# The Impact of Trade Union Dynamics on Income Inequality Trends in European Union Countries

# Introduction

The ever-shifting landscape of the global economy has placed a spotlight on the intricate connection between labor practices and the growing issue of economic inequality (ILO, n.d.). Within the multifaceted mechanisms influencing labor market dynamics, collective bargaining emerges as a crucial tool for shaping fair employment conditions and equitable wage distribution (ILO, n.d.).

Collective bargaining, a well-established process of negotiation between employers and organized worker representatives, fosters agreements that regulate working conditions, salaries, benefits, and other aspects of worker compensation and rights. It has been championed as a potential equalizer within the labor market. The theory proposes that by empowering workers to negotiate collectively, they can achieve a more just distribution of income, enhance job security, and improve working conditions. This, in turn, could potentially address some of the root causes of economic inequality (Schmidt and Strauss 1976). However, the effectiveness and impact of collective bargaining are contingent upon various factors including the legal and regulatory framework, the strength and representativeness of labour unions, the economic context, and the adaptability of these institutions to changing labour market conditions.

The extent of decline and the changing composition of membership within European trade unions since 1980 have been profound, shaping the landscape of collective bargaining and labour representation. According to European Trade Union Institute (ETUI), Trade union density has notably decreased in many European countries, with estimates indicating a decline from 32.6 per cent in 1995 to 26.4 per cent in 2001 across the EU25. This decline is particularly pronounced among new member states, where density plummeted from 42.7 per cent to 20.4 per cent between 1995 and 2001, while in the EU15, it decreased from 31.0 per cent to 27.3 per cent over the same period (Jeremy Waddington 2005).

This analysis delves deeper into the complex relationship between collective bargaining and economic inequality. We will explore the theoretical underpinnings of collective bargaining as an equalizer and examine the empirical evidence regarding its effectiveness. We will also consider the various factors that influence the success of collective bargaining efforts and their ultimate impact on reducing economic inequality.

# Methodology

The intricate relationship between trade union coverage and income inequality demands a nuanced exploration that transcends mere statistical correlations. This study delves deeper, aiming to illuminate the underlying mechanisms, societal impacts, and policy implications of this dynamic. Recognizing the contingent nature of collective bargaining effectiveness, we acknowledge several factors influencing its success: the legal and regulatory framework, union strength and representativeness, economic context, and adaptability to the evolving labor market's challenges, such as the rise of the gig economy and automation.

Drawing inspiration from the work of D. Card (2001) and Richard B. Freeman (1984), this research employs a multi-faceted approach (Card 2001) (Richard B. Freeman, James L. Medoff 1985). By leveraging empirical evidence from reputable sources like Eurostat and OECD databases, focusing on data related to trade union coverage (encompassing both density and coverage rate), income inequality metrics (like the Gini coefficient), and relevant control variables for EU countries over the past two decades.

Focusing on Austria, Belgium, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

This timeframe allows us to capture the potential impact of recent economic shifts, reforms, and the eastward expansion of the EU.

Complementing this quantitative analysis, in-depth case studies will be conducted for a selection of EU countries exhibiting diverse levels of trade union coverage and density. These qualitative investigations will explore the specific mechanisms through which trade union activity shapes wage inequality within each chosen nation.

The selection criteria for case studies will focus on EU countries exhibiting diverse characteristics across four key dimensions. First, we will examine the legal frameworks of each country, assessing whether legal structures support and protect collective bargaining rights or conversely restrict union activity. Second, the strength and representativeness of unions will be evaluated. This includes analyzing how well-organized unions are and how effectively they represent the interests of a broad range of workers, particularly those in precarious or non-standard employment arrangements. Third, the economic structure of each chosen country will be considered. This involves investigating whether the sectoral composition favors industries traditionally associated with strong unions, like manufacturing, or those with lower unionization rates, such as the service sector. Finally, the historical trajectory of unionization in each country will be explored. This analysis will examine how past trends in unionization have shaped the current landscape of labor relations and income inequality. By considering these varied characteristics, the case studies will provide a nuanced understanding of the relationship between trade union coverage and income inequality within the EU.

