The Agda Universal Algebra Library and Birkhoff's Theorem in Dependent Type Theory

- ₃ William DeMeo ⊠**⋒**®
- 4 Department of Algebra, Charles University in Prague

— Abstract -

14

15

The Agda Universal Algebra Library (UALib) is a library of types and programs (theorems and proofs) we developed to formalize the foundations of universal algebra in Martin-Löf-style dependent type theory using the Agda programming language and proof assistant. This paper describes the UALib and demonstrates that Agda is accessible to working mathematicians (such as ourselves) as a tool for formally verifying nontrivial results in general algebra and related fields. The library includes a substantial collection of definitions, theorems, and proofs from universal algebra and equational logic and as such provides many examples that exhibit the power of inductive and dependent types for representing and reasoning about general algebraic and relational structures.

The first major milestone of the UALib project is a complete proof of Birkhoff's HSP theorem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time Birkhoff's theorem has been formulated and proved in dependent type theory and verified with a proof assistant.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Constructive mathematics; Theory of computation \rightarrow Type theory; Theory of computation \rightarrow Logic and verification; Computing methodologies \rightarrow Representation of mathematical objects; Theory of computation \rightarrow Type structures

- Keywords and phrases Universal algebra, Equational logic, Martin-Löf Type Theory, Birkhoff's HSP
 Theorem, Formalization of mathematics, Agda, Proof assistant
- 22 Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs..2021.0
- 23 Related Version hosted on arXiv
- Extended Version: arxiv.org/pdf/2101.10166
- 25 Supplementary Material
- 26 Documentation: ualib.org
- 27 Software: https://gitlab.com/ualib/ualib.gitlab.io.git
- Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank Cliff Bergman, Hyeyoung Shin, and Siva Somayyajula
- 29 for supporting and contributing to this project, and Martín Escardó for creating the Type Topology
- 30 library and teaching a course on Univalent Foundations of Mathematics with Agda at the 2019 Midlands
- 31 Graduate School in Computing Science. Of course, this work would not exist in its current form without
- the Agda 2 language by Ulf Norell.¹

1 Introduction

- To support formalization in type theory of research level mathematics in universal algebra
- 35 and related fields, we present the Agda Universal Algebra Library (Agda UALib), a software
- 36 library containing formal statements and proofs of the core definitions and results of universal
- 37 algebra. The UALib is written in Agda [8], a programming language and proof assistant based
- 38 on Martin-Löf Type Theory that not only supports dependent and inductive types, as well as
- proof tactics for proving things about the objects that inhabit these types.

Agda 2 is partially based on code from Agda 1 by Catarina Coquand and Makoto Takeyama, and from Agdalight by Ulf Norell and Andreas Abel.



53

56

58

59

60

62

65

71

There have been a number of efforts to formalize parts of universal algebra in type theory prior to ours, most notably

- Capretta [3] (1999) formalized the basics of universal algebra in the Calculus of Inductive
 Constructions using the Coq proof assistant;
- Spitters and van der Weegen [10] (2011) formalized the basics of universal algebra and some classical algebraic structures, also in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions using the Coq proof assistant, promoting the use of type classes as a preferable alternative to setoids;
- Gunther, et al [7] (2018) developed what seems to be (prior to the UALib) the most extensive library of formal universal algebra to date; in particular, this work includes a formalization of some basic equational logic; the project (like the UALib) uses Martin-Löf Type Theory and the Agda proof assistant.

Some other projects aimed at formalizing mathematics generally, and algebra in particular, have developed into very extensive libraries that include definitions, theorems, and proofs about algebraic structures, such as groups, rings, modules, etc. However, the goals of these efforts seem to be the formalization of special classical algebraic structures, as opposed to the general theory of (universal) algebras. Moreover, the part of universal algebra and equational logic formalized in the UALib extends beyond the scope of prior efforts and. In particular, the library now includes a proof of Birkhoff's variety theorem. Most other proofs of this theorem that we know of are informal and nonconstructive.²

The seminal idea for the Agda UALib project was the observation that, on the one hand, a number of fundamental constructions in universal algebra can be defined recursively, and theorems about them proved by structural induction, while, on the other hand, inductive and dependent types make possible very precise formal representations of recursively defined objects, which often admit elegant constructive proofs of properties of such objects. An important feature of such proofs in type theory is that they are total functional programs and, as such, they are computable and composable.

Finally, our own research experience has taught us that a proof assistant and programming language (like Agda), when equipped with specialized libraries and domain-specific tactics to automate proof idioms of a particular field, can be an extremely powerful and effective asset. We believe that such libraries, and the proof assistants they support, will eventually become indispensable tools in the working mathematician's toolkit.

1.1 Contributions and organization

Apart from the library itself, we describe the formal implementation and proof of a deep result,
Garrett Birkhoff's celebrated HSP theorem [2], which was among the first major results of
universal algebra. The theorem states that a variety (a class of algebras closed under quotients,
subalgebras, and products) is an equational class (defined by the set of identities satisfied by all
its members). The fact that we now have a formal proof of this is noteworthy, not only because
this is the first time the theorem has been proved in dependent type theory and verified with
a proof assistant, but also because the proof is constructive. As the paper [4] of Carlström
makes clear, it is a highly nontrivial exercise to take a well-known informal proof of a theorem

² After the completion of this work, the author learned about a constructive version of Birkhoff's theorem that was proved by Carlström in [4]. The latter is presented in the standard, informal style of mathematical writing in the current literature, and as far as we know it was never implemented formally and type-checked with a proof assistant. Nonetheless, a comparison of the version of the theorem presented in [4] to the Agda proof we give here would be interesting. We remark briefly on this in §8.

like Birkhoff's and show that it can be formalized using only constructive logic and natural deduction, without appealing to, say, the Law of the Excluded Middle or the Axiom of Choice.

Each of the sections that follow describes only what seem to us the most important or noteworthy components of the UALib. Of course, space does not permit us to cover all aspects of the complete formal proof of a theorem like Birkhoff's. We remedy this in two ways. First, throughout the paper we include pointers to places in the documentation where the omitted material can be found. Second, we include an appendix describing special notation conventions and some of the more important types defined in the UALib. Finally, we highly recommend the book by Bergman [1] for background in universal algebra, and the notes by Escardó [6] for background in type theory and Agda.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces Sigma types in Agda (for us, the most important dependent type in the language) as well as Agda's universe hierarchy. Section 3 introduces the Agda UALib by explaining how algebraic structures are represented in the library. Section 4 describes the approach we take to quotient types and quotient algebra types. Section 5 defines new types that represent homomorphisms, terms, and subalgebras, and Section 6 does the same for equational theories and models. This is also where we present free algebras, both in the informal theory (§6.5) and represented as types in Agda (§6.6). Finally, Section 7 presents Birkhoff's theorem, describing the structure of the proof, with pointers to places in the documentation and source code where one can find the complete formal proof. The final section of the paper includes a few remarks about current work and future directions.

To conclude this introduction, we provide the following links to official sources of information about the Agda UALib.

- ualib.org (the web site) includes every line of code in the library, rendered as html and accompanied by documentation, and
- gitlab.com/ualib/ualib.gitlab.io (the source code) freely available and licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

These sources include complete proofs of every theorem we mention here.

2 Agda Preliminaries

 For the benefit of readers who are not proficient in Agda and/or type theory, we briefly describe some of the most important types and features of Agda used in the UALib. Of necessity, some descriptions will be terse, but are usually accompanied by pointers to relevant sections of Appendix §A or the html documentation.

We begin by highlighting some of the key parts of the UALib.Prelude.Preliminaries module of the UALib. This module imports everything we need from Martin Escardo's Type Topology library [6], defines some other basic types and proves some of their properties. We do not cover the entire Preliminaries module here, but call attention to aspects that differ from standard Agda syntax. For more details, see [5, §2].

Agda programs typically begin by setting some options and by importing from existing libraries. Options are specified with the OPTIONS pragma and control the way Agda behaves by, for example, specifying which logical foundations should be assumed when the program is type-checked to verify its correctness. Every Agda program in the UALib begins with the following pragma, which has the effects described below.

```
\{-\# \text{ OPTIONS } - \text{without-} K - \text{exact-split } -\text{safe } \# -\} 
\tag{1}
```

without-K disables Streicher's K axiom; see [11];

- *exact-split* makes Agda accept only definitions that are *judgmental* or *definitional* equalities; see [13];
- safe ensures that nothing is postulated outright—every non-MLTT axiom has to be an explicit assumption (e.g., an argument to a function or module); see [12] and [13].
- 131 Throughout this paper we take assumptions 1–3 for granted without mentioning them explicitly.

2.1 Agda's universe hierarchy

The Agda UALib adopts the notation of Martin Escardo's Type Topology library [6]. In particular, universe levels³ are denoted by capitalized script letters from the second half of the alphabet, e.g., \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} , etc. Also defined in Type Topology are the operators \cdot and $^+$. These map a universe level \mathcal{U} to the universe $\mathcal{U} := \operatorname{Set} \mathcal{U}$ and the level $\mathcal{U}^+ := \operatorname{Isuc} \mathcal{U}$, respectively. Thus, \mathcal{U}^+ is simply an alias for the universe $\operatorname{Set} \mathcal{U}$, and we have $\mathcal{U}^+ := \operatorname{Isuc} \mathcal{U}$.

The hierarchy of universes in Agda is structured as $\boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$, $\boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot} : \boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$, etc. This means that the universe \boldsymbol{u} has type $\boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$, and $\boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$ has type $\boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$, and so on. It is important to note, however, this does *not* imply that $\boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{u}^{+\cdot}$. In other words, Agda's universe hierarchy is *noncummulative*. This makes it possible to treat universe levels more generally and precisely, which is nice. On the other hand, a noncummulative hierarchy can sometimes make for a nonfun proof assistant. Luckily, there are ways to circumvent noncummulativity without introducing logical inconsistencies into the type theory. §A.2 describes some domain specific tools that we developed for this purpose. (See also [5, §3.3] for more details).

2.2 Dependent pairs

Given universes \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} , a type $X:\mathcal{U}$, and a type family $Y:X\to\mathcal{V}$, the Sigma type (or dependent pair type) is denoted by $\Sigma(x:X), Y(x)$ and generalizes the Cartesian product $X\times Y$ by allowing the type Y(x) of the second argument of the ordered pair (x, y) to depend on the value x of the first. That is, $\Sigma(x:X), Y(x)$ is inhabited by pairs (x, y) such that x:X and y:Y(x).

