

The Agda Universal Algebra Library and Birkhoff's Theorem in Dependent Type Theory

William DeMeo   

Department of Algebra, Charles University in Prague

Abstract

The Agda Universal Algebra Library (UALib) is a library of types and programs (theorems and proofs) we developed to formalize the foundations of universal algebra in Martin-Löf-style dependent type theory using the Agda programming language and proof assistant. This paper describes the UALib and demonstrates that Agda is accessible to working mathematicians (such as ourselves) as a tool for formally verifying nontrivial results in general algebra and related fields. The library includes a substantial collection of definitions, theorems, and proofs from universal algebra and equational logic and as such provides many examples that exhibit the power of inductive and dependent types for representing and reasoning about general algebraic and relational structures.

The first major milestone of the UALib project is a complete proof of Birkhoff's HSP theorem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time Birkhoff's theorem has been formulated and proved in dependent type theory and verified with a proof assistant.

In this paper we describe the Agda UALib and the formal proof of Birkhoff's theorem, discussing some of the technically challenging parts of the proof. In so doing, we illustrate the effectiveness of dependent type theory, Agda, and the UALib for formally verifying nontrivial theorems in universal algebra and equational logic.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Constructive mathematics; Theory of computation → Type theory; Theory of computation → Logic and verification; Computing methodologies → Representation of mathematical objects; Theory of computation → Type structures

Keywords and phrases Universal algebra, Equational logic, Martin-Löf Type Theory, Birkhoff's HSP Theorem, Formalization of mathematics, Agda, Proof assistant

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.2021.0

Related Version hosted on arXiv

Extended Version: arxiv.org/pdf/2101.10166

Supplementary Material

Documentation: ualib.org

Software: <https://gitlab.com/ualib/ualib.gitlab.io.git>

Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank Hyeyoung Shin and Siva Somayyajula for their contributions to this project and Martín Escardó for creating the Type Topology library and teaching a course on Univalent Foundations of Mathematics with Agda at the 2019 Midlands Graduate School in Computing Science. Of course, this work would not exist in its current form without the Agda 2 language by Ulf Norell.¹

1 Introduction

To support formalization in type theory of research level mathematics in universal algebra and related fields, we present the Agda Universal Algebra Library (Agda UALib), a software library containing formal statements and proofs of the core definitions and results of universal

¹ Agda 2 is partially based on code from Agda 1 by Catarina Coquand and Makoto Takeyama, and from Agdalign by Ulf Norell and Andreas Abel.



41 algebra. The UALib is written in Agda [7], a programming language and proof assistant based
 42 on Martin-Löf Type Theory that not only supports dependent and inductive types, but also
 43 provides powerful *proof tactics* for proving things about the objects that inhabit these types.

44 There have been a number of prior efforts to formalize parts of universal algebra in type
 45 theory, most notably

- 46 ■ Capretta [2] (1999) formalized the basics of universal algebra in the Calculus of Inductive
 47 Constructions using the Coq proof assistant;
- 48 ■ Spitters and van der Weegen [9] (2011) formalized the basics of universal algebra and some
 49 classical algebraic structures, also in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions using the Coq
 50 proof assistant, promoting the use of type classes as a preferable alternative to setoids;
- 51 ■ Gunther, et al [6] (2018) developed what seems to be (prior to the UALib) the most extensive
 52 library of formal universal algebra to date; in particular, this work includes a formalization
 53 of some basic equational logic; the project (like the UALib) uses Martin-Löf Type Theory
 54 and the Agda proof assistant.

55 Some other projects aimed at formalizing mathematics generally, and algebra in particular,
 56 have developed into very extensive libraries that include definitions, theorems, and proofs about
 57 algebraic structures, such as groups, rings, modules, etc. However, goals of this prior work seem
 58 to be the formalization of special classical algebraic structures, as opposed to the general theory
 59 of (universal) algebras.

60 Although the Agda UALib project was initiated relatively recently (in 2018), the part of
 61 universal algebra and equational logic that it formalizes extends beyond the scope of prior ef-
 62 forts. In particular, the UALib now includes a constructive, machine-checked proof of Birkhoff’s
 63 variety theorem. Most other proofs of this theorem that we know of are informal and noncon-
 64 structive. After the completion this work, however, we learned about a constructive version of
 65 Birkhoff’s theorem that was proved by Carlström in [3]. The latter is presented in an informal
 66 style and, as far as we know, was never implemented formally and machine-checked with a
 67 proof assistant. Nonetheless, a comparison of the version of Birkhoff’s theorem presented in [3]
 68 to our version in Agda would be interesting, and we will make some remarks about that in §??.

69 The seminal idea for the Agda UALib project was the observation that, on the one hand,
 70 a number of fundamental constructions in universal algebra can be defined recursively, and
 71 theorems about them proved by structural induction, while, on the other hand, inductive and
 72 dependent types make possible very precise formal representations of recursively defined objects,
 73 which often admit elegant constructive proofs of properties of such objects. An important
 74 feature of such proofs in type theory is that they are total functional programs and, as such,
 75 they are computable and composable.

76 Finally, our own research experience has taught us that a proof assistant and programming
 77 language (like Agda), when equipped with specialized libraries and domain-specific tactics to
 78 automate proof idioms of a particular field, can be an extremely powerful and effective asset.
 79 We believe that such libraries, and the proof assistants they support, will eventually become
 80 indispensable tools in the working mathematician’s toolkit.

81 1.1 Contributions and organization

82 TODO: revise this section when the paper is almost done.

83 Apart from the library itself, we describe the formal implementation and proof of a deep
 84 result, Garrett Birkhoff’s celebrated HSP theorem [1], which was among the first major results of
 85 universal algebra. The theorem states that a *variety* (a class of algebras closed under quotients,
 86 subalgebras, and products) is an equational class (defined by the set of identities satisfied by all
 87 its members). The fact that we now have a formal proof of this is noteworthy, not only because

this is the first time the theorem has been proved in dependent type theory and verified with a proof assistant, but also because the proof is constructive. As the paper [3] of Carlström makes clear, it is a highly nontrivial exercise to take a well-known informal proof of a theorem like Birkhoff's and show that it can be formalized using only constructive logic and natural deduction, without appealing to, say, the Law of the Excluded Middle or the Axiom of Choice.

Each of the sections that follow describes the most important or noteworthy components of the UALib. We cover just enough to keep the paper somewhat self-contained. Of course, space does not permit us to cover every definition and theorem required to present a complete formal proof of a theorem like Birkhoff's. We remedy this in two ways. First, throughout the paper we include pointers to places in the documentation where the omitted material can be found. Second, we include an appendix containing Agda background, discussion of the foundational assumptions of the UALib, and definitions of some important types of dependent type theory and how they are represented in Agda and in the UALib. We hope this appendix is especially useful to readers who are not already proficient users of Agda.

Finally, the official sources of information about the Agda UALib are

- ualib.org (the web site) includes every line of code in the library, rendered as html and accompanied by documentation, and
- gitlab.com/ualib/ualib.gitlab.io (the source code) freely available and licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

2 Algebras

We define the type of *operations* and, as an example, the type of *projections*.

```
Op :  $\mathcal{V} \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \cdot$ 
Op I A = (I  $\rightarrow$  A)  $\rightarrow$  A

 $\pi : \{I : \mathcal{V} \cdot\} \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \rightarrow I \rightarrow \text{Op } I A$ 
 $\pi \ i \ x = x \ i$ 
```

The type `Op` encodes the arity of an operation as an arbitrary type $I : \mathcal{V} \cdot$, which gives us a very general way to represent an operation as a function type with domain $I \rightarrow A$ (the type of “tuples”) and codomain A . The last two lines of the code block above codify the i -th I -ary projection operation on A .

2.1 Signature type

We define the signature of an algebraic structure in Agda like this.

```
Signature : ( $\mathfrak{O} \mathcal{V} : \text{Universe}$ )  $\rightarrow$  ( $\mathfrak{O} \sqcup \mathcal{V}$ )+
Signature  $\mathfrak{O} \mathcal{V} = \Sigma F : \mathfrak{O} \cdot, (F \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \cdot)$ 
```

Here \mathfrak{O} is the universe level of operation symbol types, while \mathcal{V} is the universe level of arity types. We denote the first and second projections by `|_` and `||_||` (§A.3) so if S is a signature, then `| S |` denotes the type of *operation symbols*, and `|| S ||` denotes the *arity* function. If $f : | S |$ is an operation symbol in the signature S , then `|| S || f` is the arity of f . For example, here is the signature of *monoids*, as a member of the type `Signature $\mathfrak{O} \mathcal{U}_0$` .

```
data monoid-op :  $\mathfrak{O} \cdot$  where
  e : monoid-op
   $\cdot$  : monoid-op
```

```

135
136   monoid-sig : Signature 0  $\mathcal{U}_0$ 
137   monoid-sig = monoid-op ,  $\lambda \{ e \rightarrow 0 ; \cdot \rightarrow 2 \}$ 

```

138 This signature has two operation symbols, e and \cdot , and a function $\lambda \{ e \rightarrow 0 ; \cdot \rightarrow 2 \}$ which
 139 maps e to the empty type 0 (since e is nullary), and \cdot to the 2-element type 2 (since \cdot is
 140 binary).
 141

142 2.2 Algebra type

143 For a fixed signature S : Signature 0 \mathcal{V} and universe \mathcal{U} , we define the type of *algebras* in the
 144 signature S (or *S-algebras*) and with *domain* (or *carrier*) A : $\mathcal{U} \cdot$ as follows²
 145

```

146   Algebra : ( $\mathcal{U}$  : Universe) ( $S$  : Signature 0  $\mathcal{V}$ )  $\rightarrow$  0  $\sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \cdot$ 
147
148   Algebra  $\mathcal{U} S = \Sigma A : \mathcal{U} \cdot , ((f : | S |) \rightarrow \text{Op } (\| S \| f) A)$ 

```

149 We may refer to an inhabitant of $\text{Algebra } S \mathcal{U}$ as an “ ∞ -algebra” because its domain can be
 150 an arbitrary type, say, $A : \mathcal{U} \cdot$ and need not be truncated at some level (§A.6). In particular,
 151 A need not be a set (as defined in §A.6).³
 152

153 Next we define a convenient shorthand for the interpretation of an operation symbol. We
 154 use this often in the sequel.
 155

```

156    $\_ \wedge \_ : (f : | S |) (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) \rightarrow (\| S \| f \rightarrow | \mathbf{A} |) \rightarrow | \mathbf{A} |$ 
157
158    $f \wedge \mathbf{A} = \lambda x \rightarrow (\| \mathbf{A} \| f) x$ 

```

159 This is similar to the standard notation that one finds in the literature and seems much more
 160 natural to us than the double bar notation that we started with.