Finally, to illuminate the causal pathways between trade union coverage and inequality, we will utilize established theoretical frameworks from labor economics and inequality studies. This may include exploring theories of wage bargaining, monopsony power (where a single employer dominates a labor market), and rent-seeking behavior (where unions extract economic benefits for their members at the expense of others).

This comprehensive approach goes beyond establishing correlations. By combining empirical evidence, case studies, and theoretical frameworks, this research aims to provide a robust analysis of the relationship between trade union coverage and income inequality within the EU. Ultimately, this project seeks to offer valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders navigating the contemporary economic landscape. It can inform potential policies that leverage trade union coverage as a tool for addressing income inequality, while also acknowledging the challenges and opportunities presented by the evolving labor market's complexities.

# Top of FormBottom of FormThe Importance of Trade Unions and Collective Bargaining

Trade unions have historically been cornerstones of the workforce, advocating for workers' rights and ensuring fair treatment in the workplace (Engeman 2021). At the heart of trade union efforts is collective bargaining, a process that not only brings numerous advantages to the table but also presents certain challenges. This chapter delves into the critical role of trade unions and the nuanced dynamics of collective bargaining, underscoring its profound impact on both the workforce and broader society.

Collective bargaining enhances compensation and support for union members significantly. Trade unions can achieve gains for workers that would be difficult, or even impossible, on an individual basis, often resulting in unionized workers enjoying wages that are substantially higher than their non-unionized counterparts. This is just the beginning, as collective agreements establish equitable workplace policies that promote justice and due process. Such frameworks benefit employees and employers alike, ensuring a fair and orderly work environment. A connection is frequently made in the literature between the process of unionization and the subsequent increase in job satisfaction and productivity, highlighting these as significant advantages. Union members often report greater satisfaction in their roles, attributed to enhanced pay, benefits, and workplace protections (Rafael Muñoz De Bustillo and Pablo De Pedraza, n.d.).Top of FormBottom of Form This sense of security translates into higher productivity, reduced absenteeism, and lower turnover rates. Furthermore, collective bargaining plays a crucial role in promoting social justice by ensuring a more equitable distribution of economic gains. It helps reduce the wage gap between top executives and average workers, serving as a vital tool in the fight against economic inequality (George W. Angell 1974). Moreover, union contracts often prioritize health and safety, leading to workplaces that are significantly safer for employees. This focus on safety results in lower rates of occupational injuries and fatalities. Empowerment of workers is another critical aspect, as trade unions give workers a collective voice, allowing them to influence decisions and policies that affect their working conditions. This empowerment fosters a more democratic and responsive workplace environment.

The benefits of collective bargaining extend beyond the union members, offering stability during economic downturns and setting standards that raise the bar for non-union employers (ILO 2015). This leads to improved wages and benefits across the board and encourages a more diverse workforce, including higher participation rates among women and older workers. By redistributing income from capital to labour, collective bargaining contributes to a reduction in economic disparities, supporting a fairer and more equitable society. Efficient dispute resolution mechanisms within union contracts minimize the need for arbitration or litigation, while the potential for innovation and adaptability arises from the collaboration between unions and management. Raising standards across industries compels non-union firms to elevate their practices to remain competitive, establishing a system of checks and balances that ensures corporate power is counterbalanced by a workforce with a voice in decisions impacting their livelihoods.

## The extent of decline

Currently, trade unions represent a smaller proportion of the employed workforce in Europe than at any other time since 1950. However, it is important to state that in Nordic countries (Denmark, Finland, Sweden) and Belgium, the decline is marginal, thanks in part to the Ghent system, where trade unions play a role in the administration of unemployment benefits and insurance (Jelle Visser 2019).

Moreover, within the trend of declining membership, significant shifts have occurred in the composition of the unionized labour force:

* There is an increasing concentration of members employed in the public sector, which tends to be more unionized than industry or private sector services in most countries.
* Union membership is becoming increasingly feminized, with women comprising more than half of union membership in several countries.
* Retired and unemployed individuals constitute a growing proportion of union membership, ranging from 15 to 20 per cent in the EU, with considerable variation among member states.
* Young and ethnic minority workers are reported to be joining unions in insufficient numbers in most countries, contributing to the aging demographic of trade union members.
* A rising proportion of trade union members are employed in managerial, professional, or associate professional occupations. These members often prioritize individual over collective membership identities, leading to emergent forms of collective solidarity.