Agda's default syntax for a Sigma type is $\Sigma \lambda(x:X) \to Y$, but we prefer the notation $\Sigma x:X$, Y, which is closer to the standard syntax described in the preceding paragraph. Fortunately, this preferred notation is available in the Type Topology library (see [6, Σ types]).

Convenient notations for the first and second projections out of a product are $|_|$ and $|_||$, respectively. However, to improve readability or to avoid notation clashes with other modules, we sometimes use more standard alternatives, such as pr_1 and pr_2 , or fst and snd, or some combination of these. The definitions are standard so we omit them (see [5] for details).

3 Algebras

A standard way to define algebraic structures in type theory is using record types. However, we feel the dependent pair (or Sigma) type (§2.2) is more natural, as it corresponds semantically to the existential quantifier of logic. Therefore, many of the important types of the UALib are defined as Sigma types. In this section, we use function types and Sigma types to define the types of operations (§3.1), signatures (§3.2), algebras (§3.3), and product algebras (§3.4).

 $^{^3}$ See agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1.2/language/universe-levels.html.

⁴ The symbol: in the expression $\Sigma x: X$, Y is not the ordinary colon (:); rather, it is the symbol obtained by typing \S :4 in agda2-mode.

3.1 Operation type

168

169

172

174

176

177

179

180

181

183

184

187

188

190 191

192

193

194 195

196

197

199

200

202

203

204

206

208

Here is how the type of *operations* is defined in the UALib.

```
\mathsf{Op}: \mathscr{V} : 	o \mathscr{U} : 	o \mathscr{U} \sqcup \mathscr{V} : 
\mathsf{Op}\: I\: A = (I 	o A) 	o A
```

The type $\operatorname{\mathsf{Op}}$ encodes the arity of an operation as an arbitrary type $I: \mathcal{V}$, which gives us a very general way to represent an operation as a function type with domain $I \to A$ (the type of "tuples") and codomain A. For example, the type of *projections* is defined using the $\operatorname{\mathsf{Op}}$ type, as follows.

```
\pi: \{I: \mathcal{V}^{\cdot}\} \{A: \mathcal{U}^{\cdot}\} \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathsf{Op} \ I \ A
\pi \ i \ x = x \ i
```

The last two lines of the code block above codify the i-th I-ary projection operation on A.

3.2 Signature type

We define the type of (algebraic) signatures as follows.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Signature}: \left( \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{V}}: \ \mathsf{Universe} \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathbf{0} \ \sqcup \ \mathbf{\mathcal{V}} \right) \ ^{+} \\ \mathsf{Signature} \ \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{V}} = \Sigma \ F: \mathbf{0} \ ^{\cdot}, \ (F \rightarrow \mathbf{\mathcal{V}} \ ^{\cdot}) \end{array}
```

Here $\mathfrak G$ is the universe level of operation symbol types, while $\mathfrak V$ is the universe level of arity types. We denote the first and second projections by $|_|$ and $||_|$ (§2.2) so if S is a signature, then |S| denotes the type of operation symbols, and ||S|| denotes the arity function. If f:|S| is an operation symbol in the signature S, then ||S||f is the arity of f. For example, here is the signature of monoids, as a member of the type Signature $\mathfrak G$ $\mathfrak U_0$.

```
data monoid-op : {\bf 0} · where  {\bf e}: {\sf monoid-op} \\  {\bf \cdot}: {\sf monoid-op}  monoid-sig : Signature {\bf 0} {\bf u}_0 monoid-sig = monoid-op , \lambda { {\bf e} \to {\bf 0}; \; \cdot \to 2 }
```

This signature has two operation symbols, e and \cdot , and a function λ { e \rightarrow 0; \cdot \rightarrow 2 } which maps e to the empty type 0 (since e is nullary), and \cdot to the 2-element type 2 (since \cdot is binary).

3.3 Algebra type

For a fixed signature S: Signature \mathfrak{G} \mathcal{V} and universe \mathcal{U} , we define the type of algebras in the signature S (or S-algebras) and with domain (or carrier) A: \mathcal{U} as follows.⁵

```
Algebra : (\mathcal U: \mathsf{Universe})(S: \mathsf{Signature} \ \mathbf 0 \ \mathcal V) \to \mathbf 0 \ \sqcup \ \mathcal V \ \sqcup \ \mathcal U^+ \cdot
Algebra \mathcal U: S = \Sigma \ A: \mathcal U: \ , \ ((f\colon |S|) \to \mathsf{Op} \ (\|S\|f) \ A)
```

One could call an inhabitant of Algebra $S \mathcal{U}$ as an " ∞ -algebra" because its domain can be an

⁵ The Agda UALib includes an alternative definition of the type of algebras using records, but we don't discuss these here since they are not needed in the sequel. We refer the interested reader to [5] and the html documentation available at https://ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Algebras.Algebras.html.

arbitrary type, say, $A: \mathcal{U}$ and need not be truncated at some level (§A.5). In particular, A need not be an h-set (as defined in §A.5).

Next we define a convenient shorthand for the interpretation of an operation symbol. We use this often in the sequel.

```
\hat{f L}: (f\colon \mid S\mid)({f A}: {\sf Algebra}\ {m \mathcal U}\ S) 	o (\parallel S\parallel f 	o \mid {f A}\mid) 	o \mid {f A}\mid f \ \hat{f A} = \lambda \ x 	o (\parallel {f A}\parallel f) \ x
```

This is similar to the standard notation that one finds in the literature and seems much more natural to us than the double bar notation that we started with.

We assume that we always have at our disposal an arbitrary collection X of variable symbols such that, for every algebra \mathbf{A} we have a surjective map $h_0: X \to |\mathbf{A}|$ from variables onto the domain of \mathbf{A} .

```
\_	woheadrightarrow : \{ \mathcal U \ \mathfrak X : \mathsf{Universe} \} 	o \mathfrak X \ \dot{} 	o \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal U \ S 	o \mathfrak X \sqcup \mathcal U \ \dot{} X 	woheadrightarrow \mathbf A = \Sigma \ h : (X 	o \mid \mathbf A \mid) \ , \ \mathsf{Epic} \ h
```

3.4 Product algebra type

Suppose we are given a type $I: \mathcal{F}$ (of "indices") and an indexed family $\mathcal{A}: I \to \mathsf{Algebra} \mathcal{U} S$ of S-algebras. Then we define the *product algebra* $\square \mathcal{A}$ in the following natural way.⁷

```
\begin{array}{l} \square: \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}: \mathsf{Universe}\}\{I:\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^+\}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}:I\rightarrow\mathsf{Algebra}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\,S\,)\rightarrow\mathsf{Algebra}\,(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}\sqcup\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})\,S\\ \square \, \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}\}\{I\}\,\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}=\\ ((i:I)\rightarrow \mid\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}\,i\mid)\,,\,\lambda(f:\mid S\mid)(\boldsymbol{a}:\parallel S\parallel f\rightarrow (j:I)\rightarrow \mid\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}\,j\mid)(i:I)\rightarrow (f\,\widehat{\phantom{A}}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}\,i)\,\lambda\{x\rightarrow\boldsymbol{a}\,x\,i\} \end{array}
```

Here, the domain is the dependent function type $\prod |\mathcal{A}| := (i:I) \to |\mathcal{A}|$ i | of "tuples", the *i*-th components of which live in $|\mathcal{A}|$ i, and the operations are simply the operations of the \mathcal{A} i, interpreted component-wise.

The Birkhoff theorem involves products of entire arbitrary (nonindexed) classes of algebras, and it is not obvious how to handle this constructively, or whether it is even possible to do so without making extra assumptions about the class. (See [4] for a discussion of this issue.) We describe our solution to this problem in § 6.3.

4 Quotient Types and Quotient Algebras

For a binary relation R on A, we denote a single R-class by [a] R (this denotes the class containing a). We denote the type of all classes of a relation R on A by \mathscr{C} $\{A\}$ $\{R\}$. These are defined as in the UALib as follows.

⁶ We could pause here to define the type of "0-algebras," which are algebras whose domains are sets. This type is probably closer to what most of us think of when doing informal universal algebra. However, in the UALib we have so far only needed to know that the domain of an algebra is a set in a handful of specific instances, so it seems preferable to work with general (∞-)algebras throughout the library and then assume uniqueness of identity proofs explicitly where, and only where, a proof relies on this assumption.

⁷ To distinguish the product algebra from the standard product type available in the Agda Standard Library, instead of ∏ (\prod) or ∏ (\Pi), we use the symbol ∏, which is typed in agda2-mode as \Glb.

There are a few ways we could define the quotient with respect to a relation. We have found the following to be the most convenient.

We then have the following introduction and elimination rules for a class with a designated representative.

4.1 Quotient extensionality

We will need a subsingleton identity type for congruence classes over sets so that we can equate two classes, even when they are presented using different representatives. For this we assume that our relations are on sets, rather than arbitrary types. As mentioned earlier, this is equivalent to assuming that there is at most one proof that two elements of a set are the same. The following class extensionality principle accomplishes this for us.

We omit the proof. (See [5] or ualib.org for details.)

4.2 Compatibility

We say that a (unary) operation $f: X \to X$ is compatible with (or respects, or preserves) the binary relation R on X just in case $\forall x, y : X$, we have $R x y \to R$ (f x) (f y). Now suppose \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{W} are universes and assume the following typing judgments: $\gamma: \mathcal{V} \cdot X: \mathcal{U} \cdot X:$

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{lift-rel}: \ \mathsf{Rel} \ Z \, \mathbf{W} \to (\gamma \to Z) \to (\gamma \to Z) \to \mathbf{V} \ \sqcup \, \mathbf{W} \\ \text{lift-rel} \ R \ f \ g = \forall \ x \to R \ (f \ x) \ (g \ x) \end{array}
```

If $f: (\gamma \to X) \to X$ is a γ -ary operation on X, we say that f is *compatible* with R provided for all $u : \gamma \to X$, lift-rel $R : u : y \to X$, lift-rel $R : u : x \to X$, lift-rel $R : u : x \to X$,

```
compatible-op : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S\} \to |\ S\ | \to \mathsf{Rel} \ |\ \mathbf{A}\ |\ \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{W} compatible-op \{\mathbf{A}\}\ f\ R = \forall \{\mathbf{a}\}\{\mathbf{b}\} \to (\mathsf{lift-rel}\ R) \ \mathbf{a}\ \mathbf{b} \to R\ ((f\ \mathbf{A})\ \mathbf{a})\ ((f\ \mathbf{A})\ \mathbf{b})
```

