COMP5711 Final Solution

- Q1 (a) No. Consider a sequence of n MULTIPUSH operations each pushing n items into the stack. The total running time is $\Omega(n^2)$, so the amortized cost is $\Omega(n)$ not O(1).
 - (b) Denote T as the expected running time of original Quicksort. We run the Quicksort for 2T time long and stop it . The algorithm will fail only when it need more than 2T time for the Las Vegas version which has expected running time T. According to Markov inequality, the fail probability is less than 1/2 when using a large constant c.
 - (c) Consider a random variable X which equals 1 with probability 1/k and 0 otherwise. Then we have $Pr(X \ge kE[X]) = Pr(X \ge 1) = \frac{1}{k}$.
 - (d) (1) 1
 - (2) 2
 - (3) n-1
 - (e) No. Although vertex cover can be solved in $f(k) \cdot poly(n)$ time, it is now known whether $f(n-k) \cdot poly(n)$ is polynomial in n or not.
- Q2 (a) In the worst case, all the stacks containing the n elements are full, and in order to push one more element, we have to move each of these n elements from its current stack to the next one. Hence, the cost is $\Theta(n)$.
 - (b) Since each S_i can hold up to 2^i elements, the first k stacks can hold up to $2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k 1$ elements. The maximum number of elements in the multi-stack is n, so we only use the first $k = \lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil + 1$ credits. One credit is used to pay the cost of pushing to S_0 , and the other $2\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ credits are stored with the element. When an element is move from its current stack to the next stack, we deduct 2 of its credit to pay the cost of pop (from the current stack) and push (to the next stack) operations. Since each element is moved at most $k = \lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ times, its stored credits are enough to pay all the costs. In summary of the amortized cost of a push operation is $2\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil + 1 = O(\log n)$.
- Q3 (a) Denote the number of steps needed of visiting an unvisited vertex conditioned on i vertices having been visited as T_i . By a similar analysis as in Coupon Collector problem. We have that the probability of visiting an unvisited vertex is $\frac{n-i}{n-1}$. So the waiting time $E[T_i] = \frac{n-1}{n-i}$. Then the expected total number of steps is $E[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i] = (n-1)H_{n-1}$.
 - (b) Due to the symmetry of complete graph, the probability of visiting v at the next step is always $\frac{1}{n-1}$ if the current vertex is not v. So the waiting time is n-1.
- Q4 (a) This is pair-wise independent. For two distinct $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $x' = x'_1, \ldots, x'_n$ and $y, y' \in \{0, 1\}$, we need to prove $Pr[a_0 + a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n = y \mod 2 \text{ and } a_0 + a_1x'_1 + \ldots + a_nx'_n = y' \mod 2] = 1/4$.

$$\begin{cases} a_0 + a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_n x_n = y \mod 2 \\ a_0 + a_1 x_1' + \ldots + a_n x_n' = y' \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

There are 2^{n-1} solutions out of all possible 2^{n+1} choice of a_0, \ldots, a_n . Thus, $Pr[a_0 + a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n = y \text{ and } a_0 + a_1x_1' + \ldots + a_nx_n' = y'] = \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^{n+1}}$. This is pair-wise independent.

(b) This is universal but not pair-wise independent. First, we show that it is universal. That is, for two distinct $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $x' = x'_1, \ldots, x'_n$, we need to prove that $Pr[a_0 + a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n = a_0 + a_1x'_1 + \ldots + a_nx'_n \mod 2] \le 1/2$. In total we have 2^n hash functions, and

1

 2^{n-1} of them causes a collision. Thus, $Pr[a_0 + a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n = a_0 + a_1x_1' + \ldots + a_nx_n' \mod 2] = 1/2$. It is universal.

It is not pair-wise. Consider the input: $x_1 = x_2 = \dots x_n = 0$. It will always map to 0. Any other string will map to zero with probability 1/2. Hence the probability that they both take 0 is 1/2, not 1/4 as desired. So it is not pair-wise independent.

Q5 If c = 1, we only need to check whether S is a set cover of U, and whether $|S| \leq k$. Suppose $c \geq 2$. Let a be an arbitrary element in U. We claim the following.

The instance (U, \mathcal{S}) has a set cover of size at most k if and only if, for some $S_a \in \mathcal{S}$ containing a, the instance $(U \setminus S_a, \mathcal{S} \setminus \{S_a\})$ has a set cover of size at most k-1.

We first prove the if direction. If for some $S_a \in \mathcal{S}$ containing a, the instance $(U \setminus S_a, \mathcal{S} \setminus \{S_a\})$ has a set cover \mathcal{C} of size at most k-1, then clearly $\mathcal{C} \cup \{S_a\}$ is a set cover of U, whose size is at most k. Next we prove the only if direction. Suppose that the instance (U, \mathcal{S}) has a set cover \mathcal{C} of size at most k. In \mathcal{C} , there must be a subset $S_a \in \mathcal{S}$ that contains a. Clearly, $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{S_a\}$ is a set cover of $U \setminus S_a$, whose size is at most k-1.

With the above claim, we can design our algorithm as follows. We pick an arbitrarily element a from U. For each $S_a \in S$ that contains a, we recursively determine whether the instance $(U \setminus S_a, S \setminus \{S_a\})$ has a set cover of size at most k. The original instance has a set cover of size at most k if and only if at least one reduced instances have a set cover of size at most k-1.

We denote by T(n, m, k) the running time for an instance with |U| = n, |S| = m and the parameter k. Recall our assumption that for each element $a \in U$, at most c subsets in S contain a. We have the following recurrence for T(n, m).

$$T(n, m, k) \le cT(n - 1, m - 1, k - 1) + c(m + n),$$

 $T(n, m, 0) = 1.$

Solving the recurrence gives $T(n, m, k) = O(c^k(m+n))$.

Q6 Let's fix a bin. Let X be the number of balls in this bin. We have

$$E[X] = 2\ln n + 2c.$$

By Chernoff bound, we have

$$Pr(X \le 0) = Pr(X \le (1-1)E[X]) \le \exp\left(-\frac{E[X]}{2}\right) = \exp\left(-\ln n - c\right) = \frac{1}{e^c n}.$$

Since we have n bins, applying the union bound gives

$$Pr(\text{some bin is empty}) \le n \cdot Pr(X \le 0) = \frac{1}{e^c}.$$

Hence, the probability that we fill all the binds is at least $1 - \frac{1}{e^c}$.