The consequences of membership decline and shifts in composition are extensive for trade union organization. Shortages in financial and material resources limit the capacity to implement reforms necessary to address these challenges (Jeremy Waddington 2005). Additionally, reforms of union governance systems are required to ensure the representation and involvement of members from previously underrepresented groups. However, implementing such reforms is challenging and time-consuming, often diverting attention from external issues to internal union affairs.

These shifts underscore the complex dynamics shaping the role and effectiveness of trade unions in Europe's evolving labour landscape, with implications for collective bargaining and efforts to address economic inequality (Jeremy Waddington 2005).

Unions have long been a cornerstone of worker representation and advocacy in the labour market. However, their role in the modern economy faces significant challenges. This chapter explores the reasons behind the decline in union membership, dissecting both external pressures and internal shortcomings within trade unions themselves. At its core, this decline reflects a growing tension between the evolving social and economic landscape and the traditional structures of organized labour.

One prevailing argument posits that the modern individual is increasingly inclined towards individualisation, potentially diminishing their inclination to join trade unions (citation). While the evidence regarding the extent of individualisation is varied, it is clear that prospective members now seek tangible benefits from union membership. This necessitates unions to demonstrate value, offering services tailored to the specific needs of potential members, varying by industry, occupation, and personal characteristics. Additionally, many individuals feel equipped to directly address managerial concerns without intermediary representation from unions, further deterring their union participation.

In the literature, the primary drivers of the decline in union membership are typically categorized into two main groups: External pressures and Internal challenges. External pressures facing trade unions are complex, stemming from the broader context of globalization, heightened international competition, and deregulation. Among the more direct contributors to declining membership are: Escalating unemployment: Traditionally, trade unions offer limited assistance to the unemployed, leading many members to abandon their memberships upon losing their jobs. Shifting labor force dynamics: The transition from industrial to service sector employment, coupled with the emergence of non-traditional work arrangements, presents obstacles for union adaptation. While unionization rates historically thrive in industrial sectors, unions encounter difficulties in recruiting members within service industries, resulting in a net loss in membership. Employer resistance: Employers, especially in newly incorporated member states, increasingly resist unionization efforts, thereby challenging established collective bargaining structures and creating isolation among union members within workplaces. Internally, trade unions face critique for their reluctance to modernize and adjust to contemporary realities. Key internal challenges include: Rigidity and antiquity in organizational practices: Many unions are perceived as inflexible and outdated, dissuading younger individuals from joining. Lack of diversity in leadership: Dominance by middle-aged men diminishes the appeal of unions to diverse demographics. Inadequate representation: Certain demographic groups feel marginalized within union frameworks, hindering their involvement. Disconnection between leadership and membership: Union leaders are often perceived as disconnected from the current realities of workplaces. In essence, trade unions confront the dual challenge of diminishing resources and increasing membership diversity. Consequently, comprehensive reforms are imperative to ensure their relevance in an evolving labor landscape. This chapter endeavors to delve into these factors comprehensively, shedding light on the intricate dynamics that drive the decline in union membership and proposing strategies to revitalize union effectiveness and relevance in the modern era.

