

Exercise 10.

Theorem. *Let J and C be well-ordered sets; assume that there is no surjective function mapping a section of J onto C . Then there exists a unique function $h : J \rightarrow C$ satisfying the equation:*

$$h(x) = \text{smallest of } [C - h(S_x)] \quad (1)$$

for each $x \in J$, where S_x is the section of J by x .

Proof.

- (a) *If h and k map sections of J , or all of J , into C and satisfy (1) for all x in their respective domains, show that $h(x) = k(x)$ for all x in both domains.*
- (b) *If there exists a function $h : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1), show that there is a function $k : S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1).*
- (c) *If $K \subset J$ and for all $\alpha \in K$ there exists a function $h_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1), show that there exists a function*

$$k : \bigcup_{\alpha \in K} S_\alpha \rightarrow C$$

satisfying (1).

- (d) *Show by transfinite induction that for every $\beta \in J$, there exists a function $h_\beta : S_\beta \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1). [Hint: If β has an immediate predecessor α , then $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$. If not, S_β is the union of all S_α with $\alpha < \beta$.]*
- (e) *Prove the theorem.*

Proof.

If $C = \emptyset$, there is no function from J to C , so we assume that C is nonempty.

- (a) Let \mathcal{D}_h and \mathcal{D}_k be the respective domains of h and k , and suppose there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{D}_h \cap \mathcal{D}_k$ such that $h(x) \neq k(x)$. Since J is well-ordered, there exists a smallest such element m . For all $x < m$, we have $h(x) = k(x)$, so that $h(S_m) = k(S_m)$. Since there is no surjection of J onto C , the set $C - h(S_m)$ is nonempty, and, as a subset of the well-ordered set C , has a smallest element x_0 , which is also the smallest element of $C - k(S_m)$. From (1), we deduce that $h(m) = x_0 = k(m)$, which contradicts the existence of m .

Therefore, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_h \cap \mathcal{D}_k$ we have $h(x) = k(x)$.

- (b) Since there is no surjection from J onto C and $S_\alpha \subset J$, h is not surjective and therefore $C - h(S_\alpha)$ is a nonempty subset of the well-ordered set C . From this we deduce that the smallest element m of $C - h(S_\alpha)$ exists. Let

$$k : S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} \rightarrow C$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in S_\alpha \\ m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For all $x \in S_\alpha$, $h(x) = k(x)$, so $C - k(S_\alpha) = C - h(S_\alpha)$ and k satisfies (1) on S_α . Since $m = k(\alpha)$ is the smallest element of $C - k(S_\alpha)$, we conclude that k satisfies (1) on $S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$.

- (c) Let $R \subset \cup_{\alpha \in K} S_\alpha \times C$ consist of all the tuples $(x, h_\alpha(x))$ for $\alpha \in K$ and $x \in S_\alpha$. Then R is the rule of a function: let $x \in \cup_{\alpha \in K} S_\alpha$, there exists $\beta \in K$ such that $x \in S_\beta$, and for all $\gamma \in K$ such that $\beta < \gamma$, we deduce from point (a) that $h_\beta = h_\gamma$ on S_β . Therefore there is a unique element $(a, b) \in R$ such that $a = x$. Let

$$k : \bigcup_{\alpha \in K} S_\alpha \rightarrow C$$

$$x \mapsto h_\alpha(x) \quad \text{for } x \in S_\alpha$$

The function k satisfies (1): let $x \in \cup_{\alpha \in K} S_\alpha$, there exists $\alpha \in K$ such that $x \in S_\alpha$. The function h_α satisfies (1) on its domain S_α , so that

$$k(x) = h_\alpha(x) = \min(C - h_\alpha(S_x)) = \min(C - k(S_x))$$

The last equality comes from the fact that $x \in S_\alpha$ implies $x < \alpha$, so that for all $y \in S_x$ we have $k(y) = h_x(y) = h_\alpha(y)$.

- (d) Let J_0 be the subset of J such that for all $\beta \in J_0$, there exists a function $h_\beta : S_\beta \rightarrow C$ which satisfies (1). Suppose that $J - J_0$ is nonempty and let β be its smallest element.

If β has an immediate predecessor α , then $\alpha \in J_0$. There exists a function $h_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1), and from point (b), we deduce that there exists a function h_β from $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ to C satisfying (1), which is a contradiction with the definition of β .

Therefore β does not have an immediate predecessor. For all $x < \beta$, we have $S_x \subset J_0$, and since $S_\beta = \cup_{x < \beta} S_x$, we deduce from (c) that $\beta \in J_0$, again a contradiction.

Therefore β does not exist, and for all $\alpha \in J$, there exists $h_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ which satisfies (1).

- (e) Suppose that J has a largest element M , then $J = S_M \cup \{M\}$. From (d), we deduce the existence of a function $h_M : S_M \rightarrow C$ that satisfies (1). Then from (b), we deduce the existence of a function $h : J \rightarrow C$ which satisfies (1).

Suppose otherwise that J does not have a largest element. For all $\alpha \in J$, we deduce from (d) the existence of a function $h_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1). Let $x \in J$; since J does not have a largest element, there exists $y \in J$ such that $x < y$. From this we deduce that $J = \cup_{x \in J} S_x$.

Let R the subset of $\cup_{x \in J} S_x \times C$ consisting of all the tuples $(y, h_x(y))$ for $x \in J$ and $y \in S_x$, and let $\alpha \in J$. There exists $\beta \in J$ such that $\alpha < \beta$, so $\alpha \in S_\beta$; from (d) we deduce the existence of $h_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow C$ and $h_\beta : S_\beta \rightarrow C$ satisfying (1). Then from (a), we deduce that for all $x \in S_\alpha$, $h_\alpha(x) = h_\beta(x)$, so that there is only one tuple $(a, b) \in R$ such that $a = x$. Let $h : J \rightarrow C$ be the function with rule R . Then h satisfies (1): let $x \in J$, there exists $\alpha > x$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= h_\alpha(x) \\ &= \min(C - h_\alpha(S_x)) && \text{since } h_\alpha \text{ satisfies (1)} \\ &= \min(C - h(S_x)) && \text{since } h_\alpha = h \text{ on } S_x \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there are 2 functions h and k from J to C that satisfy (1), and suppose that there exists $x \in J$ such that $h(x) \neq k(x)$. Let $\alpha \in J$ be the smallest such element; we have $h(y) = k(y)$ for all $y \in S_x$. From (1) we deduce that $h(x) = k(x)$, which is a contradiction with the existence of x . Therefore such an x does not exist and h is unique.

□