

[x Dismiss](#)

Join the Stack Overflow Community

Stack Overflow is a community of 7.6 million programmers, just like you, helping each other. Join them; it only takes a minute:

[Sign up](#)

C++ 2d map? Like a 2d array?



Is it possible to make a 2d map?

Like this:

```
map< int, int, string> testMap;
```

And filling the values would be like:

```
testMap[1][3] = "Hello";
```

Thanks for your time :)

[c++](#) [arrays](#) [map](#) [2d](#)

asked Jul 14 '13 at 19:26



[mrg95](#)

398 1 17 42

3 Answers

You can nest two maps:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <map>
#include <string>

int main()
{
    std::map<int, std::map<int, std::string>> m;

    m[1][3] = "Hello";

    std::cout << m[1][3] << std::endl;

    return 0;
}
```

answered Jul 14 '13 at 19:29

[user2530166](#)

This worked perfectly :) Thanks. I'll accept the answer asap. – [mrg95](#) Jul 14 '13 at 19:32

```

36  if (dev.isBored() || job.sucks()) {
37      searchJobs({flexibleHours: true, companyCulture: 100});
38  }
39  // A career site that's by developers, for developers.

```


[Get started](#)

yes, use `std::pair`

```

map< std::pair<int, int>, string> testMap;
testMap[std::make_pair(1,3)] = "Hello";

```

edited Nov 13 '13 at 14:32

answered Jul 14 '13 at 19:29



andre

4,981 1 26 51

Interesting. Normally, I might use this, however I'm actually using Qt creator so I don't think they have the standard library. They might have something similar, but idk. For example, instead of using `std::map`, I'm using `QMap`. Good thing the syntax was the same – [mrg95](#) Jul 14 '13 at 19:33

- 1 Qt Creator is just an IDE (integrated development environment), not a compiler or different form of C++. So, even though Qt Creator is useful when dealing with the Qt library, which defines `QMap`, you can still write standard C++ (e.g. using `std::map`) in Qt Creator and compile it just fine. – [user2530166](#) Jul 14 '13 at 19:37

This will be considerably more efficient than [RyanMcK](#)'s solution. – [Cory Nelson](#) Jul 14 '13 at 19:39

- 2 @mc360pro a `std::pair` is not as heavy as adding an extra `std::map`. The look up time for a map of maps is $O(\lg(n) * \lg(n))$ while a pair only take $O(\lg(n))$. – [andre](#) Nov 13 '13 at 14:36
- 2 It is definitely not $O(\lg(n) * \lg(n))$. It's $O(\lg(n) + \lg(n))$, or just $O(\lg(n))$. The first map lookup takes $\lg(n)$, and the second also takes $\lg(n)$. Of course, this is assuming a square "array." This should probably be broken down into `m` and `n` instead, but it still simplifies in a similar fashion. – [michaelgulak](#) Mar 28 '15 at 4:16

In case it's helpful for anybody, here is code for a class that builds upon [andre](#)'s answer, which allows access via bracket operators like a regular 2D array would:

```

template<typename T>
class Graph {
/*
    Generic Graph ADT that uses a map for large, sparse graphs which can be
    accessed like an arbitrarily-sized 2d array in logarithmic time.
*/
private:
    typedef std::map<std::pair<size_t, size_t>, T> graph_type;
    graph_type graph;
    class SrcVertex {
    private:
        graph_type& graph;
        size_t vert_src;
    public:
        SrcVertex(graph_type& graph): graph(graph) {}
        T& operator[](size_t vert_dst) {
            return graph[std::make_pair(vert_src, vert_dst)];
        }
        void set_vert_src(size_t vert_src) {
            this->vert_src = vert_src;
        }
    } src_vertex_proxy;
public:
    Graph(): src_vertex_proxy(graph) {}
    SrcVertex& operator[](size_t vert_src) {
        src_vertex_proxy.set_vert_src(vert_src);
        return src_vertex_proxy;
    }
};

```

edited Dec 9 '14 at 8:27

answered Dec 9 '14 at 1:57



Paul Nickerson

11 2