Theories and Data Structures

-Draft-

ANONYMOUS AUTHOR(S)

ACM Reference format:

Anonymous Author(s). 2020. Theories and Data Structures —Draft—. 1, POPL, Article 1 (January 2020), 5 pages.

DOI:

12 Γ

 $\frac{40}{41}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$ $\frac{47}{47}$

1 BACKGROUND

module POPL19 where

In this section, we introduce our problem and our exposition language, Agda.

```
open import Helpers.DataProperties

open import Function using (_o_)
open import Data.Nat
open import Data.Fin as Fin hiding (_+_)
open import Data.Vec as Vec hiding (map)
open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality
```

A (non-dependently-typed) signature is what programmers refer to as an 'interface': It is a collection of 'sort', or type, symbols; along with a collection of 'function' symbols, and a collection of 'relation' symbols. For our discussion, we are only interested in single-sorted non-relational signatures, which means there is only one anonoymous sort symbol and a collection of function symbols. In Agda:

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf record \ Signature}_0 \ : \ {\bf Set}_1 \ \ {\bf where} \\ {\bf field} \\ {\bf FuncSymbs} \ : \ {\bf Set} \\ {\bf arity} \ : \ {\bf FuncSymbs} \ \rightarrow \ \mathbb{N} \\ \end{tabular}
```

In a dependently-typed language, we may simplify this further since a pair $A \times (A \rightarrow B)$ corresponds to a *single* dependent type $A': B \rightarrow Set$.

```
record Signature_1: Set_1 \ where field $$ \{- "FunSymbs n" denotes the function symbols of arity "n". -} FuncSymbs: (n: <math display="inline">\mathbb{N}) \to Set
```

Of-course a one-field record is silly, so we simplify further:

```
Signature : Set<sub>1</sub>
Signature = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}
```

Here is a few examples of signatures:

```
2020. XXXX-XX/2020/1-ART1 $15.00 DOI:
```

Anon. 1:2

```
data Type\mathcal{S} : Signature where
                                                                           {- Pointed Magma -}
                                                                           data \mathsf{Semigroup}\mathcal{S} : \mathsf{Signature} where
2
           data Unary\mathcal{S} : Signature where
                                                                             Id : SemigroupS \circ
3
             next : Unary S 1
                                                                             _{\S} : Semigroup\mathcal{S} 2
4
5
           data Magma\mathcal{S} : Signature where
                                                                           {- Alias -}
             _%_ : MagmaS 2
                                                                           MonoidS : Signature
                                                                           MonoidS = SemigroupS
           data PointedS : Signature where
8
             point : PointedS 0
                                                                           {- Pointed Unary Magma -}
9
                                                                           data Group \mathcal{S} : Signature where
10
                                                                             Id : GroupS \circ
11
                                                                               : Group\mathcal{S} 1
                                                                              _{\S\_} : GroupS 2
12
13
14
```

15

16

36

37

Just as a signature can be construed as an interface, an *algebra* can be thought of as an implementation:

```
record _Algebra (FuncSymbs : Signature) : Set1 where
17
            field
18
               Carrier : Set
               reify \ : \ \forall \ \{n \, : \, \mathbb{N}\} \ (f \, : \, \textbf{FuncSymbs} \ n) \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Vec Carrier} \ n \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Carrier}
19
20
         open _Algebra
21
22
         {- syntactic sugar -}
23
         infixl 5 [_]_$_
24
         [\![]\!]_{-}: {FuncSymbs : Signature} \{n : \mathbb{N}\} \to \text{FuncSymbs } n \to (\mathcal{A} : \text{FuncSymbs Algebra})
25
                \rightarrow Vec (Carrier \mathcal{A}) n \rightarrow Carrier \mathcal{A}
26
         [f] \mathcal{A} $ xs = reify \mathcal{A} f xs
27
            Here are two examples algebra:
28
29
         numbers : TypeS Algebra
         numbers = record { Carrier = \mathbb{N} ; reify = \lambda { () \_ } }
30
31
         additive-\mathbb{N} : Semigroup\mathcal{S} Algebra
32
         additive-\mathbb{N} = record { Carrier = \mathbb{N}
33
                                      ; reify = \lambda { Id [] \rightarrow 0; _9_ (x :: y :: []) \rightarrow x + y}
34
35
```

To define the notion of *free algebra*, we only need the concept of *homomorphism*: Functions that preserve the interpretations of the function symbols.

