## LETTER

## The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity

Jordi Barretina<sup>1,2,3</sup>†\*, Giordano Caponigro<sup>4\*</sup>, Nicolas Stransky<sup>1\*</sup>, Kavitha Venkatesan<sup>4\*</sup>, Adam A. Margolin<sup>1</sup>†\*, Sungjoon Kim<sup>5</sup>, Christopher J. Wilson<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Lehár<sup>4</sup>, Gregory V. Kryukov<sup>1</sup>, Dmitriy Sonkin<sup>4</sup>, Anupama Reddy<sup>4</sup>, Manway Liu<sup>4</sup>, Lauren Murray<sup>1</sup>, Michael F. Berger<sup>1</sup>†, John E. Monahan<sup>4</sup>, Paula Morais<sup>1</sup>, Jodi Meltzer<sup>4</sup>, Adam Korejwa<sup>1</sup>, Judit Jané-Valbuena<sup>1,2</sup>, Felipa A. Mapa<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Thibault<sup>5</sup>, Eva Bric-Furlong<sup>4</sup>, Pichai Raman<sup>4</sup>, Aaron Shipway<sup>5</sup>, Ingo H. Engels<sup>5</sup>, Jill Cheng<sup>6</sup>, Guoying K. Yu<sup>6</sup>, Jianjun Yu<sup>6</sup>, Peter Aspesi Jr<sup>4</sup>, Melanie de Silva<sup>4</sup>, Kalpana Jagtap<sup>4</sup>, Michael D. Jones<sup>4</sup>, Li Wang<sup>4</sup>, Charles Hatton<sup>3</sup>, Emanuele Palescandolo<sup>3</sup>, Supriya Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Scott Mahan<sup>1</sup>, Carrie Sougnez<sup>1</sup>, Robert C. Onofrio<sup>1</sup>, Ted Liefeld<sup>1</sup>, Laura MacConaill<sup>3</sup>, Wendy Winckler<sup>1</sup>, Michael Reich<sup>1</sup>, Nanxin Li<sup>5</sup>, Jill P. Mesirov<sup>1</sup>, Stacey B. Gabriel<sup>1</sup>, Gad Getz<sup>1</sup>, Kristin Ardlie<sup>1</sup>, Vivien Chan<sup>6</sup>, Vic E. Myer<sup>4</sup>, Barbara L. Weber<sup>4</sup>, Jeff Porter<sup>4</sup>, Markus Warmuth<sup>4</sup>, Peter Finan<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer L. Harris<sup>5</sup>, Matthew Meyerson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Todd R. Golub<sup>1,3,7,8</sup>,

systems that reflect the genomic diversity of human cancers and for which detailed genetic and pharmacological annotation is available<sup>1</sup>. Here we describe the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE): a compilation of gene expression, chromosomal copy number and massively parallel sequencing data from 947 human cancer cell lines. When coupled with pharmacological profiles for 24 anticancer drugs across 479 of the cell lines, this collection allowed identification of genetic, lineage, and gene-expression-based predictors of drug sensitivity. In addition to known predictors, we found that plasma cell lineage correlated with sensitivity to IGF1 receptor inhibitors; AHR expression was associated with MEK inhibitor efficacy in NRAS-mutant lines; and SLFN11 expression predicted sensitivity to topoisomerase inhibitors. Together, our results indicate that large, annotated cell-line collections may help to enable preclinical stratification schemata for anticancer agents. The generation of genetic predictions of drug response in the preclinical setting and their incorporation into cancer clinical trial design could speed the emer-

The systematic translation of cancer genomic data into knowledge of

tumour biology and therapeutic possibilities remains challenging.

Such efforts should be greatly aided by robust preclinical model

Michael P. Morrissey<sup>4\*</sup>, William R. Sellers<sup>4\*</sup>, Robert Schlegel<sup>4\*</sup> & Levi A. Garraway<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

**gence of 'personalized' therapeutic regimens<sup>2</sup>.**Human cancer cell lines represent a mainstay of tumour biology and drug discovery through facile experimental manipulation, global and

known cancer genes were assessed by mass spectrometric genotyping<sup>13</sup> (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). DNA copy number was measured using high-density single nucleotide polymorphism arrays (Affymetrix SNP 6.0; Supplementary Methods). Finally, messenger RNA expression levels were obtained for each of the lines using Affymetrix U133 plus 2.0 arrays. These data were also used to confirm cell line

We next measured the genomic similarities by lineage between CCLE lines and primary tumours from Tumorscape<sup>14</sup>, exp*O*, MILE and COSMIC data sets (Fig. 1b–d and Supplementary Methods). For most lineages, a strong positive correlation was observed in both chromosomal copy number and gene expression patterns (median correlation coefficients of 0.77, range = 0.52-0.94,  $P < 10^{-15}$ , for copy number, and 0.60, range = 0.29-0.77,  $P < 10^{-15}$ , for expression, respectively; Fig. 1b, c and Supplementary Tables 3 and 4), as has been described previously<sup>3–5,15</sup>. A positive correlation was also observed for point mutation frequencies (median correlation coefficient = 0.71, range = -0.06-0.97,  $P < 10^{-2}$  for all but 3 lineages; Supplementary Fig. 5), even when

identities (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs 2-4).

TP53 was removed from the data set (median correlation coefficient = 0.64, range = -0.31–0.97,  $P < 10^{-2}$  for all but 3 lineages; Fig. 1d and Supplementary Table 5). Thus, with relatively few exceptions (Supplementary Information), the CCLE may provide representative genetic proxies for primary tumours in many cancer types.