# Exploring Key Variables

## Trade Union Density and Collective Bargaining Coverage in European Labour Markets

This analysis is rooted in datasets obtained from the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) statistics and World Bank Data, targeting an array of variables pivotal to understanding the dynamics of labour markets and income distribution (Trapeznikova 2019). In the first place, before jumping to the model’s results comparison, it is fundamental to understand the variables’ choices in building the models. To measure Income Inequality, economists have been using other metrics such as the Gini Index, Decile Ratios, Palma Ratio, and Theil Index (Trapeznikova 2019). All of them carry benefits and limitations. This case study will use the Gini Index as the dependent variable. The OECD defines it as the comparison of cumulative proportions of the population against cumulative proportions of income they receive, and it ranges between 0 in the case of perfect equality and 1 in the case of perfect inequality (“Inequality - Income Inequality - OECD Data,” n.d.). And in this case, income is defined as household disposable income for a specific year. It incorporates earnings, self-employment, capital income and public cash transfer. Therefore, the choice of adopting the Gini Coefficient also relies on the fact that it uses information from the entire income distribution and it is independent of the size of the country’s economy and population. Moreover, the Gini Index allows for an easier interpretation of regression results.
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Now the focus moves to the two important independent variables: Trade Union Density and Collective Bargain Coverage. According to the European Industrial Relations Dictionary, Trade Union Density is defined as the ratio of salary and wage earners that are trade union members to the total number of wage and salary earners in the economy. It is a valuable metric to assess the power of trade unions across countries (EuroFound 2019). On the other hand, Collective Bargaining Coverage is a broader indicator that demonstrates how workers’ employment is influenced by negotiations within their organization (EuroFound 2022). The spectrum in Europe is, according to the Eurofound, polarized with a group of countries which have almost complete coverage (Italy, Austria, Spain, Finland, France, and Sweden) and at the other side of the spectrum, another group with hardly any coverage (Estonia, Czechia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia, and Hungary) (EuroFound 2022) (Bental and Demougin 2010).
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Since the 1980s, trade union membership in most EU countries has declined, partly due to employees increasingly opting out of joining unions and the rise of non-standard employment (Onaran and Guschanski 2018). Moreover, as Bertal and Demougin showed, most european countries have undertaken substantial institutional reforms since the beginning of the 2000’s. Meanwhile the industrial output has been significantly growing, the labor shares in national income have been decreasing (Bental and Demougin 2010). Despite this, trade union density, which calculates the proportion of unionized workers in the workforce, shows more stability, reflecting labour market trends. This stability was particularly evident during the recent economic downturn when the economy in the unions declined due to significant employment losses (Onaran and Guschanski 2018).

Union density varies widely across Europe, with Scandinavian countries maintaining high levels compared to the lower rates in Central and Eastern Europe, and a general declining trend observed across Continental and Mediterranean countries. Differences in union density are also pronounced between sectors within countries, with higher rates in the public sector due to better job security and working conditions (Bental and Demougin 2010). Factors influencing these differences include institutional arrangements like collective bargaining extension mechanisms, the services provided by unions, and their role in welfare systems. Notably, the Ghent system, which links unemployment benefits administration with trade unions or labor organizations, is more prevalent in Coordinated Market Economies (CMEs) where collective bargaining and collaboration between employers, employees, and the state are more pronounced, contrasting with Liberal Market Economies (LMEs) where such systems are less prevalent due to a greater reliance on market mechanisms and individual responsibility for social welfare provision.

The thesis addresses this aspect. Initially, macroeconomic variables influencing the negotiation process are meticulously examined.
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## The non linear relationship between Density and Coverage

Deve essere fatto un focus sulla partcilare relation tra le due variabli: Trade Unions Density and Collective Bargaining Coverage. In prima analisi, sono state plottate in modo tale da poter analizzare la relazione che si interpone.

## Exploring Macro–Level Influences

To account for the size of each country in the project, the Gross Domestic Product is analysed. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Foreign Direct Investments (FDIs), both inflow and outflow, can significantly affect labour unions and income inequality across different countries. A robust GDP growth can enhance labour market conditions, potentially strengthening the bargaining power of labour unions by increasing the demand for labour. This, in turn, can lead to improved wages and working conditions negotiated by unions. However, rapid economic growth without equitable distribution can also exacerbate income inequality if the gains are not uniformly shared across the workforce (Addison and Hirsch 1989). Furthermore, it's noteworthy that, as indicated by the International Labour Organization (ILO) and supported by my data analysis, there exists a mild negative correlation between Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Union Density, when controlling for both country and time variables. This implies that an increase in a country's wealth doesn't necessarily lead to a decline in union density rates (Jelle Visser 2019).
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Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows and outflows exhibit correlations with labour unions and income inequality measures, which are critical to the narrative. FDI inflows appear to be moderately correlated with certain variables related to labour suggesting that as a country becomes more attractive to foreign investors, it could potentially impact labour union activities and bargaining power. For example, significant FDI inflows may create more jobs, thereby offering unions a larger base from which to recruit members. However, this influx must be managed carefully, as it can also lead to a form of 'race to the bottom' if countries reduce labour standards to attract investment, potentially undermining unions and exacerbating income inequality. Conversely, FDI outflows show a different pattern of correlation, implying that when domestic companies invest abroad, this could strain domestic labour markets and unions if it results in job displacement or downward pressure on wages.
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This phenomenon can have a ripple effect on income distribution within the country, potentially increasing income inequality if high-wage jobs are outsourced and replaced with lower-wage domestic positions.

