

Retrodictive Quantum Computing

Jacques Carette¹

Gerardo Ortiz^{*2}

Amr Sabry²

¹*McMaster University*


²*Indiana University*

April 20, 2022


Quantum evolution is time-reversible and yet little advantage is gained from this fact in the circuit model of quantum computing. Indeed, most quantum algorithms expressed in the circuit model compute strictly from the present to the future, preparing initial states and proceeding forward with unitary transformations and measurements. In contrast, retrodictive quantum theory [3], retrocausality [2], and the time-symmetry of physical laws [22] all suggest that quantum computation embodies richer –untapped– modes of computation, which could exploit knowledge about the future for a computational advantage.

Here we demonstrate that, in concert with the computational concepts of demand-driven lazy evaluation [13] and symbolic partial evaluation [10], retrodictive reasoning can indeed be used as a computational resource that exhibits richer modes of computation at the boundary of the classical/quantum divide. Specifically, instead of fully specifying the initial conditions of a quantum circuit and computing forward, it is possible to compute, classically, in both the forward and backward directions starting from partially specified initial and final conditions. Furthermore, this mixed mode of computation (i) can solve problems with fewer resources than the conventional forward mode of execution, sometimes even purely classically, (ii) can be expressed in a symbolic representation that immediately exposes global properties of the wavefunction that are needed for quantum algorithms, (iii) can lead to the de-quantization of some quantum algorithms, providing efficient classical algorithms inspired by their quantum counterparts, and (iv) reveals that the entanglement patterns inherent in genuine quantum algorithms with no known classical counterparts are artifacts of the chosen symbolic representation.


The main ideas underlying our contributions can be illustrated with the aid of the small examples in Fig. 1. In the conventional computational mode (Fig. 1a), the execution starts with the initial state $|00\rangle$. The first gate (Hadamard) evolves the state to $1/\sqrt{2}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle)$ which is transformed by the controlled-not (CX) gate to $1/\sqrt{2}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$. The measurements at the end produce 00 or 11 with equal probability. Fig. 1b keeps the quantum core of the circuit, removing the measurements, and naming the inputs and outputs with symbolic variables. Now, instead of setting $x_1 = y_1 = 0$ and computing forward as before, we can, for example, set $x_2 = 1$ and $y_2 = 0$ and calculate backwards as follows: $|10\rangle$ evolves in the backwards direction to $|11\rangle$ and then to $1/\sqrt{2}(|01\rangle - |11\rangle)$. In other words, in order to observe $x_2 y_2 = 10$, the variable x_1 should be prepared in the superposition $1/\sqrt{2}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ and y_1 should be prepared in the state $|1\rangle$. More



(a) Bell circuit



(b) Quantum core



(c) Classical core

Figure 1: A conventional quantum circuit with initial conditions and measurement (a); its quantum core without measurement and with unspecified initial and final conditions (b); and its classical core without explicit quantum superpositions (c).




Figure 2: Template quantum circuit

interestingly, we can partially specify the initial and final conditions. For example, we can fix $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 1$ and ask if there are any possible values for y_1 and y_2 that would be consistent with this setting. To answer the question, we calculate, using the techniques of symbolic partial evaluation (Methods), as follows. The initial state is $|0y_1\rangle$ which evolves to $1/\sqrt{2}(|0y_1\rangle + |1y_1\rangle)$ and then to $1/\sqrt{2}(|0y_1\rangle + |1(1 \oplus y_1)\rangle)$ where \oplus is the exclusive-or operation and $1 \oplus y_1$ is the canonical way of negating y_1 in the Algebraic Normal Form (ANF) of boolean expressions (Methods). This final state can now be reconciled with the specified final conditions $1y_2$ revealing that the settings are consistent provided that $y_2 = 1 \oplus y_1$. We can, in fact, go one step further and analyze the circuit without the Hadamard gate as shown in Fig. 1c. The reasoning is that the role of Hadamard is to introduce (modulo phase) uncertainty about whether $x_1 = 0$ or $x_1 = 1$. But, again modulo phase, the same uncertainty can be expressed by just using the variable x_1 . Thus, in Fig. 1c, we can set $y_1 = 0$ and $y_2 = 1$ and ask about values of x_1 and x_2 that would be consistent with this setting. We can calculate backwards from $|x_21\rangle$ as follows. The state evolves to $|x_2(1 \oplus x_2)\rangle$ which can be reconciled with the initial conditions yielding the constraints $x_1 = x_2$ and $1 \oplus x_2 = 0$ whose solutions are $x_1 = x_2 = 1$.

These insights are robust and can be implemented in software (Methods) to analyze circuits with millions of gates for the quantum algorithms that match the template in Fig. 2 (including Deutsch, Deutsch-Jozsa, Bernstein-Vazirani, Simon, Grover, and Shor's algorithms [4, 8, 9, 12, 17–19]). The software is completely classical, performing mixed mode executions of the classical core of the circuits, i.e., the U_f block defined as $U_f(|x\rangle|y\rangle) = |x\rangle|f(x) \oplus y\rangle$. Specifically, in all these algorithms, the top collection of wires (which we will call the computational register) is prepared in a uniform superposition which can be represented using symbolic variables. The measurement of the bottom collection of wires (which we call the ancilla register) after barrier 2 provides partial information about the future which is, together with the initial conditions of the ancilla register, sufficient to symbolically execute the circuit. In each case, instead of the conventional execution flow depicted in Fig. 2(a), we find a possible measurement outcome w at barrier (2) and perform a symbolic retrodictive execution with a state $|xw\rangle$ going backwards to collect the constraints on x that enable us to solve the problem in question.

Algorithms.

The accompanying code includes retrodictive implementations of six major quantum algorithms: Deutsch, Deutsch-Jozsa, Bernstein-Vazirani, Simon, Grover, and Shor. We highlight the salient results for the first five algorithms, and then discuss the most interesting case of Shor's algorithm in detail.

