

**Exercise 2.**

(a) Let  $J$  and  $E$  be well-ordered sets; let  $h : J \rightarrow E$ . Show the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $h$  is order-preserving and its image is  $E$  or a section of  $E$ .
- (ii)  $h(\alpha) = \text{smallest of } [E - h(S_\alpha)]$  for all  $\alpha$ .

[Hint: Show that each of these conditions implies that  $h(S_\alpha)$  is a section of  $E$ ; conclude that it must be the section by  $h(\alpha)$ .]

(b) If  $E$  is a well-ordered set, show that no section of  $E$  has the order type of  $E$ , nor do two different sections of  $E$  have the same order type.  
[Hint: Given  $J$ , there is at most one order-preserving map of  $J$  into  $E$  whose image is  $E$  or a section of  $E$ .]

**Lemma 1.** Let  $W$  be a nonempty well-ordered set, and let  $\alpha \in W$ . If  $\alpha$  has an immediate successor  $\beta$ , then  $S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} = S_\beta$ . Otherwise,  $\alpha = \max W$  and  $S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} = W$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha = \max W$ , then for all  $x \in W$ , either  $x = \alpha$  or  $x < \alpha$ , and thus  $W = S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ . Otherwise, since  $\alpha$  is not the largest element of  $W$ , it has an immediate successor  $\beta$ , and  $S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} \subset S_\beta$ . Conversely, for all  $x \in S_\beta$ , if  $x > \alpha$ , then  $\alpha < x < \beta$ , so that  $\beta$  is not the immediate successor of  $\alpha$ , a contradiction. Therefore either  $x < \alpha$  or  $x = \alpha$ , and  $S_\beta \subset S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $W$  be a well-ordered set, and let  $I$  be a subset of  $W$ . Then  $\cup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha$  is either  $W$  or a section of  $W$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U = \cup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $U$  has an upper bound  $\beta \in W$ , and let  $m$  be the smallest such upper bound. We have  $U \subset S_m$ . Suppose there exists  $x \in S_m - U$ . If there exists  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $x < \alpha$ , then  $x \in S_\alpha \subset U$ , a contradiction; therefore  $x$  is an upper bound for  $U$  in  $W$ , since  $m$  is the smallest such upper bound, we have  $x > m$  or  $x = m$ , which contradicts  $x \in S_m$ . Therefore  $U = S_m$ .

Otherwise,  $U$  does not have an upper bound in  $W$ . Let  $x \in W$ , there exists  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $x \in S_\alpha$ , so that  $W \subset U$ , and  $U = W$ .  $\square$

*Proof.*

(a) If  $J$  is empty, then there is only one function from  $J$  to  $E$  and it vacuously satisfies both conditions. In the rest of the proof, we suppose then that  $J$  is nonempty. We will show that both propositions are equivalent to

$$\forall \alpha \in J, \quad h(S_\alpha) = S_{h(\alpha)} \quad (1)$$

(i)  $\implies$  (1)

Suppose that (i) holds. Let  $\alpha \in J$ ; for all  $\beta \in J$  such that  $\beta < \alpha$ , we have  $h(\beta) < h(\alpha)$ , so  $h(\beta) \in S_{h(\alpha)}$ . Conversely, for all  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $h(\beta) > h(\alpha)$ , so  $h(\beta) \notin S_{h(\alpha)}$ . Therefore

$$\forall \beta \in J, \quad \beta < \alpha \iff h(\beta) \in S_{h(\alpha)} \quad (2)$$

Suppose that  $h(S_\alpha) \neq S_{h(\alpha)}$ , and let  $z \in S_{h(\alpha)} - h(S_\alpha)$ . If there exists  $x \in J$  such that  $h(x) = z$ , then from (2) we deduce that  $x < \alpha$ , so that  $h(x) = z \in h(S_\alpha)$ , which is absurd. Therefore  $z \notin h(J)$ .

- if  $h(J) = E$ , then from the above  $z \notin E$ , which is absurd.
- otherwise,  $h(J) = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ , and since  $h(\alpha) \in S_m$ , we have  $h(\alpha) < m < z$ . This contradicts the fact that  $z \in S_{h(\alpha)}$ .

Therefore  $z$  does not exist, and we conclude that (1) is true.

(1)  $\implies$  (i)

Suppose that (1) holds. For all  $x, y \in J$  such that  $x < y$ , we have  $x \in S_y$  and therefore  $h(x) \in h(S_y) = S_{h(y)}$ . From this we deduce that  $h(x) < h(y)$ , so  $h$  is increasing.

