Grading Checklist - PSP 0.1

Student	Jacqueline Castillo Murga	Program 2a
Instructor	Adolfo Centeno	

	Grading	Data Entry
Date	18/04/22	
Start		
End		
Interrupt		
Total	38 / 40	

Legend
1 - O.K.
0 - resubmit

	Assignment Package	**Comments**
✓	*PSP0.1 Project Planning Summary*	
	PIP Form	
✓	Time Recording Log	.
✓	Defect Recording Log	
✓	Source Program Listing for Programs 1 and 2	
✓	Test Results	
✓	*Size Counting Standard*	
✓	*Coding Standard*	

	Program and Test Results	**Comments**
✓	The program appears to be workable.	
✓	All required tests have been run.	
✓	The actual output is correct for each test.	
✓	*Source is compatible with coding standard.*	
✓	*Test results are consistent with the counting standard.*	

	Time Log	**Comments**
✓	Time data are entered for all process steps.	
✓	Process steps are sequenced appropriately.	
✓	Time data are entered against the appropriate process step.	
✓	Interrupt time is tracked appropriately.	
✓	Time data are complete and reasonable.	
✓	Times were recorded as the work was done.	

	Defect Log	**Comments**
✓	Every defect has all required data.	
✓	Defects were injected before removed.	
✓	Every defect has a fix time.	
✓	Defects injected in compile and test have fix numbers.	
✓	Defects are adequately described.	
✓	Defect types are consistent with description.	
✓	Defect types are consistent with phase injected.	
✓	Defect types are assigned consistently.	

Grading Checklist - PSP 0.1

	PIP Form	**Comments**
	The PIP form is completed.	
✓	***The entries show insight and thought.***	

	Planning Summary	**Comments**
✓	Planned total time has been entered correctly.	
✓	***Planned and actual size data are entered correctly.***	

	Consistency Checks	**Comments**
✓	Defects removed are consistent with compile and test phase time and program size.	
✓	Total compile defect fix times are less than compile time.	
✓	Total test defect fix times are less than test time.	
✓	Defect dates & phases are consistent with the time log.	
✓	Planning summary is consistent with the time log.	
✓	Planning summary is consistent with the defect log.	

	General	**Comments**
✓	Followed the defined process.	
✓	Complete, consistent and accurate process data collected.	
✓	The student did his or her own work.	