De-Quantization. We abbreviate the set $\{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)\}$ as $[\mathbf{n}]$. In the Deutsch-Jozsa problem, we are given a function $[\mathbf{2}^n] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}]$ that is promised to be constant or balanced and we need to distinguish the two cases. The quantum circuit Fig. 3 shows the algorithm for the case $n = 1$. Instead of the conventional execution, we perform a retrodictive execution of the U_f block with an ancilla measurement 0, i.e., with the state $|x_{n-1} \dots x_1 x_0 0\rangle$. The




Figure 3: Quantum Circuit for the Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm ($n = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
u = 0 & \quad 1 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \\
& \quad \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 1 & \quad x_0 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 2 & \quad x_1 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 3 & \quad x_0x_1 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 4 & \quad x_2 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 5 & \quad x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 6 & \quad x_1x_2 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 7 & \quad x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 8 & \quad x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 9 & \quad x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 10 & \quad x_1x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 11 & \quad x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 12 & \quad x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 13 & \quad x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 14 & \quad x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\
u = 15 & \quad x_0x_1x_2x_3
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 4: Result of retrodictive execution for the Grover oracle ($n = 4$, w in the range $\{0..15\}$). The highlighted red subformula is the binary representation of the hidden input u .

result of the execution is a symbolic formula r that determines the conditions under which $f(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0) = 0$. When the function is constant, the results are $0 = 0$ (always) or $1 = 0$ (never). When the function is balanced, we get a formula that mentions the relevant variables. For example, here are the results of three executions for balanced functions $[2^6] \rightarrow [2]$:

- $x_0 = 0$,
- $x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_5 = 0$, and
- $1 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 = 0$.

In the first case, the function is balanced because it produces 0 exactly when $x_0 = 0$ which happens half of the time in all possible inputs; in the second case the output of the function is the exclusive-or of all the input variables which is another easy instance of a balanced function. The last case is a cryptographically strong balanced function whose output pattern is balanced but, by design, difficult to discern [6].

An important insight is that we actually do not care about the exact formula. Indeed, since we are promised that the function is either constant or balanced, then any formula that refers to at least one variable must indicate a balanced function. In other words, the outcome of the algorithm can be immediately decided if the formula is anything other than 0 or 1. Indeed, our implementation correctly identifies all 12870 balanced functions $[2^4] \rightarrow [2]$. This is significant as some of these functions produce complicated entangled patterns during quantum evolution and could not be de-quantized using previous approaches [1]. A word of caution though: our results assume a “white-box” complexity model rather than a “black-box” complexity model [16].

The discussion above suggests that the details of the equations may not be particularly relevant for some algorithms. This would be crucial as the satisfiability of general boolean equations is, in general, an NP-complete problem [7, 15, 20]. Fortunately, this observation does hold for other algorithms as well including the Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm and Grover’s algorithm. In both cases, the result can be immediately read from the formula. In the Bernstein-Vazirani case, formulae are guaranteed to be of the form $x_1 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_5$; the secret string is then the binary number that has a 1 at the indices of the relevant variables $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Base	Equations					Solution
$a = 11$	$x_0 = 0$					$x_0 = 0$
$a = 4, 14$	$1 \oplus x_0 = 1$	$x_0 = 0$				$x_0 = 0$
$a = 7, 13$	$1 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 = 1$	$x_0x_1 = 0$	$x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 = 0$	$x_0 \oplus x_0x_1 = 0$		$x_0 = x_1 = 0$
$a = 2, 8$	$1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 = 1$	$x_0x_1 = 0$	$x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 = 0$	$x_0 \oplus x_0x_1 = 0$		$x_0 = x_1 = 0$

Figure 5: Equations generated by retrodictive execution of $a^x \bmod 15$ for different values of a , starting from observed result 1 and unknown $x_8x_7x_6x_5x_4x_3x_2x_1x_0$. The solution for the unknown variables is given in the last column.

In the case for Grover, because there is a unique input u for which $f(u) = 1$, the ANF formula must include a subformula matching the binary representation of u , and in fact that subformula is guaranteed to be the shortest one as shown in Fig. 4.

Shor’s Algorithm The circuit in Fig. 6 uses a hand-optimized implementation of quantum oracle U_f for the modular exponentiation function $f(x) = 4^x \bmod 15$ to factor 15 using Shor’s algorithm. The white dot in the graphical representation of the first indicates that the control is active when it is 0. In a conventional forward execution, the state before the QFT block is:

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}((|0\rangle + |2\rangle + |4\rangle + |6\rangle)|1\rangle + (|1\rangle + |3\rangle + |5\rangle + |7\rangle)|4\rangle)$$

At this point, the ancilla register is measured to either $|1\rangle$ or $|4\rangle$. In either case, the computational register snaps to a state of the form $\sum_{r=0}^3 |a + 2r\rangle$ whose QFT has peaks at $|0\rangle$ or $|4\rangle$ making them the most likely outcomes of measurements of the computational register. If we measure $|0\rangle$, we repeat the experiment; otherwise we infer that the period is 2.

In the retrodictive execution, we can start with the state $|x_2x_1x_0001\rangle$ since 1 is guaranteed to be a possible ancilla measurement (corresponding to $f(0)$). The first CX-gate changes the state to $|x_2x_1x_0x_001\rangle$ and the second CX-gate produces $|x_2x_1x_0x_00x_0\rangle$. At that point, we reconcile the retrodictive result of the ancilla register $|x_00x_0\rangle$ with the initial condition $|000\rangle$ to conclude that $x_0 = 0$. In other words, in order to observe the ancilla at 001, the computational register must be initialized to a superposition of the form $|??0\rangle$ where the least significant bit must be 0 and the other two bits are unconstrained. Expanding the possibilities, the first register needs to be in a superposition of the states $|000\rangle, |010\rangle, |100\rangle$ or $|110\rangle$ and we have just inferred using purely classical but retrodictive reasoning that the period is 2.

