

A-Level Maths Supplementary Materials

Jeffrey Thompson

January 28, 2026

Abstract

These notes accompany my A-level mathematics tutoring and are designed to support students in developing clear, structured mathematical thinking. They are informed by common difficulties raised during tutoring sessions and focus on building confidence through worked examples and guided practice.

The worked solutions emphasise method selection and reasoning, rather than calculation alone. Students are encouraged to attempt each example before consulting the solutions, using the table of contents to navigate the document via internal hyperlinks.

I am a mathematics graduate with a Master of Advanced Study (Part III) in Pure Mathematics from the University of Cambridge, and I work professionally in data engineering and analytics. Alongside tutoring, I focus on explaining complex ideas clearly and accessibly.

If you spot any errors or have questions, feel free to get in touch:

- Website: <https://jaffulee.github.io/Jaffulee/>
- GitHub: <https://github.com/Jaffulee>
- LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/jeffrey-brian-thompson/>

Contents

1 Functions	3
1.1 Compositions and Inverses	3
1.1.1 Compositions	3
1.1.2 Compositions Examples and Exercises	3
1.1.3 Inverses	6
1.1.4 Inverses Examples and Exercises	6
1.1.5 Additional Exercises	9
1.2 Domain and Range	11
1.2.1 The Modulus Function	12
2 Components of Forces or Vectors	19
2.1 Additional Exercises	23
2.2 Friction and R	25
2.3 Moments	30

3 Differentiation	40
3.1 First Principles	40
3.2 Product rule	43
3.3 Implicit Differentiation	44
3.4 The Chain Rule	45
3.4.1 Generalising Standard Derivatives	49
3.5 Mixed Exercises	51
4 Integration	55
4.1 Definite vs Indefinite Integration	55
4.1.1 Additional Exercises	57
4.2 Integration by Parts	57
4.3 Integration by Substitution	61
4.3.1 Trigonometric Substitutions	67
4.3.2 Additional Exercises	77
4.4 Mixed Exercises	83
5 Probability and Statistics	101
5.1 Distributions	101
5.1.1 Normal Distribution	101
6 Trigonometry	104
6.1 Small angle approximations	104
7 Parametric Equations	107
8 Proofs	115
8.1 Proof by Contradiction	115
8.2 Proof by Deduction	115
8.3 Proof by Exhaustion	116
9 Numerical Methods	119
9.1 The Trapezium Rule	119
9.2 The Newton-Raphson Method	125

1 Functions

1.1 Compositions and Inverses

1.1.1 Compositions

Definition 1.1. If f and g are two functions, we can define a new function fg by

$$fg(x) = f(g(x))$$

Note that this relies on f being defined at the point $g(x)$. If f has a *singularity* at a point a (as in $f(a)$ divides by zero somewhere in the calculation) then if there is an x such that $g(x) = a$, $fg(x) = f(a)$, which would not be allowed.

We can think of this similar to bracket rules - you do the “innermost” one first, and work outwards. In the case of Definition 1.1 we compute $g(x)$ first, and then $f(x)$

This can keep going! If we have three functions f, g, h , then $fg(h(x)) = f(g(h(x)))$, and we evaluate the functions inside-out (h acts on x first, then g , then f).

1.1.2 Compositions Examples and Exercises

Example 1. Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{2x + 1}{5}$$

Find $ff(x)$, and write your answer in the form

$$ff(x) = \frac{ax + b}{25}$$

Where a, b are numbers.

Solution. First write:

$$f(x) = \frac{2x + 1}{5}$$

So

$$ff(x) = f(f(x)) = \frac{2f(x) + 1}{5}$$

Substituting $f(x)$ into this equation gives

$$ff(x) = \frac{2\frac{2x+1}{5} + 1}{5}$$

Here we have a fraction with fractions in it. Fractions are annoying, so we want to reduce the number of fractions. Notice that if we times the inner fraction by 5, it would remove the fraction. We can use the fact that any number times 1 is itself to multiply the fraction by 5/5:

$$\begin{aligned} ff(x) &= \frac{5}{5} \times \frac{\frac{2(2x+1)}{5} + 1}{5} && \text{(substitute in } f(x)\text{)} \\ &= \frac{2 \times 5 \times \frac{2x+1}{5} + 5}{5 \times 5} && \text{(expand top and bottom)} \\ &= \frac{4x + 2 + 5}{25} \\ &= \frac{4x + 7}{25} \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$\frac{4x+7}{25} = \frac{ax+b}{25}$$

Which finishes the question, finding $a = 4, b = 7$. \square

Example 2. Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{4x}$$

Where $x \neq 0$. This is required to not divide by 0.

Find $ff(x)$, and write your answer in the most simplified form of

$$ff(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$$

Where a, b, c, d are numbers. Make sure to state where $ff(x)$ is defined (what can x not be).

Solution. First write (whenever $x \neq 0$):

$$f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{4x}$$

So

$$ff(x) = f(f(x)) = \frac{2\cancel{f(x)} + 1}{4\cancel{f(x)}}$$

Substituting $f(x)$ into this equation gives

$$ff(x) = \frac{2\frac{2x+1}{4x} + 1}{4\frac{2x+1}{4x}}$$

Here we have a fraction with fractions in it. Fractions are annoying, so we want to reduce the number of fractions. Notice that if we times the inner fractions by $4x$, it would remove the fraction. We can use the fact that any number times 1 is itself to multiply the fraction by $4x/4x$, which is allowed because $x \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} ff(x) &= \frac{4x}{4x} \times \frac{\frac{2\frac{2x+1}{4x} + 1}{4\frac{2x+1}{4x}}}{\cancel{4x}} && \text{(substitute in } f(x)\text{)} \\ &= \frac{2 \times \cancel{4x} \times \frac{2x+1}{4x} + 4x}{4 \times \cancel{4x} \times \cancel{4x}} && \text{(expand top and bottom)} \\ &= \frac{4x + 2 + 4x}{8x + 4} \\ &= \frac{8x + 2}{8x + 4} \\ &= \frac{2}{2} \times \frac{4x + 1}{4x + 2} && \text{(factorise top and bottom)} \\ &= \frac{4x + 1}{4x + 2} \end{aligned}$$

Since we cannot simplify the equation any further, we now set

$$\frac{4x+1}{4x+2} = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$$

Which finds $a = c = 4, b = 1, d = 2$ by comparison. $ff(x)$ cannot divide by zero, so we know $4x+2 \neq 0$, and get that therefore $x \neq -\frac{1}{2}$. But remember f itself requires $x \neq 0$, so x cannot be 0 or $-\frac{1}{2}$. Which finishes the question. \square

Example 3. Let f and g be functions defined by

$$f(x) = \sin(x) + \cos(3x)$$

$$g(x) = \frac{x+1}{3}$$

Find $fg(x)$, simplifying your answer.

Solution. First write:

$$f(x) = \sin(x) + \cos(3x)$$

$$g(x) = \frac{x+1}{3}$$

So

$$fg(x) = f(g(x)) = \sin(\textcolor{red}{g(x)}) + \cos(3\textcolor{red}{g(x)})$$

Substituting $g(x)$ into this equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} fg(x) &= \sin\left(\frac{x+1}{3}\right) + \cos\left(3 \times \frac{x+1}{3}\right) \\ &= \sin\left(\frac{x+1}{3}\right) + \cos(x+1) \end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question. \square

Exercise 1. Try it yourself!

Let f , g , h , be functions defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

$$g(x) = \sin(x) - \cos(x)$$

$$h(x) = \cos(x) + \sin(x)$$

Show that

$$fg(x) + fh(x) = 1$$

Hint: you may use (or recall) without proof that $\sin(x)^2 + \cos(x)^2 = 1$.

Solution. We will approach this question by calculating fg and fh separately, and then plugging them in. First write:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

$$g(x) = \sin(x) - \cos(x)$$

$$h(x) = \cos(x) + \sin(x)$$

So

$$fg(x) = f(g(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\textcolor{red}{g(x)}^2$$

Substituting $g(x)$ into this equation gives

$$fg(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sin(x) - \cos(x))^2$$

Similarly,

$$fh(x) = f(h(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\textcolor{red}{h(x)}^2$$

Substituting $h(x)$ into this equation gives

$$fh(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(x) + \sin(x))^2$$

Then we can calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 fg(x) + fh(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(\sin(x) - \cos(x))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\cos(x) + \sin(x))^2 && \text{(plugging in the equations)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}((\sin(x) - \cos(x))^2 + (\cos(x) + \sin(x))^2) && \text{(factoring)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\sin(x)^2 - \underline{2\sin(x)\cos(x)} + \cos(x)^2 + \cos(x)^2 + \underline{2\sin(x)\cos(x)} + \sin(x)^2) && \text{(expanding)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \times 2(\sin(x)^2 + \cos(x)^2) && \text{(factoring)} \\
 &= \sin(x)^2 + \cos(x)^2 \\
 &= 1 && \text{(trig rules (the hint))}
 \end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question. \square

1.1.3 Inverses

Definition 1.2. If f is a function, we define the inverse of f , denoted f^{-1} by the following equation:

$$ff^{-1}(x) = x$$

Or equivalently,

$$f^{-1}f(x) = x$$

The most common way to solve for f^{-1} is by:

1. Write $y = f(x)$
2. Rearrange so that we have x in terms of y
3. Swap x and y to find $f^{-1}(x)$

You can show that this satisfies the definition of the inverse of f . Intuitively, Step 2 above finds “what do you need to do to y to find x ”? This is because we are writing x as a bunch of operations applied to y , but y was chosen to be $f(x)$ in step 1, therefore it must “undo” the operations we did in Step 1. This argument can be made rigorous.

Here we have used the notation for function composition in Definition 1.1.

1.1.4 Inverses Examples and Exercises

Example 4. Example 1 continued.

Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{5}$$

Find $f^{-1}(x)$, and write your answer in the form

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{ax+b}{2}$$

Where a, b are numbers.

Solution. First write

$$y = \frac{2x + 1}{5}$$

Then rearrange for x :

$$\begin{aligned} 5y &= 2x + 1 && \text{(multiply by 5)} \\ 5y - 1 &= 2x && \text{(minus 1)} \\ x &= \frac{5y - 1}{2} && \text{(divide by 2)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{5x - 1}{2}$$

And setting it equal to $\frac{ax+b}{2}$, we find that $a = 5$ and $b = -1$ by comparison. \square

Example 5. Example 4 continued.

Let f and g be functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{2x + 1}{5} \\ g(x) &= \frac{5x - 1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

By computing $fg(x)$, verify that g is the inverse function of f , verifying the calculation in the previous question.

Solution. First write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{2x + 1}{5} \\ g(x) &= \frac{5x - 1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Then calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x)) &= \frac{2g(x) + 1}{5} \\ &= \frac{\cancel{2}\cancel{5}x - \cancel{2} + 1}{\cancel{5}} \\ &= \frac{5x - 1 + 1}{5} \\ &= \frac{\cancel{5}x}{\cancel{5}} \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $fg(x) = x$, which verifies g is the inverse function of f . \square

Example 6. Example 2 continued.

Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{2x + 1}{4x}$$

Where $x \neq 0$. This is required to not divide by 0.

Find $f^{-1}(x)$, and write your answer in the form of

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{ax + b}$$

Where a, b are numbers. Make sure to state where $f^{-1}(x)$ is defined (what can x not be).

Solution. Let $x \neq 0$. First write

$$y = \frac{2x+1}{4x}$$

Then rearrange for x :

$$\begin{aligned} 4xy &= 2x + 1 && \text{(multiply by } 4x) \\ 4xy - 2x &= 1 && \text{(minus } 2x \text{ to get } x \text{ on one side)} \\ x(4y - 2) &= 1 && \text{(factorise } x) \\ x &= \frac{1}{4y - 2} && \text{(divide by } 4y - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{4x - 2}$$

And setting it equal to $\frac{1}{ax+b}$, we find that $a = 4$ and $b = -2$ by comparison. This function is valid (not dividing by zero) whenever $4x - 2 \neq 0$, therefore it is valid whenever $x \neq \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Exercise 2. Example 6 continued. *Try it yourself!*

Let f and g be functions defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{4x}, \quad x \neq 0$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{4x-2}, \quad x \neq \frac{1}{2}$$

By computing $fg(x)$, verify that g is the inverse function of f , verifying the calculation in the previous question.

Solution. First write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{2x+1}{4x} \\ g(x) &= \frac{1}{4x-2} = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2x-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then set $x \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x)) &= \frac{2g(x)+1}{4g(x)} \\ &= \frac{2 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2x-1} + 1}{4 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2x-1}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2x-1} + 1}{2 \times \frac{1}{2x-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we use my favourite trick of getting-rid-of-the-annoying-fractions-by-multiplying-by-the-inner-denominator, which is allowed because $x \neq \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x)) &= \frac{2x-1}{2x-1} \times \frac{\frac{1}{2x-1} + 1}{2 \times \frac{1}{2x-1}} && \text{(multiply by 1)} \\ &= \frac{(2x-1) \times \frac{1}{2x-1} + 2x-1}{2 \times (2x-1) \times \frac{1}{2x-1}} && \text{(simplify fractions)} \\ &= \frac{1+2x-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{2x}{2} \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $fg(x) = x$, which verifies g is the inverse function of f . \square

1.1.5 Additional Exercises

Exercise 3. The function f is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{4x+3}{x-2}, \quad x \neq 2$$

1. Find f^{-1}

2. Show that

$$ff(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$$

where a, b, c, d are integers to be found.

The point $P(3, 15)$ lies on the curve with equation $y = f(x)$.

3. Find the point to which P is mapped when $y = f(x)$ is transformed to the curve with equation $y = 2f(3x) + 8$.

4. (Bonus) Verify f^{-1} in the first part satisfies $ff^{-1}(x) = x$.

Solution. 1. Find f^{-1}

First write $y = f(x)$, where $x \neq 2$ i.e.

$$y = \frac{4x+3}{x-2}$$

Now rearrange for x , getting rid of any fractions.

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{4x+3}{x-2} \\ y(x-2) &= 4x+3 && \text{(multiply by } (x-2)) \\ xy - 2y &= 4x + 3 && \text{(expand)} \\ xy - 4x &= 2y + 3 && \text{(collect } x \text{ terms to one side)} \\ x(y-4) &= 2y + 3 && \text{(factorise LHS)} \\ x &= \frac{2y+3}{y-4} && \text{(assuming } y \neq 4) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, whenever $x \neq 4$,

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{2x+3}{x-4}$$

2. Show

$$ff(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$$

Then calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 ff(x) &= f(f(x)) \\
 &= \frac{4f(x) + 3}{f(x) - 2} && \text{(insert } f(x) \text{ wherever } x \text{ is)} \\
 &= \frac{4 \times \frac{4x+3}{x-2} + 3}{\frac{4x+3}{x-2} - 2} && \text{(substitute } f(x)) \\
 &= \frac{x-2}{x-2} \times \frac{4 \times \frac{4x+3}{x-2} + 3}{\frac{4x+3}{x-2} - 2} && \text{(multiply by 1 to remove the } x-2 \text{ denominators)} \\
 &= \frac{(x-2)(4 \times \frac{4x+3}{x-2} + 3)}{(x-2)(\frac{4x+3}{x-2} - 2)} && \text{(multiply fractions)} \\
 &= \frac{4(4x+3) + 3(x-2)}{4x+3-2(x-2)} && \text{(expand multiplication)} \\
 &= \frac{16x+12+3x-6}{4x+3-2x+4} && \text{(expand multiplication)} \\
 &= \frac{19x+6}{2x+7} && \text{(collect like terms)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This is valid whenever $2x+7 \neq 0$, which is when $x \neq -\frac{7}{2}$

Hence we have found

$$ff(x) = \frac{19x+6}{2x+7} \quad x \neq 2, x \neq -\frac{7}{2}$$

3. We will reword the question slightly. Define g by

$$g(x) = 2f(3x) + 8$$

Find $g(3)$.

First calculate $f(3x)$.

$$f(3x) = \frac{4 \times 3x + 3}{3x - 2} = \frac{12x + 3}{3x - 2}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= 2f(3x) + 8 \\
 &= 2 \frac{12x + 3}{3x - 2} + 8 && \text{(substitute } f(3x)) \\
 &= \frac{24x + 6}{3x - 2} + 8 && \text{(multiply fractions, noting } 2 = \frac{2}{1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can substitute 3 into the above equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(3) &= \frac{24 \times 3 + 6}{3 \times 3 - 2} + 8 \\
 &= \frac{78}{7} + 8 \\
 &= \frac{78 + 56}{7} \\
 &= \frac{134}{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the point P is mapped to $(3, g(3))$ which is the point $(3, \frac{134}{7})$.

4. Show $ff^{-1}(x) = x$. This is an exercise in function composition, and we will follow the same style of approach as in e.g. Example 3.

First write

$$ff^{-1}(x) = f(f^{-1}(x))$$

Remember that

$$f(x) = \frac{4x+3}{x-2}$$

And that we found

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{2x+3}{x-4}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(f^{-1}(x)) &= \frac{4f^{-1}(x)+3}{f^{-1}(x)-2} \\ &= \frac{4\frac{2x+3}{x-4}+3}{\frac{2x+3}{x-4}-2} \\ &= \frac{x-4}{x-4} \times \frac{4 \times \frac{2x+3}{x-4} + 3}{\frac{2x+3}{x-4} - 2} \quad (\text{multiply by 1 to remove nested fractions}) \\ &= \frac{(x-4)\left(4 \times \frac{2x+3}{x-4} + 3\right)}{(x-4)\left(\frac{2x+3}{x-4} - 2\right)} \quad (\text{multiply fractions}) \\ &= \frac{4(3+2x)+3(x-4)}{3+2x-2(x-4)} \quad (\text{expand}) \\ &= \frac{12+8x+3x-12}{3+2x-2x+8} \quad (\text{expand more}) \\ &= \frac{11x}{11} \quad (\text{collect terms}) \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

As required, therefore $ff^{-1}(x) = x$.

□

1.2 Domain and Range

My school teacher said that he remembered which is range and what is domain by thinking of a lion's domain, and somehow he linked this to the inputs for a function. I never understood quite what he meant, but it stuck with me.

The domain of a function is the set of all input values for a function, and the range is the set of all possible outputs of that function, over the domain.

For example, consider the following function.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$$

This function defined everywhere except for $x = 0$, where we would be dividing by 0. Therefore its (maximal) domain is every number except for 0. On this domain, we can see that it is always positive since the denominator is always positive, and it gets closer to 0 as x gets larger (or more negative). We can also observe that as x gets close to 0, the function outputs larger and larger numbers. Because of this, you might realise¹ that it can reach every number more than 0. Therefore, we have found the domain and range of $f(x)$.

The domain is $x < 0$ or $x > 0$, and the range is $0 < f(x)$.

¹You can prove this by setting $f(x) = a$ where $a > 0$, and showing you can solve for x .

Example 7. Consider $f(x) = 2x$, for $-2 \leq x < 1$. Find the range for this function, making sure to only allow the x range in the question.

Solution. We know that this function is a straight line that is increasing, so therefore the range has to be between whatever the leftmost point and the rightmost point is. The place to be careful is that we are told that $-2 \leq x < 1$, therefore it does not quite reach the rightmost point.

We can find the range therefore by plugging in:

$$\begin{aligned}f(-2) &= 2 \times (-2) = -4 \\f(1) &= 2 \times (1) = 2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when $-2 \leq x < 1$, we have that $-4 \leq f(x) < 2$ is the range. This completes the question. \square

1.2.1 The Modulus Function

The modulus function is defined in two cases:

1. If $x \geq 0$, then $|x| = x$
2. If $x < 0$, then $|x| = -x$

This has the effect of “removing-the-minus-sign” from the number.

Example 8.

$$\begin{aligned}|3| &= 3 \\|-3| &= 3 \\|-20| &= 20 \\|0| &= 0 \\|5| &= 5\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in how this can interact with a function $f(x)$, seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1: An example function

What would $|f(x)|$ look like? Since we know that the modulus function makes negative numbers positive, we care about when $f(x)$ is negative or positive.

Since $f(x)$ is on the y axis, we know whether it is negative or positive based on whether it is below or above the x axis. To plot the modulus of the function, observe that this is the same as reflecting all parts below the x axis to be above it, and leaving the parts above the x axis the same.




Figure 2: An example function, with the modulus of the function in red.

Now what might $f(|x|)$ look like instead? Since we know that the modulus function makes negative numbers positive, we care about when x is negative or positive.

Since x is on the x axis (of course it is!), we know whether it is negative or positive based on

whether it is to the left or the right of the y axis. When it is on the right of the y axis, x is positive and therefore $f(|x|)$ is unchanged. When it is on the left of the x axis, the x point that we plug into $f(x)$ is shifted to be the positive x point. This means that the y position of $f(|x|)$ is given by whatever the y position is by going an equal amount to the right of the y axis than you are on the left of it. This is the same as simply reflecting the right side of the y axis to the left side. This is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: An example function, with the function using the modulus of the input in blue.

Now what about a combination of the above? What would $-|f(|x|)|$ look like? We can split this up into steps, as in when inputting an x , what steps happen to x ? This works inside-out in order:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &\mapsto |x| && \text{(taking the modulus)} \\
 &\mapsto f(|x|) && \text{(applying } f\text{)} \\
 &\mapsto |f(|x|)| && \text{(taking the modulus)} \\
 &\mapsto -|f(|x|)| && \text{(taking the minus sign)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can sketch each one in order, since each step individually we have done above. For example, we can start with $f(|x|)$, which we sketched in Figure 3. From here, the next step is to take the modulus. From our discussion leading up to Figure 2, we know that this amounts to reflecting everything below the y axis to above the y axis. We will do the same thing, but to $f(|x|)$. This is sketched in Figure 4.




Figure 4: An example function, with the function using the modulus of the input, with the modulus applied in red

And for the last step, we can take the minus sign of the red line sketched for $|f(|x|)|$. This reflects every number about the x axis. This gives us Figure 5.




Figure 5: An example function, with the function using the modulus of the input, with the modulus applied, with a negative sign in red

The final result would have been hard to spot directly from Figure 1 without breaking it down step-by-step.

We are often interested in how the range of a function is affected by the modulus.

Example 9. Given that $f(x)$ has range $-16 < f(x) \leq 5$ and reaches every point inbetween, what

range does $|f(x)|$ have?

Solution. Since the range means that the y values are between -16 and 5 (but not equal to -16 in this case), and we know the modulus turns negative values into positive values, we therefore know that -16 will transform into 16 . Since this is more than 5 , this is the new top-end of the range. For the lower end, we are told that f reaches every point inbetween. Therefore the lowest point the modulus can reach is 0 .

This gives us the range $0 \leq |f(x)| < 16$, since the original function did not quite reach -16 , the modulus can't reach 16 either. This completes the question. \square

The key point here is that the modulus does not “remove” values; it makes them positive. One way to think about the previous question is that -16 is “further negative” than 5 is positive, the new upper end of the range must go to the one that is further out, in this case $| -16 |$.

Example 10. Let $f(x) = 2x - 1$, for $-2 \leq x \leq 1$.

1. What is the range of $f(x)$?
2. What is the range of $|f(x)|$?
3. What is the range of $f(|x|)$ if we are given that f is not defined for inputs not between -2 and -1 ? What is the range if we allowed $-2 \leq x \leq 1$ for $f(|x|) = 2|x| - 1$?²

Solution. 1. We know that this function is a straight line that is increasing, so therefore the range has to be between whatever the leftmost point and the rightmost point is. The place to be careful is that we are told that $-2 \leq x \leq 1$.

We can find the range therefore by plugging in:

$$\begin{aligned} f(-2) &= 2 \times (-2) - 1 = -4 - 1 = -5 \\ f(1) &= 2 \times (1) - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when $-2 \leq x \leq 1$, we have that $-5 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ is the range. This completes the first part of the question.

