This week's highlights

- Evaluate the truth value of a compound proposition given truth values of its constituent variables.
- Prove propositional equivalences using truth tables
- Prove propositional equivalences using other known equivalences, e.g.
 - DeMorgan's laws
 - Double negation laws
 - Distributive laws, etc.
- Compute the CNF and DNF of a given compound proposition.
- Define a predicate over a finite domain using a table of values and as properties
- Determine the truth value of the proposition resulting from evaluating a predicate
- Describe the set of domain elements that make a predicate with one input evaluate to true.
- Evaluate universal and existential statements about finite domains (with no quantifier alternations).
- Counterexample and witness-based arguments for predicates with infinite domains
- Practice combinations of \wedge , \rightarrow in conjunction with universal and existential quantifiers
- State and apply DeMorgan's law for quantified statements.
- Translate sentences from English to propositional logic using appropriate propositional variables and boolean operators.

- Decide and justify whether or not a collection of propositions is consistent.
- Use predicates with set of tuples as their domain to relate values to one another
- Evaluate nested quantifiers: both alternating and not.

Lecture videos

Week 4 Day 1 YouTube playlist Week 4 Day 2 YouTube playlist Week 4 Day 3 YouTube playlist

Monday January 25

Consider the following algorithm to introduce redundancy in a string of 0s and 1s.

Create redundancy by repeating each bit three times

```
procedure redun3(a_{k-1}\cdots a_0): a binary string)

for i:=0 to k-1

c_{3i}:=a_i

c_{3i+1}:=a_i

c_{3i+2}:=a_i

return c_{3k-1}\cdots c_0
```

Decode sequence of bits using majority rule on consecutive three bit sequences

```
procedure decode3(c_{3k-1}\cdots c_0): a binary string whose length is an integer multiple of 3) for i:=0 to k-1

if exactly two or three of c_{3i}, c_{3i+1}, c_{3i+2} are set to 1

a_i:=1

else

a_i:=0

return a_{k-1}\cdots a_0
```

Give a recursive definition of the set of outputs of the redun3 procedure, $Out, \,\, \square \,\,$

Dut, Basis step: _____

Consider the message m = 0001 so that the sender calculates redun3(m) = redun3(0001) = 000000000111.

Introduce ____ errors into the message so that the signal received by the receiver is _____ but the receiver is still able to decode the original message what is the biggest number of errors you can introduce?

Building a circuit for line 3 in *decode* procedure: given three input bits, we need to determine whether the majority is a 0 or a 1.

c_{3i}	c_{3i+1}	c_{3i+2}	a_i
1	1	1	
1	1	0	
1	0	1	
1	0	0	
0	1	1	
0	1	0	
0	0	1	
0	0	0	

Recursive step:

Circuit

Wednesday January 27

Definition: A **predicate** is a function from a given set (domain) to $\{T, F\}$.

A predicate can be applied, or **evaluated** at, an element of the domain.

Two predicates over the same domain are **equivalent** means they evaluate to the same truth values for all possible assignments of domain elements to the input.

Input	Output		
	P(x)	N(x)	Mystery(x)
x	$[x]_{2c,3} > 0$	$[x]_{2c,3} < 0$	
000	F		T
001	T		T
010	T		T
011	T		F
100	F		F
101	F		T
110	F		F
111	F		T

The domain for each of the predicates P(x), N(x), Mystery(x) is

Definition: The **truth set** of a predicate is the collection of all elements in its domain where the predicate evaluates to T.

The truth set for the predicate P(x) is

The truth set for the predicate N(x) is

The truth set for the predicate $Mystery(\overline{x})$ is

Definitivess (Requartification of P(x) is the statement "P(x) for all values of x in the domain" and is written $\forall x P(x)$. An element for which P(x) = F is called a **counterexample** of $\forall x P(x)$.

The **existential quantification** of P(x) is the statement "There exists an element x in the domain such that P(x)" and is written $\exists x P(x)$. An element for which P(x) = T is called a **witness** of $\exists x P(x)$.

Example: is a true existential quantification.

Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are **logically equivalent** means they have the same truth value no matter which predicates (domains and functions) are substituted in.

Quantifier version of De Morgan's laws: $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x (\neg P(x))$

$$\boxed{\neg \exists x Q(x) \equiv \forall x (\neg Q(x))}$$

Example: is a false universal quantification. It is logically equivalent to

Recall: Each RNA strand is a string whose symbols are elements of the set $B = \{A, C, G, U\}$. The **set of all RNA strands** is called S. The function *rnalen* that computes the length of RNA strands in S is:

Basis Step: If $b \in B$ then $rnalen: S \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ rnalen(b) = 1

Recursive Step: If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$, then rnalen(sb) = 1 + rnalen(s)

Example predicates on S

H(s) = T	Truth set of H is
$L_3(s) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } rnalen(s) = 3\\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	Strand where L_3 evaluates to T is e.g.
	Strand where L_3 evaluates to F is e.g.
$F_{\mathtt{A}}$ is defined recursively by: Basis step: $F_{\mathtt{A}}(\mathtt{A}) = T, \ F_{\mathtt{A}}(\mathtt{C}) = F_{\mathtt{A}}(\mathtt{G}) = F_{\mathtt{A}}(\mathtt{U}) = F$ Recursive step: If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$, then $F_{\mathtt{A}}(sb) = F_{\mathtt{A}}(s)$	Strand where $F_{\mathtt{A}}$ evaluates to T is e.g. Strand where $F_{\mathtt{A}}$ evaluates to F is e.g.
P_{AUC} is defined as the predicate whose truth set is the collection of RNA strands where the string AUC is a substring (appears inside s in order and consecutively)	Strand where P_{AUC} evaluates to T is e. Strand where P_{AUC} evaluates to F is e.