This result does not, in fact, require the small optimized circuit of Fig. 6. In our implementation, modular exponentiation circuits are constructed from first principles using adders and multipliers [21]. In the case of $f(x) = 4^x \bmod 15$, although the unoptimized constructed circuit has 56,538 generalized Toffoli gates (controlledⁿ-not gates for all n), the execution results in just two simple equations: $x_0 = 0$ and $1 \oplus x_0 = 1$. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 5, the shape and size of the equations is largely insensitive to the choice of 4 as the base of the exponent, leading in all cases to the immediate conclusion that the period is either 2 or 4. When the solution is $x_0 = 0$, the period is 2, and when it is $x_0 = x_1 = 0$, the period is 4.

The remarkable effectiveness of retrodictive computation of the Shor instance for factoring 15 is due to a coincidence: a period that is a power of 2 is clearly trivial to represent in the binary number system which, after all is expressly designed for that purpose. That coincidence repeats itself when factoring products of




Figure 6: Finding the period of $4^x \bmod 15$

the (known) Fermat primes: 3, 5, 17, 257, and 65537, and leads to small circuits [11]. This is confirmed with our implementation which smoothly deals with unoptimized circuits for factoring such products. Factoring $3 \cdot 17 = 51$ using the unoptimized circuit of 177,450 generalized Toffoli gates produces just the 4 equations: $1 \oplus x_1 = 1$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_0 \oplus x_0 x_1 = 0$, and $x_1 \oplus x_0 x_1 = 0$. Even for $3 \cdot 65537 = 196611$ whose circuit has 4,328,778 generalized Toffoli gates, the execution produces 16 small equations that refer to just the four variables x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 constraining them to be all 0, i.e., asserting that the period is 16.

Since periods that are powers of 2 are rare and special, we turn our attention to factoring problems with other periods. The simplest such problem is that of factoring 21 with an underlying function $f(x) = 4^x \bmod 21$ of period 3. The unoptimized circuit constructed from the first principles has 78,600 generalized Toffoli gates; its execution generates just three equations. But even in this rather trivial situation, the equations span 5 pages of text! (Supplementary Material). A small optimization reducing the number of qubits results in a circuit of 15,624 generalized Toffoli gates whose execution produces still quite large, but more reasonable, equations (Supplementary Material). To understand the reason for these unwieldy equations, we examine a general ANF formula of the form $X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3 \oplus \dots = 0$ where each X_i is a conjunction of some boolean variables, i.e., the variables in each X exhibit constructive interference as they must all be true to enable that $X = 1$. Since the entire formula must equal to 0, every $X_i = 1$ must be offset by another $X_j = 1$, thus exhibiting negative interference among X_i and X_j . Generally speaking, arbitrary interference patterns can be encoded in the formulae at the cost of making the size of the formulae exponential in the number of variables. This exponential blowup is actually a necessary condition for any quantum algorithm that can offer an exponential speed-up over classical computation [14].

It would however be incorrect to conclude that factoring 21 is inherently harder than factoring 15. The issue is simply that the binary number system is well-tuned to expressing patterns over powers of 2 but a very poor match for expressing patterns over powers of 3. Indeed, we show that by just using qutrits, the circuit and equations for factoring 21 become trivial while those for factoring 15 become unwieldy. The manually optimized circuit in Fig. 7 consists of just three gates; its retrodictive execution produces two equations: $x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 \neq 2$, setting $x_0 = 0$ and leaving x_1 unconstrained. The matching values in the qutrit system as 00, 10, 20 or in decimal 0, 3, 6 clearly identifying the period to be 3.




Figure 7: Finding the period of $4^x \bmod 21$ using qutrits. The three gates are from left to right are the X , SUM, and $C(X)$ gates for ternary arithmetic [5]. The X gate adds 1 modulo 3; the controlled version $C(X)$ only increments when the control is equal to 2, and the SUM gates maps $|a, b\rangle$ to $|a, a + b\rangle$.

References

- [1] Alastair A. Abbott. “The Deutsch-Jozsa problem: de-quantization and entanglement”. In: *Natural Computing* 11 (2012).
- [2] Yakir Aharonov and Lev Vaidman. “The Two-State Vector Formalism: An Updated Review”. In: *Time in Quantum Mechanics*. Ed. by J.G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato, and Í.L. Egusquiza. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 399–447.
- [3] Stephen M. Barnett, John Jeffers, and David T. Pegg. “Quantum Retrodiction: Foundations and Controversies”. In: *Symmetry* 13.4 (2021).
- [4] Ethan Bernstein and Umesh Vazirani. “Quantum Complexity Theory”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 26.5 (1997), pp. 1411–1473. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539796300921>.
- [5] Alex Bocharov, Shawn X. Cui, Martin Roetteler, and Krysta M. Svore. “Improved Quantum Ternary Arithmetic”. In: *Quantum Info. Comput.* 16.9–10 (July 2016), pp. 862–884.

