

Abstract Semantics Used in `fp_ai.py`

Evelyn Ma

April 2025

1 Concrete Values

I track all IEEE-754 single-precision numbers plus the specials $\{+\infty, -\infty, \text{NaN}\}$. Sub-normal (denormal) numbers are treated as ordinary finite numbers, so they live safely inside our *finite interval*. All arithmetic uses the C round-to-nearest-even (RNE) rule.

2 Abstract Value

Element

$$x = \langle lo, hi, \text{InfFlag}, \text{NaNFlag} \rangle$$

- lo, hi – smallest and largest *finite* values still possible
- InfFlag – 1 iff $\pm\infty$ is still possible
- NaNFlag – 1 iff NaN is still possible

Special elements

$$\top = \langle -\text{FLT_MAX}, \text{FLT_MAX}, 0, 0 \rangle, \quad \perp = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle_{\text{empty}}$$

(\perp denotes the empty set, not “exactly 0”.)

Order

$$x \sqsubseteq y \iff x.lo \geq y.lo \wedge x.hi \leq y.hi \wedge (x.\text{InfFlag} \Rightarrow y.\text{InfFlag}) \wedge (x.\text{NaNFlag} \Rightarrow y.\text{NaNFlag}).$$

Hence every element lies below \top , and \perp lies below every element.

Join

$$x \sqcup y = \langle \min(x.lo, y.lo), \max(x.hi, y.hi), x.\text{InfFlag} \vee y.\text{InfFlag}, x.\text{NaNFlag} \vee y.\text{NaNFlag} \rangle.$$

3 Abstract Arithmetic

Op.	Abstract transfer (new element)
+	$lo = a.lo + b.lo, \quad hi = a.hi + b.hi;$ $\text{InfFlag} = a.\text{InfFlag} \vee b.\text{InfFlag} \vee \text{overflow of either bound};$ $\text{NaNFlag} = a.\text{NaNFlag} \vee b.\text{NaNFlag}.$
−	treat $a - b$ as $a + (-b)$; flags as above.
×	take the four endpoint products for new bounds; $\text{InfFlag} = a.\text{InfFlag} \vee b.\text{InfFlag} \vee \text{overflow of any product};$ $\text{NaNFlag} = a.\text{NaNFlag} \vee b.\text{NaNFlag} \vee (a.\text{InfFlag} \wedge 0 \in b) \vee (b.\text{InfFlag} \wedge 0 \in a).$
÷	if $0 \in b$ set interval to $[-\text{FLT_MAX}, \text{FLT_MAX}]$ and $\text{InfFlag} = 1$; if $b.\text{InfFlag} = 1$ and $0 \notin b$, include 0 in the interval, but <i>do not</i> add new ∞ ; $\text{NaNFlag} = a.\text{NaNFlag} \vee b.\text{NaNFlag} \vee (0/0) \vee (\infty/\infty).$

Whenever a bound $< -\text{FLT_MAX}$ or $> +\text{FLT_MAX}$, we set $\text{InfFlag} = 1$ and clip that bound independently to the nearest limit.

4 Condition Narrowing

- Atom $x > 0$: $\text{true} \Rightarrow x.lo := \max(x.lo, \varepsilon)$ (where ε is a small positive constant); $\text{false} \Rightarrow x.hi := \min(x.hi, 0)$.
- Atom $x == 0$: $\text{true} \Rightarrow x.lo := x.hi := 0$; false narrows away 0 on the appropriate side.
- For $\&\&$ and $\|\|$, each feasible branch keeps its own copy of the environment; environments are merged variable-wise using the lattice join after the **if**.
- Only *shallow* refinement is performed; variables are narrowed independently (no cross-variable constraints).

5 Soundness

For every expression e

$$\alpha(e_{\text{concrete}}) \sqsubseteq e_{\text{abstract}}(\alpha(\cdot)).$$

Proof sketch. Structural induction on the syntax tree:

1. *Base cases*: constants map exactly.
2. *Inductive step*: Table 3 gives, for every operator, an abstract element that is an upper bound of the concretisation of the exact result, hence monotone.
3. *Control flow*: branch environments are joined with the lattice join, which is the least upper bound, so the property is preserved.

Why Inf/NaN are safe. Finite results are covered by the interval. Every concrete $\pm\infty$ maps into an element with $\text{InfFlag} = 1$, and every concrete NaN maps into an element with $\text{NaNFlag} = 1$. Both flags are joined using Boolean \vee , the least upper bound in the Boolean lattice, so a “possible Inf/NaN” fact can never be lost through merging or looping. Therefore the abstraction is sound for all concrete outcomes.