Finally, to represent that all basic operations of an algebra are compatible with a given relation,

311 312

324

325

327

329

337

338

340

341

343

```
we define
302
                   compatible : (\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S) \to \mathsf{Rel} \ | \ \mathbf{A} \ | \ \mathcal{W} \ \to \ \mathbf{G} \ \sqcup \ \mathcal{U} \ \sqcup \ \mathcal{V} \ \sqcup \ \mathcal{W}
303
                  compatible \mathbf{A} R = \forall f \rightarrow \mathsf{compatible-op}\{\mathbf{A}\} f R
304
```

We'll see this definition of compatibility at work very soon when we define congruence relations in the next section. 307

Congruence relations 4.3

This UALib Relations. Congruences module of the Agda UALib defines a number of alternative representations of congruence relations of an algebra. We will only have occasion to use the Sigma and record type representations, which are defined as follows.

```
\mathsf{Con}: \ \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \mathsf{Universe}\}(A: \mathsf{Algebra}\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\ S) \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{6}} \ \sqcup\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}\ \sqcup\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\ ^+ \cdot
313
                 Con \{ {\pmb {\mathcal U}} \} A=\Sigma \theta : ( Rel \mid A \mid {\pmb {\mathcal U}} ) , IsEquivalence \theta 	imes compatible A \theta
315
                 record Congruence \{\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe}\}\ (A : \text{Algebra}\ \mathcal{U}\ S) : \mathbf{0} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W}^{+} \cdot \text{where}
316
                      constructor mkcon
317
                      field
318
                          \langle \_ \rangle: Rel | A | W
319
                          Compatible : compatible A \langle \_ \rangle
320
                          IsEquiv : IsEquivalence ( )
321
                 open Congruence
323
```

Quotient algebras

An important construction in universal algebra is the quotient of an algebra A with respect to a congruence relation θ of **A**. This quotient is typically denoted by **A** / θ and Agda allows us to define and express quotients using this standard notation.

```
\_/\_: \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{R}: \ \mathsf{Universe} \} (A: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S) \to \mathsf{Congruence} \{ \mathcal{U} \} \{ \mathcal{R} \} \ A \to \mathsf{Algebra} \ (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R}^+) \ S
330
                 A \neq \theta = (( \mid A \mid / \langle \theta \rangle ), - carrier
331
                                          (\lambda f args - operations
332
                                               \rightarrow ([(f \hat{A})(\lambda i_1 \rightarrow | \parallel args i_1 \parallel |)] \langle \theta \rangle)
333
                                                     ((f \hat{A}) (\lambda i_1 \rightarrow | \parallel args i_1 \parallel |), refl_)
334
335
                                     )
```

Homomorphisms, terms, and subalgebras

5.1 **Homomorphisms**

The definition of homomorphism we use is a standard extensional one; that is, the homomorphism condition holds pointwise. This will become clearer once we have the formal definitions in hand. Generally speaking, though, we say that two functions $f g: X \to Y$ are extensionally equal if they are pointwise equal, that is, for all x: X we have $f x \equiv g x$.

To define homomorphism, we first say what it means for an operation f, interpreted in the algebras **A** and **B**, to commute with a function $g: A \to B$.

W. J. DeMeo

```
compatible-op-map: \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} : \text{Universe}\}(\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra } \mathbf{Q}, S)(\mathbf{B} : \text{Algebra } \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}, S)
(f: |S|)(g: |\mathbf{A}| \to |\mathbf{B}|) \to \mathbf{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \mathbf{Q} :
compatible-op-map \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} f g = \forall \mathbf{a} \to g ((f \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{a}) \equiv (f \hat{\mathbf{B}}) (g \circ \mathbf{a})
Note the appearance of the shorthand \forall \mathbf{a} in the definition of compatible-op-map. We can get
```

Note the appearance of the shorthand $\forall a$ in the definition of compatible-op-map. We can get away with this in place of $a : || S || f \to | A |$ since Agda is able to infer that the a here must be a tuple on | A | of "length" || S || f (the arity of f).

Next we will define the type hom **A B** of *homomorphisms* from **A** to **B** in terms of the property is-homomorphism.

```
is-homomorphism : {Q \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : Universe}(\mathbf{A} : Algebra Q S)(\mathbf{B} : Algebra \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} S)
 \rightarrow \qquad \qquad (\mid \mathbf{A}\mid \rightarrow \mid \mathbf{B}\mid) \rightarrow \mathbf{6} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \mathbf{Q} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \text{ '}
is-homomorphism \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B} \ g = \forall \ (f:\mid S\mid) \rightarrow \text{compatible-op-map } \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B} \ f \ g
 \text{hom : } \{\mathbf{Q} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \text{Universe}\} \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \mathbf{Q} \ S \rightarrow \text{Algebra } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S \rightarrow \mathbf{6} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \mathbf{Q} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \text{ '}
 \text{hom } \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B} = \Sigma \ g : (\mid \mathbf{A} \mid \rightarrow \mid \mathbf{B} \mid) \text{ , is-homomorphism } \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B} \ g
```

5.2 Terms

351

352

353

355

364

365

366

367

369

370

371

372

373

374

376

377

381

382

We define an inductive datatype called Term which, not surprisingly, represents the type of terms in a given signature. Here the type $X:\mathfrak{X}$ represents an arbitrary collection of variable symbols.

Terms can be viewed as acting on other terms and we can form an algebraic structure whose domain and basic operations are both the collection of term operations. We call this the term algebra and denote it by \mathbf{T} X.

```
\mathbf{T}: \{\mathfrak{X}: \mathsf{Universe}\}(X:\mathfrak{X}^+) \to \mathsf{Algebra} (\mathfrak{G} \sqcup \mathfrak{V} \sqcup \mathfrak{X}^+) \ S
\mathbf{T}: \{\mathfrak{X}\} \ X = \mathsf{Term} \{\mathfrak{X}\}\{X\} \ , \ \mathsf{node}
```

The term algebra is absolutely free, or universal, for algebras in the signature S. That is, for every S-algebra \mathbf{A} , every map $h: X \to |\mathbf{A}|$ lifts to a homomorphism from \mathbf{T} X to \mathbf{A} , and the induced homomorphism is unique. This is proved by induction on the structure of terms, as follows.

```
free-lift : \{ \mathbf{\mathcal{X}} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} : \text{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathbf{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \} (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \ S) (h : X \to |\mathbf{A}|) \to |\mathbf{T} \ X| \to |\mathbf{A}|
384
                  free-lift \underline{\phantom{a}}h (generator x) = h x
                  \mathsf{free-lift} \ \mathbf{A} \ h \ (\mathsf{node} \ f \ \mathit{args}) = (f \ \hat{\ } \mathbf{A}) \ \lambda \ i \rightarrow \mathsf{free-lift} \ \mathbf{A} \ h \ (\mathit{args} \ i)
386
387
                  \mathsf{lift}\mathsf{-hom}: \{\mathbf{\mathfrak{X}}\ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}: \mathsf{Universe}\}\{X:\mathbf{\mathfrak{X}}\ \mathbf{\dot{X}}\}(\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra}\ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}\ S)(h:X\to |\mathbf{A}|)\to \mathsf{hom}\ (\mathbf{T}\ X)\ \mathbf{A}
388
                  lift-hom {f A} h= free-lift {f A} h , \lambda f a	o ap (\_\ \hat{\ }{f A}) ref\ell
389
                  free-unique : \{\mathfrak{X} \ \mathcal{U} : \mathsf{Universe}\}\{X : \mathfrak{X} `\} \rightarrow \mathsf{funext} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{U}
391
                                                  (\mathbf{A} : \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S)(g \ h : \mathsf{hom} \ (\mathbf{T} \ X) \ \mathbf{A})
392
                                                  (\forall x \rightarrow | g | (generator x) \equiv | h | (generator x))
393
                                                 (t: \mathsf{Term}\{\mathfrak{X}\}\{X\})
394
395
                                                 \mid g \mid t \equiv \mid h \mid t
396
```

5.3 Subalgebras

 The UALib.Subalgebras.Subuniverses module defines, unsurprisingly, the Subuniverses type. Perhaps counterintuitively, we begin by defining the collection of all subuniverses of an algebra. Therefore, the type will be a predicate of predicates on the domain of the given algebra.

```
Subuniverses : \{\mathbf{Q} \ \mathcal{U} : \mathsf{Universe}\}(\mathbf{A} : \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathbf{Q} \ S) \to \mathsf{Pred} \ (\mathsf{Pred} \ | \ \mathbf{A} \ | \ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}) \ (\mathbf{0} \sqcup \mathbf{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \mathbf{Q} \sqcup \mathbf{\mathcal{U}})
Subuniverses \mathbf{A} \ B = (f : | \ S \ |)(a : || \ S \ || \ f \to | \ \mathbf{A} \ |) \to \mathsf{Im} \ a \subseteq B \to (f \ \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \ a \in B
```

An important concept in universal algebra is the subuniverse generated by a subset of the domain of an algebra. We define the following inductive type to represent this concept.

The proof that $\operatorname{Sg} X$ is a subuniverse is as trivial as they come (the proof object is simply app!) and the proof that $\operatorname{Sg} X$ is the smallest subuniverse containing X is not much harder; it proceeds by induction on the shape of elements of $\operatorname{Sg} X$.

```
\begin{split} &\mathsf{sglsSmallest}: \{ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{W}} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{R}}: \ \mathsf{Universe} \} (\mathbf{A}: \ \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \ S) \{ X: \ \mathsf{Pred} \ | \ \mathbf{A} \ | \ \mathbf{\mathcal{W}} \} (Y: \ \mathsf{Pred} \ | \ \mathbf{A} \ | \ \mathbf{\mathcal{R}}) \\ &\to Y \in \mathsf{Subuniverses} \ \mathbf{A} \to X \subseteq Y \to \mathsf{Sg} \ \mathbf{A} \ X \subseteq Y \\ &\mathsf{sglsSmallest} \ \_ \ \_ \ XinY \ (\mathsf{var} \ Xv) = XinY \ Xv \\ &\mathsf{sglsSmallest} \ \mathbf{A} \ Y \ YsubA \ XinY \ (\mathsf{app} \ f \ \mathbf{a} \ SgXa) = \mathsf{fa} \in \mathsf{Y} \\ &\mathsf{where} \\ &\mathsf{IH}: \mathsf{Im} \ \mathbf{a} \subseteq Y \\ &\mathsf{IH} \ i = \mathsf{sglsSmallest} \ \mathbf{A} \ Y \ YsubA \ XinY \ (SgXa \ i) \\ &\mathsf{fa} \in \mathsf{Y}: \ (f \ \ \mathbf{A}) \ \mathbf{a} \in Y \\ &\mathsf{fa} \in \mathsf{Y} = YsubA \ f \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathsf{IH} \end{split}
```

Evidently, when the element of $\operatorname{Sg} X$ is constructed as app fap p, we may assume (the induction hypothesis) that the arguments p a p belong to p a p. Then the result of applying p a p to p a p must also belong to p a p, since p a p is a subuniverse.