2. For this part, it is useful to sketch the graph, Figure 6

²The difference here is whether we impose that f itself is only defined on inputs between -2 and 1 , or if we consider $f(|x|)$ on all inputs between -2 and 1 , which actually implies that we are allowed to use f between the points 0 and 2 , since we are taking the modulus first before plugging into f . This is an important clarification since 2 is outside our original allowed range of x values.




Figure 6: (Sketch) The function $2x - 1$, with the modulus of the function in red, for $-2 \leq x \leq 1$.

From here we can see that the new minimum of the function is 0, and the new maximum is the old minimum, which in this case is $|-5| = 5$.

This gives us the range $0 \leq |f(x)| \leq 5$, which completes this part of the question.

3. For this part, it is again useful to sketch the graph, Figure 7




Figure 7: (Sketch) The function $2x - 1$, with the function using the modulus of the input in red, for $-2 \leq x \leq 1$.

Notice that this the function cuts off on the left side. This is because we are only allowed to use $f(x)$ when x is between -2 and 1 from the question. But if x is less than -1 , then $|x|$ is more than 1 , which is not allowed to be input into the function.

From here we can see that the new minimum of the function is where the old function

intersected the y axis, at $x = 0$, and the new maximum is the maximum of the right side of $f(x)$, which in this case is at $x = 1$ or $x = -1$.

At $x = 0$,

$$f(|0|) = 2 \times 0 - 1 = -1$$

This gives the minimum as -1 . at $x = 1$,

$$f(|1|) = 2 \times 1 - 1 = 1$$

This gives us the range $-1 \leq |f(x)| \leq 1$, which completes this part of the question.

If we allow $-2 \leq x \leq 1$ as in the second half of this question, the red line would extend backwards to $x = -2$, where $f(|x|) = 2 \times |-2| - 1 = 3$, and therefore the range in this case is $-1 \leq |f(x)| \leq 3$.

This completes the full question.

□

2 Components of Forces or Vectors

It is useful to revisit what sin and cos are.

Let's draw a circle with radius r on an x, y axis, and label a point $\vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ on the circle. Note that the equation for a circle of radius r is $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$.




Figure 8: A labelled circle

Then $a = r \cos \theta$ and $b = r \sin \theta$ – you might remember this from GCSE via SOH-CAH-TOA. If we interpret r as the magnitude of a force pointed in the direction of \vec{a} , we can interpret this setup as “ a is the component of the force in the x direction, and b is the component of the force in the y direction.”

We always consider our force as the hypotenuse of the triangle when using these trigonometry rules. From Pythagoras, we get that $r^2 = a^2 + b^2$, which is the same as our circle equation!

And because we can write a and b in terms of the magnitude r and the angle θ , we can write:

$$\vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta \\ r \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Which explains what we mean when we talk about components – given the angle and magnitude of a force from an axis, we can figure out how much of that force is in the direction of each axis.

In other words, if you are a 2-dimensional person standing at the origin $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and a force of magnitude r is pushing you in the direction of \vec{a} (i.e. at an angle of θ from the x -axis), we can write that the amount the force is pushing you to the right (the x -direction) is $r \cos \theta$ and the amount the force is pushing you up (the y -direction) is $r \sin \theta$.




Figure 9: A labelled circle interpreted as forces

Now what happens when we have a physics problem which involve inclines? Well, depending on which direction we care about, we can turn our head and think about it like the circles above!




Figure 10: A block on an incline

In this type of question where a force (e.g. friction F_r) is pointing up or down the slope, and another force (e.g. due to gravity) is pointing in another direction, we often care about the direction of the plane that the block is on. We can “turn our head” like this:




Figure 11: A block on an incline, with your head turned

Then to find, for example, the amount of force in the direction of going down the inclined plane, we apply our knowledge of sin or cos to this problem! Remembering to **make the force itself the hypotenuse**, we can draw our triangle:




Figure 12: A block on an incline, with a triangle

The force in the direction of going down the slope would therefore be:

$$F = \cancel{mg \cos \theta} - Fr$$

We took away Fr because it is going in the opposite direction to down the slope.

But here we conveniently have θ ! If we were only given ϕ , the angle of the incline, we need to do some geometry.

Exercise 4. Show using geometry that, in the slope problem above, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi$ in radians (90 degrees minus ϕ). Hint: look at the diagram below. Why have I labelled the purple ϕ there?




Figure 13: A block on an incline, with a triangle, with your head turned

Now using the above and sin and cos rules, we know that:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\theta) &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right) \\ &= \cos\left(\phi - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= \sin(\phi)\end{aligned}$$

This gives us an alternative formula (actually, three alternative formulae), which is secretly the same formula for the amount of force pointing down the slope in terms of the incline of the slope ϕ :

$$F = mg \sin(\phi) - Fr$$

But despite using sin here, we got to this point by using all of our component ideas by drawing the triangle!

The point is: figure out the direction you care about draw the triangle you want, and then use sin or cos depending on where your force is pointing, and the direction of the force is always considered the hypotenuse when using trig rules.

2.1 Additional Exercises




Figure 14: A block on an incline, pulled by a rope

Exercise 5. A small box of mass 3 kg moves on a rough plane which is inclined at an angle of 20° to the horizontal. The box is pulled up a line of greatest slope of the plane using a rope which is attached to the box. The rope makes an angle of 30° with the plane, as shown in figure 14. The rope lies in the vertical plane which contains a line of greatest slope of the plane. The coefficient of friction between the box and the plane is 0.2. The tension in the rope is 25 N.

The box is modelled as a particle, the rope is modelled as a light inextensible string and air resistance is ignored.

Using the model, find the acceleration of the box.

Solution. First write the relevant equations: $F = ma$, $F_{max} = \mu R$. Then draw a diagram with the forces labelled, and with the triangles drawn using geometric rules as in Figure 15.




Figure 15: A block on an incline, pulled by a rope, annotated

There are four forces:

1. Friction F_{max} , pointing down the slope.
2. Gravity, pointing downwards.
3. Tension T , pointing in the direction of the rope being pulled.
4. The resultant normal force R from the plane the block is on, causing it to not sink into the plane.

To find the acceleration up the slope, we need the total force going up the slope.

For this, we find the components of each of the forces using the labelled diagram and the appropriate use of sin and cos, e.g. via SOH-CAH-TOA.

Reminder: the original force direction is always considered the hypotenuse.

To find R , we need all forces that act in the direction of perpendicular to the slope. We can then find R by writing down the necessary equation that all the forces in that direction must sum to zero – the block is in equilibrium in the direction of the normal to the plane. We have some component of tension T from the rope pointing in that direction, gravity is pointing down, away from R , giving gravity a negative sign.

$$R + T(\nwarrow) - F_{gravity}(\searrow) = 0$$

And therefore

$$R = F_{gravity}(\searrow) - T(\nwarrow)$$

Let's first find the component of the resultant force of gravity pointing in the direction perpendicular to the slope:

$$F_{gravity}(\searrow) = mg \sin(70^\circ)$$

Now find the component of tension pointing in the direction perpendicular to the slope

$$T(\nwarrow) = 25 \cos(60^\circ)$$

Then

$$R = mg \sin(70^\circ) - 25 \cos(60^\circ)$$

So

$$F_{max} = 0.2R = 0.2(mg \sin(70^\circ) - 25 \cos(60^\circ))$$

Now we have found the friction force, we need to also find the remaining two forces which contribute in the direction of the slope, gravity and tension.

For gravity, we can find it's component going up the slope via

$$F_{gravity}(\swarrow) = mg \cos(70^\circ)$$

Note this is pointing down the slope because the arrow is closer to being down the slope (acute angle) than going up the slope (obtuse angle).

For tension, we can again find it's component going up the slope via

$$T(\nearrow) = 25 \cos(30^\circ)$$

Then, combining it all together, we can find the total force up the slope is:

$$\begin{array}{lll} F = T(\nearrow) & -F_{gravity}(\swarrow) & -F_{max} \\ = 25 \cos(30^\circ) & -mg \cos(70^\circ) & -0.2(mg \sin(70^\circ) - 25 \cos(60^\circ)) \end{array}$$

We take away forces going in the opposite direction because they act against our “positive” direction, up the slope.

Then we can compute acceleration by rearranging $F = ma$ to $a = F/m$, which gives us that the acceleration of the block up the slope is

$$a = \frac{25 \cos(30^\circ) - mg \cos(70^\circ) - 0.2(mg \sin(70^\circ) - 25 \cos(60^\circ))}{m} \text{ ms}^{-2}$$

If you are confused about the correct signs of each of them, look at the force diagram again. We want to take the tension direction up the slope as positive, and the gravity and friction directions to work against the rope down the slope as negative. \square

2.2 Friction and R

Friction is found via the formula $F_{max} = \mu R$ where F_{max} is the magnitude of force friction applies opposing the movement of the object (the direction it is acting in the most), μ is the coefficient of friction, and R is the normal reaction force due to the block being in equilibrium in the direction normal to the plane, i.e. the force pointing perpendicular to the surface the block is on which is preventing the block from sinking into the ground. For example, see Figure 15.

You can reason your way to R needing to exist by considering the following. When any object is in equilibrium in a direction (not moving or in constant speed), the forces applied to it in that direction must overall become zero. If you had a block on a horizontally flat plane with gravity being the only force on the block, we would have the force downwards not be balanced by upward force, resulting in it accelerating downwards due to $F = ma$. Explicitly, $a = F/m$, and because in this thought experiment we have only one force (gravity) acting downwards and a non-zero mass, a must be a positive number. Therefore, if our block is not falling through the plane, there *must* be an additional force being applied to it so that $F = 0$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane.

In fact, most physics problems can be reduced to just looking at the equation $F = ma$ in a smart way, using that the forces in certain directions must be 0, etc.

To find R , we calculate the magnitude of force in that direction the same way we always calculate forces in a direction: we find each force that is acting on the object, and find the component of each force in the same direction of R via trigonometry, where we are considering the direction of R to be our positive direction. We then use the fact that the block is not falling through the plane to know that $F = 0$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane. Then we can rearrange the resulting equation for R .

Note that this construction will always result in R being equal the components of all the forces pointed in its opposite direction.

Note also that most of the time we compute R to calculate F_{max} . If a question does not include friction, we often do not need to compute R .

In summary, R is the additional force which acts on the block to prevent it from sinking into the plane it is on, and can be calculated because therefore $F = 0$ in the perpendicular direction to the plane.

A keen-eyed reader would notice this is mostly an application of Newton’s Third Law.

Let’s see some examples for calculating R , independently from the context of friction.

Example 11. A 5kg block is on a 20° degree inclined plane, and an angry man does not want the block to slide onto his property. To counteract this, he blows on the block with a force of 10N at an angle of 30° to the inclined plane, above the inclined plane, in an attempt to blow the block away.

What is the magnitude of R , the normal reaction force to the inclined plane?




Figure 16: A block on an incline, being blown away by an angry man

Solution. First draw a diagram with the forces labelled, and with the triangles drawn using geometric rules, Figure 17.




Figure 17: A block on an incline, being blown away by an angry man, annotated

There are three forces:

1. The man blowing, pointing in the direction of 30° to the slope. We will call this force B .
2. Gravity, pointing downwards.
3. The normal reaction force R of the plane on the block, preventing the block from falling through the plane.

4. (Ignored) Friction, which we are ignoring in our calculation of R , and it was not mentioned in this question anyway!

To find R , we need to find the component of each force going in the direction of R , and then use the fact that $F = 0$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane since the block is not falling through the plane. We are taking the direction of R to be our positive direction. This means that since the man blowing and gravity are both more away from R than toward it, we are going to take care to include negative signs.

$$R - F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) - B(\swarrow) = 0$$

Therefore

$$R = F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) + B(\swarrow)$$

Using our labelled force diagram, we can calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) &= 5g \cos 20^\circ \\ B(\swarrow) &= 10 \sin 30^\circ \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $R = 5g \cos 20^\circ + 10 \sin 30^\circ$. □

Example 12. A 5kg block is on a 20° degree inclined plane, and an angrier mole-man does not want the block near his property. To counteract this, he blows on the block with a force of 10N at an angle of 30° to the inclined plane, below the inclined plane (he is a mole-man that can blow through the ground), in an attempt to blow the block away.

What is the magnitude of R , the normal reaction force to the inclined plane?




Figure 18: A block on an incline, being blown away by an angry mole-man

Solution. First draw a diagram with the forces labelled, and with the triangles drawn using geometric rules, Figure 19.




Figure 19: A block on an incline, being blown away by an angry mole-man, annotated

There are three forces:

1. The mole-man blowing, pointing in the direction of 30° to the slope from below. We will call this force B .
2. Gravity, pointing downwards.
3. The normal reaction force R of the plane on the block, preventing the block from falling through the plane.
4. (Ignored) Friction, which we are ignoring in our calculation of R , and it was not mentioned in this question anyway!

To find R , we need to find the component of each force going in the direction of R , and then use the fact that $F = 0$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane since the block is not falling through the plane. We are taking the direction of R to be our positive direction. This means that since gravity is both more away from R than towards it, and since the mole-man blowing is more in the direction of R than away from it, we are going to take care to include negative signs where appropriate.

$$R - F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) + B(\nearrow) = 0$$

Therefore

$$R = F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) - B(\nearrow)$$

Using our labelled force diagram, we can calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{gravity}}(\swarrow) &= 5g \cos 20^\circ \\ B(\nearrow) &= 10 \sin 30^\circ \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $R = 5g \cos 20^\circ - 10 \sin 30^\circ$. \square

Example 13. A 10kg block is on a rough flat plane, and a force P is applied to the block at an angle of 45° to the plane. The coefficient of friction is 0.1. Given that the block is accelerating along the plane at a rate of 0.3ms^{-2} and moving in the direction of acceleration, What is P ?

Solution. First draw a diagram with the forces labelled, and with the triangles drawn using geometric rules, Figure 20.




Figure 20: A block on a plane, with an unknown force

Write the relevant equations $F = ma$ and $F_{max} = \mu R$.

There are four forces:

1. The unknown force P , at an angle of 45° to the horizontal.
2. Gravity, pointing downwards.
3. The normal reaction force R of the plane on the block, preventing the block from falling through the plane.
4. Friction, pointing away from the direction of movement.

We will calculate the force F in the direction of the block moving (to the right in our diagram).

$$F = P(\rightarrow) - F_{max}$$

To find R , we need to find the component of each force going in the direction of R , and then use the fact that $F = 0$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane since the block is not falling through the plane. We are taking the direction of R to be our positive direction. This means that since gravity is both more away from R than towards it, and since P is more in the direction of R than away from it, we are going to take care to include negative signs where appropriate.

$$R - F_{gravity} + P(\uparrow) = 0$$

Therefore

$$R = F_{\text{gravity}} - P(\uparrow)$$

Using our labelled force diagram, we can calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{gravity}} &= 10g \\ P(\uparrow) &= P \sin 45^\circ \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, because gravity is pointing more away from R than towards it, and we have chosen R to be our positive direction,

$$R = 10g - P \sin 45^\circ$$

Hence, using $F_{\text{max}} = \mu R$, we have found that

$$F_{\text{max}} = 0.1(10g - P \sin 45^\circ)$$

Then, use the fact that

$$P(\rightarrow) = P \cos 45^\circ$$

Which lets us write

$$F = P \cos 45^\circ - 0.1(10g - P \sin 45^\circ)$$

Using that $a = 0.3$, and that $a = F/m$, we have that

$$0.3 = \frac{P \cos 45^\circ - 0.1(10g - P \sin 45^\circ)}{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0.3 &= -\frac{1}{10}g + \frac{P}{10} \cos 45^\circ + \frac{P}{100} \sin 45^\circ && \text{(expanding)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{10}g + P\left(\frac{1}{10} \cos 45^\circ + \frac{1}{100} \sin 45^\circ\right) && \text{(collecting } P \text{ terms)} \end{aligned}$$

We can rearrange for P

$$\begin{aligned} 0.3 + \frac{1}{10}g &= P\left(\frac{1}{10} \cos 45^\circ + \frac{1}{100} \sin 45^\circ\right) \\ \frac{0.3 + \frac{1}{10}g}{\frac{1}{10} \cos 45^\circ + \frac{1}{100} \sin 45^\circ} &= P \end{aligned}$$

and calculate that $P = 16.5$, rounded to 1 decimal place. \square

2.3 Moments

Moment about a point is *Perpendicular Force* times *Perpendicular Distance* to the point, and acts rotationally to the point, in the orientation that the perpendicular force is pointing towards. You

can choose which point to look at as your base point (or pivot)! If you are careful the maths will always work out.

$$\text{Moment of force} = \text{Perpendicular force} \times \text{Distance from the base point}$$

As with all force questions, you need to account for all of the forces!

Why would you choose one point as your base point over another? Looking at the equation, we have that any forces at the point itself gives zero contribution to the moment of force at that point. This is because the distance is 0, and the right-hand side of the equation cancels. This can be used to cancel unknown forces, or to remove a point that has many forces acting on it from giving us a headache.

As with other force equations, you *choose* which direction is your positive direction. Except with moments, you choose which *orientation* your positive direction is – clockwise or anticlockwise – around your base point or pivot. See Figure 22.




Figure 21: Two happy friends on a see-saw

As long as you *make sure clockwise and counter-clockwise have opposite signs* – just like how we make sure “up” and “down” have opposite signs in the usual force questions – everything will work out!

Our approach will be the following:

1. Draw and label all the forces affecting the object in question, giving them unknown variables if necessary.
2. Label all the distances to the forces.
3. Resolve forces to be perpendicular to the moment if necessary.
4. Choose a base point smartly to remove unknown variables.
5. Choose a positive direction and use equilibriums (my favourite) to get force equations and eliminate unknowns.
6. Repeat using equilibriums until you get your answer.

What do we mean by equilibriums? Just like with force questions before, if our object is not accelerating (or moving) in a direction then the total force in that direction must be zero. Similarly, if our object is not *rotating*, then the *total moment force around a pivot must be zero*.

Pretty much all A-level physics questions become $F = 0$ in a smart way.

Ignoring everything above, let's start with an example where we do not have an equilibrium.

Example 14. Consider a weightless rod of length 10m, with a pivot half way along the rod. One happy 60kg person is sat 2m from the end of the rod. What is the total moment force on the rod around the pivot? See Figure 22.




Figure 22: One happy friend on a see-saw

Solution. To begin with, let's draw and label *all* the forces affecting the rod. Since the rod is weightless, the only force is from the person. We need to verify we are looking at the perpendicular component of the force to the rod, which we are. Therefore there are no additional forces to label or compute.

For the distances, we can see in that the distance from the half-way point at 5m in and the person is 3m, labelled in Figure 23.




Figure 23: One happy friend on a see-saw, labelled

For lack of a better choice, we can choose clockwise to be our positive direction. Since there are no other pieces of information to use, we can calculate that our total moment force M around the pivot is

$$M = 3 \times 60g = 180g$$

In this case, there is an unbalanced amount of force, meaning this see-saw would start rotating. \square

Example 15. Consider a uniform horizontal 4kg rod of length 5m , with a pivot 2m from the left side, and a block on the left side of the rod. The rod is in equilibrium. What is the weight of the block? See Figure 24. For convenience, I will mark the additional calculated forces and distances in red.

Solution. Let's choose our base point to be at the pivot.

To begin with, let's draw and label *all* the forces affecting the rod. The rod is uniform, meaning its weight is acting from the centre. The centre is half way into the rod, which is 5m . Therefore force due to gravity is acting downwards as $4g$ Newtons.

If we label the mass of the block as x , we can write that the force due to gravity at the block is xg Newtons. There are no other (relevant) forces acting on the rod – we will revisit this.

The distances between the centre of the rod and the pivot, and between the pivot and the block can be calculated from taking the difference of 2 and half of 5.

We need to verify we are looking at the perpendicular component of the forces to the rod, which we are.




Figure 24: A block on an uneven see-saw, labelled

We can choose clockwise to be our positive direction. We are told the rod is in equilibrium. Therefore all of the moments of force around the pivot (or from any other base point) must cancel.

$$F(\odot) - F(\odot) = 0$$

Let's calculate the moment of force going clockwise, which we will label $F(\odot)$. The only force acting in this direction is $4g$, and therefore by the moment equation, the moment of force due to the rod from the pivot is

$$F(\odot) = 0.5 \times 4g$$

Similarly for the counterclockwise moment of force on the pivot due to the block is the following.

$$F(\odot) = 2 \times xg$$

Since there are no other pieces of information to use, we can substitute into our equilibrium equation:

$$0 = F(\circlearrowleft) - F(\circlearrowright) \\ = 0.5 \times 4g - 2 \times xg$$

Therefore

$$0 = 0.5 \times 4g - 2 \times xg$$

Which we can rearrange for x :

$$0 = 0.5 \times 4g - 2 \times xg \\ 0 = 2 - 2x \quad (\text{canceling } g) \\ 0 = 1 - x \quad (\text{dividing by 2}) \\ x = 1 \quad (\text{rearranging for } x)$$

Therefore, the block is $1kg$. □

Why did we choose our base point as the pivot? We chose this to cancel any forces at the pivot itself. Because the rod is in equilibrium vertically too, we know there must be a reaction force from the pivot onto the block R which prevents the rod from falling into the pivot. Since we do not know what R is, we chose our base point to be at the pivot itself, cancelling R in our moment equation! If we chose another point, we would need to include R acting upwards from the pivot!

The point: choose the point of rotation smartly: the forces at the point itself can be ignored!

Example 16. Consider a uniform horizontal $20kg$ rod of length $7m$, held in equilibrium by two ropes at right angles to the rod as in Figure 25. Find the tension in both ropes.




Figure 25: A rod with two ropes attached

Solution. To begin with, let's draw and label *all* the forces affecting the rod. The rod is uniform, meaning its weight is acting from the centre. The centre is half way into the rod, which is $3.5m$. Therefore force due to gravity is acting downwards as $20g$ Newtons.

The other forces are tension in the ropes, pointing upwards. We can label them with T_A and T_C . There are no other forces acting on the rod in this system. See Figure 26.




Figure 26: A rod with two ropes attached, labelled

We need to verify we are looking at the perpendicular component of the forces to the rod, which we are.

Since there are two unknowns, a smart choice of base point is at either A or C . We will continue as setting C to be our base point, cancelling T_C from our equations.

We can choose clockwise to be our positive direction.

We are told the rod is in equilibrium. Therefore all of the moments of force around the pivot (or from any other base point) must cancel.

$$F(\odot) - F(\circlearrowleft) = 0$$

Let's calculate the moment of force going clockwise, which we will label $F(\odot)$. The only force acting in this direction is T_A , and therefore by the moment equation, the moment of force due to T_A on C is

$$F(\odot) = 5 \times T_A$$

Similarly for the counterclockwise moment of force on the pivot due to the gravity is the following.

$$F(\circlearrowleft) = 1.5 \times 20g$$

Since there are no other pieces of information to use, we can substitute into our equilibrium equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(\odot) - F(\circlearrowleft) \\ &= 5 \times T_A - 1.5 \times 20g \end{aligned}$$

Which we can rearrange for T_A :

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 5 \times T_A - 1.5 \times 20g \\
1.5 \times 20g &= 5T_A \\
\frac{30g}{5} &= T_A \\
T_A &= 6g
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have found T_A , we still need to find T_C . There are a few approaches. My favourite is to use another kind of equilibrium: since the rod is not rising or falling, the vertical forces must total to zero. Therefore, we can write:

$$T_A + T_C = 20g$$

And rearrange

$$\begin{aligned}
T_C &= 20g - T_A \\
T_C &= 20g - 6g && \text{(substituting } T_A) \\
T_C &= 14g
\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we could write the moment equation from C , and repeat the rearranging from above:

$$5T_C - 3.5 \times 20g = 0$$

Or even you could set the base point as somewhere entirely different and substitute T_A and rearrange. For example, setting the base point to be at B gives the following equation:

$$2T_C - 3.5 \times 20g + 7T_A = 0$$

Each of the three approaches result in the correct answer, so choosing the simplest approach is best. I like the vertical equilibrium option, but the moment at C is perfectly acceptable – just dont do the third option of the moment at B ! \square

Summary: look at *all* equilibriums! $F = 0$ in that direction.