161 We assume that we always have at our disposal an arbitrary collection X of variable symbols
 162 such that, for every algebra \mathbf{A} , no matter the type of its domain, we have a surjective map h_0
 163 : $X \rightarrow | \mathbf{A} |$ from variables onto the domain of \mathbf{A} .
 164
 165

```

166    $\_ \rightarrow \_ : \{ \mathcal{U} \mathcal{X} : \text{Universe} \} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \cdot \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \cdot$ 
167    $X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \Sigma h : (X \rightarrow | \mathbf{A} |) , \text{Epic } h$ 

```

168 Finally, we define the type of *product algebras* the obvious way.
 169
 170

```

171    $\sqcap : \{ \mathcal{J} : \text{Universe} \} \{ I : \mathcal{J} \cdot \} (\mathcal{A} : I \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) \rightarrow \text{Algebra } (\mathcal{J} \sqcup \mathcal{U}) S$ 
172    $\sqcap \{ \mathcal{J} \} \{ I \} \mathcal{A} =$ 
173    $((i : I) \rightarrow | \mathcal{A} i |) , \lambda (f : | S |) (\mathbf{a} : \| S \| f \rightarrow (j : I) \rightarrow | \mathcal{A} j |) (i : I) \rightarrow (f \wedge \mathcal{A} i) \lambda \{ x \rightarrow \mathbf{a} x i \}$ 

```

174 3 Quotient Types and Quotient Algebras

175 For a binary relation R on A , we denote a single R -class by $[a] R$ (this denotes the class
 176 containing a). We denote the type of all classes of a relation R on A by $\mathcal{C} \{ A \} \{ R \}$. These

² The Agda UALib includes an alternative definition of the type of algebras using records, but we don’t discuss these here since they are not needed in the sequel. We refer the interested reader to [4] and the html documentation available at <https://ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Algebras.Algebras.html>.

³ We could pause here to define the type of “0-algebras,” which are algebras whose domains are sets. This type is probably closer to what most of us think of when doing informal universal algebra. However, in the UALib we have so far only needed to know that the domain of an algebra is a set in a handful of specific instances, so it seems preferable to work with general (∞)-algebras throughout the library and then assume *uniqueness of identity proofs* explicitly where, and only where, a proof relies on this assumption.

are defined as in the UALib as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Pred } A \mathcal{R} \\ \llbracket a \rrbracket R &= \lambda x \rightarrow R a x \\ \mathcal{C} &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{R : \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R}\} \rightarrow \text{Pred } A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R}^+) \cdot \\ \mathcal{C} \{A\} \{R\} &= \lambda (C : \text{Pred } A \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \Sigma a : A, C \equiv (\llbracket a \rrbracket R) \end{aligned}$$

There are a few ways we could define the quotient with respect to a relation. We have found the following to be the most convenient.

$$\begin{aligned} _ / _ &: (A : \mathcal{U} \cdot) \rightarrow \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup (\mathcal{R}^+) \cdot \\ A / R &= \Sigma C : \text{Pred } A \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C} \{A\} \{R\} C \end{aligned}$$

We then have the following introduction and elimination rules for a class with a designated representative.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \{R : \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R}\} \rightarrow A / R \\ \llbracket a \rrbracket \{R\} &= (\llbracket a \rrbracket R), a, \text{refl} \\ \ulcorner _ \urcorner &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{R : \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R}\} \rightarrow A / R \rightarrow A \\ \ulcorner a \urcorner &= \llbracket a \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Quotient extensionality

We will need a subsingleton identity type for congruence classes over sets so that we can equate two classes, even when they are presented using different representatives. For this we assume that our relations are on sets, rather than arbitrary types. As mentioned earlier, this is equivalent to assuming that there is at most one proof that two elements of a set are the same. The following *class extensionality principle* accomplishes this for us.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{class-extensionality}' &: \text{propext } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{global-dfunext} \rightarrow \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{a a' : A\} \{R : \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R}\} \\ &\rightarrow (\forall a x \rightarrow \text{is-subsingleton } (R a x)) \rightarrow (\forall C \rightarrow \text{is-subsingleton } (\mathcal{C} C)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{IsEquivalence } R \\ &\rightarrow R a a' \rightarrow (\llbracket a \rrbracket \{R\}) \equiv (\llbracket a' \rrbracket \{R\}) \end{aligned}$$

We omit the proof. (See [4] or ualib.org for details.)

3.2 Compatibility

We say that a (unary) operation $f : X \rightarrow X$ is *compatible* with (or *respects*, or *preserves*) the binary relation R on X just in case $\forall x, y : X$, we have $R x y \rightarrow R (f x) (f y)$. Now suppose \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{W} are universes and assume the following typing judgments: $\gamma : \mathcal{V} \cdot$, $X : \mathcal{U} \cdot$. We lift the definition of compatibility from unary to γ -ary operations in the following obvious way: for all $u v : \gamma \rightarrow X$ (γ -tuples of X), we say that the pair $u v$ is R -related, and we write $\text{lift-rel } R u v$ provided $\forall i : \gamma, R (u i) (v i)$. The function lift-rel is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lift-rel} &: \text{Rel } Z \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \cdot \\ \text{lift-rel } R f g &= \forall x \rightarrow R (f x) (g x) \end{aligned}$$

If $f : (\gamma \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$ is a γ -ary operation on X , we say that f is *compatible* with R provided for all $u v : \gamma \rightarrow X$, $\text{lift-rel } R u v$ implies $R (f u) (f v)$.

0:6 The Agda Universal Algebra Library

```

227
228   compatible-op : {A : Algebra U S} → | S | → Rel | A | W → U ⊔ V ⊔ W ·
229   compatible-op {A} f R = ∀ {a} {b} → (lift-rel R) a b → R ((f ^ A) a) ((f ^ A) b)
230
231 Finally, to represent that all basic operations of an algebra are compatible with a given relation,
232 we define
233
234   compatible : (A : Algebra U S) → Rel | A | W → O ⊔ U ⊔ V ⊔ W ·
235   compatible A R = ∀ f → compatible-op {A} f R
236
237 We'll see this definition of compatibility at work very soon when we define congruence relations
238 in the next section.

```

239 3.3 Congruence relations

240 This `UALib.Relations.Congruences` module of the Agda UALib defines three alternative repres-
 241 entations of congruence relations of an algebra—first as a function, then as a predicate on
 242 binary relations, and finally as a record type.

```

243
244   Con : {U : Universe} (A : Algebra U S) → O ⊔ V ⊔ U + ·
245   Con {U} A = Σ θ : ( Rel | A | U ) , IsEquivalence θ × compatible A θ
246
247   con : {U : Universe} (A : Algebra U S) → Pred (Rel | A | U) (O ⊔ V ⊔ U)
248   con A = λ θ → IsEquivalence θ × compatible A θ
249
250   record Congruence {U W : Universe} (A : Algebra U S) : O ⊔ V ⊔ U ⊔ W + · where
251     constructor mkcon
252     field
253       ⟨_⟩ : Rel | A | W
254       Compatible : compatible A ⟨_⟩
255       IsEquiv : IsEquivalence ⟨_⟩
256
257   open Congruence
258
259   compatible-equivalence : {U W : Universe} {A : Algebra U S} → Rel | A | W → O ⊔ V ⊔ W ⊔ U ·
260   compatible-equivalence {U} {W} {A} R = compatible A R × IsEquivalence R

```

261 3.4 Quotient algebras

262 An important construction in universal algebra is the quotient of an algebra **A** with respect to
 263 a congruence relation θ of **A**. This quotient is typically denoted by \mathbf{A} / θ and Agda allows us
 264 to define and express quotients using this standard notation.

```

265
266   _/_ : {U R : Universe} (A : Algebra U S) → Congruence {U} {R} A → Algebra (U ⊔ R +) S
267   A / θ = (( | A | / ⟨ θ ⟩ ) , - carrier
268             (λ f args - operations
269               → ([ (f ^ A) (λ i1 → | || args i1 || |) ] ⟨ θ ⟩ ) ,
270                 ((f ^ A) (λ i1 → | || args i1 || |) , refl _ )
271               )
272             )

```

4 Homomorphisms, terms, and subalgebras

4.1 Homomorphisms

The definition of homomorphism we use is a standard, *extensional* one; that is, the homomorphism condition holds pointwise. This will become clearer once we have the formal definitions in hand. Generally speaking, though, we say that two functions $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are extensionally equal iff they are pointwise equal, that is, for all $x : X$ we have $f\ x \equiv g\ x$.

To define *homomorphism*, we first say what it means for an operation f , interpreted in the algebras \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , to commute with a function $g : A \rightarrow B$.

```
compatible-op-map : {Q U : Universe} (A : Algebra Q S) (B : Algebra U S)
  (f : | S |) (g : | A | → | B |) → V U U Q .
compatible-op-map A B f g = ∀ a → g ((f ^ A) a) ≡ (f ^ B) (g o a)
```

Note the appearance of the shorthand $\forall a$ in the definition of `compatible-op-map`. We can get away with this in place of $a : \| S \| f \rightarrow | A |$ since Agda is able to infer that the a here must be a tuple on $| A |$ of “length” $\| S \| f$ (the arity of f).