Given algebras A: Algebra W S and B: Algebra U S, we say that B is a *subalgebra* of A, and (in the UALib) we write B IsSubalgebraOf A, just in case B can be embedded in A; in other terms, there exists a map $h: |A| \to |B|$ from the universe of A to the universe of B such that h is an embedding (i.e., is-embedding h holds) and h is a homomorphism from A to B.

```
_lsSubalgebraOf_ : \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe} \} (\mathbf{B} : \text{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S) (\mathbf{A} : \text{Algebra} \ \mathcal{W} \ S) \to \mathbf{0} \sqcup \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} : \mathbf{B} \text{ IsSubalgebraOf } \mathbf{A} = \Sigma \ h : (\mid \mathbf{B} \mid \rightarrow \mid \mathbf{A} \mid) \text{ , is-embedding } h \times \text{ is-homomorphism } \mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A} \ h
```

Here is some convenient syntactic sugar for the subalgebra relation.

We can now write $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ to assert that \mathbf{B} is a subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .

6 Equations and Varieties

450

451

454

460

466

468

470

471

474

475

476

Let S be a signature. By an *identity* or *equation* in S we mean an ordered pair of terms, written $p \approx q$, from the term algebra \mathbf{T} X. If \mathbf{A} is an S-algebra we say that \mathbf{A} satisfies $p \approx q$ provided $p \cdot \mathbf{A} \equiv q \cdot \mathbf{A}$ holds. In this situation, we write $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$ and say that \mathbf{A} models the identity $p \approx q$. If \mathcal{K} is a class of algebras of the same signature, we write $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$ if $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$ for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$.

6.1 Types for Theories and Models

The binary "models" relation \models relating algebras (or classes of algebras) to the identities that they satisfy is defined in the UALib.Varieties.ModelTheory module. Agda supports the definition of infix operations and relations, and we use this to define \models so that we may write, e.g., $\mathbf{A} \models p \approx q$ or $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$.

The Agda UALib makes available the standard notation $\mathsf{Th}\,\mathcal{K}$ for the set of identities that hold for all algebras in a class \mathcal{K} , as well as $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{E}$ for the class of algebras that satisfy all identities in a given set \mathcal{E} .

```
Th: \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} : \text{Universe} \} \{ X : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}}^{\cdot} \} \rightarrow \text{Pred (Algebra } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S) \ (\text{OV } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) 
\rightarrow \text{Pred (Term} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} \} \{ X \} \times \text{Term}) \ (\textbf{6} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{+}) 
\rightarrow \text{Th} \ \mathcal{K} = \lambda \ (p \ , \ q) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \models p \approx q 

Mod: \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} : \text{Universe} \} (X : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}}^{\cdot}) \rightarrow \text{Pred (Term} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} \} \{ X \} \times \text{Term} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{X}} \} \{ X \}) \ (\textbf{6} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{+}) 
\rightarrow \text{Pred (Algebra } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S) \ (\textbf{6} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^{+} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{+}) 
\rightarrow \text{Mod} \ X \ \mathcal{E} = \lambda \ A \rightarrow \forall \ p \ q \rightarrow (p \ , \ q) \in \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A \models p \approx q
```

485 6.2 Inductive types for closure operators

```
Fix a signature S, let \mathcal{K} be a class of S-algebras, and define

H \mathcal{K} = algebras isomorphic to a homomorphic image of a members of \mathcal{K};

S \mathcal{K} = algebras isomorphic to a subalgebra of a member of \mathcal{K};

P \mathcal{K} = algebras isomorphic to a product of members of \mathcal{K}.
```

⁸ Because a class of structures has a different type than a single structure, we must use a slightly different syntax to avoid overloading the relations \models and \approx . As a reasonable alternative to what we would normally express informally as $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$, we have settled on $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$ to denote this relation.

492

493

494

495

497

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

A variety is a class \mathcal{K} of algebras in a fixed signature that is closed under the taking of homomorphic images (H), subalgebras (S), and arbitrary products (P). That is, \mathcal{K} is a variety if and only if $\mathsf{H} \mathsf{S} \mathsf{P} \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

The UALib.Varieties.Varieties module of the Agda UALib introduces three new inductive types that represent the closure operators H, S, P. Separately, an inductive type V is defined which represents closure under all three operators. These definitions have been fine-tuned to strike a balance between faithfully representing the desired semantics and facilitating proof by induction.

```
data H \{\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe}\}(\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred (Algebra } \mathcal{U} \ S)(\text{OV } \mathcal{U})):
499
                              Pred (Algebra (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W}) S)(OV (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W})) where
500
                                    hbase : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathcal{W} \in \mathsf{H} \ \mathcal{K}
                                    \mathsf{hlift}: \left\{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S\right\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{H}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathsf{H} \ \mathcal{K}
502
                                    \mathsf{hhimg}: \{\mathbf{A}\ \mathbf{B}: \mathsf{Algebra}\ \_S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{H}\{\mathbf{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\mathbf{\mathcal{W}}\}\ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{B} \text{ is-hom-image-of } \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{H}\ \mathcal{K}
503
                                    \mathsf{hiso}: \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \_S\} \{\mathbf{B}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \_S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{H} \{ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \} \{ \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{H} \ \mathcal{K} \}
504
                       data S \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : Universe \} (\mathcal{K} : Pred (Algebra \mathcal{U} S) (OV \mathcal{U})) :
507
                              Pred (Algebra (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W}) S) (OV (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W})) where
508
                                    sbase : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathcal{W} \in \mathsf{S} \ \mathcal{K}
                                    \mathsf{slift}: \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{S}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathsf{S} \ \mathcal{K}
510
                                    \mathsf{ssub}: \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S\} \{\mathbf{B}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \underline{\quad} S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{S} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{S} \ \mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{S} \}
511
                                    ssubw : \{\mathbf{A}\ \mathbf{B}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{S}\{\mathcal{U}\}\{\mathcal{W}\}\ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{B} < \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{S}\ \mathcal{K}
512
                                    \mathsf{siso} \ : \{\mathbf{A} : \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S\} \{\mathbf{B} : \mathsf{Algebra} \ \underline{\hspace{1em}} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{S} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{S} \ \mathcal{H} \}
513
515
                       data P \{\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe}\}\ (\mathcal{K} : \text{Pred (Algebra}\ \mathcal{U}\ S)\ (\text{OV}\ \mathcal{U})):
                              Pred (Algebra (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W}) S) (OV (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W})) where
517
                                    pbase : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathcal{W} \in \mathsf{P} \ \mathcal{K}
518
                                    pliftu : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathcal{U} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{P} \{\mathcal{U}\} \{\mathcal{U}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathcal{W} \in \mathsf{P} \ \mathcal{K}
                                    \mathsf{pliftw}: \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \; (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}) \; S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{P}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}\} \; \mathcal{K} \to \mathsf{lift-alg} \; \mathbf{A} \; (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}) \in \mathsf{P} \; \mathcal{K}
520
                                    \mathsf{produ}\,:\,\{I: \pmb{\mathcal{W}}\,\cdot\,\}\{\mathcal{A}:\,I\rightarrow\mathsf{Algebra}\,\pmb{\mathcal{U}}\,S\}\rightarrow(\forall\;i\rightarrow(\mathcal{A}\;i)\in\mathsf{P}\{\pmb{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\pmb{\mathcal{U}}\}\,\mathcal{K})\rightarrow\prod\,\mathcal{A}\in\mathsf{P}\,\mathcal{K}
521
                                    \mathsf{prodw}: \{I: \mathbf{W}^*\} \{ \mathcal{A}: I \to \mathsf{Algebra} \_S \} \to (\forall \ i \to (\mathcal{A} \ i) \in \mathsf{P}\{\mathbf{U}\} \{\mathbf{W}\} \ \mathcal{K}) \to \prod \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{P} \ \mathcal{K}
522
                                    pisou : \{\mathbf{A}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S\} \{\mathbf{B}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}} \ S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{P} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{P} \ \mathcal{K} 
523
                                    \mathsf{pisow} \ : \ \{\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{B} : \ \mathsf{Algebra} \ \_S\} \to \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{P}\{\mathcal{U}\}\{\mathcal{W}\} \ \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B} \in \mathsf{P} \ \mathcal{K}
524
```

The operator that corresponds to closure with respect to HSP is often denoted by V; we represent it by the inductive type V. Thus, if \mathcal{K} is a class of S-algebras, then the *variety generated by* \mathcal{K} —that is, the smallest class that contains \mathcal{K} and is closed under H, S, and P—is V \mathcal{K} .

6.3 Class products, $PS \subseteq SP$ and $\prod S \in SP$

We need to establish two important facts about the closure operators defined in the last section. The first is the inclusion $\mathsf{PS}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K})$. The second requires that we construct the product of all subalgebras of algebras in an arbitrary class, and then show that this product belongs to $\mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K})$. These are both nontrivial formalization tasks with rather lengthy proofs, which we omit. However, the interested reader can view the complete proofs on the web

⁹ See the UALib.Varieties.Varieties module or the html documentation at ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Varieties.Varieties.html for the definition.

page ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Varieties.Varieties.html or by looking at the source code of the UALib.Varieties module at gitlab.com/ualib.

Here is the formal statement of the first goal, along with the first few lines of proof. 10

```
PS\subseteqSP: (P\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol{u}\}\{ov\boldsymbol
```

Evidently, the proof is by induction on the form of an inhabitant of $PS(\mathcal{K})$, handling in turn each way such an inhabitant can be constructed. (See [5] or ualib.org for details.)

