## Exercise 5. Show that Zorn's lemma implies the following:

**Lemma** (Kuratowski). Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a collection of sets. Suppose that for every subcollection  $\mathscr{B}$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  that is simply ordered by proper inclusion, the union of the elements of  $\mathscr{B}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{A}$ . Then  $\mathscr{A}$  has an element that is properly contained in no other element of  $\mathscr{A}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $\mathscr{B}$  subcollection of  $\mathscr{A}$  that is simply ordered by proper inclusion, the set  $B = \bigcup_{b \in \mathscr{B}} b$  is an upper bound of  $\mathscr{B}$  in  $\mathscr{A}$ . Zorn's lemma gives us the existence of a maximal element  $M \in \mathscr{A}$ . Since M is maximal, for all  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  such that  $A \neq M$ , we have  $A \subset M$ . Therefore M is not a proper subset of any other element of  $\mathscr{A}$ .