

KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

Knowledge Representation (KR)

It is the process of representing real world knowledge into symbolic so AI can understand, store and manipulate
goal: enable AI to think and reason about problems, make decisions, and understand situations by leveraging stored knowledge.

Components:

1. Knowledge Base \rightarrow (KB) repo where knowledge is stored in structured format.
2. Representation Language: formal language or set of symbols used to encode the knowledge. It must be unambiguous and machine understandable.
3. Inference Engine: set of procedures and algorithms that uses the KB to derive new facts, answer queries, or make decision.

Properties of Good KR Schema:

1. Representation Adequacy
2. Inferential Adequacy
3. acquisitional efficiency

Types

- 1> declarative knowledge (facts)
- 2> Procedural knowledge (How-to)
- 3> Temporal knowledge (time related info)
- 4> Uncertain knowledge (info i.e. uncertainty)
- 5> Meta-knowledge (knowledge about knowledge)
- 6> Heuristic knowledge (problem-solving)

Representation Schemas

1> Semantic Networks

- represented as graph
- Nodes: obj, ideas, entities
- Links: relationship between nodes

2> Frame

- more like OOP class
- can hold values, default values, and even procedures

3> Production Rules

- if-then structure

4> Logic-based method

- use of formal logic systems (calculus, math reasoning etc)

ISSUES IN KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

- 1> Getting Knowledge : how do get all the large and needed and perfect data? how?
- 2> Storing large amount of data
- 3> Updating the large amount of data when needed
- 4> Maintaining rules and avoiding ambiguity
- 5> Different Representation
- 6> Frame Problem in logic based KB
- 7> Sharing knowledge between diff AI system
- 8> Common Sense stating which leads to large size
- 9> Choosing way to represent
 - a> Context understanding
 - b> Balancing Details and Speed
 - c> Maintaining Consistency
 - d> Enabling Reasoning

FIRST ORDER LOGIC

a.k.a Predicate logic is a powerful and expressive formal system used for KR allows us to represent objects, properties of objects, and relationships between objects along with universal and existential quantifiers

Before For we need a brief about

Propositional Logic: represents facts via atomic propositions. It cannot express relationships between objects

cannot express general statements

(all humans are mortal x)
(we'll need state individually)

Components

1. Constants: specific objects / individual

e.g. Plato, Delhi, 3, a, John

2. Predicates: properties of objects

relationship between objects

like boolean functions,

e.g. IsHuman(Socrates) \rightarrow True

Likes(John, Mary) \rightarrow True

Greater(5, 3)

3. Functions: represents mapping from one or more object to another object.

e.g. FatherOF (John) \rightarrow refers to father obj
Sum(2, 3) \rightarrow refers to 5 obj
ColorOF(Sky) \rightarrow refers to Blue obj

4. Variables: unspecified objects can any obj
e.g. x, y, z, p

5. Terms: a obj, constant, Function,
e.g. Socrates, x, FatherOF(John), Sum

6. Atomic Sentences: formed by predicate³
symbol followed by a parenthesized
list of items, they are basic true/false
statement.

e.g. IsHuman(Socrates)

Likes(John, FatherOF(Mary))

7. Connectives (Logical Operators)

AND \wedge $P \wedge Q$ (can be true if both true)

OR \vee $P \vee Q$ (can be true if any true)

NOT \sim $\sim P$ true \leftrightarrow False

IMPLIES \Rightarrow $P \Rightarrow Q$ IF P THEN Q

IFF \Leftrightarrow $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ (biconditional) IDK !!

8. Quantifiers

\forall universal (for all) \forall (forall x)

\exists existential (there exists) \exists

Semantics (meaning)

define the truth of a FOI sentence in a
specific model (an interpretation)

A model has:

- 1) domain (D) - non-empty set of objects that the constants, variables can refer to
- 2) Interpretation Function - assigns meanings to each symbol

Each constant/variable refers to D

Each predicate refers to relation over D

Each function refers to a function from D to D

A sentence is true in a model if its meaning, given the interpretation, evaluates to true.

COMPUTABLE FUNCTIONS AND PREDICATES

computability: concept from theoretical computer science that asks 'Can a problem be solved by an algorithm?'

It defines the limits of what computers can do

In AI it means whether our represented knowledge can be effectively processed by machine?

Date _____
Page _____

Computable Function is a function for which an algorithm exists that can compute its output for any valid input in finite time.