Next we formally state and prove that, given an arbitrary class \mathcal{K} of algebras, the product of all algebras in the class $\mathsf{S}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K}).^{11}$ The type that serves to index the class (and the product of its members) is the following.

```
 \mathfrak{I}: \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}: \text{Universe} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Pred (Algebra } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S) (\text{ov } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (\text{ov } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \\ \mathfrak{I}: \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \right\} \ \mathcal{K} = \Sigma \ \mathbf{A}: (\text{Algebra } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S) \ , \ \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}
```

Taking the product over this index type \Im requires a function like the following, which takes an index (i : \Im) and returns the corresponding algebra.

```
\mathfrak{A}: \{\mathcal{U}: \mathsf{Universe}\}\{\mathcal{K}: \mathsf{Pred}\; (\mathsf{Algebra}\; \mathcal{U}\; S)(\mathsf{ov}\; \mathcal{U})\} 	o \mathfrak{I}\; \mathcal{K} 	o \mathsf{Algebra}\; \mathcal{U}\; S  \mathfrak{A}\{\mathcal{U}\}\{\mathcal{K}\} = \lambda\; (i:(\mathfrak{I}\;\mathcal{K})) 	o |\; i\; |
```

Finally, the product of all members of \mathcal{K} is represented by the following type.

```
class-product : \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \to \mathsf{Pred} \; (\mathsf{Algebra} \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \; S) (\mathsf{ov} \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \to \mathsf{Algebra} \; (\mathsf{ov} \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \; S class-product \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \} \; \mathcal{K} = \prod \; (\; \mathfrak{A} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \} \{ \mathcal{K} \} \; )
```

Observe that the elements of the product are the maps from \mathfrak{I} to $\{\mathfrak{A} : i \in \mathfrak{I}\}$. If $p : \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ is a proof that \mathbf{A} belongs to \mathcal{K} , then we can view the pair $(\mathbf{A}, p) \in \mathfrak{I}$ \mathcal{K} as an index over the class, and $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{A}, p)$ as the result of projecting the product onto the (\mathbf{A}, p) -th component.

6.4 Equation preservation

536

537 538

545

546

548

551

554

555

557

558

562

563

565

567

568

570

572

573

This section describes parts of the UALib.Varieties.Preservation module of the Agda UALib, in which it is proved that identities are preserved by closure operators H, S, and P. This will establish the easy direction of Birkhoff's HSP theorem. We present only the formal statements, omitting proofs. (See [5] or ualib.org for details.) For example, the assertion that H preserves identities is stated formally as follows:

The proof is by induction and handles each of the four constructors of H (hbase, hlift, hhimg,

¹⁰ For legibility we use ov**u** as a shorthand for $\mathbf{6} \sqcup \mathbf{V} \sqcup \mathbf{U}^+$.

¹¹ This turned out to be a nontrivial exercise. In fact, it is not even immediately obvious (at least not to this author) how one should express the product of an entire arbitrary class of algebras as a dependent type. However, after a number of failed attempts, the right type revealed itself. Now that we have it, it seems almost obvious.

and hiso) in turn. Of course, the UALib.Varieties.Preservation module of the UALib contains a complete proof of (2), which can be viewed in the source code or the html documentation. The facts that S, P, and V preserve identities are presented in the UALib in a similar way (and named S-id1, P-id1, V-id1, respectively). As usual, the full proofs are available in the UALib source code and documentation. Source code and documentation.

6.5 The free algebra in theory

584

595

596

597

598

599

601

602

603

604

606

607

608

611

612

615

616

617

620

In this section, we formalize, for a given class \mathcal{K} of S-algebras, the (relatively) free algebra in $\mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K}) \ over \ X. \ \mathsf{Let} \ \Theta(\mathcal{K}, \ \mathbf{A}) := \{ \theta \in \mathsf{Con} \ \mathbf{A} : \ \mathbf{A} \ / \ \theta \in \mathsf{S} \ \mathcal{K} \} \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi(\mathcal{K}, \ \mathbf{A}) := \bigcap \Theta(\mathcal{K}, \ \mathbf{A}).$ Since the term algebra **T** X is free for (and in) the class $\mathcal{A}\ell q(S)$ of all S-algebras, it is free for 587 every subclass \mathcal{K} of $\mathcal{Alt}_{\mathcal{Q}}(S)$. Although **T** X is not necessarily a member of \mathcal{K} , if we form the quotient $\mathfrak{F} := (\mathbf{T} X) / \psi(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$, of $\mathbf{T} X$ modulo the congruence 589 $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X) := \bigcap \{ \theta \in \mathsf{Con} (\mathbf{T} X) : (\mathbf{T} X) / \theta \in \mathsf{S}(\mathcal{K}) \},$ 590 then it is not hard to see that \mathfrak{F} is a subdirect product of the algebras in $\{(\mathbf{T} X) \neq \emptyset\}$, where θ ranges over $\Theta(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T}|\mathcal{X})$, so \mathfrak{F} belongs to $\mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K})$, and it follows that \mathfrak{F} satisfies all the identities 592 modeled by \mathcal{K} . Indeed, for each pair $p q : \mathbf{T} X$, if $\mathcal{K} \models p \approx q$, then p and q must belong to the 593 same $\psi(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$ -class, so p and q are identified in the quotient \mathfrak{F} . 594

The algebra \mathfrak{F} so defined is called the *free algebra over* \mathcal{K} *generated by* X and (because of what we just observed) we say that \mathfrak{F} is free in $\mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K})$.

6.6 The free algebra in Agda

To represent \mathfrak{F} as a type in Agda, we must formally construct the congruence $\psi(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbf{T} X)$. We begin by defining the congruence relation modulo which $\mathbf{T} X$ yields the relatively free algebra \mathfrak{F} . Let ψ be the collection of all identities (p, q) satisfied by all subalgebras of algebras in \mathfrak{K} ,

```
\begin{array}{l} \psi: (\mathcal{K}: \mathsf{Pred} \; (\mathsf{Algebra} \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \; S) \; \mathsf{ov} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \to \mathsf{Pred} \; (\mid \mathbf{T} \; X \mid \times \mid \mathbf{T} \; X \mid) \; \mathsf{ov} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \\ \psi \; \mathcal{K} \; (p \; , \; q) = \forall (\mathbf{A}: \; \mathsf{Algebra} \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \; S) \to (sA: \; \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{S}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \; \mathcal{K}) \\ \to \mid \mathsf{lift-hom} \; \mathbf{A} \; (\mathsf{fst}(\mathbb{X} \; \mathbf{A})) \mid p \equiv \mid \mathsf{lift-hom} \; \mathbf{A} \; (\mathsf{fst}(\mathbb{X} \; \mathbf{A})) \mid q, \end{array}
```

We convert the predicate ψ into a relation by currying, $\psi \text{Rel } \mathcal{K}$ $p \ q = \psi \ \mathcal{K}$ $(p \ , q)$. The latter is an equivalence relation that is compatible with the operations of \mathbf{T} X.¹³ Therefore, from ψRel we can construct a congruence of the term algebra \mathbf{T} X, the inhabitants of which are pairs of terms representing identities satisfied by all subalgebras of algebras in the class.

```
\psiCon : (\mathcal{K} : Pred (Algebra \mathcal{U} S) ov\mathcal{U}) \to Congruence (\mathcal{T} X) \psiCon \mathcal{K} = mkcon (\psiRel \mathcal{K}) (\psicompatible \mathcal{K}) \psiIsEquivalence
```

The free algebra \mathfrak{F} is then defined as the quotient $\mathbf{T} X / (\psi \mathsf{Con} \mathcal{K})$.

```
\mathfrak{F}: \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathfrak{F} \ S \ \mathfrak{F} = \mathbf{T} \ X \diagup (\psi \mathsf{Con} \ \mathscr{K})
```

where $\mathscr{F} = (\mathscr{X} \sqcup \mathsf{ov} \mathscr{u})^+$ happens to be the universe level of \mathfrak{F} . The domain of the free algebra is $| \mathbf{T} X | / \langle \psi \mathsf{Con} \, \mathscr{K} \rangle$, which is $\Sigma \, \mathsf{C} : _ \ , \Sigma \, p : | \mathbf{T} \, X | \ , \mathsf{C} \equiv ([p] \langle \psi \mathsf{Con} \, \mathscr{K} \rangle)$, by definition; i.e., the collection $\{\mathsf{C} : \exists p \in | \mathbf{T} \, X | , \mathsf{C} \equiv [p] \langle \psi \mathsf{Con} \, \mathscr{K} \rangle \}$ of $\langle \psi \mathsf{Con} \, \mathscr{K} \rangle$ -classes of $\mathbf{T} \, X$.

 $^{^{12}\,\}rm See$ the source code file Preservation.lagda, or the html documentation page ualib.gitlab.io/UALib.Varieties.Preservation.html.

¹³We omit the easy proofs, but see the UALib.Birkhoff.FreeAlgebra module for details.

7 Birkhoff's HSP Theorem

This section presents the UALib.Birkhoff module of the Agda UALib; §7.1 describes the key components of the proof of Birkhoff's theorem, and §7.2 reveals how these components fit together to complete the proof.

7.1 HSP Lemmas

This subsection gives formal statements of four lemmas that we will string together in §7.2 to complete the proof of Birkhoff's theorem.

The first hurdle is the lift-alg-V-closure lemma, which says that if an algebra A belongs to the variety V, then so does its lift. This dispenses with annoying universe level problems that arise later—a minor technical issue, but the proof is long and tedious, not to mention uninteresting. (See [5] or ualib.org for details.) The next fact that must be formalized is the inclusion $SP(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq V(\mathcal{K})$, which also suffers from the unfortunate defect of being boring, so we omit this one as well.

