

# Mini-Report: Exploring Filter Bubbles in YouTube Recommendations

Jader Silva

## Introduction

This mini-project explores the dynamics of video retrieval and recommendation using three neutral seed queries: *healthy cooking*, *beginner guitar*, and *stretching exercises*. The purpose is to investigate how content clusters form around different seeds, to quantify diversity, and to assess overlap between themes. While simplified, the study illustrates core analytical skills in text processing, similarity analysis, and visualization of recommendation structures, all of which are transferable to larger-scale media ecosystem studies.

## Method

We implemented a lightweight pipeline to explore **YouTube recommendation bubbles** across three thematic seeds: “*beginner guitar*”, “*healthy cooking*”, and “*stretching exercises*”.

The procedure was:

1. **Data collection** – Using the YouTube Data API v3, we queried each seed and followed the first layer of recommended videos. Results were stored in structured CSV files (data/raw/ → data/clean/ → data/processed/).
2. **Preprocessing** – Video metadata (title + description) was concatenated into a single text field. Duplicates and empty fields were removed.
3. **Vectorization & similarity** – We applied TF-IDF over the text fields and computed pairwise cosine similarity. This yielded a similarity graph between videos.
4. **Aggregation** – Instead of rendering raw network visualizations (dense and hard to interpret), we extracted **aggregate metrics** (Jaccard overlap, diversity/entropy, largest connected components) to summarize cluster behavior.
5. **Visualization** – Metrics were represented as **heatmaps, bar charts, and line plots**, emphasizing interpretability over raw network density.

## Visualizations

- **Jaccard similarity heatmap:** pairwise overlap between seed clusters, showing how much the video sets intersect.
- **Diversity by seed (entropy measure):** normalized entropy indicating how uniformly each seed's recommendations are distributed.
- **Largest Connected Component (LCC) size:** bar chart comparing the scale of the main cluster within each seed's graph.
- **Entropy vs. random walk step:** line plot tracking how recommendation diversity evolves as the user navigates outward from the initial seed.

These plots replaced the earlier exploratory *networkx* diagrams, providing clearer, cluster-level insights.

## Results

### 1. Entropy vs Step

Entropy curves show *stretching exercises* accumulating the highest diversity. *Healthy cooking* starts narrow at early steps but rises sharply by step 3, surpassing *beginner guitar*. This reflects that the step-wise curve captures the path of sampled recommendations, whereas the seed-level diversity metric summarizes the full distribution over clusters.

### 2. Jaccard Similarity Between Seeds

Video-level Jaccard similarity between seeds was 0, confirming that no videos overlapped across queries. However, channel-level overlap was observed between *healthy cooking* and *stretching exercises* (e.g., recurring creators like Lilly Sabri and Massy Arias), suggesting cross-domain content strategies by certain channels.

### 3. Diversity per Seed

Normalized entropy scores reinforced the entropy curve findings:

- *Beginner guitar* → most balanced topical spread.
- *Stretching exercises* → moderate diversity.
- *Healthy cooking* → minimal diversity, with videos concentrating on similar phrasing and themes.

### 4. Largest Connected Component (LCC)

The size of the largest connected component was computed for each seed's recommendation

graph. All seeds produced a single dominant cluster containing the majority of videos, consistent with the formation of tightly bounded recommendation spaces.

## **Ethical Reflections**

Although this mini-project is intentionally small-scale ( $\approx 150$  videos per seed, three neutral queries), the patterns it reveals highlight dynamics that scale to much larger and more sensitive domains. A few key reflections emerge:

Reliability of results. The TF-IDF and similarity graph approach provides a reasonable proxy for clustering videos, but the outputs vary depending on when the collection is run. This temporal volatility is itself informative: it shows that recommendation ecosystems are not fixed but fluid, and reproducibility is limited in real platforms.

What the model is really learning. By design, similarity is driven by surface textual patterns (titles, descriptions). This means the system may reinforce superficial affinities (keywords, phrasing) rather than deeper content features. Such bias echoes real-world concerns: algorithms often amplify signals that are easy to compute rather than those that are substantively meaningful.

From toy seeds to sensitive domains. While our seeds (“healthy cooking,” “beginner guitar,” “stretching exercises”) are benign, the same reinforcement mechanisms can operate on domains like politics, public health, or justice. In such contexts, dense similarity networks risk concentrating exposure, reducing viewpoint diversity, and heightening vulnerability to misinformation.

Transparency and auditing. This pipeline is intentionally lightweight, reproducible, and documented. Any reviewer can re-run it with their own API key or use the provided CSVs. This transparency is central to ethical auditing: it allows independent validation, comparison, and extension.

In summary, the experiment shows more than technical execution. It demonstrates how even simple recommendation loops reveal the tension between affinity reinforcement and diversity of exposure. Highlighting that tension is essential for ethical debates about algorithmic systems, and for building accountability frameworks that extend beyond this toy example.

## **Limitations**

This study is constrained by its scale: only 3 queries,  $\sim 450$  videos total, and a reliance on textual metadata rather than full video transcripts or engagement signals. The Jaccard analysis, while

informative, captures only surface-level overlap (IDs and channels), not thematic subtleties. The clustering method (KMeans on TF-IDF) provides a coarse partition, sufficient for a toy project but not for production-level recommendation audits.

## **Next Steps**

Future iterations should:

- Expand seeds to politically sensitive or polarized queries to test recommendation pathways in high-risk contexts.
- Incorporate temporal dynamics (video publication dates, trending status).
- Enrich the feature set with engagement metrics and full transcripts.
- Scale diversity and overlap metrics to larger graphs, enabling network analysis of recommendation bias.