Next we will define the type `hom A B` of *homomorphisms* from \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{B} in terms of the property `is-homomorphism`.

```
is-homomorphism : {Q U : Universe} (A : Algebra Q S) (B : Algebra U S)
  → (| A | → | B |) → O U V U Q U .
is-homomorphism A B g = ∀ (f : | S |) → compatible-op-map A B f g

hom : {Q U : Universe} → Algebra Q S → Algebra U S → O U V U Q U .
hom A B = Σ g : (| A | → | B |) , is-homomorphism A B g
```

We define an inductive datatype called `Term` which, not surprisingly, represents the type of *terms* in a given signature. Here the type $X : \mathfrak{X} \cdot$ represents an arbitrary collection of variable symbols.

```
data Term {X : Universe} {X : X ·} : O U V U X + · where
  generator : X → Term {X} {X}
  node : (f : | S |) (args : \| S \| f → Term {X} {X}) → Term
```

4.2 Terms

Terms can be viewed as acting on other terms and we can form an algebraic structure whose domain and basic operations are both the collection of term operations. We call this the *term algebra* and denote it by `T X`.

```
T : {X : Universe} (X : X ·) → Algebra (O U V U X +) S
T {X} X = Term {X} {X} , node
```

The term algebra is *absolutely free*, or *universal*, for algebras in the signature S . That is, for every S -algebra \mathbf{A} , every map $h : X \rightarrow | A |$ lifts to a homomorphism from `T X` to \mathbf{A} , and the induced homomorphism is unique. This is proved by induction on the structure of terms, as follows.

```
free-lift : {X U : Universe} {X : X ·} (A : Algebra U S) (h : X → | A |) → | T X | → | A |
free-lift _ h (generator x) = h x
```

```

322 free-lift A h (node f args) = (f ^ A) λ i → free-lift A h (args i)
323
324 lift-hom : {X U : Universe}{X : X ·}(A : Algebra U S)(h : X → | A |) → hom (T X) A
325 lift-hom A h = free-lift A h , λ f a → ap ( _ ^ A ) refl
326
327 free-unique : {X U : Universe}{X : X ·} → funext V U
328 → (A : Algebra U S)(g h : hom (T X) A)
329 → (∀ x → | g | (generator x) ≡ | h | (generator x))
330 → (t : Term{X}{X})
331 -----
332 → | g | t ≡ | h | t
333
334 free-unique _ _ _ p (generator x) = p x
335 free-unique fe A g h p (node f args) =
336   | g | (node f args) ≡⟨ | g | f args ⟩
337   (f ^ A)(λ i → | g | (args i)) ≡⟨ ap ( _ ^ A ) γ ⟩
338   (f ^ A)(λ i → | h | (args i)) ≡⟨ (| h | f args)-1 ⟩
339   | h | (node f args) ■
340 where γ = fe λ i → free-unique fe A g h p (args i)

```

4.3 Subalgebras

4.3.1 Subuniverses

The `UALib.Subalgebras.Subuniverses` module defines, unsurprisingly, the `Subuniverses` type. Perhaps counterintuitively, we begin by defining the collection of all subuniverses of an algebra. Therefore, the type will be a predicate of predicates on the domain of the given algebra.

```

347 Subuniverses : {Q U : Universe}(A : Algebra Q S) → Pred (Pred | A | U) (Q ⊔ V ⊔ Q ⊔ U)
348 Subuniverses A B = (f : | S |)(a : || S || f → | A |) → Im a ⊆ B → (f ^ A) a ∈ B

```

An important concept in universal algebra is the subuniverse generated by a subset of the domain of an algebra. We define the following inductive type to represent this concept.

```

353 data Sg {U W : Universe}(A : Algebra U S)(X : Pred | A | W) :
354   Pred | A | (Q ⊔ V ⊔ W ⊔ U) where
355   var : ∀ {v} → v ∈ X → v ∈ Sg A X
356   app : (f : | S |)(a : || S || f → | A |) → Im a ⊆ Sg A X → (f ^ A) a ∈ Sg A X

```

The proof that `Sg X` is a subuniverse is as trivial as they come.

```

360 sglsSub : {U W : Universe}{A : Algebra U S}{X : Pred | A | W} → Sg A X ∈ Subuniverses A
361 sglsSub = app

```

And the proof that `Sg X` is the smallest subuniverse containing `X` is not much harder and proceeds by induction on the shape of elements of `Sg X`.

```

366 sglsSmallest : {U W R : Universe}(A : Algebra U S){X : Pred | A | W}{Y : Pred | A | R}
367 → Y ∈ Subuniverses A → X ⊆ Y → Sg A X ⊆ Y
368
369 sglsSmallest _ _ _ X ⊆ Y (var v ∈ X) = X ⊆ Y v ∈ X
370 sglsSmallest A Y Y ≤ A X ⊆ Y (app f a p) = fa ∈ Y
371 where
372   IH : Im a ⊆ Y

```


373 $\text{IH } i = \text{sglsSmallest } \mathbf{A} \ Y \ Y \leq A \ X \subseteq Y \ (p \ i)$

374 $\text{fa} \in Y : (f \ \hat{\ } \mathbf{A}) \ \mathbf{a} \in Y$

375 $\text{fa} \in Y = Y \leq A \ f \ \mathbf{a} \ \text{IH}$

377 Evidently, when the element of $\text{Sg } X$ is constructed as $\text{app } f \ \mathbf{a} \ p$, we may assume (the induction
378 hypothesis) that the arguments \mathbf{a} belong to Y . Then the result of applying f to \mathbf{a} must also
379 belong to Y , since Y is a subuniverse.
380

381 4.3.2 Subalgebra type

382 Given algebras $\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{W} \ S$ and $\mathbf{B} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S$, we say that \mathbf{B} is a *subalgebra* of \mathbf{A} ,
383 and (in the UALib) we write $\mathbf{B} \text{ IsSubalgebraOf } \mathbf{A}$, just in case \mathbf{B} can be embedded in \mathbf{A} ; in
384 other terms, there exists a map $h : |\mathbf{A}| \rightarrow |\mathbf{B}|$ from the universe of \mathbf{A} to the universe of \mathbf{B}
385 such that h is an embedding (i.e., $\text{is-embedding } h$ holds) and h is a homomorphism from \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{B} .
386

387 $_ \text{IsSubalgebraOf} _ : \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe} \} (\mathbf{B} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S) (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{W} \ S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \cdot$
388 $\mathbf{B} \text{ IsSubalgebraOf } \mathbf{A} = \Sigma \ h : (|\mathbf{B}| \rightarrow |\mathbf{A}|), \text{ is-embedding } h \times \text{is-homomorphism } \mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A} \ h$

389 Here is some convenient syntactic sugar for the subalgebra relation.
390
391

392 $_ \leq _ : \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{Q} : \text{Universe} \} (\mathbf{B} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S) (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{Q} \ S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{Q} \cdot$
393 $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} \text{ IsSubalgebraOf } \mathbf{A}$

394 We can now write $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ to assert that \mathbf{B} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
395

396 5 Equations and Varieties

397 Let S be a signature. By an *identity* or *equation* in S we mean an ordered pair of terms, written
398 $p \approx q$, from the term algebra $\mathbf{T} \ X$. If \mathbf{A} is an S -algebra we say that \mathbf{A} *satisfies* $p \approx q$ provided
399 $p \cdot \mathbf{A} \equiv q \cdot \mathbf{A}$ holds. In this situation, we write $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$ and say that \mathbf{A} *models* the identity
400 $p \approx q$. If \mathcal{K} is a class of algebras, all of the same signature, we write $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$ if, for every \mathbf{A}
401 $\in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$.⁴

402 5.1 Types for Theories and Models

403 The binary “models” relation \models relating algebras (or classes of algebras) to the identities that
404 they satisfy is defined in the `UALib.Varieties.ModelTheory` module. Agda supports the definition
405 of infix operations and relations, and we use this to define \models so that we may write, e.g., $\mathbf{A} \models$
406 $p \approx q$ or $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$.
407

408 $_ \models _ \approx _ : \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{X} : \text{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathcal{X} \cdot \} \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S \rightarrow \text{Term } \{ \mathcal{X} \} \{ X \} \rightarrow \text{Term} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{X} \cdot$

409 $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q = (p \cdot \mathbf{A}) \equiv (q \cdot \mathbf{A})$

411 $_ \models _ \approx _ : \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{X} : \text{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathcal{X} \cdot \} \rightarrow \text{Pred } (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S) \ (\text{OV } \mathcal{U})$
412 $\rightarrow \text{Term } \{ \mathcal{X} \} \{ X \} \rightarrow \text{Term} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{X} \sqcup \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot$

414

⁴ Because a class of structures has a different type than a single structure, we must use a slightly different syntax to avoid overloading the relations \models and \approx . As a reasonable alternative to what we would normally express informally as $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$, we have settled on $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$ to denote this relation. To reiterate, if \mathcal{K} is a class of S -algebras, we write $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$ if every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$.

```

415   _|=_{≈} _ ℳ p q = {A : Algebra _ S} → ℳ A → A |= p ≈ q

```

```

416
417

```

418 The Agda UALib makes available the standard notation `Th ℳ` for the set of identities that
 419 hold for all algebras in a class `ℳ`, as well as `Mod ℰ` for the class of algebras that satisfy all
 420 identities in a given set `ℰ`.

```

421
422   Th : {ℳ ℳ : Universe} {X : ℳ ·} → Pred (Algebra ℳ S) (OV ℳ)
423         → Pred (Term {ℳ} {X} × Term) (ℳ ⊔ ℳ' ⊔ ℳ ⊔ ℳ+)

```

```

424
425   Th ℳ = λ (p , q) → ℳ |= p ≈ q

```

```

426

```

```

427   Mod : {ℳ ℳ : Universe} {X : ℳ ·} → Pred (Term {ℳ} {X} × Term {ℳ} {X}) (ℳ ⊔ ℳ' ⊔ ℳ ⊔ ℳ+)
428         → Pred (Algebra ℳ S) (ℳ ⊔ ℳ' ⊔ ℳ+ ⊔ ℳ+)

```

```

429
430   Mod X ℰ = λ A → ∀ p q → (p , q) ∈ ℰ → A |= p ≈ q

```

431 5.2 Inductive types for closure operators

432 Fix a signature `S`, let `ℳ` be a class of `S`-algebras, and define

- 433 ■ `H ℳ` = algebras isomorphic to a homomorphic image of a members of `ℳ`;
- 434 ■ `S ℳ` = algebras isomorphic to a subalgebra of a member of `ℳ`;
- 435 ■ `P ℳ` = algebras isomorphic to a product of members of `ℳ`.

436 A *variety* is a class `ℳ` of algebras in a fixed signature that is closed under the taking of homo-
 437 morphic images (`H`), subalgebras (`S`), and arbitrary products (`P`). That is, `ℳ` is a variety if and
 438 only if `H S P ℳ ⊆ ℳ`.

