## Exercise 2.

- (a) Let J and E be well-ordered sets; let  $h: J \to E$ . Show the following two statements are equivalent:
  - (i) h is order-preserving and its image is E or a section of E.
  - (ii)  $h(\alpha) = \text{smallest of } [E h(S_{\alpha})] \text{ for all } \alpha.$

[Hint: Show that each of these conditions implies that  $h(S_{\alpha})$  is a section of E; conclude that it must be the section by  $h(\alpha)$ .]

(b) If E is a well-ordered set, show that no section of E has the order type of E, nor do two different sections of E have the same order type. [Hint: Given J, there is at most one order-preserving map of J into E whose image is E or a section of E.]

**Lemma 1.** Let W be a nonempty well-ordered set, and let  $\alpha \in W$ . If  $\alpha$  has an immediate successor  $\beta$ , then  $S_{\alpha} \cup \{\alpha\} = S_{\beta}$ . Otherwise,  $\alpha = \max W$  and  $S_{\alpha} \cup \{\alpha\} = W$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha = \max W$ , then for all  $x \in W$ , either  $x = \alpha$  or  $x < \alpha$ , and thus  $W = S_{\alpha} \cup \{\alpha\}$ . Otherwise, since  $\alpha$  is not the largest element of W, it has an immediate successor  $\beta$ , and  $S_{\alpha} \cup \{\alpha\} \subset S_{\beta}$ . Conversely, for all  $x \in S_{\beta}$ , if  $x > \alpha$ , then  $\alpha < x < \beta$ , so that  $\beta$  is not the immediate successor of  $\alpha$ , a contradiction. Therefore either  $x < \alpha$  or  $x = \alpha$ , and  $S_{\beta} \subset S_{\alpha} \cup \{\alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let W be a well-ordered set, and let I be a subset of W. Then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}$  is either W or a section of W.

*Proof.* Let  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose that U has un upper bound  $\beta \in W$ , and let m be the smallest such upper bound. We have  $U \subset S_m$ . Suppose there exists  $x \in S_m - U$ . If there exists  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $x < \alpha$ , then  $x \in S_\alpha \subset U$ , a contradiction; therefore x is an upper bound for U in W, since m is the smallest such upper bound, we have x > m or x = m, which contradicts  $x \in S_m$ . Therefore  $U = S_m$ .

Otherwise, U does not have an upper bound in W. Let  $x \in W$ , there exists  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $x \in S_{\alpha}$ , so that  $W \subset U$ , and U = W.

Proof.

(a) If J is empty, then there is only one function from J to E and it vacuously satisfies both conditions. In the rest of the proof, we suppose then that J is nonempty. We will show that both propositions are equivalent to

$$\forall \alpha \in J, \quad h(S_{\alpha}) = S_{h(\alpha)} \tag{1}$$

 $(i) \implies (1)$ 

Suppose that (i) holds. Let  $\alpha \in J$ ; for all  $\beta \in J$  such that  $\beta < \alpha$ , we have  $h(\beta) < h(\alpha)$ , so  $h(\beta) \in S_{h(\alpha)}$ . Conversely, for all  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $h(\beta) > h(\alpha)$ , so  $h(\beta) \notin S_{h(\alpha)}$ . Therefore

$$\forall \beta \in J, \quad \beta < \alpha \iff h(\beta) \in S_{h(\alpha)} \tag{2}$$

Suppose that  $h(S_{\alpha}) \neq S_{h(\alpha)}$ , and let  $z \in S_{h(\alpha)} - h(S_{\alpha})$ . If there exists  $x \in J$  such that h(x) = z, then from (2) we deduce that  $x < \alpha$ , so that  $h(x) = z \in h(S_{\alpha})$ , which is absurd. Therefore  $z \notin h(J)$ .

- if h(J) = E, then from the above  $z \notin E$ , which is absurd.
- otherwise,  $h(J) = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ , and since  $h(\alpha) \in S_m$ , we have  $h(\alpha) < m < z$ . This contradicts the fact that  $z \in S_{h(\alpha)}$ .

Therefore z does not exist, and we conclude that (1) is true.

 $(1) \implies (i)$ 

Suppose that (1) holds. For all  $x, y \in J$  such that x < y, we have  $x \in S_y$  and therefore  $h(x) \in h(S_y) = S_{h(y)}$ . From this we deduce that h(x) < h(y), so h is increasing.