So far we have only seen questions where the forces are nicely already perpendicular. Let's see what happens when they are not.

Example 17. A $4m$ $20kg$ uniform ladder is resting in equilibrium against a smooth wall at an angle of 60° to the horizontal. The base of the ladder A is on a rough floor and is at the point of slipping, with the coefficient of friction being 0.4. An $80kg$ man is at a point C on the ladder. What is the length AC ? See Figure 27.




Figure 27: A man on a ladder

Solution. To begin with, let's draw and label *all* the forces affecting the rod.

The rod is uniform, meaning it's weight is acting from the centre. The centre is half way into the rod, which is 2m. Therefore force due to gravity on the ladder is acting downwards as 20g Newtons. There is another force due to gravity on the man, acting downwards as 80g Newtons at point C.

Since the ladder is slipping at the base, friction F_{max} is acting towards the wall, opposing the slipping away from the wall.

The other forces are resultant forces due to the contact between the ladder and the floor and wall. These forces must exist since we know the ladder is not falling into the floor or the wall, and they act perpendicular to the floor and wall each. We will label the floor resultant force R and the wall resultant force S .

See Figure 28.




Figure 28: A man on a ladder, labelled

We need to verify we are looking at the perpendicular component of the forces to the rod, which we are not (!). To rectify this, we will need to do some geometry with 60° . This was covered in Section 2 to recap. Here I will simply write the correct usage of sin or cos, and leave it to the reader to verify.

Before starting with moment equations, we can gather information using equilibrium equations.

Since the ladder is in equilibrium horizontally, the horizontal forces must total zero. Choosing S to be our positive direction, we find that

$$S - F_{max} = 0$$

Therefore

$$S = F_{max}$$

Vertically, choosing R to be our positive direction, we find

$$R - 20g - 80g = 0$$

Therefore

$$R = 100g$$

Using $F_{max} = \mu R$, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{max} &= 0.4R & (\mu = 0.4) \\ F_{max} &= 0.4 \times 100g & (R = 100g) \\ S &= 0.4 \times 100g & (S = F_{max}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have deduced as much as possible using equilibria, we can tackle the moments part of the problem. Let us set our base point at A to not deal with both F_{max} and R . We are free to do this

since there are no more unknown forces in the system to account for. Let's label the distance AC as x for convenience.

We can choose clockwise to be our positive direction. The clockwise force is from S and the counterclockwise forces are from $80g$ and $20g$, but they are not pointing perpendicular to the ladder. Resolving the forces in the perpendicular direction to the ladder, and using that the total moment of force must be zero, working from left to write, we find

$$4S \sin 60^\circ - 80gx \cos 60^\circ - 2 \times 20g \cos 60^\circ = 0$$

Which is our equilibrium moment equation from A .

We can then finish the question by substituting what S is from above, and rearranging for x , left as an exercise. \square

3 Differentiation

Why? Differentiation is the study of rates of change. For example, if you were to model how an epidemic moves through a population, you might want to know how quickly are people infected before and after a vaccination has been introduced. “How quickly” implies a rate of change, and therefore it would be useful to set up a framework where we can find the rate of change of enough functions where we can model even extremely complicated situations.

We have the concept of differentiation from first principles. This is where the definition of “rate of change” is used to explicitly calculate a derivative. This involves something called a limit, and is very tedious and difficult to do in even slightly complex scenarios.

Instead, we have come up with a great framework, where we have a set of standard functions,

$$ax, x^n, \sin x, \cos x, e^x, \ln x$$

Which are varied enough that we can combine them to make any function we could want.

By “combine” we mean three main things:

1. Adding or subtracting functions, e.g. $ax - e^x$
2. Multiplying or dividing functions, e.g. $\frac{x \sin x}{\ln x}$
3. Composing functions as in Definition 1.1, e.g. $\sin(x^3)$

It turns out this is enough to (approximate) *any* function!

As such, we would like to be able to study the rate of change of these combinations of standard functions. This is done in three ways too:

1. For adding or subtracting, we can use that you can differentiate each additive term separately, and add together the answers
2. For multiplying or dividing, we can use the product rule
3. For composition of functions, we can use the chain rule

And combining these three methods allows us to differentiate anything we could want.

3.1 First Principles

The derivative of a function is the slope of the function at a point. You can approximate the slope of a function by calculating the difference in x values and the difference in y values between two points, and divide the difference in y by the difference in x . This is the formula for the slope of a straight line you find in GCSE.




Figure 29: Slope between two points on a function

The slope of the red line in Figure 29 is therefore:

$$\frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{(a + h) - a} = \frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{h}$$

This approximates the slope of the function $f(x)$ at the point a , but not very well. We can make it better by moving the second point closer and closer to the first, by making h become smaller and smaller.

Taking this to the limit, where h is sent all the way to zero, gives us the derivative of f at a , denoted $f'(a)$:

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{h}$$

This is taking the derivative of f from first principles.

Example 18. Let $f(x) = x^2$. Using first principles, find the derivative of f .

Solution. Let's substitute x^2 into the definition of the derivative.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a + h)^2 - a^2}{h} && \text{(substituting into the formula)} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cancel{a^2} + 2ah + h^2 - \cancel{a^2}}{h} && \text{(expanding numerator)} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(2a + h)}{h} && \text{(factorise } h \text{ from numerator)} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 2a + h && \text{(cancel } h \text{ from top and bottom)} \\
 &= 2a && \text{(now set } h = 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true at every a , we can write $f'(x) = 2x$

□

Because the derivative of a function is the slope of a function, what happens to constants when you differentiate them?

If we plot $f(x) = c$, where c is a constant, we get the graph in Figure 30.




Figure 30: A constant function

What is the slope of this graph? Well, the difference in y between any two points is 0 because they are always at the same height. Therefore the difference in y divided by the difference in x is always 0 since the numerator is always 0.

Therefore if $f(x) = c$ is a constant function, then $f'(x) = 0$.

What about more complex differentiation by substitution?

Example 19. From first principles, find the derivative of $\cos x$.

You may assume the following.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h - 1}{h} = 0$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin h}{h} = 1$$

$$\cos(x + y) = \cos x \cos y - \sin x \sin y$$

$$\lim(a + b) = \lim(a) + \lim(b)$$

You may also assume that if $f(x)$ is a function of only x , and $g(h)$ is a function of only h , then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(x)g(h)) = f(x) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(h)$$

Then deduce the derivative of $\sin x$.

Solution. Let's substitute into the first principles formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos(x+h) - \cos(x)}{h} && \text{(definition of derivative)} \\
& = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x \cos h - \sin x \sin h - \cos x}{h} && \text{(using the third hint)} \\
& = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\cos x \cos h - \cos x}{h} - \frac{\sin x \sin h}{h} \right) && \text{(separate the fraction)} \\
& = \cos x \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h} \right) - \sin x \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\sin h}{h} \right) && \text{(fourth and fifth hint)} \\
& = \cos x \times 0 - \sin x \times 1 && \text{(first and second hint)} \\
& = -\sin x
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the derivative of $\cos(x)$ is $-\sin(x)$.

To deduce the derivative of $\sin(x)$, first recall that $\sin(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) = -\cos(x)$ and $\cos(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) = \sin x$. Therefore, using the chain rule with $y = x - \frac{\pi}{2}$ (or by using first principles on $f(x+a)$ for some constant a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin'(x) &= \cos'(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) && \text{(using } \cos(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) = \sin(x)) \\
&= -\sin(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) && \text{(using the chain rule)} \\
&= -(-\cos(x)) && \text{(using } \sin(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) = -\cos(x)) \\
&= \cos(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the derivative of $\sin(x)$ is $\cos(x)$. □

To prove the limit of trigonometry identities used as hints, you can use the taylor expansion of \sin and \cos – try it!

3.2 Product rule

The Product Rule tells us that if we have two functions $u = u(x)$ and $v = v(x)$, then

$$(uv)' = uv' + u'v$$

Example 20. Find the derivative of the function $x \sin(x)$.

Solution. Let $u = x$ and $v = \sin(x)$. So $u' = 1$ and $v' = \cos(x)$. Then by the product rule,

$$(x \sin(x))' = x \cos(x) + 1 \cdot \sin(x)$$

□

Example 21. Find the derivative of the function $\cos x \ln x + x \sin x$.

Solution. Let's write this as $f(x) + g(x)$, where $f(x) = \cos x \ln x$ and $g(x) = x \sin x$.

Then since we can differentiate each additive term separately and add the results, we want to know $f'(x)$ and $g'(x)$.

For $f(x)$, we can let $u = \cos x$ and $v = \ln x$ so that $u' = -\sin x$ and $v' = \frac{1}{x}$. Then by the product rule,

$$\begin{aligned}
(uv)' &= uv' + u'v \\
&= \cos x \cdot \frac{1}{x} + (-\sin x) \cdot \ln x \\
&= \frac{\cos x}{x} - \ln x \sin x
\end{aligned}$$

Which gives that $f'(x) = \frac{\cos x}{x} - \ln x \sin x$. For $g'(x)$, we can reuse the work from the previous question:

$$(x \sin(x))' = x \cos(x) + 1 \cdot \sin(x)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(f(x) + g(x))' &= f'(x) + g'(x) \\
&= \frac{\cos x}{x} - \ln x \sin x + x \cos(x) + 1 \cdot \sin(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Which completes the question. \square

3.3 Implicit Differentiation

When you are taking the derivative of a function with respect to x , you are actually applying a function $\frac{d}{dx}$ to both sides of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= f(x) \\
\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{d}{dx}(f(x))
\end{aligned}$$

The notation on the right hand side is what you are used to doing when you differentiate an equation – you apply all of your differentiation rules to wherever you see an x .

If you had a more complex equality such as

$$y + xy = x^2$$

You can still differentiate this by differentiating *both sides*, and applying any differentiation rules (product rule, chain rule, etc.) necessary.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dx}(y + xy) &= \frac{d}{dx}(x^2) && \text{(differentiating both sides)} \\
\frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{d}{dx}(xy) &= 2x && \text{(expanding LHS and evaluating RHS)} \\
\frac{dy}{dx} + x \frac{d}{dx}(y) + y \frac{d}{dx}(x) &= 2x && \text{(product rule)} \\
\frac{dy}{dx} + x \frac{dy}{dx} + y &= 2x && \text{(using } \frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

In this way, our equation *implicitly* contains $\frac{dy}{dx}$, but we would need to solve for it. If we are asked to find the value of $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at a single point, e.g. at $x = 1, y = \frac{1}{2}$, we can substitute it into our final equation:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + 1 \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} = 2$$

We can rearrange this to find that, at $x = 1$, $y = \frac{1}{2}$, the gradient $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 1 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$$

Remember that this gradient is specifically at the point we substituted in! The gradient at any point would need to be calculated by solving

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + x \frac{dy}{dx} + y = 2x$$

by rearranging for $\frac{dy}{dx}$.

Example 22. Find the gradient of the normal to the curve defined by the equation

$$2x - 2y^2 + 4x^2y = 4x^2 + 8$$

at the point $x = 5$, $y = 1$.

Solution. To approach this question, we can first recall that the normal is the negative reciprocal of the gradient. Therefore, if we find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at the point $x = 5$, $y = 1$, we can simply take the negative reciprocal of it to find the gradient of the normal.

Hence, let us find the derivative implicitly by taking the derivative of both sides.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}(2x - 2y^2 + 4x^2y) &= \frac{d}{dx}(4x^2 + 8) \\ 2 - 4y \frac{dy}{dx} + 8xy + 4x^2 \frac{dy}{dx} &= 8x \end{aligned} \quad (\text{using the chain and product rule})$$

Hence now we can find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at $x = 5$, $y = 1$ by substituting $x = 5$, $y = 1$ into the above equation and rearranging.

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 4 \frac{dy}{dx} + 8 \times 5 + 4 \times 5^2 \frac{dy}{dx} &= 8 \times 5 && (\text{substituting } x = 5, y = 1) \\ 2 - 4 \frac{dy}{dx} + 100 \frac{dy}{dx} &= 0 && (\text{simplifying}) \\ \frac{dy}{dx} &= -\frac{2}{96} = -\frac{1}{48} && (\text{rearranging}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, at $x = 5$, $y = 1$, the gradient is $-\frac{1}{48}$, which means that the normal has gradient 48.

We could find the equation for the normal at this point by using the equation for a line with slope 48 that passes through a point $x = 5$, $y = 1$. \square

3.4 The Chain Rule

The chain rule is an essential tool for differentiating, and allows you to differentiate any function as long as you can write it in terms of functions you know how to differentiate (sometimes you might need the product rule too).

It goes as follows.

Theorem 3.1. (The Chain Rule)

If $y = g(u)$ for some function g , and $u = f(x)$ for some function f , then y can be written as a function of x via

$$y = g(f(x))$$

And we have that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx}$$

For more details on function compositions, see Definition 1.1.

What this means is that we can differentiate any composition of the following standard functions:

$ax, x^n, \sin x, \cos x, e^x, \ln x$.

The easiest way to remember the chain rule is by pretending that they are actual fractions, and then seeing that the right-hand side would cancel to give the left-hand side in the equation.

This is the easiest to see with some examples.

Example 23. Using the chain rule, differentiate $(2x)^2$, using $u = 2x$.

Solution. Obviously, we could write this as $4x^2$ and use our knowledge of differentiation to solve. This is not what the question is asking.

Let's write $y = (2x)^2$, $u = 2x$ so that $y = u^2$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{du} &= 2u && \text{(using the derivative of } u^2\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= 2 && \text{(using the derivative of } 2x\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= 2u \times 2 \\ &= 4u \\ &= 8x && \text{(using } u = 2x\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. □

Example 24. Using the chain rule, differentiate $(\ln x)^2$.

Solution. The question is: if we were to replace any part of $(\ln x)^2$ with u , how can we turn it into one of the functions that we know how to differentiate:

$au, u^n, \sin u, \cos u, e^u, \ln u?$

Let's write $y = (\ln x)^2$, $u = \ln x$ so that $y = u^2$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{du} &= 2u && \text{(using the derivative of } u^2\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= \frac{1}{x} && \text{(using the derivative of } \ln x\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\
&= \frac{2u}{x} && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\
&= \frac{2 \ln x}{x} && \text{(using } u = \ln x\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

Example 25. Using the chain rule, differentiate $e^{2 \sin x}$.

Solution. The question is: if we were to replace any part of $e^{2 \sin x}$ with u , how can we turn it into one of the functions that we know how to differentiate:

$au, u^n, \sin u, \cos u, e^u, \ln u?$

Let's write $y = e^{2 \sin x}$, $u = 2 \sin x$ so that $y = e^u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy}{du} &= e^u && \text{(using the derivative of } e^u\text{)} \\
\frac{du}{dx} &= 2 \cos x && \text{(using the derivative of } 2 \sin x\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\
&= e^u \times 2 \cos x && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\
&= 2e^{2 \sin x} \cos x && \text{(using } u = 2 \sin x\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

Example 26. (Hard)

Using the chain rule, differentiate $\sin(\cos(\ln x))$.

Solution. The question is: if we were to replace any part of $\sin(\cos(\ln x))$ with u , how can we turn it into one of the functions that we know how to differentiate:

$au, u^n, \sin u, \cos u, e^u, \ln u?$

Let's write $y = \sin(\cos(\ln x))$, $u = \cos(\ln x)$ so that $y = \sin u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x . We can find the first:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy}{du} &= \cos u && \text{(using the derivative of } \sin u\text{)} \\
\frac{du}{dx} &= (\cos(\ln x))' && \text{(leave for later)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\
&= \cos u (\cos(\ln x))' && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\
&= \cos(\cos(\ln x)) (\cos(\ln x))' && \text{(using } u = \cos(\ln x)\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Which almost gives us our answer, except we still need to differentiate $\cos(\ln x)$. To do this, we can use the chain rule again!

I will a new symbol v for book-keeping: write $u = \cos(\ln x)$, $v = \ln x$ so that $u = \cos v$.

The chain rule will then be

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{du}{dv} \frac{dv}{dx}$$

We need the derivative of u with respect to v and the derivative of v with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dv} &= -\sin v && \text{(using the derivative of } \cos v\text{)} \\ \frac{dv}{dx} &= \frac{1}{x} && \text{(using the derivative of } \ln x\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dx} &= \frac{du}{dv} \frac{dv}{dx} \\ &= -\sin v \times \frac{1}{x} && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= -\frac{\sin(\ln x)}{x} && \text{(using } v = \ln x\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the derivative of $z = \cos(\ln x)$ with respect to x

$$\frac{du}{dx} = -\frac{\sin(\ln x)}{x}$$

so

$$(\cos(\ln x))' = -\frac{\sin(\ln x)}{x}$$

Which we can substitute into our workings for the $\frac{dy}{dx}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \cos(\cos(\ln x))(\cos(\ln x))' && \text{(from before)} \\ &= \cos(\cos(\ln x)) \times -\frac{\sin(\ln x)}{x} \\ &= -\frac{\sin(\ln x) \cos(\cos(\ln x))}{x}\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our funny looking answer. Note that if we have n function compositions, we will need n chain rules. \square

Note that in the above, we worked through finding

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx}$$

But since we did not know how to work with the final term, we then did the chain rule again to find

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{du}{dv} \frac{dv}{dx}$$

Substituting this in gives

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dv} \frac{dv}{dx}$$

Which then gives us a hint about how the chain rule generalises. If we were to pretend that these were genuine fractions, the right-hand side would cancel to give the left-hand side. This is true in general, that we can keep inserting additional multiples of derivatives as long as they “cancel” if you consider them as actual fractions.

Therefore, we can use the chain rule to compute the derivative of any composition of functions that we know how to differentiate.

What this means in practise is that we can use a combination of the chain rule and the product rule to differentiate any composition and multiple of the following functions:

$$ax, x^n, \sin x, \cos x, e^x, \ln x.$$

3.4.1 Generalising Standard Derivatives

We can now use our knowledge of standard derivatives to get generalised results almost for free.

In this section, we will use that $f(x)$ is a function of x .

Example 27. Using the chain rule, differentiate $(f(x))^n$ with respect to x . Give your answer in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$, where n is a real number.

Solution. The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let's write $y = (f(x))^n$, $u = f(x)$ so that $y = u^n$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{du} &= nu^{n-1} && \text{(using the derivative of } u^n\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= f'(x) && \text{(in terms of } f'(x)\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= nu^{n-1} \times f'(x) && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= nf(x)^{n-1} f'(x) && \text{(using } u = f(x)\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. □

Example 28. Using the chain rule, differentiate $e^{f(x)}$ with respect to x . Give your answer in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.

Solution. The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let's write $y = e^{f(x)}$, $u = f(x)$ so that $y = e^u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{du} &= e^u && \text{(using the derivative of } e^u\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= f'(x) && \text{(in terms of } f'(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= e^u \times f'(x) && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= f'(x)e^{f(x)} && \text{(using } u = f(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

Example 29. Using the chain rule, differentiate $\ln(f(x))$ with respect to x . Give your answer in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.

Solution. The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let's write $y = \ln(f(x))$, $u = f(x)$ so that $y = \ln u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{du} &= \frac{1}{u} && \text{(using the derivative of } \ln u\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= f'(x) && \text{(in terms of } f'(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= \frac{1}{u} \times f'(x) && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} && \text{(using } u = f(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

Example 30. Using the chain rule, differentiate $\sin(f(x))$ with respect to x . Give your answer in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.

Solution. The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let's write $y = \sin(f(x))$, $u = f(x)$ so that $y = \sin u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{du} &= \cos u && \text{(using the derivative of } \sin u\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= f'(x) && \text{(in terms of } f'(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= \cos u \times f'(x) && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= f'(x) \cos(f(x)) && \text{(using } u = f(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

Example 31. Using the chain rule, differentiate $\cos(f(x))$ with respect to x . Give your answer in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.

Solution. The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let's write $y = \cos(f(x))$, $u = f(x)$ so that $y = \cos u$.

We need the derivative of y with respect to u and the derivative of u with respect to x .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{du} &= -\sin u && \text{(using the derivative of } \cos u\text{)} \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= f'(x) && \text{(in terms of } f'(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= -\sin u \times f'(x) && \text{(substituting our workings)} \\ &= -f'(x) \sin(f(x)) && \text{(using } u = f(x)\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Which gives us our answer. \square

3.5 Mixed Exercises

Example 32. Differentiate $\sin(x) \cos(\sin(x))$

Solution. Let's first define two functions $f(x) = \sin(x)$ and $g(x) = \cos(\sin(x))$ so that the thing we are trying to differentiate becomes

$$\sin(x) \cos(\sin(x)) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$$

Then we can use the product rule:

$$(f(x) \cdot g(x))' = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$$

Now we can find $f'(x)$, since this is just the derivative of $f(x) = \sin(x)$, which is $\cos(x)$, but what about the derivative of $g(x) = \cos(\sin(x))$?

For this we need the chain rule.

How can we define u so that $g(x)$ becomes something we know how to differentiate? If we set $u = \sin(x)$, and write $y = g(x)$, then $y = \cos u$. The chain rule then gives us that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx}$$

Since $u = \sin(x)$, we find that $\frac{du}{dx} = \cos x$, and since $y = \cos u$, we find that $\frac{dy}{du} = -\sin u$.

Substituting this in gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= -\sin u \cos x \\ &= -\sin(\sin(x)) \cos x \quad (\text{using } u = \sin(x))\end{aligned}$$

But this was all to find what $g'(x)$, i.e. the derivative of $\cos(\sin(x))$, which we have found to be

$$-\sin(\sin(x)) \cos x$$

Now we can substitute this into our product rule, recalling that $f(x) = \sin(x)$ and $g(x) = \cos(\sin(x))$, and $f'(x) = \cos x$ to find:

$$\begin{aligned}(f(x) \cdot g(x))' &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x) \\ &= \cos x g(x) + f(x)g'(x) \quad (\text{substituting } f'(x)) \\ &= \cos x \cos(\sin(x)) + f(x)g'(x) \quad (\text{substituting } g(x)) \\ &= \cos x \cos(\sin(x)) + \sin(x)g'(x) \quad (\text{substituting } f(x)) \\ &= \cos x \cos(\sin(x)) + \sin(x) \cdot (-\sin(\sin(x)) \cos x) \quad (\text{substituting } g'(x)) \\ &= \cos x \cos(\sin(x)) + -\sin(\sin(x)) \cos x \sin x\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have found the derivative

$$(\sin(x) \cos(\sin(x)))' = \cos x \cos(\sin(x)) + -\sin(\sin(x)) \cos x \sin x$$

□

Example 33. Consider $e^{2 \sin x} + \cos(x^2)$. First find the derivative, and then find the derivative of the derivative.

Solution. Since we know that you can differentiate each additive term separately and then add them together, we can consider them one by one.

Let $f(x) = e^{2 \sin x}$ and $g(x) = \cos(x^2)$ so that we are trying to differentiate

$$f(x) + g(x) = e^{2 \sin x} + \cos(x^2)$$

Let's start with $f(x)$.

The question we ask ourselves is: if we could replace any part of the function with u , can we turn it into one of our standard functions?

Let $y = e^{2 \sin x}$, $u = 2 \sin x$ so that $y = e^u$.

Then we can compute our derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dx} &= 2 \cos x \\ \frac{dy}{du} &= e^u\end{aligned}$$

So that, by the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= e^u \cdot 2 \cos x && \text{(substituting)} \\ &= e^{2 \sin x} \cdot 2 \cos x && \text{(using } u = 2 \sin x\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f'(x) = 2 \cos x e^{2 \sin x}$$

For $g(x)$:

Let $y = \cos(x^2)$, $u = x^2$ so that $y = \cos u$.

