, 2_{(11)}, 3_{(4)}\},$$

$$\{2_{(11)}, 3_{(4)}, 3_{(4)}\}, \{3_{(4)}, 3_{(4)}, 3_{(4)}\}] \pm 5\%$$

$$V_{mpp_n} = [12, 4, 24.8, 37.2, 49.6, 62.0, 74.4, 86.8, 99.2, 111.6] \pm 18\%$$
 (2)

$$Q_{M_n} = [\{8, 8, 8\}, \{8, 8, 8\}, \{4, 4, 4\}, \{7, 7, 7\}, \{4, 4, 4\}, \{2, 2, 2\}, \{5, 5, 5\}, \{4, 4, 4\}, \{2, 2, 2\}, \{1, 1, 1\}]$$
(3)



Fig. 4: Organized PV array after first part of Algorithm.

Due to 5% error of MPP current candidates and 18% error of MPP voltage candidates, total error rate of MPP candidates is 23%. Though procedure of algorithm, MPP candidates with 23% are: [{ 0.5, 0.5, 2}, {0.5, 2, 2}, {2, 2}, {2, 2}, {2, 2, 3}] and require working modules per strings are: [{8, 8, 8}, {7, 7, 7}, {5, 5, 5}, {4, 4, 4}]. And PV array are organized as Fig.4 Those MPP candidates are able to generate maximum output power of PV system, but the configurations of MPP candidates may meet electrical connection overhead. In order

to reduce electrical connection simulation time, replacement and feasibility check will be approved.

Consider fourth MPP candidate, it require panels on string one working at 2A, panels on string two working at 2A, panels on string three working at 3A and require 4 PV modules working for each string. Firstly, selecting panels for high current string, string three. According to Table I, only Panel 2, Panel 7 and Panel 9 are able to work at 3A. Those four panel can provide 4 PV module working at 3A and meet requirement of Q_{M_n} . Because on extra panel can provide module working on 3A, no replacement will be approved. Means no further improvement for configuration of string three. Then select panel for string two, Panel 4 and Panel 8 will be selected, but this configuration is not optimized. Selected panels provide 5 modules able to work at 2A, satisfy requirement of Q_{M_n} ≥ 4, but one module more than requirement. The process will replace Panel 4 by Panel 1 that provide just 4 modules working at 2A. Configuration for string two are Panel 8 and Panel 1. At last, select panels for string one. Panel 4, Panel 5, Panel 6 are selected, they can provide 5 modules working at 2A that satisfy requirement. For configuration on string one due to it is the last string to process and meet requirement, in order to reduce computing time replacement will not be approved. Configuration of MPP candidate $\{2, 2, 3\}$ are St 1:{Panel 4,Panel 5, Panel 6}, St 2: {Panel 1, Panel 8}, St 3: {Panel 2, Panel 7, Panel 9}. As described in section II, each string have identical length and Panel 3 not been used in any configuration. So optimized configuration for this MPP candidate are: St 1:{Panel 4,Panel 5, Panel 6}, St 2: {Panel 1, Panel 8, Panel 3}, St 3: {Panel 2, Panel 7, Panel 9}. The MPP values of this configuration calculated by multiplay string voltage and current equal to 347.2W are put into evidence.

For the third MPP candidate, as same calculating procedure as fourth MPP candidate, optimized configuration for MPP candidate {2, 2, 2} are: *St* 1:{Panel 4,Panel 8, Panel 3}, *St* 2:{Panel 1, Panel 2, Panel 6}, *St* 3: {Panel 5, Panel 7, Panel 9}.

The first MPP candidate {0.5, 0.5, 2}, after replacement string three contain four panels, {Panel 4, Panel 8, Panel 1, Panel 2} but it is over maximum string length of this PV array. Also for MPP candidate {0.5, 2, 2}, there are no configuration can't provide 7 modules working on three different string at 0.5A, 2A, 2A, respectively.

IV. EVALUATION OF OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

This section verifies the effectiveness of proposed algorithm using LTspice simulation. With pilot example presented in last section, shading scenario as showed in Fig.5a. To minimize power loss, the proposed algorithm, as described, is applied to reconfigure the system. The resulting configuration is depicted in Fig.5b and Fig.5c.

 are significantly higher than before reconfiguration maximum power (!!!!!!!W).