439 The `UALib.Varieties.Varieties` module of the Agda UALib introduces three new inductive
 440 types that represent the closure operators `H`, `S`, `P`. Separately, an inductive type `V` is defined
 441 which represents closure under all three operators. These definitions have been fine-tuned to
 442 strike a balance between faithfully representing the desired semantics and facilitating proof by
 443 induction.

```

444
445   data H {ℳ ℳ' : Universe} (ℳ : Pred (Algebra ℳ S) (OV ℳ)) :
446     Pred (Algebra (ℳ ⊔ ℳ') S) (OV (ℳ ⊔ ℳ')) where
447     hbase : {A : Algebra ℳ S} → A ∈ ℳ → lift-alg A ℳ' ∈ H ℳ
448     hlift : {A : Algebra ℳ S} → A ∈ H {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → lift-alg A ℳ' ∈ H ℳ
449     hhimg : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ H {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → B is-hom-image-of A → B ∈ H ℳ
450     hiso : {A : Algebra _ S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ H {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → A ≅ B → B ∈ H ℳ

```

```

451
452

```

```

453   data S {ℳ ℳ' : Universe} (ℳ : Pred (Algebra ℳ S) (OV ℳ)) :
454     Pred (Algebra (ℳ ⊔ ℳ') S) (OV (ℳ ⊔ ℳ')) where
455     sbase : {A : Algebra ℳ S} → A ∈ ℳ → lift-alg A ℳ' ∈ S ℳ
456     slift : {A : Algebra ℳ S} → A ∈ S {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → lift-alg A ℳ' ∈ S ℳ
457     ssub : {A : Algebra ℳ S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ S {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → B ≤ A → B ∈ S ℳ
458     ssubw : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ S {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → B ≤ A → B ∈ S ℳ
459     siso : {A : Algebra ℳ S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ S {ℳ} {ℳ'} ℳ → A ≅ B → B ∈ S ℳ

```

```

460
461

```

```

462   data P {ℳ ℳ' : Universe} (ℳ : Pred (Algebra ℳ S) (OV ℳ)) :
463     Pred (Algebra (ℳ ⊔ ℳ') S) (OV (ℳ ⊔ ℳ')) where
464     pbase : {A : Algebra ℳ S} → A ∈ ℳ → lift-alg A ℳ' ∈ P ℳ

```

```

465   pliftu : {A : Algebra U S} → A ∈ P{U}{U} K → lift-alg A W ∈ P K
466   pliftw : {A : Algebra (U ⊔ W) S} → A ∈ P{U}{W} K → lift-alg A (U ⊔ W) ∈ P K
467   produ : {I : W · } {A : I → Algebra U S} → (∀ i → (A i) ∈ P{U}{U} K) → ∏ A ∈ P K
468   prodw : {I : W · } {A : I → Algebra _ S} → (∀ i → (A i) ∈ P{U}{W} K) → ∏ A ∈ P K
469   pisou : {A : Algebra U S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ P{U}{U} K → A ≅ B → B ∈ P K
470   pisow : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ P{U}{W} K → A ≅ B → B ∈ P K

```

471 The operator that corresponds to closure with respect to **HSP** is often denoted by \mathbb{V} ; we represent it by the inductive type **V**, defined as follows.

```

475   data V {U W : Universe} (K : Pred (Algebra U S) (OV U)) :
476     Pred (Algebra (U ⊔ W) S) (OV (U ⊔ W)) where
477     vbase : {A : Algebra U S} → A ∈ K → lift-alg A W ∈ V K
478     vlift  : {A : Algebra U S} → A ∈ V{U}{U} K → lift-alg A W ∈ V K
479     vliftw : {A : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{W} K → lift-alg A (U ⊔ W) ∈ V K
480     vhimg  : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{W} K → B is-hom-image-of A → B ∈ V K
481     vssub  : {A : Algebra U S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{U} K → B ≤ A → B ∈ V K
482     vssubw : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{W} K → B ≤ A → B ∈ V K
483     vprodu : {I : W · } {A : I → Algebra U S} → (∀ i → (A i) ∈ V{U}{U} K) → ∏ A ∈ V K
484     vprodw : {I : W · } {A : I → Algebra _ S} → (∀ i → (A i) ∈ V{U}{W} K) → ∏ A ∈ V K
485     visou  : {A : Algebra U S} {B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{U} K → A ≅ B → B ∈ V K
486     visow  : {A B : Algebra _ S} → A ∈ V{U}{W} K → A ≅ B → B ∈ V K

```

487 Thus, if K is a class of S -algebras, then the *variety generated by* K —that is, the smallest class
 488 that contains K and is closed under **H**, **S**, and **P**—is $V K$.

490 5.3 Class products, $PS \subseteq SP$ and $\prod S \in SP$

491 There are two main results we need to establish about the closure operators defined in the
 492 last section. The first is the inclusion $PS(K) \subseteq SP(K)$. The second requires that we con-
 493 struct the product of all subalgebras of algebras in an arbitrary class, and then show that this
 494 product belongs to $SP(K)$. These are both nontrivial formalization tasks with rather lengthy
 495 proofs, which we omit. However, the interested reader can view the complete proofs on the
 496 web page ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Varieties.Varieties.html or by looking at the source code of the
 497 **UALib.Varieties** module at gitlab.com/ualib.

498 Here is the formal statement of the first goal, along with the first few lines of proof.⁵

```

500   PS⊆SP : (P{ovu}{ovu} (S{U}{ovu} K)) ⊆ (S{ovu}{ovu} (P{U}{ovu} K))
501
502   PS⊆SP (pbase (sbase x)) = sbase (pbase x)
503   PS⊆SP (pbase (slift{A} x)) = slift (S⊆SP{U}{ovu}{K} (slift x))
504   PS⊆SP (pbase {B} (ssub{A} sA B ≤ A)) = ...

```

505 Evidently, the proof is by induction on the form of an inhabitant of $PS(K)$, handling in turn
 506 each way such an inhabitant can be constructed. (See [4] or ualib.org for details.)

507 Next we formally state and prove that, given an arbitrary class K of algebras, the product
 508 of all algebras in the class $S(K)$ belongs to $SP(K)$.⁶ The type that serves to index the class
 509

⁵ For legibility we use **ovu** as a shorthand for $\mathbf{0} \sqcup \mathbf{V} \sqcup \mathbf{U}^+$.

⁶ This turned out to be a nontrivial exercise. In fact, it is not even immediately obvious (at least not to this author) how one should express the product of an entire arbitrary class of algebras as a dependent type.

(and the product of its members) is the following.

```

510
511
512    $\mathcal{J} : \{\mathcal{U} : \text{Universe}\} \rightarrow \text{Pred } (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S)(\text{ov } \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\text{ov } \mathcal{U}) \cdot$ 
513    $\mathcal{J} \{\mathcal{U}\} \mathcal{K} = \Sigma \mathbf{A} : (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S), \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ 

```

Taking the product over this index type \mathcal{J} requires a function like the following, which takes an index ($i : \mathcal{J}$) and returns the corresponding algebra.

```

514
515
516    $\mathfrak{A} : \{\mathcal{U} : \text{Universe}\} \{\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred } (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S)(\text{ov } \mathcal{U})\} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S$ 
517    $\mathfrak{A} \{\mathcal{U}\} \{\mathcal{K}\} = \lambda (i : (\mathcal{J} \mathcal{K})) \rightarrow | i |$ 

```

Finally, the product of all members of \mathcal{K} is represented by the following type.

```

520
521
522
523    $\text{class-product} : \{\mathcal{U} : \text{Universe}\} \rightarrow \text{Pred } (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S)(\text{ov } \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \text{Algebra } (\text{ov } \mathcal{U}) \ S$ 
524    $\text{class-product } \{\mathcal{U}\} \mathcal{K} = \prod ( \mathfrak{A} \{\mathcal{U}\} \{\mathcal{K}\} )$ 

```

If $p : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ is a proof that \mathbf{A} belongs to \mathcal{K} , then we can view the pair $(\mathbf{A}, p) \in \mathcal{J} \mathcal{K}$ as an index over the class, and $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{A}, p)$ as the result of projecting the product onto the (\mathbf{A}, p) -th component.

5.4 Equation preservation

This section describes parts of the `UALib.Varieties.Preservation` module of the Agda UALib, in which it is proved that identities are preserved by closure operators `H`, `S`, and `P`. This will establish the easy direction of Birkhoff's HSP theorem. We present only the formal statements, omitting proofs. (See [4] or ualib.org for details.) For example, the assertion that `H` preserves identities is stated formally as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{H-id1} : \{\mathcal{U} \ \mathfrak{X} : \text{Universe}\} \{X : \mathfrak{X} \cdot\} \{\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred } (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S)(\text{ov } \mathcal{U})\} \\
 & \quad (p \ q : \text{Term} \{\mathfrak{X}\} \{X\}) \\
 & \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{K} \models p \approx q \rightarrow \text{H} \{\mathcal{U}\} \{\mathcal{K}\} \models p \approx q
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The proof is by induction and handles each of the four constructors of `H` (`hbase`, `hlift`, `hhimg`, and `hiso`) in turn. Of course, the `UALib.Varieties.Preservation` module of the UALib contains a complete proof of (1), which can be viewed in the source code or the html documentation.⁷ The facts that `S`, `P`, and `V` preserve identities are presented in the UALib in a similar way (and named `S-id1`, `P-id1`, `V-id1`, respectively). As usual, the full proofs are available in the UALib source code and documentation.⁷

5.5 The free algebra in theory

In this section, we formalize, for a given class \mathcal{K} of S -algebras, the (relatively) *free algebra in $\text{SP}(\mathcal{K})$ over X* . Let $\Theta(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{A}) := \{\theta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} / \theta \in \text{S } \mathcal{K}\}$ and $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{A}) := \bigcap \Theta(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{A})$. Since the term algebra $\mathbf{T} X$ is free for (and in) the class $\text{Alg}(S)$ of all S -algebras, it is free for every subclass \mathcal{K} of $\text{Alg}(S)$. Although $\mathbf{T} X$ is not necessarily a member of \mathcal{K} , if we form the quotient $\mathfrak{F} := (\mathbf{T} X) / \psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$, of $\mathbf{T} X$ modulo the congruence

However, after a number of failed attempts, the right type revealed itself. Now that we have it, it seems almost obvious.

⁷ See the source code file `Preservation.lagda`, or the html documentation page ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Varieties.Preservation.html.

551 $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X) := \bigcap \{ \theta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{T} X) : (\mathbf{T} X) / \theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}) \},$
 552 then it is not hard to see that \mathfrak{F} is a subdirect product of the algebras in $\{(\mathbf{T} X) / \theta\}$, where
 553 θ ranges over $\Theta(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$, so \mathfrak{F} belongs to $\text{SP}(\mathcal{K})$, and it follows that \mathfrak{F} satisfies all the identities
 554 modeled by \mathcal{K} . Indeed, for each pair $p, q : \mathbf{T} X$, if $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$, then p and q must belong to the
 555 same $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$ -class, so p and q are identified in the quotient \mathfrak{F} .
 556 The algebra \mathfrak{F} so defined is called the *free algebra over \mathcal{K} generated by X* and (because of
 557 what we just observed) we say that \mathfrak{F} is free in $\text{SP}(\mathcal{K})$.