- [6] Linda Burnett, William Millan, Edward Dawson, and Andrew Clark. “Simpler Methods for Generating Better Boolean Functions with Good Cryptographic Properties”. In: *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 29 (2004), pp. 231–247.
- [7] Stephen A. Cook. “The Complexity of Theorem-Proving Procedures”. In: *Proceedings of the Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. STOC ’71. Shaker Heights, Ohio, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1971, pp. 151–158.
- [8] David Deutsch. “Quantum theory, the Church–Turing principle and the universal quantum computer”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 400 (1985).
- [9] David Deutsch and Richard Jozsa. “Rapid solution of problems by quantum computation”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 439 (1992).
- [10] Yoshihiko Futamura. “Partial computation of programs”. In: *RIMS Symposia on Software Science and Engineering*. Ed. by Eiichi Goto, Koichi Furukawa, Reiji Nakajima, Ikuo Nakata, and Akinori Yonezawa. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1983, pp. 1–35.
- [11] Michael R. Geller and Zhongyuan Zhou. “Factoring 51 and 85 with 8 qubits”. In: *Scientific Reports* (3023) 3.1 (2013).
- [12] Lov K. Grover. “A Fast Quantum Mechanical Algorithm for Database Search”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. STOC ’96. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1996, pp. 212–219.
- [13] Peter Henderson and James H. Morris. “A Lazy Evaluator”. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGACT-SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles on Programming Languages*. POPL ’76. Atlanta, Georgia: Association for Computing Machinery, 1976, pp. 95–103.
- [14] Richarda Jozsa and Noah Linden. “On the Role of Entanglement in Quantum-Computational Speed-Up”. In: *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 459.2036 (2003), pp. 2011–2032.
- [15] Richard M. Karp. “Reducibility among Combinatorial Problems”. In: *Complexity of Computer Computations: Proceedings of a symposium on the Complexity of Computer Computations, held March 20–22, 1972, at the IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York, and sponsored by the Office of Naval Research, Mathematics Program, IBM World Trade Corporation, and the IBM Research Mathematical Sciences Department*. Ed. by Raymond E. Miller, James W. Thatcher, and Jean D. Bohlinger. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1972, pp. 85–103.
- [16] Ilan Komargodski, Moni Naor, and Eylon Yogev. “White-Box vs. Black-Box Complexity of Search Problems: Ramsey and Graph Property Testing”. In: *J. ACM* 66.5 (July 2019).
- [17] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [18] Peter W. Shor. “Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 26.5 (1997), pp. 1484–1509. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539795293172>.
- [19] D.R. Simon. “On the power of quantum computation”. In: *Proceedings 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*. 1994, pp. 116–123.
- [20] B.A. Trakhtenbrot. “A Survey of Russian Approaches to Perebor (Brute-Force Searches) Algorithms”. In: *Annals of the History of Computing* 6.4 (1984), pp. 384–400.
- [21] Vlatko Vedral, Adriano Barenco, and Artur Ekert. “Quantum networks for elementary arithmetic operations”. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 54 (1 July 1996), pp. 147–153.
- [22] Satoshi Watanabe. “Symmetry of Physical Laws. Part III. Prediction and Retrodiction”. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 27 (2 Apr. 1955), pp. 179–186.

Methods

hybrid classical / quantum

adaptive computation: factor 21

major insight 0: the idea of retro QC mixed with symbolic execution and post-selection leading to equational representation

major insight I: in many quantum algorithms, we only need equations on the classical sub-circuit; rich enough to express wavefunctions with entanglement

major insight II: we don't need to completely solve the equations in many algorithms; just need to extract a global pattern

limitations; size of equations can get exponential; Jozsa paper. Single representation will sometimes blow up; change representation can reduce size but leave this for further investigation; can we dynamically change representation???

Shor 21: state $0 + 3 + 6 + 9 + 12 + 15$ in binary big (and maximally entangled) $0000 + 0011 + 0110 + 1001 + 1100 + 1111$ in ternary nice and small (and unentangled) $000 + 010 + 020 + 100 + 110 + 120 \dots = (00 + 01 + 02 + 10 + 11 + 12 \dots)$ $0 = (0+1+2) (0+1+2)$ 0 true for equations? here are equations when state is binary here are equations when state is ternary $x0 = 0$

equational representation of partially specified wave functions de-mystifies some algorithms

on the way back with retro, we do post-selection on initial condition!!!

small tree width idea suggests we could do retro and measure every once in a while. for shor perhaps measure after every iteration of multiplication <https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0511069.pdf>

try retro with impossible measurement; how happens with the equations: retroShor 15 with ancilla=0 produces equation: $0 = 1$

with post selection can we solve SAT ??

what is know about complexity of converting a circuit to ANF?

for Shor we construct U_f as part of the algorithm so the cost of generating the circuit is part of the complexity analysis

for the other algos U_f is given to us: if given to us as an ANF formula we can answer questions directly with minimal evaluation; so the challenge is to convert the U_f box to ANF; exponential in general ??? but for the particular functions of interest could be efficient run Shor backwards from QFT measurement; the state right before QFT is a periodic state that approximates the state we would have received from forward exec. Good point to discuss existence of wavefunction; forward vs backwards. construct circuit with period = 3; show wavefunction before QFT in regular exec; assume we measure v show wavefunction before QFT in retrodictive. Connection between these two wavefunctions?

of course try $1/-1$ instead $0/1$

existence of wavefunction: retrodictive QM says no reality to wavefunctions.
other paper on reality of wavefunction; can't be just observer belief
in our work it is an intermediate state of computation, so yes some wavefunction exists
but which one exists depends on the particulars of the execution model and is not uniquely
determined by the circuit
what would happen in Shor if you put 0 at ancilla init and 1 at ancilla measurement (only
look for 1 at ancilla measurement)
post-selection <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PostBQP>
why would Nature execute the circuit in the way we draw it
Use Pi to write circuits because the current model is hardwired to use base 2.
two classes of variables ??? Z vars whose values range over 0/1 X vars whose values range
over +/-
We are running classically and symbolically.
State is maintained in ANF.
We will maintain the ANF clauses in p-blocks with a maximum p of say 10.
We will start running with base 2 representation.
If an operation involves variables in the same block, then good
If an operation involves variables in different blocks, merge the blocks, do the operation.
If the block is now too large, find a different basis for this block that will make its size smaller