The computational time required to find the best configuration in the proposed and existed method is also compared and summarized in Table II. As shown in Table II, proposed algorithm achieved high accuracy and less computing time compare with exhaustive search algorithm and methods described in [10] [11] [12].

TABLE II: Table Type Styles

Table	Table Column Head			
Head	Table column subhead	Subhead	Subhead	
copy	More table copy ^a			

^aSample of a Table footnote.

The simulations were performed using an Intel i7-4770 3.2-Ghz processor and 32.0 GB of RAM memory. The calculation time used by the proposed estimation method was 165.2 ms, which is significantly lower than the 57 h, 57 m, and 55 s needed by the exhaustive search algorithm.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The existing PV reconfiguration methods either have high accuracy but suffer from long computational time such as exhausted searching algorithm or GA, or they have short computational time but do not guarantee the best configuration as described in [10] [11] [12]. This paper proposed a new PV system reconfiguration algorithm with high accuracy and less computational time. Proposed algorithm's effectiveness has been validated using LTspice simulation. The algorithm was tested though un-uniform shadow distribution among PV array and shows its effectiveness in finding optimal configuration. The proposed algorithm also compared with existed method in terms of accuracy and computational time. The result shows that proposed algorithm has high accuracy and less computational time.

REFERENCES

- Koutroulis, Eftichios, and Frede Blaabjerg. "A new technique for tracking the global maximum power point of PV arrays operating under partial-shading conditions." IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics 2.2 (2012): 184-190.
- [2] La Manna, Damiano, et al. "Reconfigurable electrical interconnection strategies for photovoltaic arrays: A review." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 33 (2014): 412-426.
- [3] Storey, Jonathan, Peter R. Wilson, and Darren Bagnall. "The optimizedstring dynamic photovoltaic array." IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics 29.4 (2014): 1768-1776.
- [4] Storey, Jonathan P., Peter R. Wilson, and Darren Bagnall. "Improved optimization strategy for irradiance equalization in dynamic photovoltaic arrays." IEEE transactions on power electronics 28.6 (2013): 2946-2956.
- [5] P. Carotenuto, A. D. Cioppa, A. Marcelli, and G. Spagnuolo, An evolutionary approach to the dynamical reconfiguration of photovoltaic fields, Neurocomputing, vol. 170, pp. 393405, 2015.
- [6] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, "Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface," IEEE Transl. J. Magn. Japan, vol. 2, pp. 740–741, August 1987 [Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetics Japan, p. 301, 1982].
 [7] Orozco-Gutierrez, M. L., et al. "Fast estimation of MPPs in mismatched
- [7] Orozco-Gutierrez, M. L., et al. "Fast estimation of MPPs in mismatched PV arrays based on lossless model." Clean Electrical Power (ICCEP), 2015 International Conference on. IEEE, 2015.

- [8] Spagnuolo, Giovanni, et al. "Control of photovoltaic arrays: Dynamical reconfiguration for fighting mismatched conditions and meeting load requests." IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine 9.1 (2015): 62-76.
- [9] Carotenuto, Pietro Luigi, et al. "Online recording a PV module fingerprint." IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics 4.2 (2014): 659-668.
- [10] Orozco-Gutierrez, M. L., et al. "Optimized configuration of mismatched photovoltaic arrays." IEEE J. Photovolt 6.5 (2016): 1210-1220.
- [11] El-Dein, MZ Shams, Mehrdad Kazerani, and M. M. A. Salama. "Optimal photovoltaic array reconfiguration to reduce partial shading losses." IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy 4.1 (2013): 145-153.
- [12] Storey, Jonathan P., Peter R. Wilson, and Darren Bagnall. "Improved optimization strategy for irradiance equalization in dynamic photovoltaic arrays." IEEE transactions on power electronics 28.6 (2013): 2946-2956.



Fig. 5: The PV system under shading scenario (a) before reconfiguration, (b) after reconfiguration for MPP candidate $\{2, 2, 3\}$ and (c) MPP candidate $\{2, 2, 2\}$



Fig. 6: The *P-V* curves for the PV system under first shading scenario before and after reconfiguration.