558 5.6 The free algebra in Agda

559 To represent \mathfrak{F} as a type in Agda, we must formally construct the congruence $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$. We
 560 begin by defining the collection **Timg** of homomorphic images of the term algebra that belong
 561 to a given class \mathcal{K} .
 562

```
563 Timg : Pred (Algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  S) ovu → ovu  $\sqcup$   $\mathfrak{X}^+$  .
564 Timg  $\mathcal{K} = \Sigma \mathbf{A} : (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) , \Sigma \phi : \text{hom}(\mathbf{T} X) \mathbf{A} , (\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}) \times \text{Epic} \mid \phi \mid$ 
```

565 The inhabitants of this Sigma type represent algebras $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that there exists a surjective
 566 homomorphism $\phi : \text{hom}(\mathbf{T} X) \mathbf{A}$. Thus, **Timg** represents the collection of all homomorphic
 567 images of $\mathbf{T} X$ that belong to \mathcal{K} . Of course, this is the entire class \mathcal{K} , since the term algebra
 568 is absolutely free. Nonetheless, this representation of \mathcal{K} is useful since it endows each element
 569 with extra information. Indeed, each inhabitant of **Timg** \mathcal{K} is a quadruple, $(\mathbf{A} , \phi , ka , p)$,
 570 where \mathbf{A} is an S -algebra, ϕ is a homomorphism from $\mathbf{T} X$ to \mathbf{A} , ka is a proof that \mathbf{A} belongs
 571 to \mathcal{K} , and p is a proof that the underlying map $\mid \phi \mid$ is epic.
 572

573 Next we define the congruence relation modulo which $\mathbf{T} X$ yields the relatively free algebra,
 574 $\mathfrak{F} \mathcal{K} X$. We start by letting ψ be the collection of all identities (p, q) satisfied by all subalgebras
 575 of algebras in \mathcal{K} ,
 576

```
577  $\psi : (\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred} (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) \text{ovu}) \rightarrow \text{Pred} (\mid \mathbf{T} X \mid \times \mid \mathbf{T} X \mid) \text{ovu}$ 
578  $\psi \mathcal{K} (p , q) = \forall (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) \rightarrow (sA : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{S}\{\mathcal{U}\}\{\mathcal{U}\} \mathcal{K})$ 
579  $\rightarrow \mid \text{lift-hom } \mathbf{A} (\text{fst}(\mathcal{K} \mathbf{A})) \mid p \equiv \mid \text{lift-hom } \mathbf{A} (\text{fst}(\mathcal{K} \mathbf{A})) \mid q ,$ 
```

580 which we convert into a relation by currying, $\psi\text{Rel } \mathcal{K} p q = \psi \mathcal{K} (p , q)$. The relation ψRel is
 581 an equivalence relation and is compatible with the operations of $\mathbf{T} X$, which are just the terms
 582 themselves.⁸ Therefore, from ψRel we can construct a congruence relation of the term algebra
 583 $\mathbf{T} X$. The inhabitants of this congruence are pairs of terms representing identities satisfied by
 584 all subalgebras of algebras in the class. We call this ψCon and define it using the **Congruence**
 585 constructor **mkcon** as follows.
 586

```
587
588  $\psi\text{Con} : (\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred} (\text{Algebra } \mathcal{U} S) \text{ovu}) \rightarrow \text{Congruence}(\mathbf{T} X)$ 
589  $\psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K} = \text{mkcon} (\psi\text{Rel } \mathcal{K}) (\psi\text{compatible } \mathcal{K}) \psi\text{IsEquivalence}$ 
```

590 The free algebra \mathfrak{F} is then defined as the quotient $\mathbf{T} X / (\psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K})$.
 591
 592

```
593  $\mathfrak{F} : \text{Algebra } \mathfrak{F} S$ 
594  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathbf{T} X / (\psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K})$ 
```

595 where $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{X} \sqcup \text{ovu})^+$ happens to be the universe level of \mathfrak{F} . The domain of the free algebra
 596 is $\mid \mathbf{T} X \mid / \langle \psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K} \rangle$, which is $\Sigma \mathbf{C} : _ , \Sigma p : \mid \mathbf{T} X \mid , \mathbf{C} \equiv ([p] \langle \psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K} \rangle)$, by definition;
 597 i.e., the collection $\{\mathbf{C} : \exists p \in \mid \mathbf{T} X \mid , \mathbf{C} \equiv [p] \langle \psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K} \rangle\}$ of $\langle \psi\text{Con } \mathcal{K} \rangle$ -classes of $\mathbf{T} X$.
 598

⁸ We omit the easy proofs, but see the **UALib.Birkhoff.FreeAlgebra** module for details.

6 Birkhoff's HSP Theorem

This section presents the `UALib.Birkhoff` module of the Agda `UALib`. In §??, we present a formal representation of the *free algebra* in $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{P} \mathcal{K})$ over X , for a given class \mathcal{K} of S -algebras. In §6.1, we state a number of lemmas needed in §6.2 where, finally, we present the statement and proof of Birkhoff's HSP theorem.

6.1 HSP Lemmas

This subsection gives formal statements of four lemmas that we will string together in §6.2 to complete the proof of Birkhoff's theorem.

The first hurdle is the `lift-alg-V-closure` lemma, which says that if an algebra \mathbf{A} belongs to the variety \mathbb{V} , then so does its lift. This dispenses with annoying universe level problems that arise later—a minor technical issue, but the proof is long and tedious, not to mention uninteresting. (See [4] or `ualib.org` for details.) The next fact that must be formalized is the inclusion $\mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{K})$, which also suffers from the unfortunate defect of being boring, so we omit this one as well.

After these first two lemmas are formally verified (see [4] or `ualib.org` for proofs), we arrive at a step in the formalization of Birkhoff's theorem that turns out to be surprisingly nontrivial. We must show that the relatively free algebra \mathfrak{F} embeds in the product \mathfrak{C} of all subalgebras of algebras in the given class \mathcal{K} . We begin by constructing \mathfrak{C} , using the class-product types described in §5.3.

```

 $\mathfrak{I}s$  : ovu · — for indexing over all subalgebras of algebras in  $\mathcal{K}$ 
 $\mathfrak{I}s$  =  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{S}\{\mathfrak{U}\}\{\mathfrak{U}\} \mathcal{K})$ 
 $\mathfrak{A}s$  :  $\mathfrak{I}s \rightarrow \mathbf{Algebra} \mathfrak{U} S$ 
 $\mathfrak{A}s$  =  $\lambda (i : \mathfrak{I}s) \rightarrow | i |$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}$  : Algebra ovu S — the product of all subalgebras of algebras in  $\mathcal{K}$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}$  =  $\prod \mathfrak{A}s$ 

```

Observe that the elements of \mathfrak{C} are maps from $\mathfrak{I}s$ to $\{\mathfrak{A}s \mid i : i \in \mathfrak{I}s\}$.

Next, we construct an embedding \mathfrak{f} from \mathfrak{F} into \mathfrak{C} using a `UALib` tool called `\mathfrak{F} -free-lift`.

```

 $\mathfrak{h}_0$  :  $X \rightarrow | \mathfrak{C} |$ 
 $\mathfrak{h}_0$   $x$  =  $\lambda i \rightarrow (\mathbf{fst} (\mathfrak{X} (\mathfrak{A}s i))) x$ 
 $\phi_{\mathfrak{C}}$  :  $\mathbf{hom}(\mathbf{T} X) \mathfrak{C}$ 
 $\phi_{\mathfrak{C}}$  =  $\mathbf{lift-hom} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{h}_0$ 
 $\mathfrak{f}$  :  $\mathbf{hom} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{C}$ 
 $\mathfrak{f}$  =  $\mathfrak{F}\text{-free-lift} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{h}_0$  ,  $\lambda f \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \|\phi_{\mathfrak{C}}\| f (\lambda i \rightarrow \ulcorner \mathbf{a} i \urcorner)$ 

```

The hard part is showing that \mathfrak{f} is a monomorphism. For lack of space, we must omit the inner workings of the proof, and settle for the outer shell. (See [4] or `ualib.org` for details.) For ease of notation, let $\Psi = \psi\mathbf{Rel} \mathcal{K}$.

```

 $\mathbf{monf}$  :  $\mathbf{Monic} \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid$ 
 $\mathbf{monf} (\cdot (\Psi p) , p , \mathbf{refl} \_) (\cdot (\Psi q) , q , \mathbf{refl} \_) fpq = \gamma$ 
  where
    ... details omitted ...
 $\gamma$  :  $(\Psi p , p , \mathbf{refl}) \equiv (\Psi q , q , \mathbf{refl})$ 
 $\gamma$  = class-extensionality' pe gfe ssR ssA  $\psi$ IsEquivalence p  $\Psi$  q

```

Assuming the foregoing, the proof that \mathfrak{F} is (isomorphic to) a subalgebra of \mathfrak{C} would be completed as follows.

$\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C} : \text{is-set} \mid \mathfrak{C} \mid \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C}$
 $\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C} \text{ Cset} = \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid , (\text{embf} , \parallel \mathfrak{f} \parallel)$

where

$\text{embf} : \text{is-embedding} \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid$
 $\text{embf} = \text{monic-into-set-is-embedding} \text{ Cset} \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid \text{monf}$

With the foregoing results in hand, along with what we proved earlier—namely, that $\text{PS}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \text{SP}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \text{V}(\mathcal{K})$ —it is not hard to show that \mathfrak{F} belongs to $\text{SP}(\mathcal{K})$, and hence to $\text{V}(\mathcal{K})$.