Step I: QC with qutrits (or more generally qudits)
not $x = (x + 1) \text{ 'mod' } 3$ cnot $(x,y) = (x, (x + y) \text{ 'mod' } 3)$ toffoli $(x,y,z) = (x, y, (xy + z) \text{ 'mod' } 3)$
Bell cnot $(x,0) = (x,x)$
GHZ $(x,0,0) =_i (x,x,0) =_i (x,x,x)$
Shor 21 with qutrits
 $c0 \ c1 \ c2 \ q0 \ q1 \ (0+1+2) \ (0+1+2) \ (0+1+2) \ 0 \ 0 =_i (c2,q1) \ c0 \ c1 \ q0$
 $(00+11+22) \ (0+1+2) \ (0+1+2) \ 0 =_i (c1,c2,q1) \ c0 \ q0 \ (000+112+221)$
 $(0+1+2) \ 0 =_i (c1,c2,q1,q0) \ c0 \ (0000+1122+2211) \ (0+1+2) =_i (c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $c0 \ (0000+1112+2201) \ (0+1+2) =_i (c1,c2,q1,q0) \ c0 \ (0000+1110+2201)$
 $(0+1+2) =_i (c1,c2,q1,q0) \ c0 \ (0010+1120+2211) \ (0+1+2) =_i (c0,c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $(00010+01120+02211+10011+11122+12212+20012+21121+22210) =_i (c0,c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $(00020+01100+02221+10021+11102+12222+20022+21101+22220) =_i (c0,c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $(00022+01100+02220+10020+11102+12221+20021+21101+22222) =_i (c0,c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $(00022+01100+02220+10020+11122+12201+20011+21121+22202) =_i (c0,c1,c2,q1,q0)$
 $(00021+01100+02222+10022+11121+12201+20012+21120+22202)$

222	<p>c0 c1 c2 q0 q1 01100 + 21102 + 12210 + 00012 + 11112 + 22220 20021 + 02222 + 10022 + —</p> <p>Need to write quantum programs in a way that is independent of the underlying basis and let the runtime system change basis to reduce the size of the intermediate states. This could be related to QFT and to reducing dimension in ML Circuit for $4^x \bmod 21$ x f(x) 0 1 1 4 2 16 3 1 4 4 5 16 6 1 7 4 3 qubits for computational register (c2c1c0) 5 qubits for ancilla (a4a3a2a1a0) 000 00000 ==¿ 000 00001 001 00000 ==¿ 001 00100 010 00000 ==¿ 010 10000 011 00000 ==¿ 011 00001 100 00000 ==¿ 100 00100 101 00000 ==¿ 101 10000 110 00000 ==¿ 110 00001 111 00000 ==¿ 111 00100 000 + 011 + 110 ==¿ a0=1 001 + 100 + 111 ==¿ a2=1 010 + 101 ==¿ a4=1 cccx(-c2,-c1,-c0,a0) cccx(-c2,c1,c0,a0) cccx(c2,c1,-c0,a0) cccx(-c2,-c1,c0,a2) cccx(c2,-c1,- c0,a2) cccx(c2,c1,c0,a2) cccx(-c2,c1,-c0,a4) cccx(c2,-c1,c0,a4) — Execute keeping track of blocks (keep max at 4) c2 c1 c0 a4 a3 a2 a1 a0 (0+1)(0+1)(0+1) 00000 c2,c1,c0,a0 a4 a3 a2 a1 (0001+0010+0100+0110+1000+1010+1100+1110) 0000 (0001+0010+0100+0111+1000+1010+1100+1110) 0000 (0001+0010+0100+0111+1000+1010+1101+1110) 0000 c2,c1,c0,a0,a2 a4 a3 a1 (00010+00101+01000+01110+10000+10100+11010+11100) 000 ex- press 2+5+8+14+16+20+26+28 in base 3 00002+00012+00022+00112+00121+00202+00222+01001 0 (0002+0012+0022+0112+0121+0202+0222+1001) c2 c1,c0,a0,a2 a4 a3 a1 — Symbolically ?</p>
223	<p>start with base 2 (booleans) and maximum groups of p. if groups get too big switch to another basis that makes the representation smaller related to p-adics ???</p>
224	<p>longest clause gives period; basically we have constraints on all the vars in the longest clause; 2^i where i is the index of the next variable is the period Try: 1285, 196611, 327685</p>
225	<p>do communication protocols too ? extensional vs intensional reasoning about functions graph state: H,H,CZ 00 00 01 01 10 10 11 -11 check if H commutes with x and cx and ccx so we only need H at beginning and end insight: QFT insensitive to 0+2+4... vs 1+3+5... so insensitive to where lsb is 0/1 so we only need to know if a variable is constant or varying fourier transform classical efficient in some cases Kochen-Specker; interactive QM; observer free will; choice backtracks universe uses lazy evaluation? algebra of Toffoli and Hadamard ZX calculus values going at different speeds; intervals ideas; path types https://quantumalgorithmzoo.org</p>

|−); two classes of vars; +vars and -vars; -vars infect +vars in control gates; We have two operations +red (add red) -red (remove red) Remember $cx(+,-) = (-,-)$ Some interactions (Toffoli) want to create more refined operations $+/(1/2)(red)$ $+/(red)$ The more you do these operations the more precise it wants to be $+/(1/4)(red)$ $+/(1/2) red$ $+/(red)$ taint analysis with increasing precisions; truncate at desired precision (more and more colors) The taint analysis groups variables in “waves” (superpositions) of things that have the same color so the values we propagate are “red: phase=p; frequency=f; involved variables=x1,x2,...” Possibility that collapse of wave function is information flow back from measured future to present unknown initial conditions and then back to rest of wave that was not measured We need to explain ideas about time-reversal, prediction and retrodiction in physics. The laws of computation and the laws of physics are intimately related. When does knowing something about the future help us unveil the structure or symmetries of the past? It is like a detective story, but one with ramifications in complexity and/or efficiency. Problems involving questions where answers demand a Many(past)-to-one(future) map are at the root of our proposal

Possibility that collapse of wave function is information flow back from measured future to present unknown initial conditions and then back to rest of wave that was not measured transactional interpretation?

instead of generating one formula; generating many formulas with different weights or with various patterns of negative weights... and sum them to get the patterns we need

- Symbolic (retrodictive) evaluation as a broader perspective to classical computation
- Symbolic execution allows you to express/discover interference via shared variables
- When interference pattern is simple symbolic execution reveals solutions faster (and completely classically)
- Symbolic execution as a “classical waves” computing paradigm

Shor: have some fixed set of periodic states and always match the closest one after each gate??

