**Exercise 11.** Show that an element in an ordered set has at most one immediate successor and at most one immediate predecessor. Show that a subset of an ordered set has at most one smallest element and at most one largest element.

*Proof.* Let A be an ordered set. If  $A = \emptyset$ , the results above are vacuously true, so we suppose A is not empty.

Let  $x \in A$  and suppose  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  are immediate successors of x. If  $b_0 \neq b_1$ , then either  $b_0 < b_1$  or  $b_1 < b_0$ . These cases are symmetric so we can assume for example that  $b_0 < b_1$ . Then  $b_0 \in \{y \in A \mid x < y < b_1\}$ , so that  $b_1$  cannot be the immediate successor of x. From the above we deduce that  $b_0 = b_1$ , so that the immediate successor is unique if it exists.

A similar proof shows that the immediate predecessor of an element is unique if it exists.

Let A be an ordered set,  $B \subset A$  and  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  two smallest elements of B in A. Then we have  $s_0 \in B$  and  $s_1 \in B$ . Since  $s_0$  (resp.  $s_1$ ) is the smallest element of B in A, we must have  $s_0 \leq s_1$  (resp.  $s_1 \leq s_0$ ). If  $s_0 < s_1$ , then we cannot have  $s_1 \leq s_0$ ; and similarly,  $s_1 < s_0$  is also impossible. By comparability of the order relation on A, if  $s_0 \neq s_1$  then one of  $s_0 < s_1$  or  $s_1 < s_0$  must be true, though. We deduce that we cannot have  $s_0 \neq s_1$  either, and therefore the smallest element of B must be unique.

A similar proof shows that the largest element of a subset of an ordered set is unique.