$\mathfrak{F} \in \text{SP} : \text{is-set} \mid \mathfrak{C} \mid \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \in (\text{S}\{\text{ovu}\}\{\text{ovu}^+\} (\text{P}\{\mathfrak{U}\}\{\text{ovu}\} \mathcal{K}))$
 $\mathfrak{F} \in \text{SP} \text{ Cset} = \text{ssub spC} (\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C} \text{ Cset})$

where

$\text{spC} : \mathfrak{C} \in (\text{S}\{\text{ovu}\}\{\text{ovu}\} (\text{P}\{\mathfrak{U}\}\{\text{ovu}\} \mathcal{K}))$
 $\text{spC} = (\text{class-prod-s-}\in\text{-sp} \text{ hfe})$

$\mathfrak{F} \in \text{V} : \text{is-set} \mid \mathfrak{C} \mid \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \in \text{V}$

$\mathfrak{F} \in \text{V} \text{ Cset} = \text{SP} \subseteq \text{V}' (\mathfrak{F} \in \text{SP} \text{ Cset})$

6.2 The HSP Theorem

It is now all but trivial to use what we have already proved and piece together a complete formal, type-theoretic proof of Birkhoff’s celebrated HSP theorem asserting that every variety is defined by a set of identities (is an “equational class”).

module Birkhoffs-Theorem

$\{\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred} (\text{Algebra } \mathfrak{U} \text{ S}) \text{ ovu}\}$
 – *extensionality assumptions*
 $\{\text{hfe} : \text{hfunext ovu ovu}\}$
 $\{\text{pe} : \text{propext ovu}\}$
 – *truncation assumptions:*
 $\{\text{ssR} : \forall p q \rightarrow \text{is-subsingleton} ((\psi \text{Rel } \mathcal{K}) p q)\}$
 $\{\text{ssA} : \forall C \rightarrow \text{is-subsingleton} (\mathfrak{C}\{\text{ovu}\}\{\text{ovu}\}\{\mid \mathbf{T} X \mid\}\{\psi \text{Rel } \mathcal{K}\} C)\}$

where

– *Birkhoff’s theorem: every variety is an equational class.*

$\text{birkhoff} : \text{is-set} \mid \mathfrak{C} \mid \rightarrow \text{Mod } X (\text{Th } \text{V}) \subseteq \text{V}$

$\text{birkhoff} \text{ Cset} \{\mathbf{A}\} \text{ MThVA} = \gamma$

where

$\phi : \Sigma h : (\text{hom } \mathfrak{F} \mathbf{A}) , \text{Epic} \mid h \mid$
 $\phi = (\mathfrak{F}\text{-lift-hom } \mathbf{A} \mid \mathbb{X} \mathbf{A} \mid) , \mathfrak{F}\text{-lift-of-epic-is-epic } \mathbf{A} \mid \mathbb{X} \mathbf{A} \mid \parallel \mathbb{X} \mathbf{A} \parallel$

$\text{AiF} : \mathbf{A} \text{ is-hom-image-of } \mathfrak{F}$

$\text{AiF} = (\mathbf{A} , \mid \text{fst } \phi \mid , (\parallel \text{fst } \phi \parallel , \text{snd } \phi) , \text{refl-}\cong$

$\gamma : \mathbf{A} \in \text{V}$

$\gamma = \text{vhimg} (\mathfrak{F} \in \text{V} \text{ Cset}) \text{ AiF}$

Some readers might worry that we haven’t quite achieved our goal because what we just proved (birkhoff) is not an “if and only if” assertion. Those fears are quickly put to rest by noting that the converse—that every equational class is closed under HSP—was already proved in

the Equation Preservation module. Indeed, there we proved the following identity preservation lemmas:

■ (H-id1) $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$

■ (S-id1) $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$

■ (P-id1) $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$

From these it follows that every equational class is a variety.

7 Conclusions and future work

As mentioned in § 1, Carlström in [3] proved a constructive version of Birkhoff's theorem. We would like to know how the two new hypothesis that Carlström adds to the classical theorem in order to make it constructive compares with the assumptions we make in our Agda proof.

References

- 1 G Birkhoff. On the structure of abstract algebras. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 31(4):433–454, Oct 1935.
- 2 Venanzio Capretta. Universal algebra in type theory. In *Theorem proving in higher order logics (Nice, 1999)*, volume 1690 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 131–148. Springer, Berlin, 1999. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48256-3_10, doi:10.1007/3-540-48256-3_10.
- 3 Jesper Carlström. A constructive version of birkhoff's theorem. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 54(1):27–34, 2008. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/malq.200710023>, arXiv:<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/malq.200710023>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/malq.200710023>.
- 4 William DeMeo. The agda universal algebra library and birkhoff's theorem in martin-löf dependent type theory, 2021. arXiv:2101.10166.
- 5 Martín Hötzel Escardó. Introduction to univalent foundations of mathematics with agda. *CoRR*, abs/1911.00580, 2019. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.00580>, arXiv:1911.00580.
- 6 Emmanuel Gunther, Alejandro Gadea, and Miguel Pagano. Formalization of universal algebra in agda. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 338:147 – 166, 2018. The 12th Workshop on Logical and Semantic Frameworks, with Applications (LSFA 2017). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1571066118300768>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2018.10.010>.
- 7 Ulf Norell. Dependently typed programming in agda. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Advanced Functional Programming*, AFP'08, pages 230–266, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009. Springer-Verlag. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1813347.1813352>.
- 8 The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. Lulu and The Univalent Foundations Program, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013. URL: <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book>.
- 9 Bas Spitters and Eelis van der Weegen. Type classes for mathematics in type theory. *CoRR*, abs/1102.1323, 2011. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.1323>, arXiv:1102.1323.
- 10 The Agda Team. Agda Language Reference: Sec. Axiom K, 2021. URL: <https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/language/without-k.html>.
- 11 The Agda Team. Agda Language Reference: Sec. Safe Agda, 2021. URL: <https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/language/safe-agda.html#safe-agda>.
- 12 The Agda Team. Agda Tools Documentation: Sec. Pattern matching and equality, 2021. URL: <https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/tools/command-line-options.html#pattern-matching-and-equality>.

A Agda Prerequisites

For the benefit of readers who are not proficient in Agda and/or type theory, we describe some of the most important types and features of Agda used in the UALib.

We begin by highlighting some of the key parts of `UALib.Prelude.Preliminaries` of the Agda UALib. This module imports everything we need from Martin Escardo’s Type Topology library [5], defines some other basic types and proves some of their properties. We do not cover the entire Preliminaries module here, but call attention to aspects that differ from standard Agda syntax. For more details, see [4, §2].

A.1 Options and imports

Agda programs typically begin by setting some options and by importing from existing libraries. Options are specified with the `OPTIONS pragma` and control the way Agda behaves by, for example, specifying which logical foundations should be assumed when the program is type-checked to verify its correctness. All Agda programs in the UALib begin with the pragma

```
{-# OPTIONS -without-K -exact-split -safe #-}
```

 (2)

This has the following effects:

1. `without-K` disables Streicher’s K axiom; see [10];
2. `exact-split` makes Agda accept only definitions that are *judgmental* or *definitional* equalities. As Escardó explains, this “makes sure that pattern matching corresponds to Martin-Löf eliminators;” for more details see [12];
3. `safe` ensures that nothing is postulated outright—every non-MLTT axiom has to be an explicit assumption (e.g., an argument to a function or module); see [11] and [12].

Throughout this paper we take assumptions 1–3 for granted without mentioning them explicitly.

The Agda UALib adopts the notation of the Type Topology library. In particular, universes are denoted by capitalized script letters from the second half of the alphabet, e.g., \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} , etc. Also defined in Type Topology are the operators \cdot and $+$. These map a universe \mathcal{U} to $\mathcal{U} \cdot := \text{Set } \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} + := \text{Isuc } \mathcal{U}$, respectively. Thus, $\mathcal{U} \cdot$ is simply an alias for `Set \mathcal{U}` , and we have $\mathcal{U} \cdot : (\mathcal{U} +) \cdot$. Table 1 translates between standard Agda syntax and Type Topology/UALib notation.

A.2 Agda’s universe hierarchy

The hierarchy of universe levels in Agda is structured as $\mathcal{U}_0 : \mathcal{U}_1$, $\mathcal{U}_1 : \mathcal{U}_2$, $\mathcal{U}_2 : \mathcal{U}_3$, This means that \mathcal{U}_0 has type $\mathcal{U}_1 \cdot$ and \mathcal{U}_n has type $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cdot$ for each n . It is important to note, however, this does *not* imply that $\mathcal{U}_0 : \mathcal{U}_2$ and $\mathcal{U}_0 : \mathcal{U}_3$, and so on. In other words, Agda’s universe hierarchy is *noncummulative*. This makes it possible to treat universe levels more generally and precisely, which is nice. On the other hand, it is this author’s experience that a noncummulative hierarchy can sometimes make for a nonfun proof assistant. (See [4, §3.3] for a more detailed discussion.)

Because of the noncummulativity of Agda’s universe level hierarchy, certain proof verification (i.e., type-checking) tasks may seem unnecessarily difficult. Luckily there are ways to circumvent noncummulativity without introducing logical inconsistencies into the type theory. We present here some domain specific tools that we developed for this purpose. (See [4, §3.3] for more details).

A general `Lift` record type, similar to the one found in the `Level` module of the Agda Standard Library, is defined as follows.

```

787 record Lift {U W : Universe} (X : U ·) : U ⊔ W · where
788   constructor lift
789   field lower : X
790   open Lift

```

791 It is useful to know that `lift` and `lower` compose to the identity.

```

794 lower~lift : {X W : Universe} {X : X ·} → lower{X}{W} ∘ lift ≡ id X
795 lower~lift = refl _

```

796 Similarly, `lift ∘ lower ≡ id (Lift{X}{W})`.

798 A.2.1 The lift of an algebra

799 More domain-specifically, here is how we lift types of operations and algebras.

```

800 lift-op : {U : Universe} {I : V ·} {A : U ·}
801   → ((I → A) → A) → (W : Universe) → ((I → Lift{U}{W} A) → Lift{U}{W} A)
802 lift-op f W = λ x → lift (f (λ i → lower (x i)))
803
804 lift-∞-algebra lift-alg : {U : Universe} → Algebra U S → (W : Universe) → Algebra (U ⊔ W) S
805 lift-∞-algebra A W = Lift | A | , (λ (f : | S |) → lift-op (| A || f) W)
806 lift-alg = lift-∞-algebra
807

```

808 A.3 Dependent pairs and projections

809 Given universes U and V , a type $X : U ·$, and a type family $Y : X \rightarrow V ·$, the *Sigma type* (or *dependent pair type*) is denoted by $\Sigma(x : X), Y(x)$ and generalizes the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ by allowing the type $Y(x)$ of the second argument of the ordered pair (x, y) to depend on the value x of the first. That is, $\Sigma(x : X), Y(x)$ is inhabited by pairs (x, y) such that $x : X$ and $y : Y(x)$.

