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Abstract

**Scenario 1: The business requirement is to data capture supplier expectations as presented by the supplier and to effect returns of goods not binned.**

**Scenario 2: Manage stock returns to supplier.**

**In both scenarios the Supplier expectations and Engineparts requirements are sustained**

Return to Vendor

Control Supplier expectations vs Actual
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# Introduction

Goods ***Returns to Vendor*** (RTV) may occur when:

* Goods received is found to be non-conformant and is to be returned without taking it into stock for financial porpoises. However, the supplier remittance needs to reflect the status of having received the consignment with rejection declaration as per the formal checking process.
* Goods, after taken into stock (binned), needs to be returned to the supplier for a (debit) credit (in supplier accounting). The quantity on hand needs to be reduced.

# Audience

* Creditors
* Warehouse receiving
* Warehouse administration
* Despatching

# Objectives

* The objective is to acknowledge all related forms of expectation between Engineparts and its suppliers in a manner that all contributing events are recorded and reflected on the remittance advice to the supplier.
* Accounting for the creditors would reflect the vendor financial expectation as well as the Engineparts reason for non-acceptance of some or all received goods.
* The non-conforming goods are financially reflected but reversed based on the non-conformance financial entry.
* Goods received and taken into stock updates the stock control sub-ledger. However, there may be reasons for binned goods to be returned.
* The process requires that warehouse staff ***quarantine*** goods to be returned to vendor by using a bin to bin transfer transaction. Effectively, the bin location should be near the RTV admin staff and also the destination bin flagged as ***non-sellable***. Stock with this bin profile is not on the availability to sell list.
* The Bin to bin transferred goods are then inspected and the required RTV detail captured reflecting supplier original source documentation.
* The financial systems reflect the Engineparts expectation on the Supplier and will reflect same on the remittance advice.
* Stock on hand position is reduced by the quantity returned to the vendor.

# Database entities and relationships

# Programs

# MS Windows Executables

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Description** |
|  |  |

# SQL Stored Procedures

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Description** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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