The negative correlation between inflation and wage growth suggests that when analyzing the effect of trade unions on wages, inflation can distort the picture. If not accounted for, inflation can mask the real value of wage increases negotiated by unions. As a confounder, inflation can lead to an underestimation of the positive impact of unions on wage growth, since nominal wage increases may not translate into actual purchasing power gains for workers without adjusting for inflation.

Given its positive correlation with GDP, wage growth can serve as a confounder when trying to understand the relationship between GDP and income inequality or union strength. If GDP is growing and wages are increasing simultaneously, it might be tempting to attribute rising wages solely to the influence of unions. However, wage growth could also be driven by overall economic expansion independent of union activity. Without controlling for wage growth, one could overstate the role of unions in driving up wages when in fact it could be the rising GDP that is raising incomes across the board.

Furthermore, the positive correlation between tax contribution and GDP implies that as the economy expands and tax revenues rise, there may be increased investment in public services and infrastructure, potentially improving employment conditions and impacting wage levels. However, when assessing the influence of unions on income equality, tax contributions could introduce a confounding factor by introducing government policy effects independent of union actions. Strong public services, funded by taxes, can also influence income distribution, potentially alleviating inequality and thereby complicating the relationship between union activities and observed income inequality levels. Thus, while this heightened investment has the potential to enhance overall employment conditions and exert an influence on wage levels, it's important to recognize that government policies influenced by tax revenue might independently affect income distribution, irrespective of union actions. Consequently, the interplay between union activities and observed levels of income inequality might be convoluted by the broader effects of government policies and public services funded through taxation.

In the context of labour unions and income inequality, women workers' employment rates and unemployment rates are essential variables that can act as confounders, especially when analyzing gender-specific labour dynamics. Also, the proportion of women in the workforce may influence both union membership and income inequality. If unions have been successful in advocating for gender equality, an increase in the rate of female workers could be attributed to union influence. However, if not properly accounted for, the rise in female employment could be incorrectly linked to union efficacy, when in fact, it may reflect broader social changes, such as increased gender equality and changing norms.

Furthermore,the unemployment rate for women can confound the relationship between union density and income inequality. A high rate of female unemployment might mask the potential benefits that unionization could have on employed women, such as higher wages or better working conditions. Conversely, lower unemployment rates could reflect successful union negotiations or, independently, an economic environment that is favourable to female employment.

In the intricate web of factors that influence labour unions and their efficacy, the concept of Democratic Governance emerges as a pivotal variable . This new construct, borne out of the interaction between Civic Participation and the Rule of Law Index, offers a lens through which to examine the political and legal environment that shapes labour union activities. Both variables have been scraped from the Rule of Law Index Database[[1]](#footnote-1).

Democratic Governance encapsulates the degree to which citizens can participate in civil society and the extent to which legal norms are respected and enforced, both of which are critical to the functioning and impact of labour unions (“Civil Society Participation Index, 2022,” n.d.). Civic Participation specifically gauges the effectiveness of civic engagement mechanisms available to citizens within a particular country. This metric encompasses elements such as the freedom of opinion and expression, as well as the right to petition the government. Moreover, this variable provides a valuable assessment of the extent to which individuals can voice concerns on diverse governmental issues and whether these concerns are attentively considered by various institutional bodies.

Conversely, the Rule of Law serves as a pivotal metric in understanding the nuanced shifts within trade unions and their impact on societal dynamics. As a barometer of effective democratic governance, the Rule of Law's influence extends to fostering a more equitable society. A strong Rule of Law index often indicates an environment conducive to economic and social development, potentially influencing the strategies and outcomes of trade unions. Therefore, considering the Rule of Law as a confounding factor in analyzing trade union dynamics offers valuable insights into the broader socio-political landscape.