Suppose that  $h(J) \neq E$ , and let m be the smallest element of E - h(J). If there exists  $z \in J$  such that h(z) > m, then  $m \in S_{h(z)} = h(S_z)$ , contradicting the existence of m. Therefore for all  $z \in J$ ,  $h(z) \in S_m$ , and for all  $y \in S_m$ , there exists  $x \in J$  such that h(x) = y by definition of m. From this we conclude that  $h(J) = S_m$ , so that  $h(J) \implies h(J) \implies$ 

 $(1) \implies (ii)$ 

Let  $\alpha \in J$ , from (1) we have  $h(\alpha) \notin h(S_{\alpha})$ . For all  $y \in E$ ,  $y < h(\alpha)$  implies  $y \in h(S_{\alpha})$ , so  $h(\alpha)$  is the smallest element of  $E - h(S_{\alpha})$ , and (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii).

 $(ii) \implies (1)$ 

Suppose that condition (ii) holds, and let  $J_0$  be the subset of J such that for all  $\alpha \in J_0$ ,  $h(S_\alpha) = S_{h(\alpha)}$ . For all  $\beta \in J$  such that  $S_\beta \subset J_0$ :

• If  $\beta$  has an immediate predecessor u, then  $S_{\beta} = S_u \cup \{u\} \subset J_0$ , and  $u \in J_0$ . Furthermore,

$$h(S_{\beta}) = h(S_u \cup \{u\}) = h(S_u) \cup \{h(u)\} = S_{h(u)} \cup \{h(u)\}$$

Since  $h(\beta) \in E - h(S_{\beta})$ , we do not have  $h(S_{\beta}) = E$ , and from lemma 1 we deduce that h(u) has an immediate successor s in

E. Since  $h(\beta) = \min(E - h(S_{\beta})) = \min\{x \in E \mid x > h(u)\},\$  we deduce that  $s = h(\beta)$ , and  $h(S_{\beta}) = S_{h(\beta)}$ , so that  $\beta \in J_0$ .

• If  $\beta$  does not have an immediate predecessor, then  $S_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} S_{\alpha}$ . Since  $S_{\beta} \subset J_0$ , we have  $\alpha \in J_0$  for all  $\alpha < \beta$ , and

$$h(S_{\beta}) = h\left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} S_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} h(S_{\alpha}) = \mathscr{S}$$

From lemma 2, we deduce that  $\mathscr{S}$  is either E or a section of E. And since  $h(\beta) \in E - h(S_{\beta})$  exists,  $\mathscr{S} = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ . The element m is the smallest upper bound for  $h(S_{\beta})$  in E, and therefore  $m = \beta$ , and  $\beta \in J_0$ .

From the above, we deduce that  $J_0$  is an inductive subset of J, and therefore  $J_0 = J$ .

(b) If  $E = \emptyset$ , then for all J section of E there is no function from J to E, so J cannot have the same order type as E.

Suppose therefore that E is nonempty and let J be a section of E. From item (a), we deduce that the recursive definition in (ii) gives a unique order-preserving function  $h: J \to E$  whose image is either E or a section of E.

Suppose that  $h(J) = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ , then  $m \notin h(J)$  and therefore h is not bijective. Otherwise, h(J) = E, so that h is bijective. As a section of E,  $J = S_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in E$ . Then there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $h(\beta) = \alpha$ . And since  $\beta \in S_{\alpha}$ , from (1) we deduce that  $h(\beta) \in h(S_{\alpha}) = S_{h(\alpha)}$ , which implies  $h(\beta) < h(\alpha)$ , a contradiction. Therefore h is not bijective.

From the above, we conclude that there is no order-preserving bijection from a section of E to E, so that every section of E has an order type different from that of E.

Let  $S_{\alpha}$  and  $S_{\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  be two distinct sections of E. Since E is well-ordered, the elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are comparable. Swapping the roles of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we can suppose that  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $S_{\alpha} \subset S_{\beta}$  and  $S_{\alpha} = \{x \in E \mid x < \alpha\} = \{x \in S_{\beta} \mid x < \alpha\}$ , and therefore  $S_{\alpha}$  is a section of  $S_{\beta}$ .

From item (a) we deduce that there is a unique order-preserving function  $h: S_{\alpha} \to S_{\beta}$ , defined as in (ii), and that for this function  $h(S_{\alpha})$  is either  $S_{\beta}$  or a section of  $S_{\beta}$ . As a subset of the well-ordered set E,  $S_{\beta}$  is well-ordered, and we can apply the previous reasoning on J and E to deduce that  $S_{\alpha}$  and  $S_{\beta}$  have different order types.