Suppose that  $h(J) \neq E$ , and let  $m$  be the smallest element of  $E - h(J)$ . If there exists  $z \in J$  such that  $h(z) > m$ , then  $m \in S_{h(z)} = h(S_z)$ , contradicting the existence of  $m$ . Therefore for all  $z \in J$ ,  $h(z) \in S_m$ , and for all  $y \in S_m$ , there exists  $x \in J$  such that  $h(x) = y$  by definition of  $m$ . From this we conclude that  $h(J) = S_m$ , so that (1)  $\implies$  (i).

(1)  $\implies$  (ii)

Let  $\alpha \in J$ , from (1) we have  $h(\alpha) \notin h(S_\alpha)$ . For all  $y \in E$ ,  $y < h(\alpha)$  implies  $y \in h(S_\alpha)$ , so  $h(\alpha)$  is the smallest element of  $E - h(S_\alpha)$ , and (1)  $\implies$  (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (1)

Suppose that condition (ii) holds, and let  $J_0$  be the subset of  $J$  such that for all  $\alpha \in J_0$ ,  $h(S_\alpha) = S_{h(\alpha)}$ . For all  $\beta \in J$  such that  $S_\beta \subset J_0$ :

- If  $\beta$  has an immediate predecessor  $u$ , then  $S_\beta = S_u \cup \{u\} \subset J_0$ , and  $u \in J_0$ . Furthermore,

$$h(S_\beta) = h(S_u \cup \{u\}) = h(S_u) \cup \{h(u)\} = S_{h(u)} \cup \{h(u)\}$$

Since  $h(\beta) \in E - h(S_\beta)$ , we do not have  $h(S_\beta) = E$ , and from lemma 1 we deduce that  $h(u)$  has an immediate successor  $s$  in

$E$ . Since  $h(\beta) = \min(E - h(S_\beta)) = \min\{x \in E \mid x > h(u)\}$ , we deduce that  $s = h(\beta)$ , and  $h(S_\beta) = S_{h(\beta)}$ , so that  $\beta \in J_0$ .

- If  $\beta$  does not have an immediate predecessor, then  $S_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha$ . Since  $S_\beta \in J_0$ , we have  $\alpha \in J_0$  for all  $\alpha < \beta$ , and

$$h(S_\beta) = h\left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} h(S_\alpha) = \mathcal{S}$$

From lemma 2, we deduce that  $\mathcal{S}$  is either  $E$  or a section of  $E$ . And since  $h(\beta) \in E - h(S_\beta)$  exists,  $\mathcal{S} = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ . The element  $m$  is the smallest upper bound for  $h(S_\beta)$  in  $E$ , and therefore  $m = \beta$ , and  $\beta \in J_0$ .

From the above, we deduce that  $J_0$  is an inductive subset of  $J$ , and therefore  $J_0 = J$ .

- (b) If  $E = \emptyset$ , then for all  $J$  section of  $E$  there is no function from  $J$  to  $E$ , so  $J$  cannot have the same order type as  $E$ .

Suppose therefore that  $E$  is nonempty and let  $J$  be a section of  $E$ . From item (a), we deduce that the recursive definition in (ii) gives a unique order-preserving function  $h : J \rightarrow E$  whose image is either  $E$  or a section of  $E$ .

Suppose that  $h(J) = S_m$  for some  $m \in E$ , then  $m \notin h(J)$  and therefore  $h$  is not bijective. Otherwise,  $h(J) = E$ , so that  $h$  is bijective. As a section of  $E$ ,  $J = S_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in E$ . Then there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $h(\beta) = \alpha$ . And since  $\beta \in S_\alpha$ , from (1) we deduce that  $h(\beta) \in h(S_\alpha) = S_{h(\alpha)}$ , which implies  $h(\beta) < h(\alpha)$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $h$  is not bijective.

From the above, we conclude that there is no order-preserving bijection from a section of  $E$  to  $E$ , so that every section of  $E$  has an order type different from that of  $E$ .

Let  $S_\alpha$  and  $S_\beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  be two distinct sections of  $E$ . Since  $E$  is well-ordered, the elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are comparable. Swapping the roles of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we can suppose that  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then  $S_\alpha \subset S_\beta$  and  $S_\alpha = \{x \in E \mid x < \alpha\} = \{x \in S_\beta \mid x < \alpha\}$ , and therefore  $S_\alpha$  is a section of  $S_\beta$ .

From item (a) we deduce that there is a unique order-preserving function  $h : S_\alpha \rightarrow S_\beta$ , defined as in (ii), and that for this function  $h(S_\alpha)$  is either  $S_\beta$  or a section of  $S_\beta$ . As a subset of the well-ordered set  $E$ ,  $S_\beta$  is well-ordered, and we can apply the previous reasoning on  $J$  and  $E$  to deduce that  $S_\alpha$  and  $S_\beta$  have different order types.  $\square$