Labour unions have long been at the forefront of pushing democratic frontiers forward, championing not just labour rights but also broader democratic reforms. Their engagement has historically extended beyond the workplace, touching on pivotal issues such as voting rights and social welfare. In this sense, labour unions do not operate in isolation; they are deeply embedded in the fabric of civil society and are responsive to the quality of Democratic Governance. The efficacy of unions, therefore, can be profoundly influenced by the strength of democratic institutions and practices within a country.

As such, when evaluating labour unions' contributions to economic conditions such as wage growth, income inequality, and the integration of women into the workforce, one cannot discount the context of Democratic Governance. It stands as both a foundation for and a product of vigorous labour movements. Hence, it is an essential variable to consider when analyzing the complex interplay between labour unions and socioeconomic outcomes.

Bottom of Form

Since the dawn of industrial capitalism, labour unions have constantly fought for major democratic reform agendas, such as the eight-hour day, universal suffrage, and social provision of welfare. And labour unions are still the main agencies that advocate for the interests of the lower classes and the disadvantaged, those who are most vulnerable to increasingly fierce global market competitions and fluctuations. Given the critical roles of labour unions in civil society and their historical contribution to the development of stable democracies, their significant role in accounting for the performance of government should not be left out from the model.
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# Model Selection

The research employs the fixed-effects model as the primary analytical tool to investigate the impact of trade union activity on income inequality across European countries. This methodological choice is particularly well-suited due to the inherent socio-economic heterogeneity present within the European Union. Unlike other approaches, the fixed-effects model excels at isolating the causal effect of trade union shifts by meticulously controlling for unobserved, country-specific factors. These unobserved factors, often referred to as fixed effects, encompass a wide range of enduring national characteristics that exert a significant and consistent influence on labor dynamics. Examples include established legal frameworks governing worker rights and collective bargaining, deeply ingrained cultural norms regarding work and social mobility, and historical patterns of industrial development.

While these features remain relatively constant within a single country over time, they exhibit significant variation across the diverse landscape of the European Union. The fixed-effects model effectively disentangles the intrinsic impact of trade union activities from the confounding influence of these immutable national traits. This approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between trade unionism and income inequality. Furthermore, the application of the fixed-effects model is particularly adept at capturing the incremental yet meaningful changes that occur within individual countries over time. It allows for illumination of how trade unions affect income distribution within the intricate interplay of country-specific factors. This focus on within-country variation distinguishes this analysis from studies that rely on cross-sectional approaches, which may be susceptible to biases arising from pre-existing national differences. This methodological commitment to the fixed-effects model underscores a rigorous approach aimed at discerning subtle patterns in the data. By meticulously controlling for fixed effects, the analysis strives to extract causally interpretable inferences that reflect the genuine power of trade union action in influencing income distribution across the multifaceted economic terrain of the European Union.

Before proceding with the creation of the models, one main factor had to be taken in consideration: multicollinearity. This situation creates when the X’ X matrix is near singular, when the columns of X are close to linearly dependent. One potential complication, that might arise, of near singularity of matrices is that the numerical realibility of calculation may be reduced.

This might be the case since the number of regressor is large. Another issue that arises with multicollinearity is that individual coefficient esitimates may be imprecise.

And

The correlation indexes collinearity, since as approaches 1 the matrix becomes singular.

For this reason two specific tests have been addressed: in primis, the Eigenvalue test and, following the VIF test in order to double check for any other issues.

The results showed that there is no trace of multicollinearity since all the values of the VIF are below the threshold (5).

[TABLE OF THE VIF AND EIGENVALUES TEST]

The evaluation of multicollinearity is pivotal in ensuring the integrity and reliability of the regression model. It mitigates the risk of inflated standard errors, inaccurate coefficient estimates, and ultimately, erroneous conclusions drawn from the analysis. Thus, the thorough assessment of multicollinearity underscores its significance in robust statistical modeling.

## Endogeneity

A second major issue which can raise is endogeneity. It can be identified, in the linear model, when

If with , . Therefore would nneed a structural interpretation because it is defined by a linear projection. In this case, to avoid the issue of endogeneity, an Instrumental Variable has been introducted.

