

## Ratings examples

In the table below, each row represents a user's ratings of movies: ✓ (check) indicates the person liked the movie, ✗ (x) that they didn't, and • (dot) that they didn't rate it one way or another (neutral rating or didn't watch).

| Person | Fyre | Frozen II | Picard | Ratings written as a 3-tuple |
|--------|------|-----------|--------|------------------------------|
| $P_1$  | ✗    | •         | ✓      | $(-1, 0, 1)$                 |
| $P_2$  | ✓    | ✓         | ✗      | $(1, 1, -1)$                 |
| $P_3$  | ✓    | ✓         | ✓      | $(1, 1, 1)$                  |
| $P_4$  | •    | ✗         | ✓      |                              |

Which of  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  has movie preferences most similar to  $P_4$ ?

One approach to answer this question: use **functions** to define distance between user preferences.

Define the following functions whose inputs are ordered pairs of 3-tuples each of whose components comes from the set  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$

$$d_1((x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 ((|x_i - y_i| + 1) \mathbf{div} 2) \quad d_2((x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3)) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

|                 |                 |                 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $d_1(P_4, P_1)$ | $d_1(P_4, P_2)$ | $d_1(P_4, P_3)$ |
| $d_2(P_4, P_1)$ | $d_2(P_4, P_2)$ | $d_2(P_4, P_3)$ |

*Extra example:* A new movie is released, and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  watch it before  $P_3$ , and give it ratings;  $P_1$  gives ✓ and  $P_2$  gives ✗. Should this movie be recommended to  $P_3$ ? Why or why not?

*Extra example:* Define the new functions that would be used to compare the 4-tuples of ratings encoding movie preferences now that there are four movies in the database.