Friday January 29

Definition (Rosen p123): The **Cartesian product** of the sets A and B, $A \times B$, is the set of all ordered pairs (a,b), where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. That is: $A \times B = \{(a,b) \mid (a \in A) \land (b \in B)\}$. The Cartesian product of the sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n , denoted by $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$, is the set of ordered n-tuples (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) , where a_i belongs to A_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. That is, $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \mid a_i \in A_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$

Recall: Each RNA strand is a string whose symbols are elements of the set $B = \{A, C, G, U\}$. The **set of all RNA strands** is called S. The function rnalen that computes the length of RNA strands in S is:

Basis Step: If $b \in B$ then rnalen(s) = 1Recursive Step: If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$, then rnalen(s) = 1 + rnalen(s)

A function basecount that computes the number of a given base b appearing in a RNA strand s is:

Basis Step: If $b_1 \in B$, $b_2 \in B$ $basecount(b_1, b_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } b_1 = b_2 \\ 0 & \text{when } b_1 \neq b_2 \end{cases}$ Recursive Step: If $s \in S$, $b_1 \in B$, $b_2 \in B$ $basecount(sb_1, b_2) = \begin{cases} 1 + basecount(s, b_2) & \text{when } b_1 = b_2 \\ basecount(s, b_2) & \text{when } b_1 = b_2 \end{cases}$ when $b_1 = b_2 = b$

L with domain $S \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ is defined by, for $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

 $L(s,n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } rnalen(s) = n \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Element where L evaluates to T:

Element where L evaluates to F:

BC with domain _____ is defined S and $b \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $BC(s, b, n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } basecount \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Element where BC evaluates to T:

Element where BC evaluates to F:

Notation: for a predicate P with domain $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ and a n-tuple (x_1, \ldots, x_n) with each $x_i \in X$, we write $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to mean $P((x_1, \ldots, x_n))$.

Witness that proves this existe	ential quantification is	s true:	
$\forall (s, b, n) (BC(s, b, n))$ In	n English:		
Counterexample that proves this universal quantification is false:			
New predicates from old $BC(s, b, n)$ means $basecount(s, b) = n$.			
Predicate	Domain	Example domain element	
		where predicate is T	

Predicate	Domain	Example domain element where predicate is T
basecount(s,b) = 3		
$basecount(s,\mathbf{A}) = n$		
$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ (basecount(s,b) = n)$		
$\forall b \in B \ (basecount(s,b) = 1)$		

Alternating quantifiers

 $\exists t \ BC(t)$

In English:

	$\forall s \exists n \ BC(s, \mathtt{A}, n)$	
In English:		
	$\exists n \forall s \ BC(s, \mathtt{U}, n)$	
In English:		

Evaluate each quantified statement as T or F_{\uparrow}			
$\forall s \ \forall b \ \exists n \ BC(s \ b \ n)$	$\forall s \ \forall n \ \exists b \ BC(s,b,n)$	$\begin{vmatrix} \forall b \ \forall n \ \exists s \ BC(s \ b \ n) \end{vmatrix}$	
10 10 20 20 (0,0,10)			
$\exists s \ \forall b \ \exists n \ BC(s,b,n)$	$\forall s \; \exists n \; \forall b \; BC(s,b,n)$	$\exists b \ \exists n \ \forall s \ BC(s,b,n)$	

Extra example: Write the negation of each of the statements above, and use De Morgan's law to find a logically equivalent version where the negation is applied only to the BC predicate (not next to a quantifier).

Review quiz questions

1. Monday Real-life representations are often prone to corruptions. Biological codes, like RNA, may mutate naturally and during measurement; cosmic radiation and other ambient noise can flip bits in computer storage. One way to recover from corrupted data is to exploit redundancy. Consider the following algorithm to introduce redundancy in a string of 0s and 1s.

Create redundancy by repeating each bit three times

```
procedure redun3(a_{k-1}\cdots a_0): a binary string)

for i:=0 to k-1

c_{3i}:=a_i

c_{3i+1}:=a_i

c_{3i+2}:=a_i

return c_{3k-1}\cdots c_0
```

Decode sequence of bits using majority rule on consecutive three bit sequences

```
procedure decode3(c_{3k-1}\cdots c_0): a binary string whose length is an integer multiple of 3) for i:=0 to k-1
if exactly two or three of c_{3i}, c_{3i+1}, c_{3i+2} are set to 1
a_i:=1
else
a_i:=0
return a_{k-1}\cdots a_0
```

For each of the following, type in your answers precisely including all notational punctuation.