In the instrumental variables (IV) analysis, the variable “bargain” was initially identified as potentially endogenous concerning the Gini Index, suggesting the need for an instrumental variable approach to obtain unbiased and consistent estimates. **Demo** has been selected as an instrument, hypothesizing that it affects the Gini Index only through its impact on **bargain1**.

The initial phase involves regressing the potentially endogenous variable, Bargaining Power, on the instrumental variable, Democratic Governance, alongside other control variables including Collective Bargaining Coverage, Inflation, Wage Growth, Government Debt, Log of Labor Force, and Women's Unemployment Rate. This step aims to validate the instrument's relevance by ensuring it significantly predicts the endogenous variable.

The summary of this first-stage regression indicates significant coefficients, notably for Democratic Governance (with an estimated coefficient of 23.023832). This significant relationship confirms the instrumental variable's relevance in predicting Bargaining Power.

The analysis additionally reveals a high F-statistic of 198.8. This, coupled with the exceptionally low p-value (less than 2.2e-16), provides compelling statistical evidence that the instrument effectively explains the variation in Bargaining Power.

The next stage focuses on the true relationship of interest. The Gini Index, our dependent variable, is regressed on the estimated Bargaining Power values (obtained from the first stage) alongside the original control variables. This approach aims to isolate the unbiased effect of Bargaining Power on the Gini Index, free from potential endogeneity issues.

The second-stage results reveal a marginally significant coefficient (-0.109461) for the fitted Bargaining Power values (at a 10% significance level). This suggests a subtle, yet potentially important, influence of Bargaining Power on the Gini Index after controlling for endogeneity through the instrumental variable (IV) method.

Furthermore, the analysis highlights the significant impact of other control variables, particularly the Women's Unemployment Rate, on the Gini Index. This comprehensive IV analysis underscores the validity and strength of Democratic Governance as an instrument for Bargaining Power. More importantly, it sheds light on the intricate relationship between Bargaining Power and the Gini Index. This reinforces the crucial role of selecting and validating strong instrumental variables to achieve unbiased and accurate econometric results.

# Model Results

The fixed effects regression model with instrumental variables (IV) offers a more robust approach to examining the causal impact of key labour market variables on income inequality, measured by the Gini Index. This technique addresses the issue of endogeneity, where factors influencing collective bargaining strength might also independently affect income inequality. By introducing an instrumental variable like 'bargain1' (interaction of Trade Union Density and Collective Bargaining Coverage), the IV model isolates the causal effect of stronger collective bargaining on income inequality. The consistently negative coefficient of 'bargain1' across various model specifications reveals a statistically significant association between stronger collective bargaining and reduced income inequality. This suggests that labour unions act as a powerful mechanism in promoting a more equitable income distribution.

Furthermore, the model demonstrates a negative Average Treatment Effect (ATE) of Trade Union Density on the Gini Index, further substantiating its role in reducing income disparity. Higher unionization levels not only correlate with lower income inequality but also highlight the bargaining power unions wield in the labour market. The application of the IV model, particularly with the 'bargain1' variable, allows us to interpret these relationships as causal, not simply correlational. By addressing potential endogeneity, the IV approach underscores the critical role collective bargaining and Trade Union Density play in shaping income distribution.

The introduction of Democratic Governance as an instrumental variable plays a pivotal role in addressing endogeneity in the model. It's important to note that these relationships are interpreted causally due to the use of instrumental variables, which helps to control for endogeneity. This implies that the observed relationships are less likely to be influenced by omitted variable bias or reverse causality. These findings contribute valuable insights into policy discussions aimed at reducing income inequality and improving labour market outcomes. Trade Union Density also shows a negative coefficient, suggesting that as union density increases, income inequality decreases. This is in line with traditional views on the role of unions in promoting fair wages and reducing income disparities. A higher union density means a larger proportion of the workforce is unionized, and this collective representation is effective in pushing for policies and wage negotiations that benefit a broader segment of employees, not just the top earners.

While the analysis highlights a negative association between collective bargaining and income inequality, it's crucial to acknowledge that these effects might not be uniform across all segments of the workforce or time periods. Let's delve deeper into these complexities.