```
record _Homomorphism (FuncSymbs : Signature) (Src Tgt : FuncSymbs Algebra) : Set where
39
          field
                            : Carrier Src \rightarrow Carrier Tgt
            preservation : \forall \{n : \mathbb{N}\} \{f : FuncSymbs n\} \{xs : Vec (Carrier Src) n\}
41
                              \rightarrow map ([f] Src xs) \equiv [f] Tgt vec.map map xs
42
43
       open _Homomorphism
44
45
       {- Syntactic Sugar -}
46
       (\$)_: \{S : Signature\} \{Src Tgt : S Algebra\}

ightarrow (S Homomorphism) Src Tgt 
ightarrow Carrier Src 
ightarrow Carrier Tgt
47
       h \langle \$ \rangle xs = map h xs
48
49
```

, Vol. 1, No. POPL, Article 1. Publication date: January 2020.

:3 —Draft—

We are now in a position for our prime definition: One says $\mathcal A$ is a free $\mathcal S$ -algebra for a type $\mathcal G$ of 'generators' provided $\mathcal A$ is an $\mathcal S$ -algebra that 'contains' $\mathcal G$ and every $\mathcal S$ -homomorphisms $\mathcal A \to \mathcal B$ correspond to functions $\mathcal G \to \mathsf{Carrier} \ \mathcal B$.

```
record _free-for_ {S : Signature} (\mathcal{A} : S Algebra) (G : Set) : Set_1 where field embed : G \to Carrier \ \mathcal{A} extend : {\mathcal{B} : S Algebra} \to (G \to Carrier \ \mathcal{B}) \to (S Homomorphism) \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} {- "Homomorphisms are determined by their behaviour on embedded elements." -} uniqueness : {\mathcal{B} : S Algebra} (H : (S Homomorphism) \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}) \to H \equiv extend (map H \circ embed) restrict : {\mathcal{B} : S Algebra} (H : (S Homomorphism) \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}) \to G \to Carrier \mathcal{B} restrict H G = H (G) embed G
```

This paper aims to solve \mathcal{A} free-for G where \mathcal{A} is the unknown.

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

48

49

An interface generally comes with a collection of coherence laws enforcing desirable behaviour. Likewise, we want to speak of "equational algebras". This requires we speak of "equations", which are pairs of "terms":

```
data \_Term-over\_ (\mathcal{S} : Signature) (X : Set) : Set where
16
          var : X \rightarrow S Term-over X
          _{s_{-}}: \{n : \mathbb{N}\} (f : S n) \rightarrow Vec (S Term-over X) n \rightarrow S Term-over X
17
18
       {- Example semigroup term -}
19
       x%Id%y : Semigroup S Term-over (Fin 2)
20
       x_{\S}Id_{\S}y = _{\S}_{\S}  ( _{\S}_{\S} ( var :: Id $ [] :: []) :: var :: [])
21
          where = zero ; = suc zero
22
       {- Ever term is a function of its variables -}
23
       arity : \{X : Set\} \{S : Signature\} \rightarrow S Term-over X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
24
       arity _{-} = 0
25
       -- arity (var x) = 1
26
       -- arity (f $ xs) = sum (Vec.map arity xs)
       -- Fails termination checking.
28
       [\![ ]\!]t : {X : Set} {S : Signature} \rightarrow (t : S Term-over X) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} : S Algebra)
29
             \rightarrow Vec (Carrier \mathcal{A}) (arity t) \rightarrow Carrier \mathcal{A}
30
       [t]t = {!!}
31
32
       data _Equation-over_ (S : Signature) (X : Set) : Set where
          _{\sim} : (lhs rhs : S Term-over X) \rightarrow S Equation-over X
33
34
       lhs rhs : \{S : Signature\} \{X : Set\} \rightarrow S Equation-over X \rightarrow S Term-over X
35
       lhs (1 \approx r) = 1
36
       rhs (1 \approx r) = r
37
       {- Example semigroup axiom -}
       sg-assoc : SemigroupS Equation-over (Fin 3)
39
       sg-assoc = (_%_ $ ( :: (_%_ $ ( :: :: [])) :: []))
40
                    ≈ (_%_ $ ((_%_ $ ( :: :: [])) :: :: []))
41
          where = var zero ; = var (suc zero) ; = var (suc (suc zero))
42
          We can now define an equational theory:
43
       record EquationalSpecfication : Set_1 where
44
          field
45
            -- Interface
46
            FuncSymbs : Signature
47
```

Anon. 1:4