- (a) Give the output of redun3(100).
- (b) If the output of running redun3 is 000000111000111, what was its input?
- (c) Give the output of decode3(100).
- (d) How many distinct possible inputs to decode3 give the output 01?
- 2. Wednesday Consider the following predicates, each of which has as its domain the set of all bitstrings whose leftmost bit is 1

¹Mutations of specific RNA codons have been linked to many disorders and cancers.

²This RadioLab podcast episode goes into more detail on bit flips: https://www.wnycstudios.org/story/bit-flip

- E(x) is T exactly when $(x)_2$ is even, and is F otherwise
- L(x) is T exactly when $(x)_2 < 3$, and is F otherwise
- M(x) is T exactly when $(x)_2 > 256$ and is F otherwise.
- (a) What is E(110)?
- (b) Why is L(00) undefined?
 - i. Because the domain of L is infinite
 - ii. Because 00 does not have 1 in the leftmost position
 - iii. Because 00 has length 2, not length 3
 - iv. Because $(00)_{2,3} = 0$ which is less than 3
- (c) Is there a bitstring of width (where width is the number of bits) 6 at which M(x) evaluates to T?

3. Friday Recall that S is defined as the set of all RNA strands, strings made of the bases in $B = \{A, U, G, C\}$. Define the functions mutation, insertion, and deletion as described by the pseudocode below:

```
procedure mutation(b_1 \cdots b_n): a RNA strand, k: a positive integer, b: an element of B)
    for i := 1 to n
       if i = k
          c_i := b
4
        else
5
6
          c_i := b_i
    return c_1 \cdots c_n {The return value is a RNA strand made of the c_i values}
    procedure insertion (b_1 \cdots b_n): a RNA strand, k: a positive integer, b: an element of B)
       for i := 1 to n
          c_i := b_i
       c_{n+1} := b
5
       for i := 1 to k-1
          c_i := b_i
       c_k := b
9
       for i := k+1 to n+1
10
11
          c_i := b_{i-1}
    return c_1 \cdots c_{n+1} {The return value is a RNA strand made of the c_i values}
12
    procedure deletion(b_1 \cdots b_n): a RNA strand, k: a positive integer)
       m := n
       \mathbf{for} \ i \ := \ 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ n
          c_i := b_i
6
       m \ := \ n-1
       \mathbf{for} \ i \ := \ 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ k-1
9
          c_i := b_i
        \mathbf{for} \ i \ := \ k \ \mathbf{to} \ n-1
10
          c_i := b_{i+1}
11
    return c_1 \cdots c_m {The return value is a RNA strand made of the c_i values}
```

For this question, we will use the following predicates.

 $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ with domain S is defined recursively by:

Basis step:
$$F_{A}(A) = T$$
, $F_{A}(C) = F_{A}(G) = F_{A}(U) = F$
Recursive step: If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$, then $F_{A}(sb) = F_{A}(s)$

 P_{AUC} with domain S is defined as the predicate whose truth set is the collection of RNA strands where the string AUC is a substring (appears inside s, in order and consecutively)

L with domain $S \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ is defined by, for $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$L(s,n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } rnalen(s) = n \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Mut with domain $S \times S$ is defined by, for $s_1 \in S$ and $s_2 \in S$,

$$Mut(s_1, s_2) = \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists b \in B(\ mutation(s_1, k, b) = s_2)$$

Ins with domain $S \times S$ is defined by, for $s_1 \in S$ and $s_2 \in S$,

$$Ins(s_1, s_2) = \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists b \in B(insertion(s_1, k, b) = s_2)$$

Del with domain $S \times S$ is defined by, for $s_1 \in S$ and $s_2 \in S$,

$$Del(s_1, s_2) = \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (deletion(s_1, k) = s_2)$$

- (a) Which of the following is true? (Select all and only that apply.)
 - i. $F_{A}(AA)$
 - ii. $F_{A}(AC)$
 - iii. $F_{A}(AG)$
 - iv. $F_{A}(AU)$
 - v. $F_{A}(CA)$
 - vi. $F_{A}(CC)$
 - vii. $F_{A}(CG)$
 - viii. $F_{A}(CU)$
- (b) Which of the following is true? (Select all and only that apply.)
 - i. $\exists s \in S \ \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (L(s,n))$
 - ii. $\exists s \in S \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (L(s,n))$
 - iii. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ \exists s \in S \ (L(s,n))$
 - iv. $\forall s \in S \ \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (L(s,n))$
 - v. $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ \forall s \in S \ (L(s,n))$
 - vi. $\forall s \in S \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (L(s,n))$
- (c) Which of the following is true? (Select all and only that apply.)
 - i. $\exists s \in S \ Mut(s,s)$

- ii. $\forall s \in S \ Mut(s, s)$
- iii. $\exists s \in S \ Ins(s, \mathbf{A})$
- iv. $\exists s \in S \ Ins(\mathtt{A},s)$
- v. $\exists s \in S \ Del(s, A)$
- vi. $\forall s \in S \ Del(s, \mathbb{A})$