Sort clauses by length; the difference two consecutive clauses is the period !!!!!

something has to give: either more entanglement requires more energy; or signal back in time can be detected; or more mass

quantum algorithms built complex wavefunction and then ask an aggregate question; similar to molecules moving this way and that way and then asking a question about temperature. It can be calculated by average; no need to track every molecule.

but the program and the programming language is designed to track every molecule; and then the observation is something aggregate and statistical. Strange

Average frequency of each bit weighed by 2^i . Run with one symbolic variable and all others 0 to find contribution of this bit to frequency or its average frequency.

Use qutrits for Shor 21. Equations should be nice

Then see if we can run with a parameter p for the base. Then we can choose p dynamically. Perhaps keep a range of “good” values of p as we execute.

run PEZ with +1/-1 instead of 0/1

black box model forbids you to use some interesting property of the circuit for U_f ; we actually have this too because ANF representation does not depend on how you implement the circuit. (circuit for $a^x \bmod 15$ manually optimized or not gives the same formula); so we could fit in the black box model but putting the formula inside the black box. We can answer lots of questions quickly but not Shor in general.

if oracle takes n steps to answer, I can probably absorb the n cost in the main algorithm and assume the oracle takes one step

for Grover the shortest clause gives the solution!!!!!!

ANF is a normal form; any other implementation gives the same formula

two important points to make up front: ANF and white-box, black-box, and generator complexity measures <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3341106> Ewin Tang makes a similar point about the white, black, generator measures I think

relation between the complexity of the formula and the corresponding wavefunction. Some very complicated formula denote just a single quantum state so it's not clear

need for entanglement [6]

The catch is that symbolic retrodictive execution is not consistent with "query complexity" as it operates in time proportional to the depth of the quantum oracle and the size of the formula.




Figure 8: Bell and GHZ States

n=4

$$\begin{aligned} &1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_3x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

n=5

$$\begin{aligned} &1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4 \\ &\oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &x_3 \oplus x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

z-gate entanglement uses xor perhaps look at various patterns of entanglement and how they are expressed in ANF then look at ANF and how various properties are apparent without actually solving the equations

n=6

$$\begin{aligned} &1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_5 \oplus x_6 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_0x_6 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_2x_6 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_6 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_6 \\ &\oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_4x_5x_6 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \\ &\oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6 \\ &x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6 \end{aligned}$$

Symbolic Execution of Classical Programs. A well-established technique to simultaneously explore multiple paths that a classical program could take under different inputs is *symbolic execution* [2–5, 7]. In this execution scheme, concrete values are replaced by symbols which are initially unconstrained. As the execution proceeds, the symbols interact with program constructs and this typically introduces constraints on the possible values that the symbols represent. At the end of the execution, these constraints can be

solved to infer properties of the program under consideration. The idea is also applicable to quantum circuits as the following example illustrates.

Representing Wavefunctions Symbolically. A symbolic variable represents a boolean value that can be 0 or 1; this is similar to a qubit in a superposition $(1/\sqrt{2})(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$. Thus, it appears that $H|0\rangle$ could be represented by a symbol x to denote the uncertainty. Surprisingly, this idea scales to even represent maximally entangled states. Fig. 8(left) shows a circuit to generate the Bell state $(1/\sqrt{2})(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$. By using the symbol x for $H|0\rangle$, the input to the CX-gate is $|x0\rangle$ which evolves to $|xx\rangle$. By sharing the same symbol in two positions, the symbolic state accurately represents the entangled Bell state. Similarly, for the circuit in Fig. 1a(right), the state after the Hadamard gate is $|x00\rangle$ which evolves to $|xx0\rangle$ and then to $|xxx\rangle$ again accurately capturing the entanglement correlations.

You can't connect the dots looking forward; you can only connect them looking backwards. So you have to trust that the dots will somehow connect in your future. *Steve Jobs*

Retrodictive Executions and Function Pre-images. Given finite sets A and B , a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ and an element $y \in B$, we define $\{ \cdot \xleftarrow{f} y \}$, the pre-image of y under f , as the set $\{x \in A \mid f(x) = y\}$. For example, let $A = B = [\mathbf{2}^4]$ and let $f(x) = 7^x \bmod 15$, then the collection of values that f maps to 4, $\{ \cdot \xleftarrow{f} 4 \}$, is the set $\{2, 6, 10, 14\}$ as shown in Fig. 9. Symbolic retrodictive execution can be seen as a method to generate boolean formulae that describe the pre-image of the function f under study. For the example in Fig. 9, retrodictive execution might generate the formulae $x_1 = 1$ and $x_0 = 0$. The (trivial in this case) solution for the formulae is indeed the set $\{2, 6, 10, 14\}$. The critical points to note, however, are that: (i) solving the equations describing the pre-image is in general an intractable (even for quantum computers) NP-complete problem, and (ii) solving the equations is not needed for the quantum algorithms in the previous section. *Only some global properties of the pre-image are needed!* Indeed, we have already seen that for solving the Deutsch-Jozsa problem, the only thing needed was whether the formula contains some variables. Also for the Bernstein-Vazirani problem, the only thing needed was the indices of the variables occurring in the formula. For Grover's algorithm, we only need to extract the singleton element in the pre-image and for Shor's algorithm we only need to extract the periodicity of the elements in the pre-image but retrodictive execution as presented so far is only able to de-quantize some rare instances of algorithms.