Then we can compute our derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dx} &= 2x \\ \frac{dy}{du} &= -\sin u\end{aligned}$$

So that, by the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx} \\ &= -\sin u \cdot 2x && \text{(substituting)} \\ &= -\sin(x^2) \cdot 2x && \text{(using } u = x^2\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$g'(x) = -2x \sin(x^2)$$

Which gives us our derivative:

$$(e^{2 \sin x} + \cos(x^2))' = f'(x) + g'(x) = 2 \cos x e^{2 \sin x} - 2x \sin(x^2)$$

This completes the first part of the question. We are then asked to differentiate this function again.

Let's again split it into two cases based on the additive terms:

$$2 \cos x e^{2 \sin x}$$

and

$$-2x \sin(x^2)$$

Now for the purposes of this solution, we will only walk through the second term. The first is left as an exercise.

Since $-2x \sin(x^2)$ is a multiple of two terms, we need to use the product rule. Let $u = -2x$ and $v = \sin(x^2)$. Then $u' = -2$, and v' must be calculated via the chain rule.

Let's use the chain rule with $y = \sin(x^2)$, $w = x^2$ so that $y = \sin w$. We need the derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dw}{dx} &= 2x \\ \frac{dy}{dw} &= \cos w\end{aligned}$$

Then the chain rule tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{dy}{dw} \frac{dw}{dx} \\ &= \cos w \cdot 2x \\ &= \cos(x^2) \cdot 2x\end{aligned}\quad (\text{substituting})$$

Therefore $v' = 2x \cos(x^2)$.

Then the product rule tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}(uv)' &= uv' + u'v \\ &= -2x \cdot 2x \cos(x^2) - 2 \cdot \sin(x^2)\end{aligned}$$

And therefore, we have found that

$$(-2x \sin(x^2))' = -4x^2 \cos(x^2) - 2 \sin(x^2)$$

A similar process can be used to find

$$(2 \cos x e^{2 \sin x})' = 4 \cos^2 x e^{2 \sin x} - 2 \sin x e^{2 \sin x}$$

Therefore, the derivative we are looking for is:

$$\begin{aligned}(2 \cos x e^{2 \sin x} - 2x \sin(x^2))' &= 4 \cos^2 x e^{2 \sin x} - 2 \sin x e^{2 \sin x} \\ &\quad - 4x^2 \cos(x^2) - 2 \sin(x^2)\end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question, finding the second derivative of $e^{2 \sin x} + \cos(x^2)$. \square

4 Integration

4.1 Definite vs Indefinite Integration

An *indefinite integral* is an integral in which you do not evaluate at two points, and can be defined as the inverse of the derivative, up to a constant. That is,

$$\int f' dx = f + c$$

Where c is a constant. Where does the constant come from? We know that taking the derivative of a constant is always 0 (see Section 3.1), so we can calculate:

$$(f + c)' = f' + c' = f' + 0 = f'$$

Which regains f' .

Example 34. Find the indefinite integral of $\cos(x)$.

Solution. We know that if A is a constant, then $(A \sin(x))' = A(\sin(x))' = A \cos(x)$. Therefore, if we set A to be 1, we have found that $(\sin(x))' = \cos(x)$. Therefore,

$$\int \cos(x) dx = \sin(x) + c$$

Where c is a constant. □

Example 35. Find the indefinite integral of $\sin(x)$.

Solution. We know that if A is a constant, then $(A \cos(x))' = A(\cos(x))' = -A \sin(x)$. Therefore, if we set $-A = 1$, we find $A = -1$ (since we want to find the indefinite integral of $\sin(x)$) gives that $(-\cos(x))' = \sin(x)$. Therefore,

$$\int \sin(x) dx = -\cos(x) + c$$

Where c is a constant. □

Example 36. Find the indefinite integral of x^8 .

Solution. We know that if A is a constant, then $(Ax^9)' = A(x^9)' = 9Ax^8$. Therefore, if we set $9A = 1$, we find $A = \frac{1}{9}$ (since we want to find the indefinite integral of x^8) gives that $(\frac{1}{9}x^9)' = x^8$. Therefore,

$$\int x^8 dx = \frac{1}{9}x^9 + c$$

Where c is a constant. □

A *definite integral* is one where we evaluate at two points, and can be defined as the area under a function f between those two points³. In practise, we compute this by the following:

$$\int_a^b f dx = \left[\int f dx \right]_{x=a}^{x=b}$$

Where the notation on the RHS means that we calculate the indefinite integral at b and remove it from the indefinite integral at a . Recall that the indefinite integral always has a constant added c . If we take two evaluations of the indefinite integral, the c will cancel. For example, let's use the example of when we are integrating a derivative.

³In fact, it is a signed area since if a function is negative, the integral is also negative.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b f' dx &= [f + c]_{x=a}^{x=b} && \text{(substitute indefinite integral of } f') \\
&= [f(b) + c - (f(a) + c)] && \text{(expand)} \\
&= f(b) - f(a) && \text{(cancel } c\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Example 37. Calculate the following integral:

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(x) dx$$

Solution. From Example 34, we know that $\int \cos(x) dx = \sin(x) + c$ where c is a constant. Therefore (noting c would cancel),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\pi \cos(x) dx &= [\sin(x)]_{x=0}^{x=\pi} \\
&= [\sin(\pi) - \sin(0)] \\
&= 0 - 0 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 38. Calculate the following integral:

$$\int_0^\pi \sin(x) dx$$

Solution. From Example 35, we know that $\int \sin(x) dx = -\cos(x) + c$ where c is a constant. Therefore (noting c would cancel),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\pi \sin(x) dx &= [-\cos(x)]_{x=0}^{x=\pi} \\
&= [-\cos(\pi) - (-\cos(0))] \\
&= [-(-1) - (-1)] && (\cos(0) = 1, \cos(\pi) = -1) \\
&= 2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 39. Calculate the following integral:

$$\int_0^1 x^8 dx$$

Solution. From Example 36, we know that $\int x^8 dx = \frac{1}{9}x^9 + c$ where c is a constant. Therefore (noting c would cancel),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 x^8 dx &= [\frac{1}{9}x^9]_{x=0}^{x=1} \\
&= [\frac{1}{9}1^9 - \frac{1}{9}0^9] \\
&= \frac{1}{9}
\end{aligned}$$

□

In summary, indefinite integral you don't evaluate at two points, and definite integral you do evaluate at two points.

You might ask why not just use the trapezium rule (Section 9.1) if we only care about the area? The trapezium rule gives an estimate, whereas it is useful to know the precise, exact answer. However, in the “real world” the estimate is almost always enough.

4.1.1 Additional Exercises

Example 40. Calculate the indefinite integral $\int \sin^2 x dx$.

Solution. The trick to higher powers of sin or cos is to use double angle formulae to reduce it to lower powers.

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2x &= \cos^2 x - \sin^2 x && \text{(double angle formula for cos)} \\ &= 1 - \sin^2 x - \sin^2 x && \text{(using } \sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1\text{)} \\ &= 1 - 2 \sin^2 x && \text{(collecting terms)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, rearranging gives us

$$\sin^2 x = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2x)$$

Then we can integrate

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sin^2 x dx &= \int \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2x) dx && \text{(substituting the power reduction formula)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int 1 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \cos 2x dx && \text{(expanding and factorising)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{4} \sin 2x + c && \text{(integrating)} \end{aligned}$$

Where we deduced the integral of $\cos 2x$ by differentiating $\sin 2x$, and seeing that we get $2 \cos 2x$. Therefore we must divide by 2 to cancel the factor of 2 in front:

$$\int \cos 2x dx = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2x + c$$

Alternatively, you can calculate this via substitution $y = 2x$, which is covered in Section 4.3. \square

4.2 Integration by Parts

It is useful to know how to integrate two functions multiplied together.

To do so, let's look at two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.

Consider the derivative of $f(x)g(x)$

$$\frac{d(f \cdot g)}{dx} = \frac{df}{dx} \cdot g + f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx}$$

Now recall that the integral of a derivative is the function itself:

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot g &= \int \frac{d(f \cdot g)}{dx} dx = \int (\frac{df}{dx} \cdot g + f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx}) dx \\ &= \int \frac{df}{dx} \cdot g dx + \int f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx} dx \end{aligned}$$

Then we can rearrange this formula to get the integration by parts formula.

$$\int f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx} dx = f \cdot g - \int \frac{df}{dx} \cdot g dx$$

In textbooks, this is often written with u, v instead, and using shorthand u', v' for their derivatives:

$$\int uv' dx = uv - \int u' v dx$$

Note that if we are integrating between $x = a_0$ and $x = a_1$, this will become:

$$\int_{a_0}^{a_1} uv' dx = [uv]_{x=a_0}^{x=a_1} - \int_{a_0}^{a_1} u' v dx$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\int_{a_0}^{a_1} uv' dx = u(a_1)v(a_1) - u(a_0)v(a_0) - \int_{a_0}^{a_1} u' v dx$$

The reason this is useful is because often u and v' can be chosen so that the integral on the right is simpler!

A handy mnemonic is LATE⁴: Logarithm (or ln), Algebra (powers of x), Trig, Exponential – this is usually a good guess as your choice of u in order from left to right. This is mostly because these functions become simpler after differentiating – the u' on the right hand side will be easier to integrate.

You should only use integration by parts if you cannot integrate it another way – if you can see that your function is easy to integrate without this trick you should not over-complicate it.

Example 41. Find the indefinite integral of $x \cos(x)$. Then calculate

$$\int_0^\pi x \cos(x) dx$$

Solution. First we check if this looks like the derivative of a function we know. Since it doesn't, we continue with integration by parts.

Following LATE, we see that x is algebra and comes before $\cos(x)$ which is trig. Therefore choose $u = x$ and $v' = \cos(x)$. Now we know $\sin(x)$ differentiates to become $\cos(x)$ therefore $v = \sin(x)$. Also, $u' = 1$. I like to write this in a box:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = x & v = \sin(x) \\ u' = 1 & v' = \cos(x) \end{array}$$

⁴Some use ILATE instead, where I is inverse trig. If you have not encountered differentiating inverse trig functions, ignore this.

Now we remind ourselves of integration by parts:

$$\int uv' dx = uv - \int u' v dx$$

Substituting, we get

$$\int x \cos x dx = x \sin(x) - \int 1 \cdot \sin(x) dx$$

Now we know $\cos(x)$ differentiates to become $-\sin(x)$. Therefore $\int \sin(x) dx = -\cos(x) + c'$, where c' is a constant. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \int x \cos x dx &= x \sin(x) - \int \sin(x) dx \\ &= x \sin(x) - (-\cos(x) + c') \\ &= x \sin(x) + \cos(x) + c \quad (\text{letting } c = -c') \end{aligned}$$

This is the indefinite integral. To calculate the definite integral in the second part of the question, we need to include the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi x \cos x dx &= [x \sin(x)]_{x=0}^{x=\pi} - \int_0^\pi \sin(x) dx \\ &= \pi \cdot \sin(\pi) - 0 \cdot \sin(0) - [-\cos(x)]_{x=0}^{x=\pi} \\ &= 0 - 0 - [-\cos(\pi) + \cos(0)] \quad (\sin(\pi) = 0) \\ &= -[1 + 1] \\ &= -2 \end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question. \square

Example 42. Find the indefinite integral of $x \ln x$. Then calculate

$$\int_1^2 x \ln(x) dx$$

Solution. First we check if this looks like the derivative of a function we know. Since it doesn't, we continue with integration by parts.

Following LATE, we see that $\ln(x)$ is logarithm and comes before x which is algebra. Therefore choose $u = \ln(x)$ and $v' = x$. Now we know x^2 differentiates to become $2x$ therefore $v = \frac{1}{2}x^2$. Also, $u' = \frac{1}{x}$. I like to write this in a box:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = \ln(x) & v = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ u' = \frac{1}{x} & v' = x \end{array}$$

Now we remind ourselves of integration by parts:

$$\int uv' dx = uv - \int u' v dx$$

Substituting, we get

$$\int x \ln x dx = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln(x) - \int \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{2}x^2 dx$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \ln x dx &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln(x) - \frac{1}{2} \int x dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln(x) - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x^2(\ln(x) - \frac{1}{2}) + c\end{aligned}$$

Where c is a constant. This is the indefinite integral. To calculate the definite integral in the second part of the question, we need to include the bounds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^2 x \ln x dx &= [\frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln(x)]_{x=1}^{x=2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 x dx \\ &= \dots\end{aligned}$$

Which we could continue like before. Alternatively, we can use the indefinite integral and calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^2 x \ln x dx &= [\frac{1}{2}x^2 \left(\ln(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + c]_{x=1}^{x=2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}2^2 \left(\ln(2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}1^2 \left(\ln(1) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\ln(2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \ln(4) - \frac{3}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question. \square

A quirky application of this is how to integrate $\ln(x)$.

Exercise 6. *Try it yourself!* By writing $\ln(x)$ as $1 \cdot \ln(x)$, find the indefinite integral of $\ln(x)$.

Solution. First we check if this looks like the derivative of a function we know. Since it doesn't, we continue with integration by parts.

Following LATE, we see that $\ln(x)$ is logarithm and comes before 1 which we consider as algebra here. We can construct our square as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = \ln(x) & v = x \\ u' = \frac{1}{x} & v' = 1 \end{array}$$

Now we remind ourselves of integration by parts:

$$\int uv' dx = uv - \int u'v dx$$

Substituting, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int \ln(x) dx &= x \ln(x) - \int \frac{x}{x} dx \\ &= x \ln(x) - x\end{aligned}$$

As a bonus exercise, verify that this differentiates to become $\ln(x)$. \square

4.3 Integration by Substitution

It is possible to change what variable is being integrated by. This is useful in two ways:

1. To convert an integral to one you know.
2. To simplify an integral.

How does it work?

Let's consider the following integral.

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx$$

For clarity, we will clarify that the a and b are integrating between $x = a$ and $x = b$ in the following way.

$$\int_{x=a}^{x=b} f(x)dx$$

Now what happens if we have a new variable in terms of x ? Let's write it as $y = g(x)$. We also require that we can write x as a function of y , i.e. that g^{-1} exists – see Section 1.1.3. Then $x = g^{-1}(y)$.

Now let's abuse notation⁵ a lot:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dy} &= \frac{dx}{dy} \\ dx &= \frac{dx}{dy} dy && \text{('multiply' by } dy \text{ (abuse of notation))} \\ dx &= \frac{dg^{-1}}{dy} dy && \text{(using } x = g^{-1}(y)\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Now we have all the tools to replace all instances of x in our integral. We will do this one-by-one from left to right for clarity.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x=a}^{x=b} f(x)dx &= \int_{\color{red}g^{-1}(y)=a}^{\color{red}g^{-1}(y)=b} f(x)dx && \text{(using } x = g^{-1}(y)\text{)} \\ &= \int_{y=g(a)}^{y=g(b)} f(x)dx && \text{(rearranging the bounds)} \\ &= \int_{y=g(a)}^{y=g(b)} f(\color{red}g^{-1}(y))dx && \text{(using } x = g^{-1}(y)\text{)} \\ &= \int_{y=g(a)}^{y=g(b)} f(g^{-1}(y)) \frac{dg^{-1}}{dy} dy && \text{(using } dx = \frac{dg^{-1}}{dy} dy\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Since the final line is *completely* in terms of y , we can continue by computing the integral as usual, but in the y variable instead. Note that the final formula uses both g and g^{-1} , meaning that we need g^{-1} to exist for the above formula.

⁵This can be made rigorous by looking at differentials.

Summary: exchange every instance of x with the equivalent y , and then integrate in the y variable.

We have only dealt with definite integrals here, but for indefinite you do the same procedure!

Note that you could keep doing substitution if needed.

This has been very abstract, so let's see some examples.

Example 43. Compute the following integral.

$$\int_0^1 (x+1)^3 dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral of $(x+1)^3$.

Solution. Firstly, note that you *could* expand the brackets and then integrate each term. Instead, let's utilise substitution.

Define $x+1 = y$. We now want to rewrite everything in terms of y . Rearranging gives $x = y - 1$, and taking the derivative of both sides gives $\frac{dy}{dx} = 1$, where we abuse notation to 'multiply by dx ' and write $dy = dx$. Let's collect our three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= x + 1 \\ x &= y - 1 \\ dx &= dy \end{aligned}$$

Now let's substitute everything until it is in terms of y only. For clarity, we will do this from left to right.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (x+1)^3 dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=1} (x+1)^3 dx \\ &= \int_{y-1=0}^{y-1=1} (x+1)^3 dx && \text{(using } x = y - 1\text{)} \\ &= \int_{y=1}^{y=2} (x+1)^3 dx && \text{(rearranging integral bounds)} \\ &= \int_{y=1}^{y=2} y^3 dx && \text{(using } y = x + 1\text{)} \\ &= \int_{y=1}^{y=2} y^3 dy && \text{(using } dx = dy\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can integrate this in y as usual.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{y=1}^{y=2} y^3 dy &= \left[\frac{1}{4} y^4 \right]_{y=1}^{y=2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2^4 - 1^4) \\ &= \frac{16}{4} \end{aligned}$$

The indefinite integral can be written as just *the-bit-in-the-square-brackets-plus-c*:

$$\int (x+1)^3 dx = \frac{1}{4}y^4 + c$$

And now all we need to do is substitute back in what y was:

$$\int (x+1)^3 dx = \frac{1}{4}(x+1)^4 + c$$

□

Example 44. Compute the following integral.

$$\int_0^1 \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral of $\cos(\pi x + \pi)$.

Solution. Notice that we know what the integral of $\cos(x)$ is, therefore it would be nice to make a substitution that gives us something we know.

Define $\pi x + \pi = y$. We now want to rewrite everything in terms of y . Rearranging gives $x = \frac{1}{\pi}(y - \pi)$, and taking the derivative of both sides gives $\frac{dy}{dx} = \pi$, where we abuse notation to ‘multiply by dx ’ and write $dy = \pi dx$. Further rearranging gives

$$dx = \frac{1}{\pi} dy$$

Let’s collect our three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \pi x + \pi \\ x &= \frac{1}{\pi}(y - \pi) \\ dx &= \frac{1}{\pi} dy \end{aligned}$$

Now let’s substitute everything until it is in terms of y only. For clarity, we will do this from left to right.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=1} \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx \\ &= \int_{x=0}^{x=1} \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}(y-\pi)=0}^{\frac{1}{\pi}(y-\pi)=1} \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx && (\text{using } x = \frac{1}{\pi}(y - \pi)) \\ &= \int_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx && (\text{rearranging integral bounds}) \\ &= \int_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \cos(y) dy && (\text{using } y = \pi x + \pi) \\ &= \int_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \cos(y) \frac{1}{\pi} dy && (\text{using } dx = \frac{1}{\pi} dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \cos(y) dy && (\text{rearranging}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can integrate this in y as usual.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \cos(y) dy &= \frac{1}{\pi} [\sin(y)]_{y=\pi}^{y=2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (\sin(2\pi) - \sin(\pi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (0 - 0) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The indefinite integral can be written as just *the-bit-in-the-square-brackets-plus-c*, remembering the $\frac{1}{\pi}$ we pulled out:

$$\int \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(y) + c$$

And now all we need to do is substitute back in what y was:

$$\int \cos(\pi x + \pi) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(\pi x + \pi) + c$$

If the dividing by π confuses you, go through the steps above but without the integral bounds! \square

Example 45. Compute the following integral.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{2x+1} dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral of $\frac{1}{2x+1}$.

Solution. Notice that we know what the integral of $\frac{1}{x}$ is, therefore it would be nice to make a substitution that gives us something closer to what we know.

Define $2x+1 = y$. We now want to rewrite everything in terms of y . Rearranging gives $x = \frac{1}{2}(y-1)$, and taking the derivative of both sides using the chain rule gives $\frac{dy}{dx} = 2$, where we abuse notation to ‘multiply by dx ’ and write $dy = 2dx$. Further rearranging gives

$$dx = \frac{1}{2} dy$$

Let’s collect our three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= 2x + 1 \\ x &= \frac{1}{2}(y - 1) \\ dx &= \frac{1}{2} dy\end{aligned}$$

Now let’s substitute everything until it is in terms of y only. For clarity, we will do this from left to right.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \frac{1}{2x+1} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=1} \frac{1}{2x+1} dx \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{2}(y-1)=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(y-1)=1} \frac{1}{2x+1} dx && (\text{using } x = \frac{1}{2}(y-1)) \\
&= \int_{y=1}^{y=3} \frac{1}{2x+1} dx && (\text{rearranging integral bounds}) \\
&= \int_{y=1}^{y=3} \frac{1}{y} dx && (\text{using } y = 2x+1) \\
&= \int_{y=1}^{y=3} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{2} dy && (\text{using } dx = \frac{1}{2}dy) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{y=1}^{y=3} \frac{1}{y} dy && (\text{rearranging})
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can integrate this in y as usual.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{y=1}^{y=3} \frac{1}{y} dy &= \frac{1}{2} [\ln(y)]_{y=1}^{y=3} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\ln(3) - \ln(1)) \\
&= \ln(3)
\end{aligned}$$

The indefinite integral can be written as just *the-bit-in-the-square-brackets-plus-c*, remembering the $\frac{1}{2}$ we pulled out:

$$\int \frac{1}{2x+1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \ln(y) + c$$

And now all we need to do is substitute back in what y was:

$$\int \frac{1}{2x+1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2x+1) + c$$

If the dividing by 2 confuses you, go through the steps above but without the integral bounds! \square

Example 46. Compute the following integral.

$$\int_0^{\pi/4} \tan(x) dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral of $\tan(x)$.

Solution. Firstly, recall that

$$\tan(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)}$$

And here we can make a smart substitution by noticing that $\cos(x)$ differentiates into $-\sin(x)$, therefore when we replace dx , there might be some canceling.

Define $\cos(x) = y$. We now want to rewrite everything in terms of y . Rearranging gives $x = \arccos(y)$, and taking the derivative of both sides gives $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\sin(x)$, where we abuse notation to ‘multiply by dx ’ and write $dy = -\sin(x)dx$. Further rearranging gives

$$dx = -\frac{1}{\sin(x)} dy$$

Let's collect our three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \cos(x) \\ x &= \arccos(y) \\ dx &= -\frac{1}{\sin(x)} dy \end{aligned}$$

Now let's substitute everything until it is in terms of y only. For clarity, we will do this from left to right.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi/4} \tan(x) dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=\pi/4} \tan(x) dx \\ &= \int_{x=0}^{x=\pi/4} \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\arccos(y)=0}^{\arccos(y)=\pi/4} \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} dx && (\text{using } x = \arccos(y)) \\ &= \int_{y=\cos(0)}^{y=\cos(\pi/4)} \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} dx && (\text{rearranging integral bounds}) \\ &= \int_{y=1}^{y=\cos(\pi/4)} \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} dx && (\text{simplifying integral bounds}) \\ &= - \int_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} dx && (\text{swapping integral bounds}) \\ &= - \int_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \frac{\sin(x)}{y} dx && (\text{using } y = \cos(x)) \\ &= - \int_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \frac{\sin(x)}{y} \left(-\frac{1}{\sin(x)}\right) dy && (\text{using } dx = -\frac{1}{\sin(x)}) \\ &= \int_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \frac{1}{y} dy && (\text{simplifying}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can integrate this in y as usual.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \frac{1}{y} dy &= [\ln(y)]_{y=\cos(\pi/4)}^{y=1} \\ &= (\ln(\cos(\pi/4)) - \ln(1)) \\ &= \ln(\cos(\pi/4)) \end{aligned}$$

The indefinite integral can be written as just *the-bit-in-the-square-brackets-plus-c*, but since we swapped the integral bounds, we need to include a minus sign:

$$\int \tan(x) dx = -\ln(y) + c$$

And now all we need to do is substitute back in what y was:

$$\int \tan(x) dx = -\ln(\cos(x)) + c$$

If the minus sign confuses you, go through the steps above but without the integral bounds! \square

4.3.1 Trigonometric Substitutions

One thing that appears frequently is the use of less direct substitutions, where the substitution you require does not directly appear in the integral itself as a change of variable.

A good rule of thumb for when you might need these is if you can spot that the integral looks like an identity that we know if we were to swap out the variable for a different function.