814 Agda’s default syntax for a Sigma type is $\Sigma \lambda(x : X) \rightarrow Y$, but we prefer the notation $\Sigma x : X, Y$, which is closer to the standard syntax described in the preceding paragraph. Fortunately, this preferred notation is available in the Type Topology library (see [5, Σ types]).⁹

817 Convenient notations for the first and second projections out of a product are `|_1|` and `|_2|`, respectively. However, to improve readability or to avoid notation clashes with other modules, we sometimes use more standard alternatives, such as `pr1` and `pr2`, or `fst` and `snd`, or some combination of these. The definitions are standard so we omit them (see [4] for details).

821 A.4 Equality

822 Perhaps the most important types in type theory are the equality types. The *definitional equality* we use is a standard one and is often referred to as “reflexivity” or “refl”. In our case, it is defined in the Identity-Type module of the Type Topology library, but apart from syntax it is equivalent to the identity type used in most other Agda libraries. Here is the definition.

```

827 data _≡_ {U} {X : U ·} : X → X → U · where
828   refl : {x : X} → x ≡ x

```

⁹ The symbol Σ in the expression $\Sigma x : X, Y$ is not the ordinary colon $(:)$; rather, it is the symbol obtained by typing `\:4` in agda2-mode.

A.5 Function extensionality and intensionality

Extensional equality of functions, or *function extensionality*, is a principle that is often assumed in the Agda UALib. It asserts that two point-wise equal functions are equal and is defined in the Type Topology library in the following natural way:

```
funext : ∀  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V})^+ \cdot$ 
funext  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} = \{X : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{Y : \mathcal{V} \cdot\} \{f g : X \rightarrow Y\} \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \equiv g$ 
```

where $f \sim g$ denotes pointwise equality, that is, $\forall x \rightarrow f x \equiv g x$.

Pointwise equality of functions is typically what one means in informal settings when one says that two functions are equal. However, as Escardó notes in [5], function extensionality is known to be not provable or disprovable in Martin-Löf Type Theory. It is an independent axiom which may be assumed (or not) without making the logic inconsistent.

Dependent and polymorphic notions of function extensionality are also defined in the UALib and Type Topology libraries (see [4, §2.4] and [5, §17-18]).

Function intensionality is the opposite of function extensionality and it comes in handy whenever we have a definitional equality and need a point-wise equality.

```
intensionality : { $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe}$ } { $A : \mathcal{U} \cdot$ } { $B : \mathcal{W} \cdot$ } { $f g : A \rightarrow B$ }
  →  $f \equiv g \rightarrow (x : A) \rightarrow f x \equiv g x$ 
intensionality (refl  $\_$ )  $\_ = \text{refl } \_$ 
```

Of course, the intensionality principle has dependent and polymorphic analogues defined in the Agda UALib, but we omit the definitions. See [4, §2.4] for details.

A.6 Truncation and sets

In general, we may have many inhabitants of a given type, hence (via Curry-Howard correspondence) many proofs of a given proposition. For instance, suppose we have a type X and an identity relation \equiv_x on X so that, given two inhabitants of X , say, $a b : X$, we can form the type $a \equiv_x b$. Suppose p and q inhabit the type $a \equiv_x b$; that is, p and q are proofs of $a \equiv_x b$, in which case we write $p q : a \equiv_x b$. Then we might wonder whether and in what sense are the two proofs p and q the “same.” We are asking about an identity type on the identity type \equiv_x , and whether there is some inhabitant r of this type; i.e., whether there is a proof $r : p \equiv_{x1} q$. If such a proof exists for all $p q : a \equiv_x b$, then we say that the proof of $a \equiv_x b$ is *unique*. As a property of the types X and \equiv_x , this is sometimes called *uniqueness of identity proofs*.

Perhaps we have two proofs, say, $r s : p \equiv_{x1} q$. Then it is natural to wonder whether $r \equiv_{x2} s$ has a proof! However, we may decide that at some level the potential to distinguish two proofs of an identity in a meaningful way (so-called *proof relevance*) is not useful or interesting. At that point, say, at level k , we might assume that there is at most one proof of any identity of the form $p \equiv_{xk} q$. This is called *truncation*.

In *homotopy type theory* [8], a type X with an identity relation \equiv_x is called a *set* (or *0-groupoid* or *h-set*) if for every pair $a b : X$ of elements of type X there is at most one proof of $a \equiv_x b$. This notion is formalized in the Type Topology library as follows:

```
is-set :  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \cdot$ 
is-set  $X = (x y : X) \rightarrow \text{is-subsingleton } (x \equiv y)$  (3)
```

where

```
is-subsingleton :  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \cdot$ 
is-subsingleton  $X = (x y : X) \rightarrow x \equiv y$  (4)
```

878 Truncation is used in various places in the UALib, and it is required in the proof of Birkhoff's
 879 theorem. Consult [5, §34-35] or [8, §7.1] for more details.

880 A.7 Inverses, Epics and Monics

881 This section describes some of the more important parts of the `UALib.Prelude.Inverses` module.
 882 In § A.7.1, we define an inductive datatype that represents our semantic notion of the *inverse*
 883 *image* of a function. In § A.7.2 we define types for *epic* and *monic* functions. Finally, in
 884 Subsections A.8, we consider the type of *embeddings* (defined in [5, §26]), and determine how
 885 this type relates to our type of monic functions.

886 A.7.1 Inverse image type

```
887 data Image ⊃ _ {A :  $\mathcal{U}$  · } {B :  $\mathcal{W}$  · } (f : A → B) : B →  $\mathcal{U}$   $\sqcup$   $\mathcal{W}$  ·
888 where
889   im : (x : A) → Image f ⊃ f x
890   eq : (b : B) → (a : A) → b ≡ f a → Image f ⊃ b
```

891 Note that an inhabitant of `Image f ⊃ b` is a dependent pair (a, p) , where $a : A$ and $p : b ≡ f a$
 892 is a proof that f maps a to b . Thus, a proof that b belongs to the image of f (i.e., an inhabitant
 893 of `Image f ⊃ b`), is always accompanied by a witness $a : A$, and a proof that $b ≡ f a$, so the
 894 inverse of a function f can actually be *computed* at every inhabitant of the image of f .
 895

896 We define an inverse function, which we call `Inv`, which, when given $b : B$ and a proof $(a, p) : \text{Image } f \ni b$ that b belongs to the image of f , produces a (a preimage of b under f).
 897
 898

```
899 Inv : {A :  $\mathcal{U}$  · } {B :  $\mathcal{W}$  · } (f : A → B) (b : B) → Image f ⊃ b → A
900 Inv f .(f a) (im a) = a
901 Inv f _ (eq _ a _) = a
```

902 Thus, the inverse is computed by pattern matching on the structure of the third explicit argu-
 903 ment, which has (inductive) type `Image f ⊃ b`. Since there are two constructors, `im` and `eq`, that
 904 argument must take one of two forms. Either it has the form `im a` (in which case the second
 905 explicit argument is `.(f a)`),¹⁰ or it has the form `eq b a p`, where p is a proof of $b ≡ f a$. (The
 906 underscore characters replace b and p in the definition since `Inv` doesn't care about them; it
 907 only needs to extract and return the preimage a .)
 908

909 We can formally prove that `Inv f` is the right-inverse of f , as follows. Again, we use pattern
 910 matching and structural induction.
 911

```
912 InvInv : {A :  $\mathcal{U}$  · } {B :  $\mathcal{W}$  · } (f : A → B)
913         (b : B) (b ∈ Imgf : Image f ⊃ b)
914         → f (Inv f b b ∈ Imgf) ≡ b
915 InvInv f .(f a) (im a) = refl _
916 InvInv f b (eq b a b ≡ f a) = b ≡ f a-1
```

918 Here we give names to all the arguments for readability, but most of them could be replaced
 919 with underscores.
 920

¹⁰The dotted pattern is used when the form of the argument is forced... todo: fix this sentence

A.7.2 Epic and monic function types

Given universes \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W} , types $A : \mathcal{U} \cdot$ and $B : \mathcal{W} \cdot$, and $f : A \rightarrow B$, we say that f is an *epic* (or *surjective*) function from A to B provided we can produce an element (or proof or witness) of type **Epic** f , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Epic} &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{B : \mathcal{W} \cdot\} (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \cdot \\ \mathbf{Epic} \, f &= \forall y \rightarrow \mathbf{Image} \, f \ni y \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the (right-) inverse of an epic function f by applying the following function to f and a proof that f is epic.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{EpicInv} &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{B : \mathcal{W} \cdot\} \\ &\quad (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Epic} \, f \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} B \rightarrow A \\ \mathbf{EpicInv} \, f \, p \, b &= \mathbf{Inv} \, f \, b \, (p \, b) \end{aligned}$$

The function defined by **EpicInv** $f \, p$ is indeed the right-inverse of f , as we now prove.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{EpicInvIsRightInv} &: \mathbf{funext} \, \mathcal{W} \, \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{B : \mathcal{W} \cdot\} \\ &\quad (f : A \rightarrow B) (fE : \mathbf{Epic} \, f) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} f \circ (\mathbf{EpicInv} \, f \, fE) \equiv \mathbf{id} \, B \\ \mathbf{EpicInvIsRightInv} \, fe \, f \, fE &= fe \, (\lambda x \rightarrow \mathbf{InvIsInv} \, f \, x \, (fE \, x)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we say that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a *monic* (or *injective*) function from A to B if we have a proof of **Monic** f , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Monic} &: \{A : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{B : \mathcal{W} \cdot\} (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \cdot \\ \mathbf{Monic} \, f &= \forall a_1 \, a_2 \rightarrow f \, a_1 \equiv f \, a_2 \rightarrow a_1 \equiv a_2 \end{aligned}$$

As one would hope and expect, the *left*-inverse of a monic function is derived from a proof $p : \mathbf{Monic} \, f$ in a similar way. (See **MonicInv** and **MonicInvIsLeftInv** in [4, §2.3] for details.)