After these first two lemmas are formally verified (see [5] or ualib.org for proofs), we arrive at a step in the formalization of Birkhoff's theorem that turns out to be surprisingly nontrivial. We must show that the relatively free algebra \mathfrak{F} embeds in the product \mathfrak{C} of all subalgebras of algebras in the given class \mathcal{K} . We begin by constructing \mathfrak{C} , using the class-product types described in §6.3.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathfrak{Is}: \ \mathsf{ovu} \ \ \cdot \ - \ for \ indexing \ over \ all \ subalgebras \ of \ algebras \ in \ \mathcal{K} \\ \mathfrak{Is}: \ \mathfrak{Is} = \mathfrak{I} \ (\mathsf{S}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\}\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}\} \ \mathcal{K}) \\ \mathfrak{Als}: \ \mathfrak{Is} \to \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S \\ \mathfrak{Als}: \ \mathfrak{Is} \to \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S \\ \mathfrak{Als}: \ \mathfrak{Is} \to \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S \\ \mathfrak{C}: \ \mathsf{Algebra} \ \mathsf{ovu} \ S - \ the \ product \ of \ all \ subalgebras \ of \ algebras \ in \ \mathcal{K} \\ \mathfrak{C}: \ \mathsf{C}: \
```

Next, we construct an embedding \mathfrak{f} from \mathfrak{F} into \mathfrak{C} using a UALib tool called \mathfrak{F} -free-lift.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{h}_{0}:X\to\mid\mathfrak{C}\mid\\ \mathfrak{h}_{0}\;x=\lambda\;i\to\left(\mathsf{fst}\;(\mathbb{X}\;(\mathfrak{As}\;i))\right)\;x\\ \phi\mathfrak{c}:\;\mathsf{hom}\;(\mathbf{T}\;X)\;\mathfrak{C}\\ \phi\mathfrak{c}=\mathsf{lift}\text{-}\mathsf{hom}\;\mathfrak{C}\;\mathfrak{h}_{0}\\ \mathfrak{f}:\;\mathsf{hom}\;\mathfrak{F}\;\mathfrak{C}\\ \mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{F}\text{-}\mathsf{free-}\mathsf{lift}\;\mathfrak{C}\;\mathfrak{h}_{0}\;,\;\lambda\;f\;\pmb{a}\to\parallel\phi\mathfrak{c}\parallel f\;(\lambda\;i\to\lceil\;\pmb{a}\;i\;\rceil) \end{array}
```

The hard part is showing that \mathfrak{f} is a monomorphism. For lack of space, we must omit the inner workings of the proof, and settle for the outer shell. (See [5] or ualib.org for details.) For ease of notation, let $\Psi = \psi \text{Rel } \mathcal{K}$.

```
monf : Monic | f | monf (.(\Psi p) , p , refl _) (.(\Psi q) , q , refl _) fpq = \gamma where ... details\ omitted\ ... \gamma : (\Psi p , p , reft) \equiv (\Psi q , q , reft) \gamma = class-extensionality' pe\ gfe\ ssR\ ssA\ \psilsEquivalence p\Psi q
```

Assuming the foregoing, the proof that \mathfrak{F} is (isomorphic to) a subalgebra of \mathfrak{C} would be completed as follows.

```
\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C} : \text{is-set} \mid \mathfrak{C} \mid \to \mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C}
\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{C} \cdot \text{Cset} = \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid , \text{ (emb\mathfrak{f}, } \parallel \mathfrak{f} \parallel)
\mathfrak{m} \text{where}
\mathfrak{m} \text{bf} : \text{ is-embedding } \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid
\mathfrak{m} \text{emb\mathfrak{f}} = \text{monic-into-set-is-embedding } Cset \mid \mathfrak{f} \mid \text{monf}
\mathfrak{m} \text{Once we have all of the foregoing at hand, it is not hard to show that } \mathfrak{F} \text{ belongs to } \mathsf{SP}(\mathcal{K}), \text{ and}
\mathfrak{m} \text{hence to } \mathsf{V}(\mathcal{K}). \text{ (See [5] or ualib.org for details.)}
```

7.2 The HSP Theorem

It is now all but trivial to use what we have already proved and piece together a complete proof of Birkhoff's celebrated HSP theorem asserting that every variety is defined by a set of identities.

```
-Birkhoff's\ theorem:\ every\ variety\ is\ an\ equational\ class. birkhoff: is-set \mid \mathfrak{C}\mid \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}\ X (Th \mathbb{V}) \subseteq \mathbb{V} birkhoff Cset\ \{\mathbf{A}\}\ \alpha = \gamma where \phi:\ \Sigma\ h:\ (\operatorname{\mathsf{hom}}\ \mathfrak{F}\ \mathbf{A})\ ,\ \operatorname{\mathsf{Epic}}\mid h\mid \phi=\left(\mathfrak{F}\text{-lift-hom}\ \mathbf{A}\mid \mathbb{X}\ \mathbf{A}\mid\right)\ ,\ \mathfrak{F}\text{-lift-of-epic-is-epic}\ \mathbf{A}\mid \mathbb{X}\ \mathbf{A}\mid \parallel \mathbb{X}\ \mathbf{A}\parallel \operatorname{\mathsf{AiF}}:\ \mathbf{A}\ \operatorname{\mathsf{is-hom-image-of}}\ \mathfrak{F} \operatorname{\mathsf{AiF}}=\left(\mathbf{A}\ ,\ \mid\ \operatorname{\mathsf{fst}}\ \phi\mid\ ,\ (\parallel\ \operatorname{\mathsf{fst}}\ \phi\parallel\ ,\ \operatorname{\mathsf{snd}}\ \phi)\ \right)\ ,\ \operatorname{\mathsf{refl-}\cong} \gamma:\ \mathbf{A}\in\mathbb{V} \gamma=\operatorname{\mathsf{vhimg}}\ (\mathfrak{F}\in\mathbb{V}\ Cset)\ \operatorname{\mathsf{AiF}}
```

Some readers might worry that we haven't quite achieved our goal because what we just proved (birkhoff) is not an "if and only if" assertion. Those fears are quickly put to rest by noting that the converse—that every equational class is closed under HSP—is straightforward, as discussed in §6.4. Indeed, in the UALib.Varieties.Preservation module of the UALib we provide proofs of

From these it follows that every equational class is a variety.

8 Current and future directions

Now that we have accomplished our initial goal of formalizing Birkhoff's HSP theorem in Agda, our next goal is to support current mathematical research by formalizing some recently proved theorems. For example, we intend to formalize theorems about the computational complexity of decidable properties of algebraic structures. Part of this effort will naturally involve further work on the library itself, and may lead to new observations about dependent type theory.

One natural question is whether there are any objects of our research that cannot be represented constructively in type theory. As mentioned in § 1.1, a constructive version of Birkhoff's theorem was presented by Carlström in [4]. We should determine how the two new hypotheses required by Carlström compare with the assumptions we make in our Agda proof.

Finally, as one of the goals of the Agda UALib project is to make computer formalization of mathematics more accessible to mathematicians working in universal algebra and model theory, we welcome feedback from the community and are happy to field questions about the UALib, how it is installed, and how it can be used to prove theorems that are not yet part of the library.

- References

717

718

719

720

721

730

731

754

757

761

762

763

1 Clifford Bergman. Universal Algebra: fundamentals and selected topics, volume 301 of Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.

- 2 G Birkhoff. On the structure of abstract algebras. Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 31(4):433–454, Oct 1935.
- Venanzio Capretta. Universal algebra in type theory. In *Theorem proving in higher order logics* (Nice, 1999), volume 1690 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 131–148. Springer, Berlin, 1999. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48256-3_10, doi:10.1007/3-540-48256-3_10.
- Jesper Carlström. A constructive version of birkhoff's theorem. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 54(1):27-34, 2008. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/malq.200710023, arXiv:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/malq.200710023, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/malq.200710023.
 - William DeMeo. The Agda Universal Algebra Library and Birkhoff's Theorem in Dependent Type Theory. CoRR, abs/2101.10166, 2021. source code: https://gitlab.com/ualib/ualib.gitlab.io. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10166, arXiv:2101.10166.
- Martín Hötzel Escardó. Introduction to univalent foundations of mathematics with Agda. CoRR, abs/1911.00580, 2019. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.00580, arXiv:1911.00580.
- 7 Emmanuel Gunther, Alejandro Gadea, and Miguel Pagano. Formalization of universal algebra in Agda. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 338:147 166, 2018. The 12th Workshop on Logical and Semantic Frameworks, with Applications (LSFA 2017). URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1571066118300768, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2018.10.010.
- Ulf Norell. Dependently typed programming in Agda. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Advanced Functional Programming*, AFP'08, pages 230–266, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009. Springer-Verlag. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1813347.1813352.
- The Univalent Foundations Program. Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics. Lulu and The Univalent Foundations Program, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013.
 URL: https://homotopytypetheory.org/book.
- Bas Spitters and Eelis van der Weegen. Type classes for mathematics in type theory. *CoRR*, abs/1102.1323, 2011. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.1323, arXiv:1102.1323.
- The Agda Team. Agda Language Reference section on Axiom K, 2021. URL: https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/language/without-k.html.
- The Agda Team. Agda Language Reference section on Safe Agda, 2021. URL: https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/language/safe-agda.html#safe-agda.
- The Agda Team. Agda Tools Documentation section on Pattern matching and equality, 2021. URL: https://agda.readthedocs.io/en/v2.6.1/tools/command-line-options.html# pattern-matching-and-equality.

A Agda Prerequisites

For the benefit of readers who are not proficient in Agda and/or type theory, we describe some of the most important types and features of Agda used in the UALib.

A.1 Nonstandard notation and syntax

The notation we adopt is that of the Type Topology library of Martín Escardó. Here we give a few more details and a table (Table 1) which translates between standard Agda syntax and Type Topology/UALib notation.

Universe levels are denoted by capitalized script letters from the second half of the alphabet, e.g., \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} , etc. Also defined in Type Topology are the operators \cdot and $^+$. These map a universe level \mathcal{U} to the universe $\mathcal{U} := \mathsf{Set} \, \mathcal{U}$ and the level $\mathcal{U} := \mathsf{Isuc} \, \mathcal{U}$, respectively. Thus,

766

767

769

770

772

773

775

776

777

778 779

786

791

■ **Table 1** Special notation for universe levels

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ is simply an alias for the universe Set $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$, and we have $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$: ($\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$). Table 1 translates between standard Agda syntax and Type Topology/UALib notation.

To justify the introduction of this somewhat nonstandard notation for universe levels, Escardó points out that the Agda library uses Level for universes (so what we write as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ is written Set $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ in standard Agda), but in univalent mathematics the types in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ need not be sets, so the standard Agda notation can be misleading.

Many occasions call for the universe that is the least upper bound of two universes, say, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$. This is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$ in standard Agda syntax, and in our notation the corresponding type is $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}})$, or, more simply, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$ · (since $_\sqcup_$ has higher precedence than $_$.).

A.2 Tools for noncummulative universes

We present here some general and some domain-specific tools that we developed for dealing with the noncumulativity of universees in Agda. (See [5, §3.3] for more details).

First, a general Lift record type, similar to the one found in the Level module of the Agda Standard Library, is defined as follows.

```
record Lift \{ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{W} : \text{Universe} \} \ (X : \mathcal{U} \cdot) : \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W} \cdot \text{where}
constructor lift
field lower : X
open Lift
```

It is useful to know that lift and lower compose to the identity.

```
lower\sim lift : \{\mathfrak{X} \ 	extbf{	iny } : \ 	ext{Universe}\}\{X:\mathfrak{X} \ \cdot\} 
ightarrow 	ext{lower}\{\mathfrak{X}\}\{	extbf{	iny }\} \circ \text{lift} \equiv id \ X lower\sim lift = refl _
```

Similarly, lift \circ lower $\equiv id$ (Lift{ \mathfrak{X} }{ \mathfrak{W} }.