For example, we know that $1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$, and $1 - \cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta$. Therefore, if we spot a term that looks like $1 - x^2$ in an integral, we might think to use the substitution $x = \sin \theta$ or $x = \cos \theta$.

This is because after the substitution this term would simplify:

$$1 - x^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$$

This is important because this often makes a complicated integral much simpler.

We can get a suite of identities from starting with $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$:

$\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$	(main identity)
$\sin^2 \theta = 1 - \cos^2 \theta$	(rearranging main identity)
$\cos^2 \theta = 1 - \sin^2 \theta$	(rearranging main identity)
$\tan^2 \theta + 1 = \sec^2 \theta$	(dividing main identity by $\cos^2 \theta$)
$\sec^2 \theta - 1 = \tan^2 \theta$	(rearranging previous identity)
$1 + \cot^2 \theta = \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta$	(dividing main identity by $\sin^2 \theta$)
$\operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta - 1 = \cot^2 \theta$	(rearranging previous identity)

This means that we can always transform a term that looks like $1 \pm x^2$ or $x^2 \pm 1$ into a single trig term via any of the above identities. Which one to use then comes down to how the *rest* of the integral transforms (especially the dx part)!

Now the other key part is that we can multiply any of the above equations by any number and they are still true!

For example, we have that $a \cos^2 \theta = a - a \sin^2 \theta$. So what if we have something of the form $a - bx^2$? Let's work backwards from what we want to use, and figure out what x^2 must be. We will highlight the non- x part red to show what we are trying to match with the identity.

$$\textcolor{red}{a} - bx^2$$

(the starting point)

What is a relevant trig identity?

$$\begin{aligned} a \cos^2 \theta &= \textcolor{red}{a} - a \sin^2 \theta && \text{(what we want to use)} \\ &= \textcolor{red}{a} - bx^2 && \text{(what we want to replace)} \\ \therefore bx^2 &= a \sin^2 \theta && \text{(what we need to use)} \\ \therefore x &= \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} \sin \theta && \text{(the substitution we get)} \end{aligned}$$

You could go and work out all examples of possible substitutions for each combination of $a \pm bx^2$, but:

Using the technique above to multiply the trig identities by a constant to get the non- x part in the correct form, and deduce what the x part needs to be to achieve this is very powerful. This will allow you to deduce any trig substitution in the form $a \pm bx^2$.

The above is related to the fact that the equation of a circle at the origin is $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$, and we can rearrange to find $y = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$, and trigonometry usually appears when we are dealing with circles.

The thing to be careful of is how the bounds transform in these cases; for example, the integral:

$$\int_0^2 1 - x^2 \, dx$$

The upper bound of the integral is 2, so what would happen if we try to use $x = \sin \theta$? Substituting the upper bound as we did in the previous section gives:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 2 \\ \therefore \sin \theta &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

But now we have a problem! $\sin \theta$ can never be 2 since $\sin \theta$ can only ever be between -1 and 1 .

Example 47. Using a trigonometric substitution, find the indefinite integral

$$\int \sqrt{1 - x^2} \, dx$$

Then state what integral bounds this is valid for when using that substitution.

Solution. Firstly, it is possible to integrate this directly in a few ways, using a substitution of $1 - x^2$ being the most straightforward, or even a difference of two squares method. Since the question is asking for trig, we will do that instead. The identities that look like $1 - x^2$ are $1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$, and $1 - \cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta$. This should suggest that we use sin or cos

Let's substitute the following $x = \sin \theta$. Then calculate

$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \cos \theta$$

We can 'pretend-to-be-able-to-multiply-by- θ ' to find $dx = \cos \theta d\theta$.

Then continue substituting every instance of x with the appropriate thing in terms of θ from left to right.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \sqrt{1-x^2} dx &= \int \sqrt{1-\sin^2 \theta} d\theta && (\text{using } x = \sin \theta) \\
&= \int \sqrt{1-\cos^2 \theta} \cos \theta d\theta && (\text{using } dx = \cos \theta d\theta) \\
&= \int \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta} \cos \theta d\theta && (\text{trig identity}) \\
&= \int \cos \theta \cos \theta d\theta \\
&= \int \cos^2 \theta d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Note that here we used that $\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta} = \cos \theta$, which implicitly means that we need to restrict to where $\cos \theta$ is positive, i.e. $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$

From here, we must remember how to integrate a power of cos and sin. To do this, we (repeatedly if necessary) apply

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos(2\theta) &= \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta && (\text{trig identity}) \\
\therefore \cos(2\theta) &= \cos^2 \theta - (1 - \cos^2 \theta) && (\text{trig identity}) \\
\therefore \cos(2\theta) &= 2\cos^2 \theta - 1 && (\text{simplifying}) \\
\therefore \cos^2 \theta &= \frac{\cos(2\theta) + 1}{2} && (\text{rearranging})
\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to integrate directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \sqrt{1-x^2} dx &= \int \cos^2 \theta d\theta && (\text{where we left off}) \\
&= \int \frac{\cos(2\theta) + 1}{2} \theta d\theta && (\text{using above identity}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \cos(2\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \int 1 d\theta && (\text{separating the integral}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \theta + c && (\text{evaluating the integrals})
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used that $\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta)$ differentiates to become $\cos(2\theta)$, which you can verify using the chain rule. In fact, because of the chain rule Section 3.4, we could have worked this out by knowing that the function which differentiates to give $\cos(2\theta)$ must be of the form $A \sin(2\theta)$, and we can figure out what A must be by differentiating it and setting it equal to what we want:

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos(2\theta) &= (A \sin(2\theta))' \\
&= 2A \cos(2\theta) && (\text{using the chain rule}) \\
\therefore 2A &= 1 && (\text{since } \cos(2\theta) = 2A \cos(2\theta)) \\
\therefore A &= \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

You always have time to do little calculations like this if you are not sure!

If you dislike this method, you can always do another substitution of $2\theta = u$ and run through the integration by substitution method.

To return to the question, we have found the indefinite integral in terms of θ via a trig substitution. We want to replace any θ with the equivalent x terms. To do this, we need to remember that

$x = \sin \theta$. Therefore, $\theta = \sin^{-1} x$, whenever we can invert it⁶. We can continue to simplify by also remembering that

$$\sin 2\theta = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta$$

and that you can write $\cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta}$ to rearrange it in terms of \sin or θ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \theta + c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cancel{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + \frac{1}{2} \theta + c && (\text{using } \sin 2\theta \text{ identity}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\sin \theta \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta}) + \frac{1}{2} \theta + c && (\text{writing cos in terms of sin}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x \sqrt{1 - x^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \theta + c && (\text{using } x = \sin \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x \sqrt{1 - x^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} x + c && (\text{using } \theta = \sin^{-1} x) \end{aligned}$$

This almost finishes the question, giving us that, subject to certain restrictions on x .

$$\int \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} (x \sqrt{1 - x^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} x + c$$

But what are the restrictions? We used $x = \sin \theta$, and therefore x cannot be more than 1 or less than -1 since \sin is between -1 and 1. This means that this indefinite integral is only valid between $-1 \leq x \leq 1$.

This completes the question.

□

This question also shows a major downside using some trigonometric substitutions – the bounds are heavily restricted! For example, we cannot use the indefinite integral above⁷ to find

$$\int_0^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx$$

Since the upper bound is outside of -1 and 1, whereas we *can* compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx &= \left[\frac{1}{2} (x \sqrt{1 - x^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} x \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 \cancel{\sqrt{1 - 1^2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} (0 \cancel{\sqrt{1 - 0^2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} 1 \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Now we look at examples where it indeed helps us, and consider definite integrals. When considering definite integrals, we need to be very careful with the bounds since we will be inverting trigonometric functions.

In each example, we will first try a substitution that *looks* plausible, and then discard it if it complicates the integrand. Then we will choose a trigonometric substitution that matches one of the identities

$$1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta, \quad 1 + \tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta, \quad \sec^2 \theta - 1 = \tan^2 \theta,$$

or a scaled version of them.

⁶Explicitly, this is when $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$

⁷In fact, simply because we cannot allow $1 - x^2$ to be negative is sufficient to deduce this, but the point still stands.

Example 48. Using a trigonometric substitution, compute

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx.$$

Solution. First, it is tempting to try the “direct” substitution $u = 1 - x^2$. Then $du = -2x dx$, so $dx = -\frac{1}{2x} du$. Substituting this into the integral gives

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{u}} \left(-\frac{1}{2x} \right) du = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{x^2\sqrt{u}} du,$$

This is complicating the integral because x^2 is still present, which will incorporate another u due to $x^2 = u - 1$. So we discard this.

Since the integrand contains $\sqrt{1-x^2}$, we should aim to use $1-\cos^2\theta = \sin^2\theta$ or $1-\sin^2\theta = \cos^2\theta$. Both $x = \sin\theta$ and $x = \cos\theta$ are candidates.

We pick one and see if it helps. If not, we can try the other one.

Now proceed with $x = \cos\theta$. Since $1/2 \leq x \leq 1$, we take $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, where $\cos\theta \geq 0$ and $\sin\theta \geq 0$.

Compute the pieces:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \cos\theta \\ dx &= -\sin\theta d\theta \\ \sqrt{1-x^2} &= \sqrt{1-\cos^2\theta} = \sqrt{\sin^2\theta} = \sin\theta \quad (\text{since } \sin\theta \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Convert the bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{2} \therefore \cos\theta = \frac{1}{2} \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{3} \\ x &= 1 \therefore \cos\theta = 1 \therefore \theta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute from left to right:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx &= \int_{x=1/2}^{x=1} \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{\cos\theta\sqrt{1-\cos^2\theta}} dx \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{\cos\theta\sqrt{1-\cos^2\theta}} (-\sin\theta d\theta) \\ &= \int_{\theta=\pi/3}^{\theta=0} \frac{1}{\cos\theta\cdot\sin\theta} (-\sin\theta d\theta) \\ &= \int_{\theta=\pi/3}^{\theta=0} -\sec\theta d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/3} \sec\theta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now integrate using the formula book:

$$\int_0^{\pi/3} \sec\theta d\theta = [\ln|\sec\theta + \tan\theta|]_0^{\pi/3} = \ln\left(\sec\frac{\pi}{3} + \tan\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \ln(\sec 0 + \tan 0).$$

Evaluate the trig values:

$$\sec \frac{\pi}{3} = 2, \quad \tan \frac{\pi}{3} = \sqrt{3}, \quad \sec 0 = 1, \quad \tan 0 = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \ln(2+\sqrt{3}) - \ln(1) = \ln(2+\sqrt{3}).$$

□

Example 49. Using a trigonometric substitution, compute

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} dx$$

Solution. The expression $x^2 - 1$ matches the identity

$$\sec^2 \theta - 1 = \tan^2 \theta$$

This suggests the substitution

$$x = \sec \theta$$

We need to replace all instances of x with θ , including the bounds and dx , therefore we compute

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sec \theta \\ dx &= \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta \\ \sqrt{x^2-1} &= \sqrt{\sec^2 \theta - 1} = \sqrt{\tan^2 \theta} = \tan \theta \end{aligned}$$

Convert the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} x = 1 &\therefore \sec \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = 0 \\ x = 2 &\therefore \sec \theta = 2 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute from left to right

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} dx &= \int_{x=1}^{x=2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} dx \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sec^2 \theta - 1}} d\theta \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/3} \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/3} \sec \theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Use the formula book

$$\int \sec \theta d\theta = \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| + c$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi/3} \sec \theta d\theta &= [\ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta|]_0^{\pi/3} \\ &= \ln \left(\sec \frac{\pi}{3} + \tan \frac{\pi}{3} \right) - \ln (\sec 0 + \tan 0) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sec \frac{\pi}{3} = 2 & \tan \frac{\pi}{3} = \sqrt{3} \\ \sec 0 = 1 & \tan 0 = 0 \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} dx = \ln(2 + \sqrt{3}) - \ln(1) = \ln(2 + \sqrt{3})$$

□

Example 50. Let $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. Using a trigonometric substitution, compute

$$\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2}} dx$$

Solution. We want to get it into a form where we can spot a term like $1 \pm x^2$ or $x^2 \pm 1$.

The expression $a^2x^2 + b^2$ can be written as

$$a^2x^2 + b^2 = b^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{ax}{b} \right)^2 \right)$$

and we recognise the identity

$$1 + \tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta$$

This suggests the substitution

$$\frac{ax}{b} = \tan \theta$$

which we write as

$$x = \frac{b}{a} \tan \theta$$

We need to replace all instances of x with θ , including the bounds and dx , therefore we compute

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{b}{a} \tan \theta \\ dx &= \frac{b}{a} \sec^2 \theta d\theta \\ \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} &= \sqrt{a^2 \left(\frac{b}{a} \tan \theta \right)^2 + b^2} \\ &= \sqrt{b^2 \tan^2 \theta + b^2} \\ &= b\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta} \\ &= b \sec \theta \end{aligned}$$

Convert the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} x = 0 &\therefore \tan \theta = 0 \therefore \theta = 0 \\ x = \frac{b}{a} &\therefore \tan \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute from left to right

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2}} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=\frac{b}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2}} dx \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\tan\theta\right)^2 + b^2}} d\theta \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/4} \frac{1}{b\sec\theta} \frac{b}{a} \sec^2\theta d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \int_0^{\pi/4} \sec\theta d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Use the formula book

$$\int \sec\theta d\theta = \ln|\sec\theta + \tan\theta| + c$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a} \int_0^{\pi/4} \sec\theta d\theta &= \frac{1}{a} [\ln|\sec\theta + \tan\theta|]_0^{\pi/4} \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \left(\ln\left(\sec\frac{\pi}{4} + \tan\frac{\pi}{4}\right) - \ln(\sec 0 + \tan 0) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
\sec\frac{\pi}{4} &= \sqrt{2} & \tan\frac{\pi}{4} &= 1 \\
\sec 0 &= 1 & \tan 0 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2}} dx = \frac{1}{a} \left(\ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) - \ln(1) \right) = \frac{1}{a} \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1)$$

□

The following two are mainly difficult due to the emergence of integrating $\sec^3\theta$. Otherwise, the techniques are the same.

Example 51. (hard) Using a trigonometric substitution, compute

$$\int_1^2 \sqrt{x^2 - 1} dx$$

Solution. It is possible to evaluate this integral using a different substitution. However, since the question asks for a trigonometric substitution, we proceed in that way.

The expression $x^2 - 1$ matches the identity

$$\sec^2\theta - 1 = \tan^2\theta$$

This suggests the substitution

$$x = \sec\theta$$

We need to replace all instances of x with θ , including the bounds and dx , therefore we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \sec\theta \\
dx &= \sec\theta \tan\theta d\theta \\
\sqrt{x^2 - 1} &= \sqrt{\sec^2\theta - 1} = \sqrt{\tan^2\theta} = \tan\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Convert the bounds

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 1 \therefore \sec \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = 0 \\x &= 2 \therefore \sec \theta = 2 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{3}\end{aligned}$$

Substitute from left to right

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^2 \sqrt{x^2 - 1} dx &= \int_{x=1}^{x=2} \sqrt{x^2 - 1} dx \\&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/3} \tan \theta \cdot \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta \\&= \int_0^{\pi/3} \sec \theta \tan^2 \theta d\theta\end{aligned}$$

Use $\tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\pi/3} \sec \theta \tan^2 \theta d\theta &= \int_0^{\pi/3} \sec \theta (\sec^2 \theta - 1) d\theta \\&= \int_0^{\pi/3} (\sec^3 \theta - \sec \theta) d\theta\end{aligned}$$

The integral of $\sec \theta$ is in the formula book. To integrate $\sec^3 \theta$, we use integration by parts.

Write

$$\sec^3 \theta = \sec \theta \cdot \sec^2 \theta$$

Choose

$$\begin{aligned}u &= \sec \theta & v' &= \sec^2 \theta \\u' &= \sec \theta \tan \theta & v &= \tan \theta\end{aligned}$$

Apply integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}\int \sec^3 \theta d\theta &= \sec \theta \tan \theta - \int \tan \theta (\sec \theta \tan \theta) d\theta \\&= \sec \theta \tan \theta - \int \sec \theta \tan^2 \theta d\theta \\&= \sec \theta \tan \theta - \int \sec \theta (\sec^2 \theta - 1) d\theta \\&= \sec \theta \tan \theta - \int \sec^3 \theta d\theta + \int \sec \theta d\theta\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging

$$\begin{aligned}2 \int \sec^3 \theta d\theta &= \sec \theta \tan \theta + \int \sec \theta d\theta \\\therefore \int \sec^3 \theta d\theta &= \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| + c\end{aligned}$$

Return to the definite integral

$$\int_0^{\pi/3} (\sec^3 \theta - \sec \theta) d\theta = \left[\frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right]_0^{\pi/3}$$

Evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}\sec \frac{\pi}{3} &= 2 & \tan \frac{\pi}{3} &= \sqrt{3} \\ \sec 0 &= 1 & \tan 0 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_1^2 \sqrt{x^2 - 1} dx = \sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2 + \sqrt{3})$$

□

Example 52. (hard) Let $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. Using a trigonometric substitution, compute

$$\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} dx$$

Solution. We want to get it into a form where we can spot a term like $1 \pm x^2$ or $x^2 \pm 1$.

Factor out b^2

$$\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} = b\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{ax}{b}\right)^2}$$

Using $1 + \tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta$, we set

$$\frac{ax}{b} = \tan \theta$$

We need to replace all instances of x with θ , including the bounds and dx , therefore we compute

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{b}{a} \tan \theta \\ dx &= \frac{b}{a} \sec^2 \theta d\theta \\ \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} &= b \sec \theta\end{aligned}$$

Convert the bounds

$$\begin{aligned}x = 0 &\therefore \tan \theta = 0 \therefore \theta = 0 \\ x = \frac{b}{a} &\therefore \tan \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Substitute from left to right

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} dx &= \int_0^{\pi/4} b \sec \theta \cdot \frac{b}{a} \sec^2 \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{b^2}{a} \int_0^{\pi/4} \sec^3 \theta d\theta\end{aligned}$$

Using the result derived above in Example 51

$$\int \sec^3 \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| + c$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} dx = \frac{b^2}{a} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right]_0^{\pi/4}$$

Evaluate

$$\sec \frac{\pi}{4} = \sqrt{2} \quad \tan \frac{\pi}{4} = 1$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\frac{b}{a}} \sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2} dx = \frac{b^2}{2a} \left(\sqrt{2} + \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) \right)$$

□

4.3.2 Additional Exercises

Example 53. (*hard*)

Calculate the indefinite integral $\int \operatorname{cosec} x dx$.

Rearrange your answer to be in the form found in your formula book.

$$\int \operatorname{cosec} x dx = \ln |\operatorname{cosec} x + \cot x|$$

Hence deduce the indefinite integral $\int \sec x dx$.

Rearrange your answer to be in the form found in your formula book.

$$\int \sec x dx = \ln |\sec x + \tan x|$$

Solution. There is a reason that this is included in your formula book! There is no “nice” way of approaching this (without complex numbers).

If you were attempting this for yourself without knowing the answer, there are really three approaches:

1. Substitution, e.g. with $u = \sin x$
2. By parts with $u = \frac{1}{\sin x}$ and $v' = 1$
3. More rearranging before trying the above two again

The first option, if you work through it gives that

$$\int \operatorname{cosec} x dx = \int \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du$$

But this integral is again very difficult, and probably requires more substitutions! This can be done, but it is good to attempt another approach if your first approach seems to be complicating the integral.

The second option, if you work through it gives that

$$\int \operatorname{cosec} x dx = \frac{x}{\sin x} + \int \frac{\cos x}{\sin^2 x} dx$$

Which gives us a disgusting integral to solve, which is definitely more complicated than the one we started with! That leaves us with the third option, which is to rearrange before integrating.

We will use multiple tricks to massage this into an integral we can approach, starting with the least intuitive trick.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{\sin x} dx &= \int \frac{\sin x}{\sin^2 x} dx && \text{(multiply top and bottom by } \sin x\text{)} \\ &= \int \frac{\sin x}{1 - \cos^2 x} dx && \text{(using } \sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Now let's use the substitution $u = \cos x$. Therefore $\frac{du}{dx} = -\sin x$. Pretending to rearrange this for $-du$ gives $-du = \sin x dx$.

We can substitute this into our final line above since the numerator is $\sin x dx$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{\sin x} dx &= - \int \frac{1}{1 - \cos^2 x} du && \text{(using } -du = \sin x dx\text{)} \\ &= - \int \frac{1}{1 - u^2} du && \text{(using } u = \cos x\text{)} \\ &= - \int \frac{1}{(1 - u)(1 + u)} du && \text{(difference of two squares)}$$

We now use partial fractions to separate the final fraction into two easier to integrate fractions.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(1 - u)(1 + u)} &= \frac{a}{1 - u} + \frac{b}{1 + u} && \text{(set up partial fractions)} \\ &= \frac{a(1 + u) + b(1 - u)}{(1 - u)(1 + u)} && \text{(add the fractions)} \\ &= \frac{(a + b) + (a - b)u}{(1 - u)(1 + u)} && \text{(collecting terms)}$$

This gives us the following equation to solve:

$$a(1 + u) + b(1 - u) = 1$$

Or equivalently

$$(a + b) + (a - b)u = 1$$

There are two approaches to proceed to solve for a and b . One is to substitute $u = 1$ and $u = -1$ to cancel a or b in succession. This gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}b(1 - (-1)) &= 1 && (u = -1) \\ a(1 + 1) &= 1 && (u = 1)\end{aligned}$$

Which can be solved to find $a = b = \frac{1}{2}$.

The second approach is to compare like terms with coefficients of u and constants on the left and right side of the equation.

$$(a + b) + (a - b)u = 1 + 0u$$

We now compare the terms and deduce

$$\begin{aligned}a + b &= 1 \\ a - b &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Adding the two together gives $2a = 1$ and therefore $a = \frac{1}{2}$. The second equation shows that $a = b$ therefore they are both $\frac{1}{2}$.

Returning to our integral, we can now split our denominator into two fractions.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{\sin x} dx &= - \int \frac{1}{(1-u)(1+u)} du && \text{(where we left off)} \\ &= - \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-u} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+u} \right) du && \text{(substituting the partial fraction coefficients)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int \frac{1}{1-u} du + \int \frac{1}{1+u} du \right) && \text{(factorising)}\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the integral of $\frac{1}{u}$ is $\ln|u|$. Therefore by the chain rule (or by substitution of $y = 1-u$ and $z = 1+u$)

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{\sin x} dx &= -\frac{1}{2} (-\ln|1-u| + \ln|1+u|) + c && \text{(integrating)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\ln \left| \frac{1+u}{1-u} \right| \right) + c && \text{(recall } \ln x - \ln y = \ln \frac{x}{y} \text{)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1+\cos x}{1-\cos x} \right| + c && \text{(substituting } u = \cos x \text{)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{(1+\cos x)^2}{(1-\cos x)(1+\cos x)} \right| + c && \text{(multiplying top and bottom by } (1+\cos x) \text{)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{(1+\cos x)^2}{1-\cos^2 x} \right| + c && \text{(multiplying denominator)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{(1+\cos x)^2}{\sin^2 x} \right| + c && \text{(using } \sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1 \text{)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \left(\frac{1+\cos x}{\sin x} \right)^2 \right| + c && \text{(using } (xy)^2 = x^2 y^2 \text{)} \\ &= -\ln \left| \frac{1+\cos x}{\sin x} \right| + c && \text{(recall } a \ln x = \ln(x^a) \text{)} \\ &= -\ln |\cosec x + \cot x| + c && \text{(separating the fraction)}\end{aligned}$$

Which is finally in the form of your formula book.