```
-- Constraints, with numbers as variables
             Axioms
                       : FuncSymbs Equation-over \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set}
        open EquationalSpecfication
        record _Theory (\mathcal{E} : EquationalSpecfication) : Set<sub>1</sub> where
          field
             Carrier': Set
             reify'
                       : \forall \{n : \mathbb{N}\} (f : FuncSymbs \mathcal{E} n) \rightarrow Vec Carrier' n \rightarrow Carrier'
10
          algebra : (FuncSymbs \mathcal{E}) Algebra
          algebra = record { Carrier = Carrier' ; reify = reify' }
12
          field
13
             satisfy : \forall {e} {_ : Axioms \mathcal{E} e} \rightarrow [ lhs e ]t algebra \equiv [ rhs e ]t algebra
14
```

2 WE WANT TO BE SYSTEMATIC ABOUT

1

2

3 4

5

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

25

27

28

31

33

35

37

39

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49 Exploring Magma-based theories: see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magma (algebra) where we want to at least explore all the properties that are affine. These are interesting things said at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category_of_magmas which should be better understood.

Pointed theories: There is not much to be said here. Although I guess 'contractible' can be defined already here.

Pointed Magma theories: Interestingly, non-associative pointed Magma theories don't show up in the nice summary above. Of course, this is where Monoid belongs. But it is worth exploring all of the combinations too.

unary theories: wikipedia sure doesn't spend much time on these (see https://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/Algebraic_structure) but there are some interesting ones, because if the unary operation is 'f' things like forall x. f(f x) = x is **linear**, because x is used exactly once on each side. The non-linearity of 'f' doesn't count (else associativity wouldn't work either, as * is used funnily there too). So "iter 17 f x = x" is a fine axiom here too. [iter is definable in the ground theory]

This is actually where things started, as 'involution' belongs here.

And is the first weird one.

Pointed unary theories: E.g., the natural numbers

Pointer binary theories: need to figure out which are expressible

more: semiring, near-ring, etc. Need a sampling. But quasigroup (with 3 operations!) would be neat to look at.

Also, I think we want to explore

- Free Theories
- Initial Objects
- Cofree Theories (when they exist)

Then the potential 'future work' is huge. But that can be left for later. We want to have all the above rock solid first.

RELATIONSHIP WITH 700 MODULES

To make it a POPL paper, as well as related to your module work, it is also going to be worthwhile to notice and abstract the patterns. Such as generating induction principles and recursors.

-Draft-

1:5

2

4

6

10

11 12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

34

35

36

37

38

39

49

A slow-paced introduction to reflection in Agda: https://github.com/alhassy/gentle-intro-to-reflection

4 TIMELINE

Regarding POPL:

https://popl20.sigplan.org/track/POPL-2020-Research-Papers#POPL-2020-Call-for-Papers

There is no explicit Pearl category, nor any mention of that style. Nevertheless, I think it's worth a shot, as I think by being systematic, we'll "grab" in a lot of things that are not usually considered part of one's basic toolkit.

However, to have a chance, the technical content of the paper should be done by June 17th, and the rest of the time should be spent on the presentation of the material. The bar is very high at POPL.

5 TASK LIST ITEMS BELOW

- oxtimes JC start learning about org mode
- □ JC See §4, first code block, of https://alhassy.github.io/init/ to setup :ignore: correctly on your machine.
 - This may require you to look at sections 2.1 and 2.2.

This also shows you how to get 'minted' colouring.

- ☐ JC Write introduction/outline
- ☐ MA To read: From monoids to near-semirings: the essence of MonadPlus and Alternative, https://usuarios.fceia.unr.edu.ar/~mauro/pubs/FromMonoidstoNearsemirings.pdf.

6 DONE LITERATE AGDA IN ORG-MODE

JC, for now, use "haskell" labelled src blocks to get basic colouring, and I will demonstrate org-agda for you in person, if you like. Alternatively, I can generate coloured org-agda on my machine at the very end.

- A basic setup for actually doing Agda development within org-mode can be found at: https://alhassy.github.io/literate/
- Example uses of org-agda include
 - $\circ \ https://alhassy.github.io/next-700-module-systems-proposal/PackageFormer.html\ ; \\ also \cdots .org$
 - ★ Shallow use of org-agda merely for colouring ;; Prototype for Package Formers
 - Source mentions org-agda features that have not been pushed to the orgagda repo.
 - https://alhassy.github.io/PathCat/
 - ★ Large development with categories ;; Graphs are to categories as lists are to monoids
 - https://github.com/alhassy/gentle-intro-to-reflection
 - ★ Medium-sized development wherein Agda is actually coded within org-mode.