Characteristics

1> algo existence : there must be step by step procedure

2> termination : algo must end

3> deterministic : for same i/p \rightarrow same o/p

E.g add(x, y) = $x + y$

multiply(x, y) = $x * y$

Computable Predicates

is a predicate for which an algo exist that can determine whether predicate is true or false for i/p in finite time.

(special func return True (False))

Characteristics:

Algorithm Existence

Termination

Deterministic

Eg isEven(x) \rightarrow True (False)

Importance of CF and CP

- i) foundation for automated reasoning
- ii) practical implementation
- iii) effective knowledge representation
- iv) Define AI's capabilities

FORWARD/BACKWARD REASONING

The choice of how AI system thinks or reaches a conclusion is often determined by its reasoning strategy.

Forward

Backward

(Data-Driven / Antecedent Driven)

Strategy starts with initial facts or data available and applies rules to conclude new facts and continues process until goal is reached or no more facts (max)

1. looks at its current set of known facts
2. scans through its set of rules (IF A and B then C)
3. If the 'IF' part matches the current facts, the 'THEN' part is added to the set of facts
4. This process repeats with new added fact-sets

5. stops when goal is reached or
no new facts can be added
Refer example from PDF notes

Backward Reasoning

(Goal-Driven or Consequent-Driven)

Strategy starts with a specific goal or hypothesis and works backward to find the initial facts or conditions that would prove the goal.

'What do I need to know, to prove this?'

1. starts with goal it wants to prove
2. looks for rules whose 'THEN' part matches the current goal.
3. To prove the goal, it attempts to prove the 'IF' part of one of these matching rules. so these 'IFs' become new subgoals.
4. This process continues recursively, trying to prove subgoals, until it reaches facts that are already known to be true.

UNIFICATION AND LIFTING

unification and lifting are core concepts in Knowledge representation and automated Reasoning using FOI, they help AI system reason with general rules.

Unification

- process of finding a substitution that makes two logical expressions identical.
- helps applying general rules to specific facts.
- components
 - variables (x, y, z)
 - constants ($A, John, 3$)
 - functions
 - predicate
- goal is find most general Unifier (mgu)
(simplest valid substitution)

Rules

1. Identical terms $\rightarrow \checkmark$ (no substitution)
2. Variable vs Term \rightarrow substitute if var doesn't appear inside term
3. Variable vs variable \rightarrow substitute one for other
4. complex term \rightarrow unify only if same predicate / function name and same entity

e.g. $P(x, A)$ and $P(B, y) \rightarrow mgu \{x/B, y/A\}$
 $P(x, x)$ and $P(A, B) \rightarrow \text{fail}$
 $P(x, f(w))$ and $P(A, f(B)) \rightarrow mgu \{x/A, y(B)\}$

Lifting: applying inference rules to general
 For statement (with variables)

- ↑ efficiency and generalization
- avoid creating separate rules for each instance.

uses unification to match rules premises
 with facts.

Rule: $P_1(x) \wedge P_2(y) \Rightarrow Q(x, y)$

Fact: $P_1(A), P_2(B)$

Unify: $\{x/A, y/B\}$

Infer: $Q(A, B)$

Matching too
 reasoning lifting = pattern-matching engine (find/subst)
 process reasoning strategy that uses unification
 using the to apply general rules
 matching tool

process by which a
concludes facts from
new evidence

RESOLUTION PROCEDURE

resolution is a single, complete inference rule used in automated theorem proving and AI reasoning with FOL

It works through refutation

proving a conclusion by showing that negation leads to a contradiction

requirements

1. knowledge in FOL
2. all stmt must be in Conjunctive Normal Form (AND IF NOT)
3. unification for matching

Steps

1. Convert all statements to CNF
2. Negate the goal $P \rightarrow \sim P$
3. Apply Resolution Rule
 - Find complementary literals (P and $\sim P$)
 - unify if variable exist
 - combine the remaining literals into a new resolvent clause
4. check for empty clause
if found contradiction, its proven.

Applications

Query-And Sys Automated Theorem Proving
Logic Programming knowledge based expert
Systems.

LOGIC PROGRAMMING

declarative programming paradigm based on formal logic

It focuses on 'what the problem' is not 'how to solve it?'

components

Facts (known truths) parent(john, mary)

rules: conditional statements

queries:

~~questions~~ questions asked to system

Execution process:

- uses inference mechanism like backward chaining
- employs unification to match queries with facts/rules.

example

father(john, mary) → fact

child(x, y) :- father(y, x) → rule

?- child(mary, john) → query

Programming By stating facts and rules,
not procedures.