What about sec? Recall that $\sin(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) = -\cos(x)$. Therefore

$$\int \sec x dx = - \int \cosec(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) dx$$

Now, using the chain rule, we can observe that adding a constant to the argument of a function also adds the same constant to the argument of the derivative. To see this, differentiate $f(x+a)$ using the chain rule – you get $f'(x+a)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \sec x dx &= - \int \operatorname{cosec}(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) dx && (\text{using } \sec x = -\operatorname{cosec}(x - \frac{\pi}{2})) \\
&= \ln \left| \operatorname{cosec}(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) + \cot(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right| + c && (\text{using our result for } \int \operatorname{cosec} x) \\
&= \ln \left| -\sec x + \cot(x - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right| + c && (\text{using our relation for cosec and sec again}) \\
&= \ln \left| -\sec x + \frac{\cos(x - \frac{\pi}{2})}{\sin(x - \frac{\pi}{2})} \right| + c && (\text{definition of cot}) \\
&= \ln \left| -\sec x + \frac{\sin x}{-\cos x} \right| + c && (\text{shifting sin and cos}) \\
&= \ln | -\sec x - \tan x | + c && (\text{definition of tan}) \\
&= \ln | \sec x + \tan x | + c && (\text{using } | -x | = |x|)
\end{aligned}$$

Which is finally in the form of the formula book!

□

Example 54. Compute the following indefinite integral, assuming that $4 - x^2 \geq 0$.

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 \sqrt{4 - x^2}} dx$$

Solution. I will approach this from the point of view of discovering what the correct approach is, if it is not obvious from the start, and ignoring that we are in the substitution section of these notes.

Firstly, it is not obvious to “see” an easy way to integrate it directly, so we move on to trying to rearrange it into something we can integrate.

The only way I can spot to rearrange the integral is by spotting a difference of two squares in the denominator:

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 \sqrt{(2-x)(2+x)}} dx$$

The issue is that this didn’t lead to any additional simplifications, so it is unclear whether this helped or not.

Instead let’s consider an integration by parts, which might seem like a natural way to progress.

Using LATE does not help too much as a choice of u , so let’s just choose the left side as u :

$$u = \frac{1}{x^2} = x^{-2} \quad v = ? \tag{4.3.1}$$

$$u' = -2x^{-3} = \frac{-2}{x^3} \quad v' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 - x^2}} \tag{4.3.2}$$

This has two problems: one is that the u' has introduced an additional power of x , making our life worse, and the other problem is that we still need to integrate something difficult to find v . This should clue us in to the idea that maybe this approach is wrong.

Next let’s try integration by substitution. For example, we could try $u = x^2 \sqrt{4 - x^2}$, but finding what dx is will be an issue since we will have a very complicated derivative via the product rule.

Again this is complicating our work. At some point we must realise that if this is an exam question, we are probably not expected to spend this much time on it.

Instead, let's try a substitution of the “bad” part: $u = \sqrt{4 - x^2}$ If we were to continue, we would realise that the chain rule Section 3.4 is needed for $\frac{du}{dx}$.

The other part of the integral is x^2 , which we can rearrange for by squaring both sides and finding $x^2 = 4 - u^2$.

This would turn the integral into the following.

$$\int \frac{1}{u(4-u^2)} \cdot (\text{whatever } dx \text{ is})$$

This is also a complicated integral, but potentially more manageable than the original. So far, this is our best option.

However, if you have recently looked at the trigonometric substitution Section 4.3.1, you might recognise the $\sqrt{4 - x^2}$ as containing something that looks like $a \pm bx^2$.

This is the correct approach.

Let's find a trig identity which looks like $4 - x^2$, following the procedure outlined in that section.

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta &= 1 \\ \therefore 4 \sin^2 \theta + 4 \cos^2 \theta &= 4 \\ \therefore 4 \sin^2 \theta &= 4 - 4 \cos^2 \theta \\ \therefore 4 \cos^2 \theta &= 4 - 4 \sin^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

This gives us two options which look like $4 - (\text{something})^2$. The point is that when we take the square root, the square on the left-hand-side will cancel and leave us with something much simpler. Let's choose the first one⁸ and deduce what substitution we need.

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \sin^2 \theta &= 4 - 4 \cos^2 \theta \\ \therefore 2 \sin \theta &= \sqrt{4 - 4 \cos^2 \theta} && \text{(what we want to use)} \\ &= \sqrt{4 - x^2} && \text{(what we want to replace)} \\ \therefore x^2 &= 4 \cos^2 \theta && \text{(what we need to be true)} \\ \therefore x &= 2 \cos \theta && \text{(what the substitution is)} \\ \therefore \frac{dx}{d\theta} &= -2 \sin \theta && \text{(for } dx) \\ \therefore dx &= -2 \sin \theta d\theta && \text{(pretending to rearrange for } dx) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can substitute the relevant equations from above into the integral from left to right:

⁸Choosing the other one and working through ends up with needing to integrate $\cosec^2 \theta$, which is not in the Edexcel formula book, but is possible to continue through regardless. We choose the other approach for reasons that will become clear at the end of the example.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{1}{x^2\sqrt{4-x^2}}dx &= \int \frac{1}{4\cos^2\theta\sqrt{4-x^2}}dx && (\text{using } x^2 = 4\cos^2\theta) \\
&= \int \frac{1}{4\cos^2\theta \cdot 2\sin\theta}dx && (\text{using } 2\sin\theta = \sqrt{4-4\cos^2\theta}) \\
&= \int \frac{1}{4\cos^2\theta \cdot 2\sin\theta}(-2\sin\theta)d\theta && (\text{using } dx = -2\sin\theta d\theta) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int \sec^2\theta d\theta && (\text{rearranging, using } \frac{1}{\cos\theta} = \sec\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we could continue with integrating this, but for Edexcel A-level, it is always worth checking if a trigonometric integral is just in the formula book. The formula book indeed tells us that:

$$\int \sec^2 kx dx = \frac{1}{k} \tan kx + c$$

Setting $k = 1$ and $x = \theta$ in the formula gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{1}{x^2\sqrt{4-x^2}}dx &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \sec^2\theta d\theta && (\text{where we left off}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \tan\theta + c
\end{aligned}$$

We are not done however, since we introduced θ as part of our substitution – the question was in terms of x so we should give this in terms of x too.

Recall that $x = 2 \cos \theta$, and therefore $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2}x$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{1}{x^2\sqrt{4-x^2}}dx &= -\frac{1}{4}\tan\theta + c \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta} + c && (\text{using } \tan\theta = \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sqrt{1-\cos^2\theta}}{\cos\theta} + c && (\text{using } \sin\theta = \sqrt{1-\cos^2\theta}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sqrt{1-(\frac{1}{2}x)^2}}{\frac{1}{2}x} + c && (\text{using } \cos\theta = \frac{1}{2}x) \\
&= -\frac{2}{4}\frac{\sqrt{1-(\frac{1}{2}x)^2}}{x} + c && (\text{multiplying top and bottom by 2}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{1-(\frac{1}{2}x)^2}}{x} + c && (\text{simplifying fraction}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4}x^2}}{x} + c && (\text{expanding the square}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\frac{4}{4}-\frac{1}{4}x^2}}{x} + c && (\text{writing } 1 = \frac{4}{4}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(4-x^2)}}{x} + c && (\text{factorising}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{4-x^2}}}{x} + c && (\text{using } \sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{a}\sqrt{b}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x} + c && (\text{using } \sqrt{a/b} = \sqrt{a}/\sqrt{b}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x} + c && (\text{multiplying top and bottom by 2})
\end{aligned}$$

And finally, we find that the integral is as follows.

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2\sqrt{4-x^2}}dx = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x} + c$$

□

4.4 Mixed Exercises

These questions use a range of techniques. Remember that the main way to approach an integral question is as follows:

1. Do we know what function has a derivative which is what we are trying to find the integral of? I.e. can we “just see” the answer? This can include the formula book.
2. Can we rearrange the integral into something we know how to integrate?
3. Can we use integration by parts?
4. Can we use a substitution?

Where the numbering corresponds to difficulty. If you can do an earlier step you probably should.

The following exercises will demonstrate this.

Example 55. Find the following indefinite integral, where $a \neq 0$ is a constant.

$$\int \frac{1}{x} \cdot x^a dx$$

What happens if $a = 0$?

Solution. If we skipped the stepped approach, we might get tunnel-visioned into jumping into a integration by parts. This would have us choose $u = x^a$ and $v' = \frac{1}{x}$ via LATE, and therefore $v = \ln x$, and we could continue. This unfortunately is jumping the gun and complicating our lives, when if we had followed the steps the answer would be much clearer.

Firstly, it is not directly obvious to see a function which differentiates into $\frac{1}{x} \cdot x^a$, but we *can* rearrange it into something we can handle.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{1}{x} \cdot x^a dx &= \int x^{-1} \cdot x^a dx \\ &= \int x^{a-1} dx \end{aligned} \quad (\text{using } x^a x^b = x^{a+b})$$

Now this one you can recognise as something we can integrate. Since we know x^a differentiates to become ax^{a-1} , we can divide by a to find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{1}{x} \cdot x^a dx &= \int x^{a-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{a} x^a + c \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, simplifying the integral first allowed us to find that

$$\int \frac{1}{x} \cdot x^a dx = \frac{1}{a} x^a + c$$

Now this holds whenever $a \neq 0$, which can be seen by the right-hand-side being divided by a . If $a = 0$, the integral becomes:

$$\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln |x| + c$$

Which again is possible by first simplifying the integral before continuing. Notice that $\ln x$ only occurs when we have exactly $\frac{1}{x}$ in the integral⁹, otherwise we also get a power of x as the integral as seen above.

This completes the question by considering the two cases separately. \square

Example 56. Find

$$\int_0^9 \frac{x}{1+\sqrt{x}} dx$$

Solution. This might seem to be obviously a substitution question, but I recommend to at least attempt to go through the steps above in order first.

Can we just integrate it? Well since we have a $\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{x}}$ term in the integrand, it is useful to think of any integral that might give us this term. One you might try is $\ln(1 + \sqrt{x})$. This differentiates to give $\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x}}{1+\sqrt{x}}$, which isn't exactly the form we need.

⁹In general the integral needs to be of the form $\int \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} dx = \ln |f(x)| + c$.

Secondly, can we rearrange or simplify it? I cannot see a way to rearrange this in an obvious way.

Next you might have tried by parts with:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{x}} & v &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ u' &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{x})^2} & v' &= x \end{aligned}$$

Where we would then need to integrate $u'v$ as per the by parts formula. This new integral is most likely more complicated than the one we started with! So we should consider another method. We need to have clarity of mind to see that we are going down the wrong path with this method for this question.

So we proceed with a substitution. The first thing that should jump out at you is using the substitution $u = 1 + \sqrt{x}$

We now calculate everything in terms of u that we need.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dx} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} && \text{(differentiating)} \\ dx &= 2\sqrt{x}du && \text{(rearranging for } dx) \\ u &= 1 + \sqrt{x} && \text{(our substitution)} \\ x &= (u - 1)^2 && \text{(rearranging } u = 1 + \sqrt{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Now let's substitute instance of x from left to right with u in our integral, making sure to remember the integral bounds.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^9 \frac{x}{1 + \sqrt{x}} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=9} \frac{x}{1 + \sqrt{x}} dx && \text{(reminding ourselves of } x) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{x}{1 + \sqrt{x}} dx && \text{(using } u = 1 + \sqrt{x}) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^2}{1 + \sqrt{x}} dx && \text{(using } x = (u - 1)^2) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^2}{u} dx && \text{(using } u = 1 + \sqrt{x}) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^2}{u} 2\sqrt{x} du && \text{(using } dx = 2\sqrt{x}du) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^2}{u} 2\sqrt{(u - 1)^2} du && \text{(using } x = (u - 1)^2) \\ &= \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^2}{u} 2(u - 1) du && \text{(using } (a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = a) \\ &= 2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u - 1)^3}{u} du && \text{(simplifying)} \end{aligned}$$

Now we again ask ourselves the questions we ask ourselves whenever there is an integral.

Can we see the integral is? Due to the $\frac{1}{u}$, we might expect there to be some \ln in the answer, but it is not obvious.

Then we ask: can we rearrange this into something we *do* know how to integrate?¹⁰

¹⁰It is possible to approach this with by parts with $f = (u - 1)^3$ and $g' = \frac{1}{u}$, but you would need to do by parts multiple times with the resulting integral. There are no obvious substitutions either. It is essential to be able to identify when you can "just do" the integral and not waste time with a more complicated method.

Well, the only thing we can try is expanding:

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{(u-1)^3}{u} du &= 2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{u^3 + 3u^2 \times (-1) + 3u \times (-1)^2 + (-1)^3}{u} du \\
&\quad (\text{using binomial expansion formula}) \\
&= 2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{u^3 - 3u^2 \times +3u \times -1}{u} du \\
&\quad (\text{simplifying}) \\
&= 2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} \frac{u^3}{u} - 3 \frac{u^2}{u} + 3 \frac{u}{u} - \frac{1}{u} du \\
&\quad (\text{separating the fraction}) \\
&= 2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} u^2 - 3u + 3 - \frac{1}{u} du \\
&\quad (\text{simplifying})
\end{aligned}$$

Which we can actually solve by computing each of the integrals one-by-one!

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{u=1}^{u=4} u^2 - 3u + 3 - \frac{1}{u} du &= 2 \left[\frac{1}{3}u^3 - \frac{3}{2}u^2 + 3u - \ln|u| \right]_{u=1}^{u=4} \\
&= 2\left(\frac{1}{3}4^3 - \frac{3}{2}4^2 + 3 \times 4 - \ln 4\right) \\
&\quad (\text{"just doing" the integrals}) \\
&\quad - 2\left(\frac{1}{3}1^3 - \frac{3}{2}1^2 + 3 \times 1 - \ln 1\right) \\
&\quad (\text{using } \ln 1 = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

Where you could expand all of this or put it in a calculator for the answer. \square

Example 57. Find

$$\int_1^{e^2} x^2 \ln x dx$$

Solution. It's always good to think whether you can spot the integral before starting. Since it is not clear or in the formula book, we then ask ourselves whether we can rearrange it in any sensible way. Since there is no real way to rearrange this obviously, we continue with by parts or substitution.

There is an obvious calling for by parts since it is two functions multiplied together.

Using LATE, we declare that $u = \ln x$ and $v' = x^2$. Writing this in a square and filling in the missing u' and v via differentiation and integration gives us:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
u = \ln x & v = \frac{1}{3}x^3 \\
u' = \frac{1}{x} & v' = x^2
\end{array}$$

Recall (or derive from the product rule as in Section 4.2) the by parts formula:

$$\int_a^b uv' dx = [uv]_a^b - \int_a^b u'v dx$$

Substituting our square into this formula gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^{e^2} x^3 \ln x dx &= \left[\ln x \frac{1}{3} x^3 \right]_1^{e^2} - \int_1^{e^2} \frac{1}{x} \times \frac{1}{3} x^3 dx \\
&= \left(\ln(e^2) \frac{1}{3} (e^2)^3 - \ln(1) \frac{1}{3} (1)^3 \right) - \int_1^{e^2} \frac{1}{x} \times \frac{1}{3} x^3 dx && \text{(substituting bounds)} \\
&= \left(2 \ln(e) \frac{1}{3} e^6 - \ln(1) \frac{1}{3} \right) - \int_1^{e^2} \frac{1}{x} \times \frac{1}{3} x^3 dx && \text{(using } \ln a^b = b \ln a \text{ and } \ln 1 = 0\text{)} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} e^6 - \int_1^{e^2} \frac{1}{x} \times \frac{1}{3} x^3 dx && \text{(using } \ln e = 1\text{)} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} e^6 - \frac{1}{3} \int_1^{e^2} x^2 dx && \text{(simplifying the integral)} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} e^6 - \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{3} x^3 \right]_1^{e^2} && \text{(evaluating the integral)} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} e^6 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{3} (e^2)^3 - \frac{1}{3} 1^3 \right) && \text{(evaluating the bounds)}
\end{aligned}$$

Which you could go on to simplify and or plug into a calculator.

□

Example 58. Find

$$\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral

$$\int 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx$$

Solution. Again we start by trying to see if we can see an answer immediately. Since the derivative of $e^{f(x)}$ has a term like $e^{f(x)}$ in it, we might try something like $x^3 e^{-3x}$, which differentiates to become $3x^2 e^{-3x} - 3x^3 e^{-3x}$, where this is almost like what we want except the second term has an x^3 in it, ruining our fun.

Since there is no obvious way to rearrange this, and we could not integrate it directly, we try by parts. Using LATE, choose $u = 8x^2$ and $v' = e^{-3x}$.

Let us differentiate u and integrate v' ¹¹ to complete our square:

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= 8x^2 & v &= -\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \\
u' &= 16x & v' &= e^{-3x}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (or derive from the product rule as in Section 4.2) the by parts formula:

$$\int_a^b uv' dx = [uv]_a^b - \int_a^b u' v dx$$

Substituting our square into this formula gives the following:

¹¹Observe that e^{-3x} differentiates to give $-3e^{-3x}$, so we must divide by -3 to get the answer we want.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx &= \left[8x^2 \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) \right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 16x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) dx \\
&= \left(8 \times 1^2 \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3 \times 1} \right) - 8 \times 0^2 \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3 \times 0} \right) \right) - \int_0^1 16x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) dx \\
&\quad \text{(substituting the integral bounds)} \\
&= -\frac{8}{3} e^{-3} - \int_0^1 16x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) dx \\
&= -\frac{8}{3} e^{-3} + \frac{16}{3} \int_0^1 x e^{-3x} dx \\
&\quad \text{(rearranging the integral)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now how can we approach this final integral? If we ask ourselves the same questions again, we realise that we must do integration by parts again! We should not worry however, since although this integral looks similar to the one we started with, the power of x has decreased which means we are making progress – if the power decreases one more time then we will be left with just e^{-3x} , which we know how to integrate happily.

We continue by repeating our process of integration by parts on

$$\int_0^1 x e^{-3x} dx.$$

Using LATE again, choose $u = x$ and $v' = e^{-3x}$. As before, integrating e^{-3x} gives $v = -\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x}$ and differentiating x gives $u' = 1$. Our square is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= x & v &= -\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x} \\
u' &= 1 & v' &= e^{-3x}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the by parts formula on $[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 x e^{-3x} dx &= \left[x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) \right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 1 \times \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right) dx \\
&= \left[-\frac{1}{3} x e^{-3x} \right]_0^1 + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 e^{-3x} dx \\
&= \left(-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3} - 0 \right) + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 e^{-3x} dx \\
&\quad \text{(evaluating the boundary term)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate the remaining exponential:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 e^{-3x} dx &= \left[-\frac{1}{3} e^{-3x} \right]_0^1 \\
&= -\frac{1}{3} e^{-3} + \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this back in, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 xe^{-3x} dx &= -\frac{1}{3}e^{-3} + \frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{3}e^{-3} - \frac{1}{9}e^{-3} + \frac{1}{9} \\
&= -\frac{4}{9}e^{-3} + \frac{1}{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Now recall that our original integral was:

$$\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx = -\frac{8}{3}e^{-3} + \frac{16}{3} \int_0^1 xe^{-3x} dx$$

Substituting our result for $\int_0^1 xe^{-3x} dx$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx &= -\frac{8}{3}e^{-3} + \frac{16}{3} \left(-\frac{4}{9}e^{-3} + \frac{1}{9} \right) \\
&= -\frac{8}{3}e^{-3} - \frac{64}{27}e^{-3} + \frac{16}{27} \\
&= -\frac{72}{27}e^{-3} - \frac{64}{27}e^{-3} + \frac{16}{27} \quad (\text{writing } \frac{8}{3} = \frac{72}{27}) \\
&= \frac{16}{27} - \frac{136}{27}e^{-3}
\end{aligned}$$

You could leave your answer as

$$\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx = \frac{16}{27} - \frac{136}{27}e^{-3}$$

or factor it slightly as

$$\int_0^1 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx = \frac{8}{27} (2 - 17e^{-3})$$

or simply plug this into a calculator for a decimal approximation.

What if we wanted to know the indefinite integral of $8x^2 e^{-3x}$? The only real difference between definite and indefinite integral is whether you write the square brackets or not. To convert from a definite integral to an indefinite integral, you can work up until the square brackets part, and then remove the square brackets and add c .

For example, we can do some chasing around to find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int 8x^2 e^{-3x} dx &= 8x^2 \times (-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x}) + \frac{16}{3} \int xe^{-3x} dx \quad (\text{reusing work up until square brackets}) \\
&= 8x^2 \times (-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x}) + \frac{16}{3} \left(x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \int e^{-3x} dx \right) \\
&= 8x^2 \times (-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x}) + \frac{16}{3} \left(x \times \left(-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{3}e^{-3x} \right) \right) + c
\end{aligned}$$

Where c is a constant.

If you really want to, you could differentiate this to verify the result. □

Example 59. Let a be a positive number. Using $x = a \sin^2 \theta$, find

$$\int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a-x} dx$$

Give your answer in terms of a .

Solution. As usual, we first ask whether we can “see” an obvious answer which differentiates into the term inside the integral. Because of the square roots and the $a - x$ term, this does not look straightforward, so we follow the hint and use a substitution

Let

$$x = a \sin^2 \theta$$

Since $a > 0$, we can work out everything we need in terms of θ

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{x} &= \sqrt{a \sin^2 \theta} = \sqrt{a} \sin \theta && (\text{since } \sin \theta \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]) \\ a - x &= a - a \sin^2 \theta = a(1 - \sin^2 \theta) = a \cos^2 \theta && (\text{using } \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1) \\ \sqrt{a-x} &= \sqrt{a \cos^2 \theta} = \sqrt{a} \cos \theta \\ \frac{dx}{d\theta} &= a \cdot 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta = a \sin 2\theta && (\text{using } \sin 2\theta = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta) \\ \therefore dx &= a \sin 2\theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

We also need to change the integral bounds

$$\begin{aligned} x = 0 &\therefore a \sin^2 \theta = 0 \therefore \sin^2 \theta = 0 \therefore \theta = 0 \\ x = a &\therefore a \sin^2 \theta = a \therefore \sin^2 \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute everything into the integral, step by step, from left to right

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a-x} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=a} \sqrt{x} \sqrt{a-x} dx \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{x} \sqrt{a-x} dx && (\text{changing to } \theta \text{ bounds}) \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sqrt{a} \sin \theta) (\sqrt{a} \cos \theta) (a \sin 2\theta) d\theta && (\text{substituting } \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{a-x}, \text{ and } dx) \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} a \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot a \sin 2\theta d\theta && (\text{since } \sqrt{a} \sqrt{a} = a) \\ &= a^2 \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin 2\theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Now we simplify the integrand using a double angle formula again. Recall

$$\sin 2\theta = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin \theta \cos \theta \sin 2\theta &= \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
&= 2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (2 \sin \theta \cos \theta)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this back into our integral gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a-x} dx &= a^2 \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin 2\theta d\theta \\
&= a^2 \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2\theta d\theta \\
&= \frac{a^2}{2} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 2\theta d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

To integrate $\sin^2 2\theta$, we use the standard identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos 2u &= \cos^2 u - \sin^2 u \\
\sin^2 u + \cos^2 u &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Which can be combined to deduce

$$\sin^2 u = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2u)$$

Here $u = 2\theta$, so

$$\sin^2 2\theta = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 4\theta)$$

Substituting this into our integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^2}{2} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 2\theta d\theta &= \frac{a^2}{2} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 4\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{a^2}{4} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - \cos 4\theta) d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can integrate term by term

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^2}{4} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - \cos 4\theta) d\theta &= \frac{a^2}{4} \left[\theta - \frac{1}{4} \sin 4\theta \right]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} && \text{(integrating 1 and } \cos 4\theta\text{)} \\
&= \frac{a^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sin 4 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} - \left(0 - \frac{1}{4} \sin 0 \right) \right) && \text{(substituting the bounds)} \\
&= \frac{a^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sin 2\pi + \frac{1}{4} \sin 0 \right) \\
&= \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} && \text{(since } \sin 2\pi = 0 \text{ and } \sin 0 = 0\text{)} \\
&= \frac{\pi a^2}{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a-x} dx = \frac{\pi a^2}{8}$$

□

Example 60. Find

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} dx$$

Solution. As usual, we first ask: can we “just see” an obvious answer which differentiates into the term inside the integral? Because of the $\sin \sqrt{x}$ term, this is not obvious, and it does not match anything in the formula book directly either.