A.2.1 The lift of an algebra

More domain-specifically, here is how we lift types of operations and algebras.

```
lift-op: \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \{ I : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} : \} \{ A : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \} 
\rightarrow ((I \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} : \mathsf{Universe}) \rightarrow ((I \rightarrow \mathsf{Lift} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \} A) \rightarrow \mathsf{Lift} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \} \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \} A)
lift-op f \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} = \lambda \ x \rightarrow \mathsf{lift} \ (f \ (\lambda \ i \rightarrow \mathsf{lower} \ (x \ i)))
lift-\infty-algebra lift-alg: \{ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \rightarrow \mathsf{Algebra} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ S \rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} : \mathsf{Universe}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Algebra} \ (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}) \ S
```

```
lift-\infty-algebra \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W} = \mathsf{Lift} \mid \mathbf{A} \mid, (\lambda (f : \mid S \mid) \to \mathsf{lift-op} (\parallel \mathbf{A} \parallel f) \mathbf{W})
lift-alg = lift-\infty-algebra
```

A.3 Equality

Perhaps the most important types in type theory are the equality types. The *definitional* equality we use is a standard one and is often referred to as "reflexivity" or "refl". In our case, it is defined in the Identity-Type module of the Type Topology library, but apart from syntax it is equivalent to the identity type used in most other Agda libraries. Here is the definition.

```
data _\equiv _- \{ {\pmb u} \} \ \{ X: {\pmb u} \cdot \} : X \to X \to {\pmb u} \cdot {\sf where} refl : \{ x: X \} \to x \equiv x
```

A.4 Function extensionality and intensionality

Extensional equality of functions, or *function extensionality*, is a principle that is often assumed in the Agda UALib. It asserts that two point-wise equal functions are equal and is defined in the Type Topology library in the following natural way:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{funext}: \ \forall \ \pmb{\mathcal{U}} \ \pmb{\mathcal{V}} \to (\pmb{\mathcal{U}} \ \sqcup \ \pmb{\mathcal{V}})^+ \ \cdot \\ \mathsf{funext} \ \pmb{\mathcal{U}} \ \pmb{\mathcal{V}} = \{X: \pmb{\mathcal{U}} \ \cdot \ \} \ \{Y: \pmb{\mathcal{V}} \ \cdot \ \} \ \{f \ g: \ X \to \ Y\} \to f \sim g \to f \equiv g \end{array}
```

where $f \sim g$ denotes pointwise equality, that is, $\forall x \rightarrow f x \equiv g x$.

Pointwise equality of functions is typically what one means in informal settings when one says that two functions are equal. However, as Escardó notes in [6], function extensionality is known to be not provable or disprovable in Martin-Löf Type Theory. It is an independent axiom which may be assumed (or not) without making the logic inconsistent.

Dependent and polymorphic notions of function extensionality are also defined in the UALib and Type Topology libraries (see [5, §2.4] and [6, §17-18]).

Function intensionality is the opposite of function extensionality and it comes in handy whenever we have a definitional equality and need a point-wise equality.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{intensionality}: ~\{ \textit{\textit{\textit{M}}} \; \text{\textit{\textbf{W}}} : \; \text{Universe} \} ~\{A: \textit{\textit{\textit{\textbf{M}}}} \; \cdot \; \} ~\{B: \textit{\textit{\textbf{W}}} \; \cdot \; \} ~\{f: g: A \rightarrow B\} \\ \rightarrow & f \equiv g \rightarrow (x: A) \rightarrow f \, x \equiv g \, x \\ \text{intensionality} ~(\text{refl} \; \_ \;) \; \_ = \text{refl} \; \_ \end{array}
```

Of course, the intensionality principle has dependent and polymorphic analogues defined in the Agda UALib, but we omit the definitions. See [5, §2.4] for details.

833 A.5 Truncation and sets

In general, we may have many inhabitants of a given type, hence (via Curry-Howard correspondence) many proofs of a given proposition. For instance, suppose we have a type X and an identity relation \equiv_x on X so that, given two inhabitants of X, say, a b: X, we can form the type $a \equiv_x b$. Suppose p and q inhabit the type $a \equiv_x b$; that is, p and q are proofs of $a \equiv_x b$, in which case we write p q: $a \equiv_x b$. Then we might wonder whether and in what sense are the two proofs p and q the "same." We are asking about an identity type on the identity type \equiv_x , and whether there is some inhabitant r of this type; i.e., whether there is a proof r: $p \equiv_{x1} q$. If such a proof exists for all p q: $a \equiv_x b$, then we say that the proof of $a \equiv_x b$ is unique. As a property of the types X and \equiv_x , this is sometimes called uniqueness of identity proofs.

Perhaps we have two proofs, say, $r s : p \equiv_{x1} q$. Then it is natural to wonder whether $r \equiv_{x2} s$ has a proof! However, we may decide that at some level the potential to distinguish two

proofs of an identity in a meaningful way (so-called *proof relevance*) is not useful or interesting. At that point, say, at level k, we might assume that there is at most one proof of any identity of the form $p \equiv_{xk} q$. This is called *truncation*.

In homotopy type theory [9], a type X with an identity relation \equiv_x is called a set (or θ -groupoid or h-set) if for every pair a b: X of elements of type X there is at most one proof of a $\equiv_x b$. This notion is formalized in the Type Topology library as follows:

is-set :
$$\mathcal{U} : \to \mathcal{U}$$
:
is-set $X = (x \ y : \ X) \to \text{is-subsingleton} \ (x \equiv y)$

854 where

is-subsingleton :
$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$$
 : is-subsingleton $X = (x \ y : X) \to x \equiv y$ (4)

Truncation is used in various places in the UALib, and it is required in the proof of Birkhoff's theorem. Consult [6, §34-35] or [9, §7.1] for more details.

A.6 Inverses, Epics and Monics

This section describes some of the more important parts of the UALib.Prelude.Inverses module. In § A.6.1, we define an inductive datatype that represents our semantic notion of the *inverse image* of a function. In § A.6.2 we define types for *epic* and *monic* functions. Finally, in Subsections A.7, we consider the type of *embeddings* (defined in [6, §26]), and determine how this type relates to our type of monic functions.

A.6.1 Inverse image type

```
data Image_\ni_ \{A: \mathcal{U}: \}\{B: \mathcal{W}: \}(f: A \to B): B \to \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{W}: \}
where
im: (x: A) \to \operatorname{Image} f \ni f x
eq: (b: B) \to (a: A) \to b \equiv f a \to \operatorname{Image} f \ni b
```

Note that an inhabitant of $\operatorname{Image} f \ni b$ is a dependent pair (a, p), where a : A and $p : b \equiv f a$ is a proof that f maps a to b. Thus, a proof that b belongs to the image of f (i.e., an inhabitant of $\operatorname{Image} f \ni b$), is always accompanied by a witness a : A, and a proof that $b \equiv f a$, so the inverse of a function f can actually be *computed* at every inhabitant of the image of f.

We define an inverse function, which we call Inv, which, when given b:B and a proof (a , p): Image $f\ni b$ that b belongs to the image of f, produces a (a preimage of b under f).

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Inv}: \{A: \pmb{\mathcal{U}}^{\; \cdot}\} \{B: \pmb{\mathcal{W}}^{\; \cdot}\} (f\colon A\to B) (b\colon B) \to \mathsf{Image}\ f\ni b\to A \\ \mathsf{Inv}\ f_{-}(f\ a)\ (\mathsf{im}\ a) = a \\ \mathsf{Inv}\ f_{-}\ (\mathsf{eq}\ \_\ a\ \_) = a \end{array}
```

Thus, the inverse is computed by pattern matching on the structure of the third explicit argument, which has (inductive) type $\mathsf{Image}\, f \ni b$. Since there are two constructors, im and eq, that argument must take one of two forms. Either it has the form im a (in which case the second explicit argument is $.(f\,a\,)),^{14}$ or it has the form eq $b\,a\,p$, where p is a proof of $b \equiv f\,a$. (The underscore characters replace b and p in the definition since $\mathsf{Inv}\,$ doesn't care about them; it only needs to extract and return the preimage a.)

 $^{^{14}}$ The dotted pattern is used when the form of the argument is forced... todo: fix this sentence

We can formally prove that Inv f is the right-inverse of f, as follows. Again, we use pattern matching and structural induction.

```
InvIsInv: \{A: \mathcal{U}: \} \{B: \mathcal{W}: \} (f: A \rightarrow B)

(b: B) (b \in Imgf: Image \ f \ni b)

(b) f: A \mapsto B

(b) f: B \mapsto B

(b) f: B \mapsto B

(b) f: A \mapsto B

(c) f: A \mapsto B

(d) f: B \mapsto B

(e) f: A \mapsto B

(f) f: A
```

889

890

900

901

907

910

912

914

915

919

920

921 922

924 925

927

929

931

Here we give names to all the arguments for readability, but most of them could be replaced with underscores.

A.6.2 Epic and monic function types

Given universes \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{W} , types $A:\mathcal{U}$ and $B:\mathcal{W}$, and $f:A\to B$, we say that f is an epic (or surjective) function from A to B provided we can produce an element (or proof or witness) of type Epic f, where

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Epic}: \left\{A: \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}^{\; \cdot}\right\} \left\{B: \mathbf{\mathcal{W}}^{\; \cdot}\right\} \left(f\colon A \to B\right) \to \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \sqcup \mathbf{\mathcal{W}}^{\; \cdot} \end{aligned} & \mathsf{Epic} \ f = \forall \ y \to \mathsf{Image} \ f \ni y
```

We obtain the (right-) inverse of an epic function f by applying the following function to f and a proof that f is epic.

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{EpicInv}: \ \{A: \mathbf{\mathcal{U}}^{\; \cdot} \ \} \ \{B: \mathbf{\mathcal{W}}^{\; \cdot} \ \} \\ (f: \ A \to B) \to \mathsf{Epic} \ f \\ \hline \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \\ \to \qquad B \to A \\ \\ \mathsf{EpicInv} \ f \ p \ b = \mathsf{Inv} \ f \ b \ (p \ b) \end{array}
```

The function defined by EpicInv f p is indeed the right-inverse of f, as we now prove.

Similarly, we say that $f: A \to B$ is a *monic* (or *injective*) function from A to B if we have a proof of Monic f, where

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Monic}: \ \{A: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \ \} \ \{B: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \ \} (f\colon A \to B) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \ \sqcup \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \end{array}
\mathsf{Monic} \ f = \forall \ a_1 \ a_2 \to f \ a_1 \equiv f \ a_2 \to a_1 \equiv a_2
```

As one would hope and expect, the *left*-inverse of a monic function is derived from a proof p: Monic f in a similar way. (See MonicInv and MonicInvIsLeftInv in [5, §2.3] for details.)