Figure 9: The pre-image of 4 under $f(x) = 7^x \bmod 15$.

Lazy Evaluation. Consider a program that searches for three different numbers x , y , and z each in the range $[1..n]$ and that sum to s . A well-established design principle for solving such problems is the *generate-and-test* computational paradigm. Following this principle, a simple program to solve this problem in the programming language Haskell is:

```
generate :: Int -> [(Int,Int,Int)]
generate n = [(x,y,z) | x <- [1..n], y <- [1..n], z <- [1..n]]

test :: Int -> [(Int,Int,Int)] -> [(Int,Int,Int)]
test s nums = [(x,y,z) | (x,y,z) <- nums, x /= y, x /= z, y /= z, x+y+z == s]

find :: Int -> Int -> (Int,Int,Int)
find s = head . test s . generate
```

The program consists of three functions: **generate** that produces all triples (x,y,z) from $(1,1,1)$ to (n,n,n) ; **test** that checks that the numbers are different and that their sum is equal to s ; and **find** that

composes the two functions: generating all triples, testing the ones that satisfy the condition, and returning the first solution. Running this program to find numbers in the range [1..6] that sum to 15 immediately produces (4, 5, 6) as expected.

But what if the range of interest was [1..10000000] ? A naïve execution of the generate-and-test method would be prohibitively expensive as it would spend all its time generating an enormous number of triples that are un-needed. Lazy demand-driven evaluation as implemented in Haskell succeeds in a few seconds with the result (1, 2, 12), however. The idea is simple: instead of eagerly generating all the triples, generate a process that, when queried, produces one triple at a time on demand. Conceptually the execution starts from the observer site which is asking for the first element of a list; this demand is propagated to the function `test` which itself propagates the demand to the function `generate`. As each triple is generated, it is tested until one triple passes the test. This triple is immediately returned without having to generate any additional values.

Partial Evaluation. Below is a Haskell program that computes a^n by repeated squaring:

```
power :: Int -> Int -> Int
power a n
  | n == 0      = 1
  | n == 1      = a
  | even n      = let r = power a (n `div` 2) in r * r
  | otherwise   = a * power a (n-1)
```

When both inputs are known, e.g., `a = 3` and `n = 5`, the program evaluates as follows:

```
power 3 5
= 3 * power 3 4
= 3 * (let r1 = power 3 2 in r1 * r1)
= 3 * (let r1 = (let r2 = power 3 1 in r2 * r2) in r1 * r1)
= 3 * (let r1 = (let r2 = 3 in r2 * r2) in r1 * r1)
= 3 * (let r1 = 9 in r1 * r1)
= 243
```

Partial evaluation is used when we only have partial information about the inputs. Say we only know $n = 5$. A partial evaluator then attempts to evaluate `power` with symbolic input `a` and actual input `n=5`. This evaluation proceeds as follows:

```
power a 5
= a * power a 4
= a * (let r1 = power a 2 in r1 * r1)
= a * (let r1 = (let r2 = power a 1 in r2 * r2) in r1 * r1)
= a * (let r1 = (let r2 = a in r2 * r2) in r1 * r1)
= a * (let r1 = a * a in r1 * r1)
= let r1 = a * a in a * r1 * r1
```

All of this evaluation, simplification, and specialization happens without knowledge of `a`. Just knowing `n` was enough to produce a residual program that is much simpler.

The evolution of a quantum system is typically understood as proceeding forwards in time — from the present to the future. As shown in Fig. 2(a),

Since the conventional execution starts with complete ignorance about the future, the initial state is prepared as a superposition that includes every possibility. In a well-designed algorithm, by the time the computation reaches the measurement stages, the relative phases and probability amplitudes in that enormous superposition have become biased towards states of interest which are projected to produce the final answer.

Algebraic Normal Form (ANF).

circuits have generalized toffoli gates: semantics (and of controls; xor with target); ANF uses exactly those two primitives; explain
The resulting expressions are in algebraic normal form [11] where $+$ denotes exclusive-or.
instances with no 'and' easy to solve
if only x and cx then symbolic execution is efficient; no need for last batch of H
can solve problem classically
connect with Gottesman-Knill

Function Pre-Images and NP-Complete Problems. To appreciate the difficulty of computing pre-images in general, note that finding the pre-image of a function subsumes several challenging computational problems such as pre-image attacks on hash functions [10], predicting environmental conditions that allow certain reactions to take place in computational biology [1, 8], and finding the pre-image of feature vectors in the space induced by a kernel in neural networks [9]. More to the point, the boolean satisfiability problem SAT is expressible as a boolean function over the input variables and solving a SAT problem is asking for the pre-image of true. Indeed, based on the conjectured existence of one-way functions which itself implies $P \neq NP$, all these pre-images calculations are believed to be computationally intractable in their most general setting.

Complexity Analysis.

one pass over circuit BUT size of circuit may be exponential and complexity of normalizing to ANF not trivial

Discussion.

observer 1 measures wires a,b; obs2 measures wires b,c; not commuting; each obs gives partial solution to equations; but partial solutions cannot lead to a global solution
KS suggests that equations do not have unique solutions; only materialize when you measure; can associate a probability with each variable in a equation: look at all solutions and see the contribution of each variable to these solutions.

Data Availability. All execution results will be made available and can be replicated by executing the associated software.

Code Availability. The computer programs used to generate the circuits and symbolically execute the quantum algorithms retrodictively will be made publicly available.