### Heterogeneity of Effects: Who Benefits Most?

The impact of collective bargaining on income inequality might vary depending on worker characteristics. For instance, the wage gains secured through collective bargaining agreements might be more substantial for low-skilled workers compared to highly skilled workers who may already negotiate higher wages individually. Similarly, the effects might differ across industries, with stronger effects in sectors with a traditionally high union presence, such as manufacturing, compared to service sectors with lower unionization rates. Examining these variations through subgroup analyses can provide a more nuanced understanding of how collective bargaining shapes income distribution across different worker groups.

### Complementary Mechanisms: Beyond Wages

While wage adjustments are a central mechanism through which collective bargaining can influence income inequality, other factors might also play a role. Unions might promote income equality by advocating for policies that enhance job security, provide access to training programs, or strengthen social safety nets. These additional mechanisms can help to reduce income disparities beyond the direct effect on wages. Future research could explore the relative importance of these complementary mechanisms in achieving a more equitable income distribution.

### Dynamic Effects: A Long-Term Perspective

The relationship between collective bargaining, union density, and income inequality might evolve over time. For example, the decline of unionization rates in many countries in recent decades might have had a long-term impact on income inequality. Analyzing data over longer periods and exploring how changes in collective bargaining strength or union density affect income inequality over time could provide insights into the dynamics of these relationships. This longitudinal perspective can help us understand how institutional changes in the labor market influence income distribution in the long run.

By investigating heterogeneity, complementary mechanisms, and dynamic effects, we can move beyond an average treatment effect and gain a richer understanding of the multifaceted ways in which collective bargaining shapes income inequality. This knowledge can inform policy discussions aimed at promoting a more equitable distribution of income and improving overall labor market outcomes.

# Policy Implication

The empirical findings from the regression analysis have significant policy implications. The demonstrated negative relationship between collective bargaining, trade union density, and the Gini Index suggests that strengthening labour unions and collective bargaining processes could be an effective policy lever for reducing income inequality. Governments might, therefore, consider enacting and enforcing legislation that protects the right to organize and collectively bargain, as well as policies that encourage higher union membership. Moreover, supporting a fair minimum wage could serve as a complementary policy measure to narrow income disparities further. These strategies, alongside broader collective bargaining coverage, can not only promote a more equitable income distribution but also contribute to a stable and motivated workforce, which is beneficial for the overall economy. As such, policymakers should view the empowerment of trade unions and the facilitation of collective bargaining not only as a matter of labour rights but also as a central component of a comprehensive strategy to tackle economic inequality.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, the intricate investigation into the dynamics of labour unions and collective bargaining across EU countries has illuminated their profound influence on income inequality. The use of fixed effects regression models with instrumental variables provides a compelling narrative on the causal relationships inherent in the labour market. The findings explicitly demonstrate that collective bargaining and trade union density play instrumental roles in shaping equitable economic outcomes, as evidenced by their significant negative impact on the Gini Index. Collective bargaining strength, encapsulated in the 'bargain1' variable, emerged as a robust determinant in mitigating income inequality. Enhanced bargaining power, indicated by the ATE, is associated with a reduction in the Gini Index, suggesting that strong collective bargaining mechanisms are central to achieving income equity. The persistence of trade union density, despite the observed decline in membership, underscores the enduring importance of unions in the quest for social justice. The inclusion of Democratic Governance as an instrumental variable underscores the necessity of robust democratic institutions and practices in supporting effective labour representation. This factor alone has the potential to influence the very fabric of labour dynamics, as it encapsulates the degree to which citizens can engage in the socioeconomic decisions that affect their lives. From a policy perspective, these insights stress the importance of supporting and strengthening labour unions and collective bargaining frameworks. This support could take the form of favourable legislation, incentives for union membership, and initiatives that foster collective bargaining, especially in the face of a changing labour market landscape. Such measures not only address immediate economic disparities but also fortify the foundations for a resilient and inclusive economy. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on labour economics and social equity by providing empirical evidence of the mechanisms through which collective bargaining and trade union density can influence income distribution. As we navigate the complexities of a globalized economy, it becomes increasingly clear that the pursuit of inclusive growth must consider the pivotal role of labour institutions in fostering equitable labour market outcomes.
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