A.8 Monic functions are set embeddings

An *embedding*, as defined in [5, §26], is a function with *subsingleton fibers*. The meaning of this will be clear from the definition, which involves the three functions **is-embedding**, **is-subsingleton**, and **fiber**. The second of these is defined in (4); the other two are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{is-embedding} &: \{X : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{Y : \mathcal{V} \cdot\} \rightarrow (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \cdot \\ \mathbf{is-embedding} \, f &= (y : \mathbf{codomain} \, f) \rightarrow \mathbf{is-subsingleton} \, (\mathbf{fiber} \, f \, y) \\ \mathbf{fiber} &: \{X : \mathcal{U} \cdot\} \{Y : \mathcal{V} \cdot\} (f : X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \cdot \\ \mathbf{fiber} \, f \, y &= \Sigma x : \mathbf{domain} \, f, f \, x \equiv y \end{aligned}$$

This is not simply a *monic* function (§A.7.2), and it is important to understand why not. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a monic function from X to Y , so we have a proof $p : \mathbf{Monic} \, f$. To prove f is an embedding we must show that for every $y : Y$ we have **is-subsingleton** $(\mathbf{fiber} \, f \, y)$. That is, for all $y : Y$, we must prove the following implication:

$$\frac{(x \, x' : X) \quad (p : f \, x \equiv y) \quad (q : f \, x' \equiv y) \quad (m : \mathbf{Monic} \, f)}{(x, p) \equiv (x', q)}$$

By m , p , and q , we have $r : x \equiv x'$. Thus, in order to prove f is an embedding, we must somehow show that the proofs p and q (each of which entails $f x \equiv y$) are the same. However, there is no axiom or deduction rule in MLTT to indicate that $p \equiv q$ must hold; indeed, the two proofs may differ.

One way we could resolve this is to assume that the codomain type, B , is a *set*, i.e., has *unique identity proofs*. Recall the definition (3) of `is-set` from the Type Topology library. If the codomain of $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a set, and if p and q are two proofs of an equality in B , then $p \equiv q$, and we can use this to prove that an injective function into B is an embedding.

```

979   monic-into-set-is-embedding : {A :  $\mathcal{U}$  ·} {B :  $\mathcal{W}$  ·} → is-set B
980   →                               (f : A → B) → Monic f
981   -----
982   →                               is-embedding

```

We omit the formal proof for lack of space, but see [4, §2.3].

985 A.9 Unary Relations (predicates)

We need a mechanism for implementing the notion of subsets in Agda. A typical one is called `Pred` (for predicate). More generally, `Pred A \mathcal{U}` can be viewed as the type of a property that elements of type A might satisfy. We write $P : \text{Pred } A \mathcal{U}$ to represent the semantic concept of a collection of elements of type A that satisfy the property P . Here is the definition, which is similar to the one found in the `Relation/Unary.agda` file of the Agda Standard Library.

```

992   Pred :  $\mathcal{U}$  · → ( $\mathcal{V}$  : Universe) →  $\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V}^+ \cdot$ 
993   Pred A  $\mathcal{V}$  = A →  $\mathcal{V}$  ·

```

Below we will often consider predicates over the class of all algebras of a particular type. By definition, the inhabitants of the type `Pred (Algebra \mathcal{U} S) \mathcal{U}` are maps of the form $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

In type theory everything is a type. As we have just seen, this includes subsets. Since the notion of equality for types is usually a nontrivial matter, it may be nontrivial to represent equality of subsets. Fortunately, it is straightforward to write down a type that represents what it means for two subsets to be equal in informal (pencil-paper) mathematics. In the UALib we denote this *subset equality* by $=^{\cdot}$ and define it as follows.¹¹

```

1003   _= $\cdot$ _ : { $\mathcal{U}$   $\mathcal{W}$   $\mathcal{T}$  : Universe} {A :  $\mathcal{U}$  ·} → Pred A  $\mathcal{W}$  → Pred A  $\mathcal{T}$  →  $\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \sqcup \mathcal{T}$  ·
1004   P = $\cdot$  Q = (P  $\subseteq$  Q)  $\times$  (Q  $\subseteq$  P)

```

1005 A.10 Binary Relations

In set theory, a binary relation on a set A is simply a subset of the product $A \times A$. As such, we could model these as predicates over the type $A \times A$, or as relations of type $A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ (for some universe \mathcal{R}). A generalization of this notion is a binary relation is a *relation from A to B*, which we define first and treat binary relations on a single A as a special case.

¹¹ Our notation and definition representing the semantic concept “ x belongs to P ,” or “ x has property P ,” is standard. We write either $x \in P$ or $P x$. Similarly, the “subset” relation is denoted, as usual, with the \subseteq symbol (cf. in the Agda Standard Library). The relations \in and \subseteq are defined in the Agda UALib in a way similar to that found in the `Relation/Unary.agda` module of the Agda Standard Library. (See [4, §4.1.2].)

```

1011   REL : {ℛ : Universe} → ℳ · → ℛ · → (ℕ : Universe) → (ℳ ⊔ ℛ ⊔ ℕ+) ·
1012   REL A B ℕ = A → B → ℕ ·

```

The notions of reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity are defined as one would hope and expect, so we present them here without further explanation.

```

1015   reflexive : {ℛ : Universe} {X : ℳ ·} → Rel X ℛ → ℳ ⊔ ℛ ·
1016   reflexive _≈_ = ∀ x → x ≈ x
1017
1018   symmetric : {ℛ : Universe} {X : ℳ ·} → Rel X ℛ → ℳ ⊔ ℛ ·
1019   symmetric _≈_ = ∀ x y → x ≈ y → y ≈ x
1020
1021   transitive : {ℛ : Universe} {X : ℳ ·} → Rel X ℛ → ℳ ⊔ ℛ ·
1022   transitive _≈_ = ∀ x y z → x ≈ y → y ≈ z → x ≈ z

```

1023 A.11 Kernels of functions

The kernel of a function can be defined in many ways. For example,

```

1025
1026   KER : {ℛ : Universe} {A : ℳ ·} {B : ℛ ·} → (A → B) → ℳ ⊔ ℛ ·
1027   KER {ℛ} {A} g = Σ x : A , Σ y : A , g x ≡ g y

```

or as a unary relation (predicate) over the Cartesian product,

```

1031   KER-pred : {ℛ : Universe} {A : ℳ ·} {B : ℛ ·} → (A → B) → Pred (A × A) ℛ
1032   KER-pred g (x , y) = g x ≡ g y

```

or as a relation from A to B ,

```

1033
1034   Rel : ℳ · → (ℕ : Universe) → ℳ ⊔ ℕ+ ·
1035   Rel A ℕ = REL A A ℕ
1036
1037
1038
1039   KER-rel : {ℛ : Universe} {A : ℳ ·} {B : ℛ ·} → (A → B) → Rel A ℛ
1040   KER-rel g x y = g x ≡ g y

```

1041 A.12 Equivalence Relations

Types for equivalence relations are defined in the `UALib.Relations.Equivalences` module of the Agda UALib using a `record` type, as follows:

```

1045   record IsEquivalence {A : ℳ ·} (_≈_ : Rel A ℛ) : ℳ ⊔ ℛ · where
1046     field
1047       rfl  : reflexive _≈_
1048       sym  : symmetric _≈_
1049       trans : transitive _≈_

```

For example, here is how we construct an equivalence relation out of the kernel of a function.

```

1051
1052   map-kernel-IsEquivalence : {ℳ : Universe} {A : ℳ ·} {B : ℳ ·}
1053   (f : A → B) → IsEquivalence (KER-rel f)
1054
1055   map-kernel-IsEquivalence {ℳ} f =
1056     record { rfl = λ x → refl
1057       ; sym = λ x y x1 → ≡-sym {ℳ} (f x) (f y) x1
1058       ; trans = λ x y z x1 x2 → ≡-trans (f x) (f y) (f z) x1 x2 }

```

Standard Agda	Type Topology/UALib
<code>Level</code>	<code>Universe</code>
<code>ℳ : Level</code>	<code>ℳ : Universe</code>
<code>Set ℳ</code>	<code>ℳ ·</code>
<code>Isuc ℳ</code>	<code>ℳ +</code>
<code>Set (Isuc ℳ)</code>	<code>ℳ + ·</code>
<code>lzero</code>	<code>ℳ₀</code>
<code>Setω</code>	<code>ℳ_ω</code>

■ **Table 1** Special notation for universe levels

A.13 Relation truncation

Here we discuss a special technical issue that will arise when working with quotients, specifically when we must determine whether two equivalence classes are equal. Given a binary relation¹² P , it may be necessary or desirable to assume that there is at most one way to prove that a given pair of elements is P -related. This is an example of *proof-irrelevance*; indeed, under this assumption, proofs of $P \ x \ y$ are indistinguishable, or rather distinctions are irrelevant in given context.

In the UALib, the `is-subsingleton` type of Type Topology is used to express the assertion that a given type is a set, or *0-truncated*. Above we defined truncation for a type with an identity relation, but the general principle can be applied to arbitrary binary relations. Indeed, we say that P is a *0-truncated binary relation* on X if for all $x \ y : X$ we have `is-subsingleton` $(P \ x \ y)$.

`Rel0 : ℳ · → (ℳ' : Universe) → ℳ ⊔ ℳ' + ·`
`Rel0 A ℳ = Σ P : (A → A → ℳ ·) , ∀ x y → is-subsingleton (P x y)`

Thus, a *set*, as defined in §A.6, is a type X along with an equality relation \equiv of type `Rel0 X ℳ`, for some \mathcal{M} .

A.14 Nonstandard notation and syntax

The notation we adopt is that of the Type Topology library of Martín Escardó. Here we give a few more details and a table (Table 1) which translates between standard Agda syntax and Type Topology/UALib notation.

Many occasions call for the universe that is the least upper bound of two universes, say, \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} . This is denoted by $\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V}$ in standard Agda syntax, and in our notation the corresponding type is $(\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V}) \cdot$; or, more simply, $\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \cdot$ (since `_⊔_` has higher precedence than `·`).

To justify the introduction of this somewhat nonstandard notation for universe levels, Escardó points out that the Agda library uses `Level` for universes (so what we write as $\mathcal{U} \cdot$ is written `Set ℳ` in standard Agda), but in univalent mathematics the types in $\mathcal{U} \cdot$ need not be sets, so the standard Agda notation can be misleading.

In addition to the notation described in §A.1 above, the level `lzero` is renamed \mathcal{U}_0 , so $\mathcal{U}_0 \cdot$ is an alias for `Set lzero`. (For those familiar the Lean proof assistant, $\mathcal{U}_0 \cdot$ (i.e., `Set lzero`) is analogous to Lean's `Sort 0`.)

¹² Binary relations, as represented in Agda in general and the UALib in particular, are described in §A.10.