



Learn, Share, Build

Each month, over 50 million developers come to Stack Overflow to learn, share their knowledge, and build their careers.



Facebook

OR

Join the world's largest developer community.

Topological Sort with smallest available vertex first

I am trying to solve a problem based on TopSort. Since there will be a number of valid topological sorted orderings, I need to output the one which is lexicographically the smallest, i.e. Smallest-numbered available vertex first.

I will explain the method I have adopted, then post the code. I have maintained an array which contains the number of in-edges for each node of the graph. An adjacency matrix is also present. I have a boolean array 'visited' which keeps a count of visited nodes, and a minimum priority queue to pop out the smallest available vertex at that moment. Here is my code:

```

void dfs(int u){
    visited[u] = true;
    cout<<u<<" ";

    list<int>::iterator i;
    for(i = adj[u].begin(); i != adj[u].end(); ++i){
        if(!visited[*i]){
            inedge[*i]--;
            if(!inedge[*i]){
                pq.push(*i);
            }

            if(!pq.empty()){
                int temp = pq.top();
                pq.pop();
                dfs(temp);
            }
        }
    }
}

```

Now, at the first call of this function, the priority queue contains only those nodes for which `inedge[i]=0` (number of in-edges is zero). I pop out the minimum node from that priority queue `u = pq.top()`, and call `dfs(u)`.

But my code is giving wrong outputs. Can anybody help me out if the logic I used here is wrong?

My input consists of N incomplete sequences (with missing numbers in each of the N). Input:

```

2
1 3
2 3 4

```

Output:

```

1 2 3 4

```

This is the correct expected output, and my code does generate this. But for an input given in [this](#) image Input:

```

6
7 8 9
7 11 9
5 11 2
3 8 9
11 10
3 10

```

expected output is :

```
3 5 7 8 11 2 9 10
```

My code outputs :

```
3 5 7 8 11 9 2 10
```

My code outputs wrong results for a few other test cases as well, but I do not know how to solve this issue.

[c++](#) [priority-queue](#) [depth-first-search](#) [topological-sort](#)

edited Nov 20 '14 at 8:46

asked Nov 20 '14 at 8:31



[user2774555](#)
69 1 10

define "wrong outputs" and "expected outputs" and give examples. – [Ashalynd](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:35

what is the criterion for your priority queue? it's not present in this code, so it's difficult to say anything. – [Ashalynd](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:37

It is a minimum priority queue – [user2774555](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:47

I would be interested in which context you need this. Scheduling? – [SebastianK](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:48

@Aleksandar - yes.. Can you tell me whats wrong in my approach? – [user2774555](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:53

1 Answer

The problem seems to be that `pq.top` is called before all outgoing edges of the current node have been checked.

Consider the following graph: Nodes: A, B, C; Edges A->B, A-C. Let C have a smaller priority value, i.e. it should come first. During `dfs(A)`, you check B before C. Since it is immediately taken from the queue again, B is processed first (but should not be). So, insert all adjacent nodes before querying the queue again.

edited Nov 20 '14 at 9:41

answered Nov 20 '14 at 8:44



[SebastianK](#)
2,611 1 18 44

Oh yes, I realized this fact, just that I do not know how to solve this issue. It is due to the same reason that 9 comes before 2 in my input-output – [user2774555](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:50

Try it nonrecursively: `while (!pq.empty()) { process pq.top /*...*/ }` – [SebastianK](#) Nov 20 '14 at 8:52

Thanks! it seems to be working now. – [user2774555](#) Nov 20 '14 at 9:04