Author Contributions. The idea of symbolic evaluation is due to A.S. The connection to retrodictive quantum mechanics is due to G.O. The connection to partial evaluation is due to J.C. Both A.S. and J.C. contributed to the software code to run the experiments. Both A.S. and G.O. contributed to the analysis of the quantum algorithms and their de-quantization. All authors contributed to the writing of the document.

Competing Interests. No competing interests.

Materials & Correspondence. The corresponding author is Gerardo Ortiz.

Supplementary Information.

Equations for Optimized Shor 21 Circuit. Equations generated by retrodictive execution of 4^x mod 21 starting from observed result 1 and unknown x .

$$1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_4 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_5 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 1$$

$$x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_1x_4 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_5 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_5 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 0$$

$$x_0 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_1x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 = 0$$

Equations for Unoptimized Shor 21 Circuit. Equations generated by retrodictive execution of 4^x mod 21 starting from observed result 1 and unknown x . The circuit consists of 36400 CX-gates, 38200 CCX-gates, and 4000 CCCX-gates. There are only three equations but each equation is exponentially large.

$$1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_4 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_5 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_6 \oplus x_0x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_6 \oplus x_2x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_7 \oplus x_1x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_7 \oplus x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_8 \oplus x_0x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_8 \oplus x_2x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_8 \oplus x_4x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_5x_8 \oplus x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus$$

[illegible]

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 = \\
& 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_0x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_4 \oplus x_1x_4 \oplus x_2x_4 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_5 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_5 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus \\
& x_0x_6 \oplus x_1x_6 \oplus x_2x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6 \oplus x_3x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_4x_6 \oplus \\
& x_0x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6 \oplus x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6 \oplus x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \oplus x_7 \oplus x_0x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_7 \oplus x_2x_7 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_7 \oplus x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_7 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7 \oplus x_0x_5x_7 \oplus \\
& x_1x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_7 \oplus x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7 \oplus \\
& x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 \oplus x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7 \oplus \\
& x_0x_8 \oplus x_1x_8 \oplus x_2x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_8 \oplus x_3x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_8 \oplus x_4x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8 \oplus x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_8 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 \oplus x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_2x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8 \oplus x_4x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_1x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_1x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8 \oplus x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 \oplus x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_2x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8 \oplus x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_9 \oplus x_2x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_9 \oplus x_4x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_4x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_9 \oplus
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0x_2x_3x_5x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_9 \oplus x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_9 \oplus x_3x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_9 \oplus x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_9 \oplus x_0x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_3x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_7x_9 \oplus x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 = \\
& 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_1x_2 \oplus x_0x_3 \oplus x_1x_3 \oplus x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_2x_3 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3 \oplus x_4 \oplus x_1x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_4 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_4 \oplus x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4 \oplus x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 \oplus x_0x_5 \oplus x_1x_5 \oplus x_2x_5 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5 \oplus x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5 \oplus x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_4x_5 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \oplus x_6 \oplus x_1x_6 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_6 \oplus x_0x_2x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_6 \oplus x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6 \oplus x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6 \oplus x_0x_4x_6 \oplus x_1x_4x_6 \oplus
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_9 \oplus x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8x_9 \oplus x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_2x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_1x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_0x_1x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus \\
& x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 \oplus x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Tatsuya Akutsu, Morihiro Hayashida, Shu-Qin Zhang, Wai-Ki Ching, and Michael K Ng. “Analyses and algorithms for predecessor and control problems for Boolean networks of bounded indegree”. In: *Information and Media Technologies* 4.2 (2009), pp. 338–349.
- [2] Roberto Baldoni, Emilio Coppa, Daniele Cono D’elia, Camil Demetrescu, and Irene Finocchi. “A Survey of Symbolic Execution Techniques”. In: *ACM Comput. Surv.* 51.3 (May 2018).
- [3] Robert S. Boyer, Bernard Elspas, and Karl N. Levitt. “SELECT—a Formal System for Testing and Debugging Programs by Symbolic Execution”. In: *SIGPLAN Not.* 10.6 (Apr. 1975), pp. 234–245.
- [4] Lori A. Clarke. “A Program Testing System”. In: *Proceedings of the 1976 Annual Conference*. ACM ’76. Houston, Texas, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 1976, pp. 488–491.
- [5] William E. Howden. “Experiments with a symbolic evaluation system”. In: *Proceedings of the National Computer Conference*. 1976.
- [6] Richarda Jozsa and Noah Linden. “On the Role of Entanglement in Quantum-Computational Speed-Up”. In: *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 459.2036 (2003), pp. 2011–2032.

- 655 [7] James C. King. “Symbolic Execution and Program Testing”. In: *Commun. ACM* 19.7 (July 1976),
656 pp. 385–394.
- 657 [8] Johannes Georg Klotz, Martin Bossert, and Steffen Schober. “Computing preimages of Boolean net-
658 works”. In: *BMC Bioinformatics* 14.10 (Aug. 2013), S4.
- 659 [9] J.T.-Y. Kwok and I.W.-H. Tsang. “The pre-image problem in kernel methods”. In: *IEEE Transactions*
660 *on Neural Networks* 15.6 (2004), pp. 1517–1525.
- 661 [10] Phillip Rogaway and Thomas Shrimpton. “Cryptographic Hash-Function Basics: Definitions, Impli-
662 cations, and Separations for Preimage Resistance, Second-Preimage Resistance, and Collision Resis-
663 tance”. In: *Fast Software Encryption*. Ed. by Bimal Roy and Willi Meier. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer
664 Berlin Heidelberg, 2004, pp. 371–388.
- 665 [11] Natalia Tokareva. “Chapter 1 - Boolean Functions”. In: *Bent Functions*. Ed. by Natalia Tokareva.
666 Boston: Academic Press, 2015, pp. 1–15.