Next, we ask whether we can rearrange this into something simpler. Again, nothing jumps out.

However, the \sqrt{x} inside the sine is a strong hint to try a substitution to simplify the integrand. In particular, if we set the square root equal to a new variable, the inside of the sine becomes much simpler.

Let us define

$$z = \sqrt{x}$$

We now want to rewrite everything in terms of z . Rearranging this gives

$$x = z^2$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to x gives

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$$

Now (abusing notation slightly)¹² we “multiply” both sides by dx to get

$$dz = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} dx$$

Substituting $\sqrt{x} = z$ into this, we obtain

$$dz = \frac{1}{2z} dx \quad \therefore \quad dx = 2z dz$$

Let us collect the key relations:

$$z = \sqrt{x}$$

$$x = z^2$$

$$dx = 2z dz$$

¹²This is the usual informal way to think about differentials; it can be made rigorous, but that is beyond our scope here.

We also need to update the integral bounds. When $x = 0$,

$$z = \sqrt{0} = 0$$

and when $x = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$

$$z = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Now we carefully substitute everything from left to right so that the integral becomes entirely in terms of z :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} dx \\ &= \int_{z=0}^{z=\frac{\pi}{2}} z \sin \sqrt{z} dz && (\text{using } z = \sqrt{x} \text{ for the bounds}) \\ &= \int_{z=0}^{z=\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \sin z dz && (\text{using } x = z^2 \text{ and } \sqrt{x} = z) \\ &= \int_{z=0}^{z=\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \sin z \cdot 2z dz && (\text{using } dx = 2z dz) \\ &= 2 \int_{z=0}^{z=\frac{\pi}{2}} z^3 \sin z dz \end{aligned}$$

So the original integral has now become

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} dx = 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^3 \sin z dz$$

The substitution has done its job: the awkward \sqrt{x} has disappeared, and we are left with a polynomial times a trig function, which is a classic situation for integration by parts (see Section 4.2).

Let us define

$$J = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^3 \sin z dz$$

so that our original integral is

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} dx = 2J$$

We now tackle J using integration by parts, and we will need to do this repeatedly, noticing that each time the power of z goes down by 1, so we are making progress.

First integration by parts

Following LATE, we treat z^3 as algebra and $\sin z$ as trig, and choose

$$u = z^3, \quad v' = \sin z$$

Differentiating and integrating gives:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = z^3 & v = -\cos z \\ u' = 3z^2 & v' = \sin z \end{array}$$

(since $(-\cos z)' = \sin z$).

Recall the by-parts formula for definite integrals:

$$\int_a^b uv' dz = [uv]_a^b - \int_a^b u'v dz$$

Substituting our choices in, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^3 \sin z dz \\ &= [z^3 \cdot (-\cos z)]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} 3z^2 \cdot (-\cos z) dz \\ &= [-z^3 \cos z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + 3 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \cos z dz \quad (\text{rearranging the integral}) \end{aligned}$$

The integral bound term on the left simplifies nicely: since $\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ and $\cos(0) = 1$,

$$[-z^3 \cos z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \left(-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^3 \cdot 0\right) - (-0^3 \cdot 1) = 0.$$

So

$$J = 3 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \cos z dz$$

Let us call the new integral

$$K = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \cos z dz$$

so that $J = 3K$.

Second integration by parts

We now compute K by integration by parts again. This time, we choose

$$u = z^2, \quad v' = \cos z$$

Differentiating and integrating:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = z^2 & v = \sin z \\ u' = 2z & v' = \cos z \end{array}$$

(since $(\sin z)' = \cos z$).

By the same formula,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z^2 \cos z dz \\ &= [z^2 \sin z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} 2z \sin z dz \end{aligned}$$

Let us evaluate the boundary term:

$$[z^2 \sin z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) - (0^2 \sin 0) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \cdot 1 - 0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4}$$

So we obtain

$$K = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z \sin z dz$$

Let us define

$$L = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z \sin z \, dz$$

so that $K = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2L$.

Third integration by parts

The integral L is simpler but still a product, so we perform integration by parts one more time. Take

$$u = z, \quad v' = \sin z$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= z & v &= -\cos z \\ u' &= 1 & v' &= \sin z \end{aligned}$$

(again, since $(-\cos z)' = \sin z$).

Applying the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z \sin z \, dz \\ &= [z \cdot (-\cos z)]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} 1 \cdot (-\cos z) \, dz \\ &= [-z \cos z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos z \, dz \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate each part:

$$[-z \cos z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) - (-0 \cdot \cos 0) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 0 - 0 = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos z \, dz = [\sin z]_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \sin(0) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

Hence

$$L = 0 + 1 = 1$$

Putting it all back together

We found:

$$K = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2L = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2 \cdot 1 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2$$

Then

$$J = 3K = 3 \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 2 \right) = \frac{3\pi^2}{4} - 6$$

Finally, recall that the original integral is

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} \, dx = 2J$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi^2}{4}} x \sin \sqrt{x} \, dx &= 2 \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{4} - 6 \right) \\ &= \frac{3\pi^2}{2} - 12 \end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question. □

Example 61. Compute the following integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx$$

Then state the indefinite integral of $\frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}}$.

Solution. As usual, we first ask whether we can “see” an obvious answer which differentiates into the term inside the integral

$$\frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}}$$

There is a $\sqrt{1-x^4}$ in the denominator, and the numerator is $2x$. This looks like something that might appear from the chain rule, but it is not in a form we recognise directly

Next we ask if integration by parts would help. If we tried by parts, there is no obvious way to choose u and v' so that the resulting integral is simpler. For example, if we chose

$$u = 2x, \quad v' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^4}}$$

then we would need to find an expression for v by integrating $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^4}}$, which is *harder* than the question we started with. So integration by parts is not a good choice here

This suggests we should try substitution. The x^4 inside the square root comes from $(x^2)^2$, and the numerator has a factor of $2x$. This suggests using

$$u = x^2$$

We now work out everything we need in terms of u

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^2 \\ \frac{du}{dx} &= 2x && \text{(differentiating)} \\ du &= 2x \, dx \\ \therefore 2x \, dx &= du \end{aligned}$$

We also change the bounds from x to u

$$\begin{aligned} x = 0 &\therefore u = 0^2 = 0 \\ x = 1 &\therefore u = 1^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute, step by step, from left to right

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx &= \int_{x=0}^{x=1} \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx \\
&= \int_{u=0}^{u=1} \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-(x^2)^2}} dx && \text{(using } u = x^2\text{)} \\
&= \int_{u=0}^{u=1} \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} dx && \text{(substituting } x^2 = u \text{ inside the square root)} \\
&= \int_{u=0}^{u=1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du && \text{(using } 2x dx = du\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now our integral is

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du$$

This is a standard form which you might recognise from the formula book if you have looked at the further maths section as the derivative of $\sin^{-1} u$. Without access to this knowledge, we can still proceed using a second substitution. The integrand has the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}$, which suggests a trigonometric substitution. One way to reason through this is to recall that $1 - \sin^2 x = \cos^2 x$, therefore using $u = \sin \theta$ will result in some simplification here.

We choose

$$u = \sin \theta$$

Then we work out everything we need in terms of θ

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \sin \theta \\
\frac{du}{d\theta} &= \cos \theta && \text{(differentiating)} \\
du &= \cos \theta d\theta \\
1 - u^2 &= 1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta && \text{(using } \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1\text{)} \\
\sqrt{1-u^2} &= \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta} = \cos \theta && \text{(on } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \cos \theta \geq 0\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

We also convert the bounds from u to θ

$$\begin{aligned}
u = 0 &\therefore \sin \theta = 0 \therefore \theta = 0 \\
u = 1 &\therefore \sin \theta = 1 \therefore \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute everything into the integral, again from left to right

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{u=0}^{u=1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sin^2 \theta}} du && (\text{using } u = \sin \theta) \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\cos \theta} du && (\text{using } \sqrt{1-\sin^2 \theta} = \cos \theta) \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \cdot \cos \theta d\theta && (\text{using } du = \cos \theta d\theta) \\
&= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} 1 d\theta && (\text{simplifying})
\end{aligned}$$

This is now very simple to integrate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} 1 d\theta &= [\theta]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} - 0 \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Now we state the indefinite integral. Looking back at our first substitution, we had

$$\int \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du = \int 1 d\theta = \theta + c$$

Unravelling, we use that $u = \sin \theta$ to write that $\theta = \sin^{-1} u$, and substitute into the above to find that

$$\int \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx = \sin^{-1} u + c$$

Now we substitute back $u = x^2$

$$\int \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} dx = \sin^{-1}(x^2) + c$$

You can check this by differentiating $\sin^{-1}(x^2)$ (e.g. using the formula book in the further maths section) and confirming that you get $\frac{2x}{\sqrt{1-x^4}}$

□

Example 62. Compute the following indefinite integral.

$$\int xe^{x^2} dx$$

Solution. If you are rushing, you might immediately try to jump in with integration by parts or substitution. Integration by parts would lead you to needing to integrate e^{x^2} , which you might try a substitution of $u = x^2$ to try to simplify, but you find that $dx = \frac{1}{2x}du$, and your integral ends up becoming even more complicated.

Instead – slowing down – we can try to “just integrate” it.

Since we know that (by the chain rule Section 3.4) differentiating an exponential gives the same exponential times something, we know our integral needs to have e^{x^2} as one of the terms to get something that differentiates to give xe^{x^2} .

Let’s try differentiating e^{x^2} and see if it is close to xe^{x^2} . We can do this by using the chain rule, see Section 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}(e^{f(x)})' &= f'(x)e^{f(x)} && \text{(using the chain rule)} \\ &= 2xe^{x^2} && \text{(using } f(x) = x^2\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

This is close to our desired xe^{x^2} , so we can divide both sides by 2 to get

$$(\frac{1}{2}e^{x^2})' = xe^{x^2}$$

This gives us a function that differentiates to give the function inside the integral, which is exactly what we are looking for.

Therefore, the indefinite integral of xe^{x^2} is, using $f(x) = x^2$,

$$\int xe^{x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2}e^{x^2} + c$$

Where c is a constant. □

Example 63. Compute the following indefinite integral.

$$\int \frac{5x}{1+2x^2} dx$$

Solution. If you are rushing, you might immediately try to jump in with integration by parts or substitution. Alternatively, one might try to factorise the denominator and use partial fractions. The most likely successful approach of those is substitution, but it would lead to a lengthy computation.

Instead – slowing down – we can try to “just integrate” it.

Since we know that (by the chain rule Section 3.4) differentiating $\ln(f(x))$ gives something times $\frac{1}{f(x)}$, we might guess our integral needs to have $\ln(1+2x^2)$ as one of the terms to get something that differentiates to give $\frac{5x}{1+2x^2}$.

Let’s try differentiating $\ln(1+2x^2)$ and see if it is close to $\frac{5x}{1+2x^2}$. We can do this by using the chain rule, see Section 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}(\ln(f(x)))' &= \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} && \text{(using the chain rule)} \\ &= \frac{4x}{1+2x^2} && \text{(using } f(x) = 1+2x^2\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

This is close to our desired $\frac{5x}{1+2x^2}$, except we have a factor of 4 instead of the 5 that we want. We can times both sides by $\frac{5}{4}$ to convert our 4 to a 5 and find that

$$\left(\frac{5}{4} \ln(1 + 2x^2)\right)' = \frac{5x}{1 + 2x^2}$$

This gives us a function that differentiates to give the function inside the integral, which is exactly what we are looking for.

Therefore, the indefinite integral of $\frac{5x}{1+2x^2}$ is, using $f(x) = 1 + 2x^2$,

$$\int \frac{5x}{1 + 2x^2} dx = \frac{5}{4} \ln(1 + 2x^2) + c$$

Where c is a constant. □

5 Probability and Statistics

5.1 Distributions

A probability distribution is a function which satisfies two things:

1. The area underneath the function is equal to 1.
2. The function is always non-negative.

Then we can interpret the function in a probabilistic sense in the following way. The area between two points is how ‘likely’ that sampling a random variable with that probability distribution results in a value from that range.

For example, the normal distribution has this property, where the integral of the entire distribution is 1, and it is always positive.

5.1.1 Normal Distribution

The normal distribution is a probability distribution characterised by two numbers: the mean μ and the variance σ^2 . Note that the variance is denoted with a square to remind us that taking the (positive) square root gives us the standard deviation σ . It is denoted $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

The normal distribution has the shape of a bell curve around the mean, which can be interpreted as in Section 5.1 that the majority of samples will occur around the mean, and that the further away you get from the mean, the less likely a sample is to come from that area. This can be seen visually by which parts of the bell curve has more area underneath, and therefore has a higher likelihood of occurring.

For example, let’s say that human adult weights can be modelled as a normal distribution. We sketch it as a bell curve, symmetric around 75 as in Figure 31.




Figure 31: A sketch of the normal distribution where $\mu = 75$, $\sigma = 30$

Since it is symmetric, we know that the probability that a random sample X is $75kg$ or below is 0.5 – this can be denoted as

$$P(X \leq 75) = 0.5$$

In fact, it is always true for normal distributions that

$$P(X \leq \mu) = 0.5$$

This is because $P(X \leq \mu) = P(X \geq \mu)$, and $P(X \leq \mu) + P(X \geq \mu) = 1$, therefore

$$P(X \geq \mu) = 1 - P(X \leq \mu)$$

Substituting back into the first equation gives that $P(X \leq \mu) = 1 - P(X \leq \mu)$, which can be solved to find $P(X \leq \mu) = 0.5$.

Moreover, due to symmetries and summing to 1, we can figure out some other fun things:

$$\begin{aligned} P(45 \leq X \leq 105) &= 1 - (P(X < 45) + P(X > 105)) && \text{(the full area is 1)} \\ &= 1 - 2P(X < 45) && \text{(symmetry around the mean)} \end{aligned}$$

All of the above are using symmetry around the mean! Again, this is true for any normal distribution – you can use symmetries to convert to other regions of the bell curve:

Exercise 7. Consider the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Using symmetry and the area being 1, show the following equalities:

$$P(X \leq a) = P(X \geq 2\mu - a) = 1 - P(X > a) = 1 - P(X > 2\mu - a)$$

Have a think if you can reason your way through any of the above! Hint: what is the midpoint of a and $2\mu - a$? What do these equalities become when $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$?

In your exam cheat sheet, you get told a lot of values for probabilities of the form $P(X \leq a)$, where X comes from a specific normal distribution called the standard normal distribution.

Definition 5.1. The *standard normal distribution* is a normal distribution with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$, denoted $N(0, 1)$.

Using symmetries and the area being 1, you can always rearrange any probability question to be of the form $P(X < a)$. Then you can look up the nearest value from the cheat sheet to use for your probability.

The problem is that often you are not given a normal distribution which has this mean and variance, so how can you use this cheat sheet?

It turns out that transforming X by shifting and rescaling gives us a new normal distribution with the mean shifted and the standard deviation rescaled. This can be understood intuitively if you understand that, for a normal distribution, the mean represents the centre of the distribution, and the standard deviation represents the spread of the distribution. It turns out that 68% of random samples will occur within one standard deviation of the mean, 95% of random samples will occur within two standard deviations of the mean, and 99.7% of random samples will occur within three standard deviations of the mean. This gives a visual interpretation of the mean being the middle and the standard deviation being the width.

So our goal is to think of a way to transform X so that the mean is shifted to become 0 and the standard deviation is scaled to be 1. A little bit of thought would lead us to the formula

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

Which will shift the mean μ to 0 (you can substitute $X = \mu$ to see this), and will make the entire thing “thinner” by a factor of σ .

It can be proven that this transformation indeed converts $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ to the standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$. So the process in a normal distribution question is:

1. Identify if it is a normal distribution question.
2. Convert the normal distribution to a standard normal distribution by $Z = \frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$.
3. Use symmetries and that the area is 1 to rearrange the probability to one that can be found in the lookup sheet.

For point 1, we can use a little heuristic to figure out if we are dealing with a normal distribution.

1. Does the question deal with *continuous* data? As in, the gaps between points can be infinitely small. For example, the weight of a person or a moment in time is continuous, but the value a die can take cannot be 4.5 – this is a discrete value set. The normal distribution has to be continuous.
2. Does the question give you or mention the mean and variance or standard deviation?

If the answer to both of those is yes, you are probably going to use a normal distribution. If no, you'll need to use something else! Usually a question spells it out for you.

6 Trigonometry

Some key concepts were covered in Section 2, where we used standard trig identities to find components of vectors.

6.1 Small angle approximations

Using something called the Taylor expansion of trigonometry functions, we can see how each of the functions behave near 0.

$$\begin{aligned}\sin x &= x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \dots \\ \cos x &= 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \dots \\ \tan x &= x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{2x^5}{15} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Where these come from can be researched by an interested reader via Taylor series.

Using the above, we can observe that if x is really small (close to 0), x^2 is a small number times a small number, which is even smaller itself. Similarly, all higher powers of x will also be (exponentially) smaller.

Therefore, one way of approximating these functions when x is close to 0 is by removing any power of x above 2, since they are all tiny.

From this, you get the small angle approximations of the trigonometry functions.¹³

$$\begin{aligned}\sin x &\approx x \\ \cos x &\approx 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} \\ \tan x &\approx x\end{aligned}$$

Note that if you remember only two of them, and handy way of remembering the third is by rearranging

$$\tan x = \frac{\sin x}{\cos x}$$

Which also holds for small angle approximations, and using instead that $\cos x \approx 1$ (check this)!

This can be used to approximate complicated functions within each trig function.

Example 64. Let $f(x)$ be a function of x , and let $f(x)$ be close to 0 when x is near some number a .

Using small angle approximations, write $\sin(f(x))$, $\cos(f(x))$, and $\tan(f(x))$ in terms of $f(x)$, and make sure to state when these small angle approximations hold.

Solution. Using the formulae for small angle approximations, using $f(x)$ in place of x in the formulae, we find that – **as long as $f(x)$ is close to zero** – the following small angle approximations hold:

¹³This technically requires that x is in radians too, but we will assume that in this section.

$$\begin{aligned}\sin(f(x)) &\approx f(x) \\ \cos(f(x)) &\approx 1 - \frac{f(x)^2}{2} \\ \tan(f(x)) &\approx f(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since **this only holds when $f(x)$ is near 0**, this holds as long as x is near a . This is because the question told us that $f(x)$ is close to 0 when x is near a .

This completes the question

□

This can be used to get approximate solutions to problems, but only holds when the argument of the trigonometry functions is close to zero!

Now for some questions.

Example 65. Let x be a small non-zero number in radians.

Using small angle approximations and justifying why you can, simplify the following.

$$x \frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{2x - \sin(x^2)}}$$

Show that, as long as $x \neq \sqrt{2}$, this can be simplified to become:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2} + x}{2}$$

Using this, estimate the integral:

$$\int_{0.05}^{0.1} x \frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{2x - \sin(x^2)}} dx$$

Solution. First note that we are told x is small, and therefore x^2 is smaller. We then proceed with calculating our small angle approximations:

$$\cos(x) \approx 1 - \frac{x^2}{2}$$

And using that x^2 is small,

$$\sin(x^2) \approx x^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{x \cos(x)}{\sqrt{2}x - \sin(x^2)} &\approx x \frac{1 - \frac{x^2}{2}}{\sqrt{2}x - x^2} && \text{(using our small angle approximations)} \\
&= x \frac{1 - \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{2}^2}}{\sqrt{2}x - x^2} && \text{(writing } 2 = \sqrt{2}^2\text{)} \\
&= x \frac{1 - (\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})^2}{\sqrt{2}x - x^2} && \text{(using } a^2/b^2 = (a/b)^2\text{)} \\
&= x \frac{(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})}{\sqrt{2}x - x^2} && \text{(using difference of two squares)} \\
&= x \frac{(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})}{x(\sqrt{2} - x)} && \text{(factorising denominator, and using } x \neq 0\text{)} \\
&= \frac{(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})}{\sqrt{2}(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})} && \text{(factorising again)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{x}{2} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{x}{2} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2} + x}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the first part of the question. Now for the integral, we use the small result from the first question since the integral bounds are small:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{0.05}^{0.1} x \frac{\cos(x)}{\sqrt{2}x - \sin(x^2)} dx &\approx \int_{0.05}^{0.1} \frac{\sqrt{2} + x}{2} dx \\
&= \int_{0.05}^{0.1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + dx + \int_{0.05}^{0.1} \frac{x}{2} dx && \text{(splitting the integral)} \\
&= \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} x \right]_{x=0.05}^{x=0.1} + \left[\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right]_{x=0.05}^{x=0.1} && \text{(integrating the parts)} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot 0.1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot 0.05 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0.05)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Which can be solved using a calculator.

□

7 Parametric Equations

Parametric equations are when you are given multiple equations which use shared variables, and are to be calculated from the shared variables.

Commonly in exams this will be given in a form where you have x and y in terms of t separately.

$$\begin{aligned}x &= f(t) \\y &= g(t)\end{aligned}$$

You will be normally asked to find y in terms of x . From here, there are a two main approaches:

1. Rearrange one of the equations for t and substitute this into the other equation to eliminate t . This is sometimes not possible!
2. Rearrange one of the equations so that it is in terms of the other equation, and then substitute the variable x or y in directly.

We will see both approaches below.

Example 66. A curve has equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 2t + 1 \\y &= 4t - 5 + \frac{3}{t}, t \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \neq 1$. Then show that the curve satisfied by the above equations can be written as:

$$y = \frac{ax^2 + bx + c}{x - 1}$$

Where a , b , and c are constants to be found.

Solution. We can approach this by writing the simplest equation in terms of t . Note that we can't easily write y in terms of t , so if we were not able to do this we would need to find another approach.

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 2t + 1 \\x - 1 &= 2t \\\frac{x - 1}{2} &= t\end{aligned}$$

And then substituting what t is into y .

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= 4t - 5 + \frac{3}{t} \\
&= 4 \frac{x-1}{2} - 5 + \frac{3}{(\frac{x-1}{2})} && \text{(replacing each } t \text{ with } \frac{x-1}{2} \text{)} \\
&= 2(x-1) - 5 + \frac{3}{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)} && \text{(taking out a factor of } \frac{1}{2} \text{)} \\
&= 2(x-1) - 5 + \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)} && \text{(multiplying by 1)} \\
&= 2(x-1) - 5 + \frac{6}{\cancel{\frac{1}{2}}(x-1)} && \text{(multiplying fractions)} \\
&= 2(x-1) - 5 + \frac{6}{x-1} \\
&= \frac{2(x-1)(x-1)}{(x-1)} - \frac{5(x-1)}{(x-1)} + \frac{6}{x-1} && \text{(common denominator)} \\
&= \frac{2(x-1)(x-1) - 5(x-1) + 6}{(x-1)} && \text{(putting over one denominator)} \\
&= \frac{2(x^2 - 2x + 1) - 5x + 5 + 6}{(x-1)} && \text{(expanding brackets)} \\
&= \frac{2x^2 - 4x + 2 - 5x + 11}{(x-1)} && \text{(expanding brackets)} \\
&= \frac{2x^2 - 9x + 3}{(x-1)} && \text{(simplifying)}
\end{aligned}$$

Which finishes the question, finding $a = 2$, $b = -9$, and $c = 3$ so that

$$y = \frac{ax^2 + bx + c}{x-1} = \frac{2x^2 + (-9)x + 3}{(x-1)}$$

□

Example 67. A curve has equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{1}{t} + 5t \\
y &= \frac{1}{t^2} + 10 + 25t^2, \quad t \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Show that the curve satisfied by the above equations can be written as:

$$y = f(x)$$

Where $f(x)$ is a function of x to be found.