A.7 Monic functions are set embeddings

An *embedding*, as defined in [6, §26], is a function with *subsingleton fibers*. The meaning of this will be clear from the definition, which involves the three functions is-embedding, is-subsingleton, and fiber. The second of these is defined in (4); the other two are defined as follows.

```
is-embedding : \{X: \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot}\}\ \{Y: \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}\} \to (X \to Y) \to \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}
is-embedding f = (y: \text{codomain } f) \to \text{is-subsingleton } (\text{fiber } f \ y)
is-embedding f = (y: \text{codomain } f) \to \text{is-subsingleton } (\text{fiber } f \ y)
is-embedding f = (y: \text{codomain } f) \to \text{is-subsingleton } (\text{fiber } f \ y)
is-embedding f = (y: \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot}) \ \{Y: \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}\}\ (f: X \to Y) \to Y \to \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot} \sqcup \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}
is-embedding f = (y: \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot}) \ \{Y: \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}\}\ (f: X \to Y) \to Y \to \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot} \sqcup \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}
is-embedding f = (y: \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot}) \ \{Y: \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}\}\ (f: X \to Y) \to Y \to \mathcal{U}^{\;\cdot} \sqcup \mathcal{V}^{\;\cdot}
```

This is not simply a *monic* function (§A.6.2), and it is important to understand why not. Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a monic function from X to Y, so we have a proof p: Monic f. To prove f is an embedding we must show that for every g: Y we have is-subsingleton (fiber f g). That is, for all g: Y, we must prove the following implication:

$$\frac{(x\;x'\colon X)\quad (p:f\;x\equiv y)\quad (q:f\;x'\equiv y)\quad (m:\mathsf{Monic}\;f)}{(x\;,\;p)\equiv (x'\;,\;q)}$$

By m, p, and q, we have $r: x \equiv x$. Thus, in order to prove f is an embedding, we must somehow show that the proofs p and q (each of which entails $f x \equiv y$) are the same. However, there is no axiom or deduction rule in MLTT to indicate that $p \equiv q$ must hold; indeed, the two proofs may differ.

One way we could resolve this is to assume that the codomain type, B, is a set, i.e., has unique identity proofs. Recall the definition (3) of is-set from the Type Topology library. If the codomain of $f: A \to B$ is a set, and if p and q are two proofs of an equality in B, then $p \equiv q$, and we can use this to prove that a injective function into B is an embedding.

We omit the formal proof for lack of space, but see [5, §2.3].

A.8 Unary Relations (predicates)

We need a mechanism for implementing the notion of subsets in Agda. A typical one is called Pred (for predicate). More generally, Pred A \mathcal{U} can be viewed as the type of a property that elements of type A might satisfy. We write $P:\mathsf{Pred}$ A \mathcal{U} to represent the semantic concept of a collection of elements of type A that satisfy the property P. Here is the definition, which is similar to the one found in the $\mathsf{Relation/Unary.agda}$ file of the Agda Standard Library.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Pred} : \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \, \stackrel{\cdot}{\cdot} \to (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} : \, \mathsf{Universe}) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \, \sqcup \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \, \stackrel{+}{\cdot} \\ \mathsf{Pred} \, A \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} = A \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \, \stackrel{\cdot}{\cdot} \end{array}
```

Below we will often consider predicates over the class of all algebras of a particular type. By definition, the inhabitants of the type Pred (Algebra $\mathcal U$ S) $\mathcal U$ are maps of the form $\mathbf A \to \mathcal U$.

In type theory everything is a type. As we have just seen, this includes subsets. Since the notion of equality for types is usually a nontrivial matter, it may be nontrivial to represent equality of subsets. Fortunately, it is straightforward to write down a type that represents what it means for two subsets to be equal in informal (pencil-paper) mathematics. In the UALib we denote this *subset equality* by = and define it as follows.¹⁵

¹⁵Our notation and definition representing the semantic concept "x belongs to P," or "x has property P," is standard. We write either $x \in P$ or P x. Similarly, the "subset" relation is denoted, as usual, with the

A.9 Binary Relations

985

991

992

1003

1021

In set theory, a binary relation on a set A is simply a subset of the product $A \times A$. As such, we could model these as predicates over the type $A \times A$, or as relations of type $A \to A \to \Re$. (for some universe \Re). A generalization of this notion is a binary relation is a relation from A to B, which we define first and treat binary relations on a single A as a special case.

```
\mathsf{REL} : \{ \mathcal{R} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \to \mathcal{U} \overset{\cdot}{\cdot} \to \mathcal{R} \overset{\cdot}{\cdot} \to (\mathcal{N} : \mathsf{Universe}) \to (\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R} \sqcup \mathcal{N}^+) \overset{\cdot}{\cdot} \\ \mathsf{REL} \; A \; B \; \mathcal{N} = A \to B \to \mathcal{N} \overset{\cdot}{\cdot}
```

The notions of reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity are defined as one would hope and expect, so we present them here without further explanation.

```
reflexive : \{ \mathcal{R} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathcal{U} : \} \rightarrow \mathsf{Rel} \ X \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R} :
reflexive \_\approx\_ = \forall \ x \rightarrow x \approx x

symmetric : \{ \mathcal{R} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathcal{U} : \} \rightarrow \mathsf{Rel} \ X \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R} :
symmetric \_\approx\_ = \forall \ x \ y \rightarrow x \approx y \rightarrow y \approx x

transitive : \{ \mathcal{R} : \mathsf{Universe} \} \{ X : \mathcal{U} : \} \rightarrow \mathsf{Rel} \ X \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R} :
transitive \_\approx\_ = \forall \ x \ y \ z \rightarrow x \approx y \rightarrow y \approx z \rightarrow x \approx z
```

A.10 Kernels of functions

 $_{1004}$ $\,$ The kernel of a function can be defined in many ways. For example, $_{1005}$

```
KER: \{\mathbf{R}: \mathsf{Universe}\}\ \{A: \mathbf{U}^{\;\cdot}\}\ \{B: \mathbf{R}^{\;\cdot}\} \to (A \to B) \to \mathbf{U} \sqcup \mathbf{R}^{\;\cdot}

KER \{\mathbf{R}\}\ \{A\}\ g = \Sigma\ x: A\ , \Sigma\ y: A\ , g\ x \equiv g\ y
or as a unary relation (predicate) over the Cartesian product,

KER-pred: \{\mathbf{R}: \mathsf{Universe}\}\ \{A: \mathbf{U}^{\;\cdot}\}\{B: \mathbf{R}^{\;\cdot}\} \to (A \to B) \to \mathsf{Pred}\ (A \times A)\ \mathbf{R}^{\;\cdot}\}
```

```
1011 KER-pred: \{\mathbf{M}: \mathsf{Oniverse}\}\ \{A: \mathbf{M}: \}\{B: \mathbf{M}: \} \to (A \to B) \to \mathsf{Pred}\ (A \times A) \,\mathbf{M}
1012 KER-pred g(x,y) = g \, x \equiv g \, y
1013
```

or as a relation from A to B,

A.11 Equivalence Relations

Types for equivalence relations are defined in the UALib.Relations.Equivalences module of the Agda UALib using a record type, as follows:

```
record IsEquivalence \{A: \mathcal{U}: \} (=\approx: \text{Rel } A \mathcal{R}): \mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{R}: \text{ where}
```

 $[\]subseteq$ symbol (cf. in the Agda Standard Library). The relations \in and \subseteq are defined in the Agda UALib in a was similar to that found in the Relation/Unary.agda module of the Agda Standard Library. (See [5, §4.1.2].)

```
rfl : reflexive \approx
1027
                  sym: symmetric \approx
1028
                  trans: transitive _≈_
1029
       For example, here is how we construct an equivalence relation out of the kernel of a function.
1031
1032
            map-kernel-IsEquivalence : \{ \mathbf{W} : \text{Universe} \} \{ A : \mathbf{U} : \} \{ B : \mathbf{W} : \} 
1033
                                                (f: A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \mathsf{IsEquivalence} (KER-rel f)
1034
1035
            map-kernel-IsEquivalence \{W\} f =
1036
               record { rfl = \lambda x \rightarrow ref\ell
1037
                        ; sym = \lambda x y x_1 \rightarrow \equiv -sym\{W\} (f x) (f y) x_1
1038
                        ; trans = \lambda x y z x_1 x_2 \rightarrow \equiv-trans (f x) (f y) (f z) x_1 x_2 }
1039
```

A.12 Relation truncation

1040

1042

1043

1044

1045

1047

1048

1050

1052

1053 1054

1055

Here we discuss a special technical issue that will arise when working with quotients, specifically when we must determine whether two equivalence classes are equal. Given a binary relation 16 P, it may be necessary or desirable to assume that there is at most one way to prove that a given pair of elements is P-related. This is an example of *proof-irrelevance*; indeed, under this assumption, proofs of P x y are indistinguishable, or rather distinctions are irrelevant in given context.

In the UALib, the is-subsingleton type of Type Topology is used to express the assertion that a given type is a set, or θ -truncated. Above we defined truncation for a type with an identity relation, but the general principle can be applied to arbitrary binary relations. Indeed, we say that P is a θ -truncated binary relation on X if for all x y : X we have is-subsingleton (P x y).

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Rel}_0: \pmb{\mathcal{U}} \overset{\centerdot}{\cdot} \to (\pmb{\mathcal{N}}: \mathsf{Universe}) \to \pmb{\mathcal{U}} \; \sqcup \; \pmb{\mathcal{N}} \; ^+ \; \cdot \\ \mathsf{Rel}_0 \; A \; \pmb{\mathcal{N}} = \Sigma \; P: (A \to A \to \pmb{\mathcal{N}} \overset{\centerdot}{\cdot}) \; , \; \forall \; x \; y \to \mathsf{is-subsingleton} \; (P \; x \; y) \end{array}
```

Thus, a set, as defined in §A.5, is a type X along with an equality relation \equiv of type $Rel_0 X \mathcal{N}$, for some \mathcal{N} .

¹⁶Binary relations, as represented in Agda in general and the UALib in particular, are described in §A.9.