Solution. We might want to approach this by writing the simplest equation in terms of t , but in this case it is not easily possible. For example, trying to rearrange the x equation for t you might get stuck at the point

$$x = t\left(\frac{1}{t^2} + 5\right)$$

And not able to continue so simply.

Instead, we attempt to rearrange the more complicated equation to be written in terms of the simpler one.

What can we do with the second equation? Firstly, we can turn it into something that is easier to work with:

$$y = \frac{1}{t^2} + 10 + 25t^2$$

$$\therefore t^2 y = 1 + 10t^2 + 25t^4$$

Here, this almost looks familiar as a quadratic, except we have this pesky t^2 hanging around. Let's briefly use a variable $u = t^2$ for convenience. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 y &= 1 + 10t^2 + 25t^4 \\ \therefore uy &= 1 + 10u + 25u^2 && (\text{using } u = t^2) \\ &= (1 + 5u)(1 + 5u) && (\text{factorising}) \\ &= (1 + 5u)^2 \\ \therefore uy &= (1 + 5u)^2 && (\text{reminding ourselves}) \\ \therefore y &= \frac{1}{u}(1 + 5u)^2 && (\text{rearranging}) \\ \therefore y &= \frac{1}{t^2}(1 + 5t^2)^2 && (\text{using } u = t^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^2(1 + 5t^2)^2 && (\text{using } a^2/b^2 = (a/b)^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{t}(1 + 5t^2)\right)^2 && (\text{using } a^2b^2 = (ab)^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{t} + 5t\right)^2 && (\text{expanding the bracket inside}) \end{aligned}$$

This is as much simplification as we can get, and we have found that

$$y = \frac{1}{t^2} + 10 + 25t^2 = \left(\frac{1}{t} + 5t\right)^2$$

Note that we could have gone straight to the answer if we noticed that we could factorise directly.

But the last equality contains the equation for x directly:

$$x = \frac{1}{t} + 5t$$

Therefore we can replace any instance of $\frac{1}{t} + 5t$ with x to find:

$$y = x^2$$

This completes the question, finding $f(x) = x^2$.

□

Example 68. A curve has equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \cos(t) \\ y &= \tan(t) + \sec(t) \end{aligned}$$

Where $0 \leq t < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Show that the curve satisfied by the above equations can be written as:

$$y = f(x)$$

Where $f(x)$ is a function of x to be found.

Comment on whether the final function is the same as the curve for all x .

Solution. We might want to approach this by writing the simplest equation in terms of t , but in this case we would need to invert cos and be careful with when this is allowed.

Instead, we attempt to rearrange the more complicated equation to be written in terms of the simpler one.

What can we do with the second equation? Firstly, we can write the definitions and then try to extract $\cos(t)$ wherever possible:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \tan(t) + \sec(t) \\ &= \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} + \frac{1}{\cos t} \end{aligned}$$

This is almost in terms of $\cos t$, except we have this pesky $\sin t$ lingering. We can make use of familiar equations to fix this (using that $0 \leq t < \frac{\pi}{2}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t &= 1 \\ \therefore \sin^2 t &= 1 - \cos^2 t \\ \therefore \sin t &= \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 t} \end{aligned}$$

We can now substitute everything in to find:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} + \frac{1}{\cos t} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos^2 t}}{\cos t} + \frac{1}{\cos t} && \text{(substituting } \sin t \text{ above)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x} + \frac{1}{x} && \text{(using } x = \cos t \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the question, finding

$$y = f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x} + \frac{1}{x}$$

Note that the question was careful to restrict t between $0 \leq t < \frac{\pi}{2}$. This means that only a portion of the *full* curve given by

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x} + \frac{1}{x}$$

is covered by the original equations for x and y , specifically between the ranges of x allowed by $\cos t$ inside this range, which is $0 < x \leq 1$.

Therefore, the curve is actually the portion of the graph

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x} + \frac{1}{x}$$

Where $0 < x \leq 1$. The expression defines a larger graph, but the parametric curve is only the part in this range of x . \square

The next question makes use of small angle approximations, covered in Section 6.1.

Example 69. Let $t > 0$ be small, and measured in radians. A curve is given parametrically via

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \cos(t^2) + 1 \\y &= \frac{1}{t^4} + t^8\end{aligned}$$

Using small angle approximations, show that the curve satisfied by the above equations can be written as:

$$y \approx f(x)$$

Where $f(x)$ is a function of x to be found. Comment on what portion of the curve is valid for this approximation.

Solution. There is no nice way to rearrange y for t , and the question instructs us to use small angle approximations. Let's begin with recalling that if θ is small,

$$\cos(\theta) \approx 1 - \frac{\theta^2}{2}$$

And since t is given to be small, we know that t^2 must be also small. Therefore,

$$\cos(t^2) \approx 1 - \frac{(t^2)^2}{2}$$

And therefore, we have that

$$x = \cos(t^2) + 1 \approx 1 - \frac{t^4}{2} + 1 = 2 - \frac{t^4}{2}$$

This is simple enough to rearrange for t :

$$\begin{aligned}x + \frac{t^4}{2} &\approx 2 \\ \therefore \frac{t^4}{2} &\approx 2 - x \\ \therefore t^4 &\approx 4 - 2x \\ \therefore t &\approx (4 - 2x)^{\frac{1}{4}}\end{aligned}$$

We can plug this into the equation for y :

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= \frac{1}{t^4} + t^8 \\
&\approx \frac{1}{((4-2x)^{\frac{1}{4}})^4} + ((4-2x)^{\frac{1}{4}})^8 \\
&= \frac{1}{4-2x} + (4-2x)^2 && (\text{using } (a^b)^c = a^{bc}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4-2x} + \frac{(4-2x)^2(4-2x)}{4-2x} && (\text{common denominator}) \\
&= \frac{1+(4-2x)^3}{4-2x}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the question, as long as $x \neq 2$, which is always true. This is because the approximation is valid whenever t is positive and small, which means that x is close to 2 but not equal to 2 since $x = \cos(t^2) + 1$ is only equal to 2 at $t = 0$. Moreover, we deduce that x must be slightly less than 2 since $\cos(t^2) + 1$ is slightly less than 2 when t is slightly bigger than 0.

This means that the approximation is valid slightly below $x = 2$.

□

Example 70. A curve is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{1}{t} + 1, \quad t \neq 0 \\
y &= \cos t
\end{aligned}$$

Show that we can write

$$y = \cos\left(\frac{1}{ax+b}\right)$$

Where a and b are constants to be found. Then find the derivative:

$$\frac{dy}{dx}$$

Solution. Firstly we can rearrange x in terms of t :

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{1}{t} - 1 \\
x+1 &= \frac{1}{t} \\
t(x+1) &= 1 \\
t &= \frac{1}{x+1} && (\text{as long as } x \neq -1)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can substitute t into y to find:

$$y = \cos\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)$$

Which finds that $a = 1$ and $b = 1$ so that

$$y = \cos\left(\frac{1}{ax+b}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)$$

Now to differentiate y in terms of x , we must use the chain rule. We can ask our question of: *if we could replace any part with u , how can we turn it into a function we know how to differentiate?*

Note that we know how to differentiate $\cos u$, so we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= \cos\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \\
 y &= \cos u && (\text{what we want}) \\
 u &= \frac{1}{x+1} && (\text{to get what we want})
 \end{aligned}$$

Now remind ourselves of the chain rule Section 3.4, e.g. by pretending that the du cancel:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \frac{du}{dx}$$

And therefore we can differentiate the equations to find the right hand side of the above:

$$\frac{dy}{du} = -\sin u$$

But what about differentiating $u = \frac{1}{x+1}$? For this, we can do another chain rule! After asking ourselves the same question, we proceed with:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u &= \frac{1}{x+1} \\
 u &= \frac{1}{v} && (\text{what we want}) \\
 v &= x+1 && (\text{to get what we want})
 \end{aligned}$$

And the chain rule in this case would be:

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{du}{dv} \frac{dv}{dx}$$

We can differentiate each equation to get the right hand side of the above:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{du}{dv} &= -\frac{1}{v^2} && (\text{writing } \frac{1}{v} = v^{-1}) \\
 \frac{dv}{dx} &= 1 \\
 \therefore \frac{du}{dx} &= -\frac{1}{v^2} && (\text{using the chain rule}) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(x+1)^2} && (\text{using } v = x+1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, reminding ourselves, we have found:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{du}{dx} &= -\frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \\
 \frac{dy}{du} &= -\sin u && (\text{from above}) \\
 \therefore \frac{dy}{dx} &= -\sin u \left(-\frac{1}{(x+1)^2}\right) && (\text{using the chain rule}) \\
 &= \frac{\sin u}{(x+1)^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)}{(x+1)^2} && (\text{using } u = \frac{1}{x+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $y = \cos\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)$, then

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)}{(x+1)^2}$$

This completes the question.

□

8 Proofs

This is the section that is most like what a degree in maths would look like.

There are a few ways to attempt a proof.

8.1 Proof by Contradiction

A proof by contradiction works by assuming the opposite is true, and then applying logically correct steps until we reach a logical absurdity such as $1 = 0$. If we reached a logical absurdity via logically correct steps, then the original assumption must be wrong. Since the opposite of what we wanted to prove is wrong, the original statement was correct.

Example 71. Prove by contradiction that

$$(a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) \geq -4b^2$$

for any non-negative real numbers a, b .

Solution. First assume the opposite is true:

$$(a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) < -4b^2$$

Then let us rearrange this until we reach a contradiction.

$$\begin{aligned} (a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) &< -4b^2 \\ a^2 - 4ab &< -4b^2 && \text{(expanding)} \\ a^2 - 4ab + 4b^2 &< 0 && \text{(rearranging)} \\ (a - 2b)^2 &< 0 && \text{(factorising)} \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction because the left-hand side is a square number, and a square number cannot be less than zero. Therefore our original assumption

$$(a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) < -4b^2$$

Was false, which means that the following must be true:

$$(a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) \geq -4b^2$$

This completes the proof. □

8.2 Proof by Deduction

Proof by deduction is the act of starting with a true statement, applying logically correct steps, and ending on a statement that must therefore be true.

It is like “proof because it is true” but made rigorous.

Example 72. Starting with the statement

$$(a - 2b)^2 \geq 0$$

Prove by deduction that

$$(a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) \geq -4b^2$$

for any non-negative real numbers a, b .

Solution. We start with the true statement, and rearrange to deduce the desired final statement.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a - 2b)^2 &\geq 0 && \text{(starting with the hint)} \\
 a^2 - 4ab + 4b^2 &\geq 0 && \text{(expanding)} \\
 a^2 - 4ab &\geq -4b^2 && \text{(rearranging)} \\
 a^2 - \sqrt{4ab}^2 &\geq -4b^2 && \text{(using that } a, b \text{ are non-negative real numbers)} \\
 (a - \sqrt{4ab})(a + \sqrt{4ab}) &\geq -4b^2 && \text{(difference of two squares)} \\
 (a - 2\sqrt{ab})(a + 2\sqrt{ab}) &\geq -4b^2 && \text{(using } \sqrt{xy} = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{y} \text{)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is the statement we were trying to prove. This completes the proof. \square

8.3 Proof by Exhaustion

Proof by exhaustion is a method of proof that is essentially brute force; you try every case, and verify each case.

This can be used when there are a small number of cases to test.

Often, it is possible to use algebra to reduce what seems like a lot (even infinite) cases to only a few.

For example, if you need to prove that n^2 preserves even and odd for all integers, you can test all integers in two cases by splitting them into even $2m$ numbers and odd $2m - 1$ numbers. In this way we reduce “all integers” to two cases and can prove it by exhaustion.

Example 73. Prove by exhaustion that, for every integer whenever the left-hand side is defined,

$$\sqrt{5 - n^2} < 3$$

Solution. Since $\sqrt{5 - n^2}$ is only defined when $5 - n^2 > 0$, we only need to check the integers $-2, -1, 0, 1, 2$.

Since $(-n)^2 = n^2$, we only need to check $0, 1$, and 2 ,

Starting with $n = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{5 - 2^2} &= \sqrt{5 - 4} \\
 &= \sqrt{1} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

And since $1 < 3$, the statement is true for $n = 2$.

For $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{5 - 1^2} &= \sqrt{5 - 1} \\
 &= \sqrt{4} \\
 &= 2
 \end{aligned}$$

And since $2 < 3$, the statement is true for $n = 1$.

For $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{5 - 0^2} &= \sqrt{5 - 0} \\ &= \sqrt{5}\end{aligned}$$

Now to check if $\sqrt{5}$ is less than 3, we can observe that

$$5 < 9$$

And therefore, taking square roots of both sides, we can deduce

$$\sqrt{5} < 3$$

Which completes the proof by exhaustion, because we have checked every case in which

$$\sqrt{5 - n^2} < 3$$

Is defined. □

Example 74. Using algebra, prove by exhaustion that $n^2 - 3$ is never a multiple of 9 for any integer n .

Solution. We can split integers into three cases: $3m$, $3m + 1$, and $3m + 2$ where m is an integer.

This accounts for every integer.

Case 1: $n = 3m + 2$

Let's substitute and rearrange to see what we can deduce.

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 - 3 &= (3m + 2)^2 - 3 && \text{(using } n = 3m + 2\text{)} \\ &= 9m^2 + 12m + 4 - 3 && \text{(expanding)} \\ &= 9m^2 + 12m + 1 \\ &= 3(3m^2 + 4m) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the final line, we see that when $n = 3m + 2$, $n^2 - 3$ is one more than a multiple of 3, and therefore never a multiple of 9.

Since 9 is a multiple of 3, it is also never a multiple of 9.

Case 2: $n = 3m + 1$

Let's substitute and rearrange to see what we can deduce.

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 - 3 &= (3m + 1)^2 - 3 && \text{(using } n = 3m + 1\text{)} \\ &= 9m^2 + 6m + 1 - 3 && \text{(expanding)} \\ &= 9m^2 + 6m - 2 \\ &= 3(3m^2 + 2m) - 2\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the final line, we see that when $n = 3m + 1$, $n^2 - 3$ is two less than a multiple of 3, and therefore never a multiple of 9.

Since 9 is a multiple of 3, it is also never a multiple of 9.

Case 3: $n = 3m$

Let's substitute and rearrange to see what we can deduce.

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 - 3 &= (3m)^2 - 3 && \text{(using } n = 3m\text{)} \\ &= 9m^2 - 3 && \text{(expanding)} \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the final line, we see that when $n = 3m$, $n^2 - 3$ is three less than a multiple of 9, and therefore never a multiple of 9.

Since we have exhausted all cases, we can conclude that $n^2 - 3$ is never a multiple of 9 for any integer n . This completes the proof. \square

9 Numerical Methods

Numerical methods are ways to approximate the solution to equations using a repeatable procedure, usually designed for computers to use efficiently.

9.1 The Trapezium Rule

The goal of the trapezium rule is to estimate the area under the curve¹⁴ as in the shaded area of Figure 32, i.e. a way to estimate an integral, using only knowledge of the function we are trying to integrate at a few points.




Figure 32: A function shaded below the curve

One approach is to:

1. Choose a bunch of x points we want to know the value of the function at.
2. Find what $f(x)$ is at each point chosen.
3. Connect the $f(x)$ points with straight lines, and draw lines down to the x axis from each $f(x)$ point found. This will make a sequence of trapeziums, where the “top” of the trapezium is approximating the function curve with straight lines. This can be seen in Figure 33.
4. Calculate the area of each trapezium and add them together.

¹⁴Actually we are estimating the integral, which is a signed area since the integral of a negative function is also negative. This is left here to assist intuition.




Figure 33: A function shaded below the curve, with trapeziums drawn, equal width.

Recall that for a trapezium with side lengths a and b , and height h , the area of a trapezium is the following.

$$\text{Area of trapezium} = \frac{h(a+b)}{2}$$

This can be proved by formalising the geometric construction seen in Figure 34, creating a copy of the trapezium, rotating it 180° , and then gluing it on the side of the original trapezium. It can be proved¹⁵ that this creates a parallelogram with width $a+b$ and height h , which has a total area of $h(a+b)$. Then since we duplicated our trapezium, this must be twice the area of the original trapezium.

¹⁵You would approach this by labelling the angles in the outer triangles, and using the fact that they must add up to 180° to find that the construction does indeed create a parallelogram.




Figure 34: A trapezium glued to itself to form a parallelogram.

So what are the areas of the trapeziums in our problem? For convenience, we will space the x points out evenly, with a distance of h between each one. As in, $x_1 = x_0 + h$, $x_2 = x_1 + h$, and so on for how many x points we chose.

Firstly, let's label the diagram with the widths and heights of the trapeziums, as in Figure 35.




Figure 35: A function shaded below the curve, with trapeziums drawn, equal width.

From this figure, we can notice that each trapezium has a side length of $f(x_i)$ and $f(x_{i+1})$, and a height of h .

Therefore, the area of one of the trapeziums must be of the form:

$$\text{Area of trapezium } i = \frac{h(f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1}))}{2}$$

To approximate the total area of $f(x)$ between two points, we add them all together to get (starting from x_0 and ending at x_n):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx &\approx \frac{h(f(x_0) + f(x_1))}{2} && \text{(first trapezium)} \\ &+ \frac{h(f(x_1) + f(x_2))}{2} && \text{(second trapezium)} \\ &+ \frac{h(f(x_2) + f(x_3))}{2} && \text{(third trapezium)} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \frac{h(f(x_{n-2}) + f(x_{n-1}))}{2} && \text{(second-to-last trapezium)} \\ &+ \frac{h(f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n))}{2} && \text{(last trapezium)} \end{aligned}$$

Similar terms have been colour-coded. In fact, the right-hand-side can be simplified drastically by collecting the similar terms. You can see that the term at the end of the line appears at the start of the next line, which means that there are repeat terms for every line except the first or the last one. Let's expand and collect the terms together to see what we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx &\approx \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + f(x_1) \right. && \text{(pulling } h/2 \text{ out of the full equation)} \\ &+ f(x_1) + f(x_2) \\ &+ f(x_2) + f(x_3) \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ f(x_{n-2}) + f(x_{n-1}) \\ &\left. + f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n) \right) \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + 2f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n) \right) && \text{(collecting terms)} \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + f(x_n) + 2(f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) \right) && \text{(rearranging)} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the trapezium rule from the formula book:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx \approx \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + f(x_n) + 2(f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) \right)$$

Now the question is: what is h in terms of n ?

If we know what the start and end points are that we are integrating between, and we know how many points we are going to use, we can figure out what h is.

To split the line-segment between x_0 and x_n into n segments, we need to set h to be

$$h = \frac{x_n - x_0}{n}$$

So that

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + h$$

Note that since we are counting from x_0 to x_n , we actually have $(n + 1)$ points, but n segments between them.

Example 75. By splitting the interval between 0 and 3 with 4 equally spaced segments, use the trapezium rule to estimate the integral:

$$\int_0^3 x^2 dx$$

Then compare this with the answer you get from integrating it directly.

Solution. The 4 equally spaced segments will have $h = \frac{3-0}{4-1} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$. That means we have:

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= 0 \\x_1 &= x_0 + h = 1 \\x_2 &= x_1 + h = 2 \\x_3 &= x_2 + h = 3\end{aligned}$$

Setting $f(x) = x^2$, let's compute each value as a table:

x	0	1	2	3
$f(x) = x^2$	0	1	4	9

Now let's plug this into the trapezium rule:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx &\approx \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + f(x_n) + 2(f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) \right) \\ \therefore \int_0^3 x^2 dx &\approx \frac{1}{2} \left(0 + 9 + 2(1 + 4) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(9 + 2(5) \right) \\ &= \frac{19}{2} \\ &= 9.5\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to computing the integral directly:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx &= \int_0^3 x^2 dx \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{3}x^3 \right]_{x=0}^{x=3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}3^3 \\ &= 3^2 \\ &= 9\end{aligned}$$

Comparing it with the trapezium rule, we were only out by 0.5 – the trapezium rule with 4 equally spaced segments got 9.5 as the integral whereas the actual answer was 9. Pretty close! \square

In the previous question, we found that the trapezium rule overshot the real answer. If you draw out the function with the trapeziums, you can see this visually – the trapeziums include sections above the curve since it curves upwards. In fact, you can usually anticipate whether the trapezium rule will over or undershoot the actual integral by looking at the section which does not overlap between the trapeziums and the function itself, look at Figure 33 again to see which parts the trapeziums include more area than the function, and which parts the trapeziums include less area than the function. Notice the patterns in the overlap when the function is curving in the same direction.

Example 76. You are given the following grid for values for a function.

x	-1	0.25	1.5	2.75
$f(x)$	-1	4	6	5

By using the Trapezium rule, estimate the integral of the curve between $x = -1$ and $x = 2.75$.

If you are told that the curve's derivative is always decreasing, what can you say about whether the estimate for the area will be under or over the true area of $f(x)$?

Solution. First we need to determine h . Since h is the distance between two x points, we can deduce that it should be:

$$h = 0.25 - (-1) = 1.25$$

And we can verify that each point is 1.25 apart, meaning that $h = 1.25$ is the distance between each point, and we can use the trapezium rule. Now let's plug this into the trapezium rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx &\approx \frac{h}{2} \left(f(x_0) + f(x_n) + 2(f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) \right) \\ \therefore \int_{-1}^{2.75} f(x) dx &\approx \frac{1.25}{2} \left(-1 + 5 + 2(4 + 6) \right) \\ &= \frac{1.25}{2} \left(4 + 2(10) \right) \\ &= \frac{1.25 \times 24}{2} \\ &= 15 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the trapezium rule gives us an estimate of the area as 15.

For the second part of the question, if we draw a sketch of the curve, we know that the slope must be going from steep to less steep since the question told us the derivative is decreasing.




Figure 36: A function shaded below the curve, with trapeziums drawn.

Now it becomes clear that the trapeziums are always under the curve. When the curve is above zero, this means that the trapezium area is less than the curve's area. What about when the function is below zero? In this case, the trapezium area is covering more space than the function is. But since it is negative, this should contribute more “negative area”. However since we have not marked zero itself in the table, the trapezium calculation we are computing has negative side (!), which affects the area in this case.

The actual “trapezium” we are computing for the first trapezium is:

$$\frac{h(-1 + 5)}{2}$$

This makes it unclear whether the area in this case is less than or more than the actual function, at least for the geometrical approach we have been using, but it turns out the trapezium rule still underestimates the true integral in this case too, as long as the curve's derivative is decreasing¹⁶. □

9.2 The Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton-Raphson method has the goal of improving an estimate for a root of a function, i.e. finding a point x where some function $f(x) = 0$, given that we know a point close to the root already.

The curve pictured in Figure 37 has three roots. We could try to solve $f(x) = 0$ by rearranging for x , but this is not always possible to do.

Instead, we can try to get *close* to a root. The easiest way to do so is to find two points a and b where the function changes sign between the two points. If the function goes from positive to

¹⁶If we instead think of the straight line segment itself between x_0 and x_1 as a function, say $L(x)$, it follows due to the decreasing derivative that $f(x) \geq L(x)$ on this interval, and the “trapezium area” we have been using is given as $\int_{x_0}^{x_1} L(x)dx$. It then follows that $\int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x)dx \geq \int_{x_0}^{x_1} L(x)dx$, which shows that the trapezium rule still undershoots the true value in this case.

negative, or from negative to positive, we know that it must be zero at some point between. This will give us a point close to the root.




Figure 37: A function with zeros labelled.

Once we have a point close to the root, how can we improve our guess? One method is to notice that near the root, if we zoom in far enough, the function looks like a straight line. Because of this, we might notice that if we were to draw the tangent to the curve at our point, following the tangent until we hit the x axis should land us closer to the root.

This is the Newton-Raphson method:

1. Find a point close to the root
2. Find the tangent to the curve at that point
3. Find the point on the x axis in which the tangent meets the x axis
4. Repeat steps 2-3 until you are satisfied with getting near the root

This is seen pictured in Figure 38, where you can see the tangents leading us closer to the red point we desire to land at.




Figure 38: A function with Newton iterations labelled around one root.